

Geometry & Topology Monographs  
 Volume 3: Invitation to higher local fields  
 Part I, section 10, pages 95–101

## 10. Explicit higher local class field theory

*Ivan Fesenko*

In this section we present an approach to higher local class field theory [F1-2] different from Kato's (see section 5) and Parshin's (see section 7) approaches.

Let  $F$  ( $F = K_n, \dots, K_0$ ) be an  $n$ -dimensional local field. We use the results of section 6 and the notations of section 1.

### 10.1. Modified class formation axioms

Consider now an approach based on a generalization [F2] of Neukirch's approach [N].

Below is a modified system of axioms of class formations (when applied to topological  $K$ -groups) which imposes weaker restrictions than the classical axioms (cf. section 11).

(A1). *There is a  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -extension of  $F$ .*

In the case of higher local fields let  $F_{\text{pur}}/F$  be the extension which corresponds to  $K_0^{\text{sep}}/K_0$ :  $F_{\text{pur}} = \cup_{(l,p)=1} F(\mu_l)$ ; the extension  $F_{\text{pur}}$  is called the *maximal purely unramified extension* of  $F$ . Denote by  $\text{Frob}_F$  the lifting of the Frobenius automorphisms of  $K_0^{\text{sep}}/K_0$ . Then

$$\text{Gal}(F_{\text{pur}}/F) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{Frob}_F \mapsto 1.$$

(A2). *For every finite separable extension  $F$  of the ground field there is an abelian group  $A_F$  such that  $F \rightarrow A_F$  behaves well (is a Mackey functor, see for instance [D]; in fact we shall use just topological  $K$ -groups) and such that there is a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{v}: A_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  associated to the choice of the  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -extension in (A1) which satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{v}(N_{L/F} A_L) = |L \cap F_{\text{pur}} : F| \mathfrak{v}(A_F).$$

In the case of higher local fields we use the valuation homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{v}: K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

of 6.4.1. From now on we write  $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$  instead of  $A_F$ . The kernel of  $\mathfrak{v}$  is  $VK_n^{\text{top}}(F)$ .

Put

$$\mathfrak{v}_L = \frac{1}{|L \cap F_{\text{pur}} : F|} \mathfrak{v} \circ N_{L/F}.$$

Using (A1), (A2) for an arbitrary finite Galois extension  $L/F$  define the *reciprocity map*

$$\Upsilon_{L/F}: \text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L), \quad \sigma \mapsto N_{\Sigma/F}\Pi_{\Sigma} \pmod{N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)}$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the fixed field of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an element of  $\text{Gal}(L_{\text{pur}}/F)$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}|_L = \sigma$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}|_{F_{\text{pur}}} = \text{Frob}_F^i$  with a positive integer  $i$ . The element  $\Pi_{\Sigma}$  of  $K_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$  is any such that  $\mathfrak{v}_{\Sigma}(\Pi_{\Sigma}) = 1$ ; it is called a *prime element* of  $K_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$ . This map doesn't depend on the choice of a prime element of  $K_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$ , since  $\Sigma L/\Sigma$  is purely unramified and  $VK_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma) \subset N_{\Sigma L/\Sigma}VK_n^{\text{top}}(\Sigma L)$ .

(A3). *For every finite subextension  $L/F$  of  $F_{\text{pur}}/F$  (which is cyclic, so its Galois group is generated by, say, a  $\sigma$ )*

$$(A3a) \quad |K_n^{\text{top}}(F) : N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)| = |L : F|;$$

$$(A3b) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \xrightarrow{i_{F/L}} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \text{ is exact};$$

$$(A3c) \quad K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{N_{L/F}} K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \text{ is exact.}$$

Using (A1), (A2), (A3) one proves that  $\Upsilon_{L/F}$  is a homomorphism [F2].

(A4). *For every cyclic extensions  $L/F$  of prime degree with a generator  $\sigma$  and a cyclic extension  $L'/F$  of the same degree*

$$(A4a) \quad K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \xrightarrow{N_{L/F}} K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \text{ is exact};$$

$$(A4b) \quad |K_n^{\text{top}}(F) : N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)| = |L : F|;$$

$$(A4c) \quad N_{L'/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L') = N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \Rightarrow L = L'.$$

If all axioms (A1)–(A4) hold then the homomorphism  $\Upsilon_{L/F}$  induces an isomorphism [F2]

$$\Upsilon_{L/F}^{\text{ab}}: \text{Gal}(L/F)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L).$$

The method of the proof is to define explicitly (as a generalization of Hazewinkel's approach [H]) a homomorphism

$$\Psi_{L/F}^{\text{ab}}: K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F)^{\text{ab}}$$

and then show that  $\Psi_{L/F}^{\text{ab}} \circ \Upsilon_{L/F}^{\text{ab}}$  is the identity.

## 10.2. Characteristic $p$ case

**Theorem 1** ([F1], [F2]). *In characteristic  $p$  all axioms (A1)–(A4) hold. So we get the reciprocity map  $\Psi_{L/F}$  and passing to the limit the reciprocity map*

$$\Psi_F: K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F).$$

*Proof.* See subsection 6.8. (A4c) can be checked by a direct computation using the proposition of 6.8.1 [F2, p. 1118–1119]; (A3b) for  $p$ -extensions see in 7.5, to check it for extensions of degree prime to  $p$  is relatively easy [F2, Th. 3.3].  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that in characteristic  $p$  the sequence of (A3b) is not exact for an arbitrary cyclic extension  $L/F$  (if  $L \not\subset F_{\text{pur}}$ ). The characteristic zero case is discussed below.

## 10.3. Characteristic zero case. I

### 10.3.1. prime-to- $p$ -part.

It is relatively easy to check that all the axioms of 10.1 hold for prime-to- $p$  extensions and for

$$K'_n(F) = K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/VK_n^{\text{top}}(F)$$

(note that  $VK_n^{\text{top}}(F) = \bigcap_{(l,p)=1} lK_n^{\text{top}}(F)$ ). This supplies the prime-to- $p$ -part of the reciprocity map.

### 10.3.2. $p$ -part.

If  $\mu_p \leq F^*$  then all the axioms of 10.1 hold; if  $\mu_p \not\leq F^*$  then everything with exception of the axiom (A3b) holds.

**Example.** Let  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ . Let  $\omega \in k$  be a  $p$ -primary element of  $k$  which means that  $k(\sqrt[p]{\omega})/k$  is unramified of degree  $p$ . Then due to the description of  $K_2$  of a local field (see subsection 6.1 and [FV, Ch.IX §4]) there is a prime elements  $\pi$  of  $k$  such that  $\{\omega, \pi\}$  is a generator of  $K_2(k)/p$ . Since  $\alpha = i_{k/k(\sqrt[p]{\omega})}\{\omega, \pi\} \in pK_2(k(\sqrt[p]{\omega}))$ , the element  $\alpha$  lies in  $\bigcap_{l \geq 1} lK_2(k(\sqrt[p]{\omega}))$ . Let  $F = k\{\{t\}\}$ . Then  $\{\omega, \pi\} \notin pK_2^{\text{top}}(F)$  and  $i_{F/F(\sqrt[p]{\omega})}\{\omega, \pi\} = 0$  in  $K_2^{\text{top}}(F(\sqrt[p]{\omega}))$ .

Since all other axioms are satisfied, according to 10.1 we get the reciprocity map

$$\Upsilon_{L/F}: \text{Gal}(L/F) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L), \quad \sigma \mapsto N_{\Sigma/F}\Pi_{\Sigma}$$

for every finite Galois  $p$ -extension  $L/F$ .

To study its properties we need to introduce the notion of Artin–Schreier trees (cf. [F3]) as those extensions in characteristic zero which in a certain sense come from characteristic  $p$ . Not quite precisely, there are sufficiently many finite Galois  $p$ -extensions for which one can directly define an explicit homomorphism

$$K_n^{\text{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F)^{\text{ab}}$$

and show that the composition of  $\Upsilon_{L/F}^{\text{ab}}$  with it is the identity map.

## 10.4. Characteristic zero case. II: Artin–Schreier trees

### 10.4.1.

**Definition.** A  $p$ -extension  $L/F$  is called an *Artin–Schreier tree* if there is a tower of subfields  $F = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_r = L$  such that each  $F_i/F_{i-1}$  is cyclic of degree  $p$ ,  $F_i = F_{i-1}(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha^p - \alpha \in F_{i-1}$ .

A  $p$ -extension  $L/F$  is called a *strong Artin–Schreier tree* if every cyclic subextension  $M/E$  of degree  $p$ ,  $F \subset E \subset M \subset L$ , is of type  $E = M(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha^p - \alpha \in M$ .

Call an extension  $L/F$  *totally ramified* if  $f(L|F) = 1$  (i.e.  $L \cap F_{\text{pur}} = F$ ).

#### Properties of Artin–Schreier trees.

- (1) if  $\mu_p \not\leq F^*$  then every  $p$ -extension is an Artin–Schreier tree; if  $\mu_p \leq F^*$  then  $F(\sqrt[p]{a})/F$  is an Artin–Schreier tree if and only if  $aF^{*p} \leq V_F F^{*p}$ .
- (2) for every cyclic totally ramified extension  $L/F$  of degree  $p$  there is a Galois totally ramified  $p$ -extension  $E/F$  such that  $E/F$  is an Artin–Schreier tree and  $E \supset L$ .

For example, if  $\mu_p \leq F^*$ ,  $F$  is two-dimensional and  $t_1, t_2$  is a system of local parameters of  $F$ , then  $F(\sqrt[p]{t_1})/F$  is not an Artin–Schreier tree. Find an  $\varepsilon \in V_F \setminus V_F^p$  such that  $M/F$  ramifies along  $t_1$  where  $M = F(\sqrt[p]{\varepsilon})$ . Let  $t_{1,M}, t_2 \in F$  be a system of local parameters of  $M$ . Then  $t_1 t_{1,M}^{-p}$  is a unit of  $M$ . Put  $E = M(\sqrt[p]{t_1 t_{1,M}^{-p}})$ . Then  $E \supset F(\sqrt[p]{t_1})$  and  $E/F$  is an Artin–Schreier tree.

- (3) Let  $L/F$  be a totally ramified finite Galois  $p$ -extension. Then there is a totally ramified finite  $p$ -extension  $Q/F$  such that  $LQ/Q$  is a strong Artin–Schreier tree and  $L_{\text{pur}} \cap Q_{\text{pur}} = F_{\text{pur}}$ .
- (4) For every totally ramified Galois extension  $L/F$  of degree  $p$  which is an Artin–Schreier tree we have

$$\mathfrak{v}_{L_{\text{pur}}}(K_n^{\text{top}}(L_{\text{pur}})^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}) = p\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $\mathfrak{v}$  is the valuation map defined in 10.1,  $K_n^{\text{top}}(L_{\text{pur}}) = \varinjlim_M K_n^{\text{top}}(M)$  where  $M/L$  runs over finite subextensions in  $L_{\text{pur}}/L$  and the limit is taken with respect to the maps  $i_{M/M'}$  induced by field embeddings.

**Proposition 1.** For a strong Artin–Schreier tree  $L/F$  the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{g} VK_n^{\mathrm{top}}(L_{\mathrm{pur}})/I(L|F) \xrightarrow{N_{L_{\mathrm{pur}}/F_{\mathrm{pur}}}} VK_n^{\mathrm{top}}(F_{\mathrm{pur}}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where  $g(\sigma) = \sigma\Pi - \Pi$ ,  $\mathfrak{v}_L(\Pi) = 1$ ,  $I(L|F) = \langle \sigma\alpha - \alpha : \alpha \in VK_n^{\mathrm{top}}(L_{\mathrm{pur}}) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Induction on  $|L : F|$  using the property  $N_{L_{\mathrm{pur}}/M_{\mathrm{pur}}}I(L|F) = I(M|F)$  for a subextension  $M/F$  of  $L/F$ .  $\square$

**10.4.2.** As a generalization of Hazewinkel’s approach [H] we have

**Corollary.** For a strong Artin–Schreier tree  $L/F$  define a homomorphism

$$\Psi_{L/F}: VK_n^{\mathrm{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}VK_n^{\mathrm{top}}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}}, \quad \alpha \mapsto g^{-1}((\mathrm{Frob}_L - 1)\beta)$$

where  $N_{L_{\mathrm{pur}}/F_{\mathrm{pur}}}\beta = i_{F/F_{\mathrm{pur}}}\alpha$  and  $\mathrm{Frob}_L$  is defined in 10.1.

**Proposition 2.**  $\Psi_{L/F} \circ \Upsilon_{L/F}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$  is the identity map; so for a strong Artin–Schreier tree  $\Upsilon_{L/F}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  is injective and  $\Psi_{L/F}$  is surjective.

**Remark.** As the example above shows, one cannot define  $\Psi_{L/F}$  for non-strong Artin–Schreier trees.

**Theorem 2.**  $\Upsilon_{L/F}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Use property (3) of Artin–Schreier trees to deduce from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gal}(LO/Q) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{LQ/Q}} & K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(Q)/N_{LQ/Q}K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(LQ) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{Q/F} \\ \mathrm{Gal}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{L/F}} & K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(F)/N_{L/F}K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(L) \end{array}$$

that  $\Upsilon_{L/F}$  is a homomorphism and injective. Surjectivity follows by induction on degree.  $\square$

Passing to the projective limit we get the reciprocity map

$$\Psi_F: K_n^{\mathrm{top}}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{ab}}/F)$$

whose image is dense in  $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{ab}}/F)$ .

**Remark.** For another slightly different approach to deduce the properties of  $\Upsilon_{L/F}$  see [F1].

## 10.5

**Theorem 3.** *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n^{\text{top}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_F} & \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F) \\ \partial \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{n-1}^{\text{top}}(K_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{K_{n-1}}} & \text{Gal}(K_{n-1}^{\text{ab}}/K_{n-1}). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Follows from the explicit definition of  $\Upsilon_{L/F}$ , since  $\partial\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  is a prime element of  $K_{n-1}^{\text{top}}(K_{n-1})$ .  $\square$

**Existence Theorem** ([F1-2]). *Every open subgroup of finite index in  $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$  is the norm group of a uniquely determined abelian extension  $L/F$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be an open subgroup of  $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$  of prime index  $l$ .

If  $p \neq l$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in F^*$  such that  $N$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  with respect to  $t^{(q-1)/l}$  where  $t$  is the tame symbol defined in 6.4.2.

If  $\text{char}(F) = p = l$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $N$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  with respect to  $(\ , \ ]_1$  defined in 6.4.3.

If  $\text{char}(F) = 0, l = p, \mu_p \leq F^*$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in F^*$  such that  $N$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  with respect to  $V_1$  defined in 6.4.4 (see the theorems in 8.3). If  $\mu_p \not\leq F^*$  then pass to  $F(\mu_p)$  and then back to  $F$  using  $(|F(\mu_p) : F|, p) = 1$ .

Due to Kummer and Artin–Schreier theory, Theorem 2 and Remark of 8.3 we deduce that  $N = N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)$  for an appropriate cyclic extension  $L/F$ .

The theorem follows by induction on index.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** From the definition of  $K_n^{\text{top}}$  it immediately follows that open subgroups of finite index in  $K_n(F)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with open subgroups in  $K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$ . Hence the correspondence  $L \mapsto N_{L/F}K_n(L)$  is a one-to-one correspondence between finite abelian extensions of  $F$  and open subgroups of finite index in  $K_n(F)$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $K_0$  is perfect and not separably  $p$ -closed, then there is a generalization of the previous class field theory for totally ramified  $p$ -extensions of  $F$  (see Remark in 16.1). There is also a generalization of the existence theorem [F3].

**Corollary 1.** *The reciprocity map  $\Psi_F: K_n^{\text{top}}(F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Use the corollary of Theorem 1 in 6.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** For an element  $\Pi \in K_n^{\text{top}}(F)$  such that  $v_F(\Pi) = 1$  there is an infinite abelian extension  $F_\Pi/F$  such that

$$F^{\text{ab}} = F_{\text{pur}}F_\Pi, \quad F_{\text{pur}} \cap F_\Pi = F$$

and  $\Pi \in N_{L/F}K_n^{\text{top}}(L)$  for every finite extension  $L/F$ ,  $L \subset F_\Pi$ .

**Problem.** Construct (for  $n > 1$ ) the extension  $F_\Pi$  explicitly?

### References

- [D] A. Dress, Contributions to the theory of induced representations, Lect. Notes in Math. 342, Springer 1973.
- [F1] I. Fesenko, Class field theory of multidimensional local fields of characteristic 0, with the residue field of positive characteristic, Algebra i Analiz (1991); English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 3(1992), 649–678.
- [F2] I. Fesenko, Multidimensional local class field theory II, Algebra i Analiz (1991); English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 3(1992), 1103–1126.
- [F3] I. Fesenko, Abelian local  $p$ -class field theory, Math. Ann. 301 (1995), pp. 561–586.
- [F4] I. Fesenko, Abelian extensions of complete discrete valuation fields, Number Theory Paris 1993/94, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996, 47–74.
- [F5] I. Fesenko, Sequential topologies and quotients of the Milnor  $K$ -groups of higher local fields, preprint, [www.maths.nott.ac.uk/personal/ibf/stqk.ps](http://www.maths.nott.ac.uk/personal/ibf/stqk.ps)
- [FV] I. Fesenko and S. Vostokov, Local Fields and Their Extensions, AMS, Providence RI, 1993.
- [H] M. Hazewinkel, Local class field theory is easy, Adv. Math. 18(1975), 148–181.
- [N] J. Neukirch, Class Field Theory, Springer, Berlin etc. 1986.

*Department of Mathematics University of Nottingham  
Nottingham NG7 2RD England  
E-mail: [ibf@maths.nott.ac.uk](mailto:ibf@maths.nott.ac.uk)*