


Geometry & Topology
 Volume 2 (1998) 31{64
 Published: 21 March 1998



A natural framing of knots

Michael T Greene
 Bert Wiest

Mathematics Institute
University of Warwick
 Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

Email: mtg@maths.warwick.ac.uk or mtg@uk.radan.com
 bertold@maths.warwick.ac.uk

Abstract

Given a knot K in the 3-sphere, consider a singular disk bounded by K and the intersections of K with the interior of the disk. The absolute number of intersections, minimised over all choices of singular disk with a given algebraic number of intersections, defines the *framing function* of the knot. We show that the framing function is symmetric except at a finite number of points. The symmetry axis is a new knot invariant, called the *natural framing* of the knot. We calculate the natural framing of torus knots and some other knots, and discuss some of its properties and its relations to the signature and other well-known knot invariants.

AMS Classification numbers Primary: 57M25

Secondary: 20F05

Keywords: Knot, link, knot invariant, framing, natural framing, torus knot, Cayley graph

Proposed: Cameron Gordon
 Seconded: Joan Birman, Walter Neumann

Received: 4 August 1997
 Accepted: 19 March 1998

Let $K: S^1 \rightarrow S^3$ be an unoriented knot. Let D be the 2-disk. We define a *compressing disk* of K to be a map $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ such that $f|_D = K$ and such that $f|_{\text{int}(D)}$ is transverse to K . Then $f|_{\text{int}(D)}$ has only finitely many intersections with the knot. We call the intersection points the *holes* of the compressing disk, and denote their number by $n(f)$. So $n(f) = \#(f|_{\text{int}(D)}^{-1}(K) \cap \text{int}(D))$. One rather crude invariant of the knot K is the *knottedness*

$$L(K) := \min \{n(f) \mid f \text{ a compressing disk}\}$$

which was first considered in [9]. (The English term 'knottedness' was taken from [1].)

We note that holes can occur with two different signs (depending on the direction in which K pierces $f(D)$), so we can refine the above invariant by defining the *framing function* $n_K: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows: for a given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we minimize the absolute number of holes among all compressing disks with algebraically k holes. We shall see that (except at finitely many points in \mathbb{Z}) this function is symmetric around some value of k , and we call this 'asymptotic symmetry axis' k the *natural framing* of K . The aim of this paper is to determine the natural framing of certain classes of knot, and to study its properties and relations with other knot invariants.

In section 1 we define the natural framing of knots and show that it shares many properties with the signature. In section 2 we define the natural framing of each component of a link, and calculate it for a number of links. It seems that for most "simple" knots the natural framing number is even; in section 3, however, we show that knots with odd natural framing do exist. In section 4 we prove that the natural framing of the $(p; q)$ -torus knot is $-(p-1)(q-1)$. In section 5 we summarize all the information we have about the natural framing of knots with up to seven crossings.

1 Definitions and general results

Let $K: S^1 \rightarrow S^3$ be a knot, and let $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ be a compressing disk. By a standard general position argument we can assume that the singularities of a compressing disk f are all transverse self-intersections which may end either in K or in the branch points of Whitney umbrellas (for details see [11]). In what follows, we will, without further explanation, talk about the double lines or the Whitney umbrellas of a compressing disk. The following result is from [9].

Lemma 1.1 *Let $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ be a compressing disk. Then there exists a compressing disk $f^\flat: D \rightarrow S^3$, where f^\flat is an immersion, such that $n(f^\flat) \leq n(f)$.*

Proof We homotope f into general position. Now we only have to get rid of Whitney umbrellas. The double line starting at a Whitney umbrella either ends in another Whitney umbrella or in K . We move the branch point along the double line, shrinking the double line until it doesn't contain triple points. In the first case we then perform a surgery along the double line; this eliminates the double line and the two Whitney umbrellas. In the second case we slide the knot over the branch point of the Whitney umbrella; this leaves the knot type unchanged, and eliminates the Whitney umbrella, the double line, and one hole. (Also, it changes the framing represented by the compressing disk by 1.) Applying this process to every branch point gives the result. \square

Proposition 1.2 (Pannwitz) *For any knot K , $L(K)$ is even.*

Proof Let $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ be a compressing disk with $n(f) = L(K)$. As we have just seen, we may assume that f is an immersion, so it has no Whitney umbrellas. Every hole of f is the beginning of a double point line. Now this line must end in another hole (not a Whitney umbrella); so there must be an even number of holes. \square

Remark For any non-trivial knot K we have $L(K) \geq 2$. This follows from Dehn's Lemma and the fact that $L(K)$ is even.

Theorem 1.3 *The knottedness is additive under connected sum, ie if K_1 and K_2 are knots then $L(K_1 \# K_2) = L(K_1) + L(K_2)$.*

Proof It is obvious that $L(K_1 \# K_2) \leq L(K_1) + L(K_2)$; we need to prove the opposite inequality.

Choose an embedded sphere S in S^3 which intersects the knot in only two points, splitting K_1 from K_2 . Let $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ be a compressing disk of $K_1 \# K_2$ with only $L(K_1 \# K_2)$ holes. Make the map f transverse to S without changing the number of holes. $f^{-1}(S)$ consists of one arc A in D connecting two points on ∂D , and a number of disjoint circles in D . Choose an outermost one of those, and call it c . Let C be the disk bounded by c . Say c represents an element $[k] \in H_1(Sn(S \setminus K)) = H_1(S^3 \setminus (K_1 \# K_2)) = \mathbb{Z}$; then there are at least k holes inside C . $Sn(S \setminus K)$ is a sphere with two holes, so we can replace $f|_C$

by a map whose image lies entirely in S , and which has precisely k intersections with K . After pushing the image away from S by a small homotopy, we have a map f^θ such that $f^\theta|_C$ has precisely k holes and maps no point of C , or a small neighbourhood of C , to S .

This construction has replaced the compressing disk f by a compressing disk f^θ with at most as many holes (and the same framing). Since by hypothesis $n(f)$ is minimal, we have $n(f^\theta) = n(f)$. Applying the construction to all outermost circles of intersection yields a compressing disk with $L(K_1 \# K_2)$ holes, which has the property that the arc A is mapped to S , one of the two components of DnA is entirely mapped to one of the two components of S^3nS , and the other component of DnA is mapped to the other component of S^3nS . This gives rise to compressing disks for the knots K_1 and K_2 with n_1 and n_2 holes respectively such that $n_1 + n_2 = L(K_1 \# K_2)$. \square

Let d be the inner boundary of a small neighbourhood of $@D$ in D ; then d is a curve in D 'close to $@D$ '. f maps d to a longitude of the knot. If we orient d and $@D$ in the same way, then $f(d)$ and $f(@D) = K$ have a linking number $[f(d)] \in H_1(S^3nK) = \mathbb{Z}$. We denote this number by $k(f)$. So d represents the framing $k(f)$ of K . Geometrically, this framing can be obtained as follows: choose an orientation of D ; this determines an orientation of $@D$ and hence of K . For $i \in \{1, \dots, n(f)\}$ let $x_i \in D$ be the i th hole of f . Let $\epsilon(i) = 1$ if a positive basis of $T_{f(x_i)}f(D)$ followed by a positive tangent vector of K at $f(x_i)$ forms a positive basis of $T_{f(x_i)}S^3$, and let $\epsilon(i) = -1$ otherwise. Then $k(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{n(f)} \epsilon(i)$.

To every knot K we can associate a function $n_K: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which we call the framing function as follows:

$$n_K(k) := \min \{ n(f) \mid f \text{ a compressing disk with } k(f) = k \}$$

Notice that $L(K) = \min n_K$.

Proposition 1.4 For any knot K , the function n_K has the following properties.

- (i) $n_K(k) \geq |k|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) n_K maps even numbers to even numbers and odd numbers to odd numbers.
- (iii) 'Continuity': For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $n_K(k+1) = n_K(k) \pm 1$.

- (iv) If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd, then $n_K(k) = \min\{n_K(k-1); n_K(k+1)\} + 1$. In particular, the function n_K is completely determined by its values on even numbers.

Proof (i) is obvious.

- (ii) follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n (i) \geq f-1; 1g$ is even if and only if n is even.
- (iii) By (ii), $n_K(k+1) \leq n_K(k)$. So for definiteness say $n_K(k+1) > n_K(k)$. Let f be a compressing map with $n_K(k)$ holes and framing k . By artificially introducing a Whitney umbrella we can obtain a compressing disk with $n_K(k) + 1$ holes and framing $k + 1$.
- (iv) Suppose f is a compressing disk with odd framing number. Then f has at least one Whitney umbrella. If we remove this as in Lemma 1.1, we obtain another compressing disk with framing number $k(f) - 1$ and $n(f) - 1$ holes. It follows that for every odd $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have either $n_K(z+1) < n_K(z)$ or $n_K(z-1) < n_K(z)$. This implies (iv). \square

Let B in S^3 be a double-point line of a compressing disk f . Suppose that B does not end in a Whitney umbrella, and suppose B is not a closed curve. Then $f^{-1}(B)$ consists of two lines in D (not necessarily disjoint or embedded). There are two possible cases: either one of them connects two holes and the other connects two points on ∂D , when B is a *ribbon singularity*; or each of the two lines connects one hole with one point on ∂D , when B is a *clasp singularity*. We call a clasp singularity *positive* or *negative* if it ends at two positive or negative holes, respectively. We say a clasp or ribbon singularity is *short* if its double-point line meets no triple points.

Conjecture For any nontrivial knot K we have $n_K(0) \geq 4$.

This is a strengthened form of Dehn's Lemma. Dehn's Lemma states that the only knot in S^3 which has a compressing disk without holes is the unknot. Our conjecture asserts that this remains true under the weakened hypothesis that the compressing disk has 2 intersections with K of opposite sign (ie one ribbon singularity B). This is easy to show with the extra hypothesis that B is short.

Definition 1.5 The function n_K gives rise to a framing of the knot:

$$f(K) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_K(-k) - n_K(k)}{2}$$

is called the natural framing of K . Alternatively, we can define $f(K)$ to be the unique integer such that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_K(f(K) - k) = n_K(f(K) + k)$ for all $k \geq N$.

Lemma 1.6 *This is well-defined.*

Proof For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $a_k = n_K(-k) - j - kj$ and let $b_k = n_K(k) - jkj$. We have $(n_K(-k) - n_K(k)) = 2 = (a_k - b_k) = 2$. Moreover, by Proposition 1.4 (i) and (iii), both a_k and b_k are decreasing sequences in $2\mathbb{N}$. Therefore there exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $k \geq N$ the sequences (a_k) and (b_k) are constant. It follows that the limit exists. Furthermore, by 1.4 (ii) we have that $n_K(-k) - n_K(k)$ is even for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\sigma(K)$ is indeed an integer. \square

The natural framing is an ‘asymptotic symmetry axis’ of the framing function. As a first example, we look at the framing function of the figure-of-eight knot 4_1 (from the table in [10]). Only the value $n_{4_1}(0) = 4$ is conjectured. The fact




Figure 1: The function n_{4_1}

that $n_{4_1}(2) = 2$ follows from an easy construction (a compressing disk with one positive clasp and no other singularities). Similarly we see that $n_{4_1}(-2) = 2$. The rest of the proof follows immediately from Proposition 1.4. We observe that $\sigma(4_1) = 0$. More generally we have:

Proposition 1.7 *Let K be a knot, and let mK be its mirror image. Then $n_K(k) = n_{mK}(-k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\sigma(K) = -\sigma(mK)$, and if K is amphicheiral then $\sigma(K) = 0$.*

Proof Let f be a compressing disk with framing k and with $n_K(k)$ holes. Then $m \circ f$, where m is the mirror map, is a compressing map of the knot mK with framing $-k$ and also $n_K(k)$ holes. It follows that $n_{mK}(-k) \leq n_K(k)$. The opposite inequality is proved in the same way. \square

So the natural framing $\sigma(K)$ changes sign under taking the mirror image, a property it shares with the well-known signature $\sigma(K)$ (see, for example, [10]). There is an even closer relation:

Proposition 1.8 *If a knot K has a compressing disk with positive clasps and closed double-point lines, but no negative clasps or ribbons, then $\sigma(K) \geq 0$. If all the clasps are short, then we have in addition $\sigma(K) \leq 0$.*

Proof If there exists a compressing disk with say c positive clasps and no negative clasps or ribbons then we have $n_K(k) = k$ for all $k \geq 2c$. It follows that $\sigma(K) \geq 0$. If in addition all these clasps are short, then we can unknot K by c negative crossing changes. According to [3] it follows that $-2c \leq \sigma(K) \leq 0$. \square

As an application, we can prove that for a large family of knots the natural framing and the signature are both zero. We only have to construct a compressing disk with only short positive clasps and no other singularities, and another compressing disk with only short negative clasps.

Examples (1) If K is one of the so-called ‘twist knots’ $4_1, 6_1, 8_1, 10_1$ etc then $\sigma(K) = \sigma(K) = 0$. The case of the stevedore’s knot 6_1 is illustrated in Figure 2, and the other cases are similar. On the left we see a compressing disk with




Figure 2: Two different compressing disks of the knot 6_1

one short clasp singularity with positive sign (indicated by the dashed lines), proving that $n_{K_4}(2) \leq 2$. On the right we see a compressing disk with two short clasp singularities of negative sign, proving that $n_{K_4}(-4) \leq 4$.

(2) This construction can be generalized. Consider the family of r {bridge knots indicated in Figure 3, where the $a_j^{(r)}$ and $b_j^{(r)}$ are all non-negative and even. On the right, choosing a particular 12{crossing knot as an example, we see two compressing disks; the first has only short positive clasps, the second only short negative clasps.

Conjecture The natural framing of the twist knots $3_1, 5_2, 7_2, 9_2, \dots$ is 2. More precisely, we conjecture that for such knots $n(k) = 2 + jk - 2j$. The values for $k > 0$ are easy to prove; the values for $k \leq 0$ seem hard.




Figure 3: A family of knots whose natural framing and signature are zero

Even more generally, we can consider the $\frac{4m+1}{2l}$ {two-bridge knot (in the notation of [1], Chapter 12). This is the rational knot $C(2m, 2l)$ in the notation of Conway [2], and for $l = 1$ we get twist knots. We conjecture that for $m; l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ the natural framing of this knot is $\min(2m; 2l)$.

Lemma 1.9 *Let K_1 and K_2 be knots. Then*

$$n_{K_1 \# K_2}(k) = \min_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}} (n_{K_1}(k^h) + n_{K_2}(k - k^h)):$$

Proof As in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we see that for every compressing disk f of $K_1 \# K_2$ with framing k and $n_{K_1 \# K_2}(k)$ holes we can find another compressing disk f^h with the same framing, the same number of holes and only one intersection curve with a separating sphere. The result follows. \square

Proposition 1.10 *The natural framing is additive under connected sum; ie if K_1 and K_2 are knots then $(K_1 \# K_2) = (K_1) + (K_2)$.*

Proof There exist $N; M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_1; c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n_{K_1}((K_1) + k) = c_1 + |kj|$ and $n_{K_2}((K_2) + l) = c_2 + |lj|$ for all $k; l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|kj| \geq N$ and $|lj| \geq M$.

Now let $k \geq N$ and $l \geq M$. By Lemma 1.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_{K_1 \# K_2}(K_1 + K_2 + k + l) &\leq n_{K_1}(K_1 + k) + n_{K_2}(K_2 + l) \\ &= c_1 + k + c_2 + l; \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have $n_{K_1}(K_1 + k + a) \geq c_1 + k + a$ and $n_{K_2}(K_2 + l - a) \geq c_2 + l - a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Lemma 1.9 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n_{K_1 \# K_2}(K_1 + K_2 + k + l) &= \min_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} n_{K_1}(K_1 + k + a) \\ &\quad + n_{K_2}(K_2 + l - a) \\ &\geq c_1 + k + c_2 + l; \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that $n_{K_1 \# K_2}(K_1 + K_2 + k) = c_1 + c_2 + |k|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq N + M$. The case $k \leq -N - M$ is proved similarly. \square

2 A natural framing of links

In this section we define a natural framing for each component of a link. By giving an example we prove that these framing numbers are *not* always even, and that they are *not* determined by the natural framings of the individual link components (regarded as knots) and their linking numbers.

Let $L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_m \subset S^1 \cup \dots \cup S^1 \subset S^3$ be an unoriented link with m components. Let D be the 2-disk. We define a *compressing disk of the i th link component L_i* ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) to be a map $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ transverse to L such such that $f|_{\partial D} = L_i$. Then $f|_{\text{int}(D)}$ has only finitely many intersections with L . We call these intersection points the *holes* of the compressing disk, and denote their number by $n(f)$. We choose an orientation of L_i . This induces an orientation of D . We look at an intersection point of $f|_{\text{int}(D)}$ with L_i . We define such a hole to be *positive* or *negative*, depending on whether a positive basis of the tangent space to D followed by a positive tangent vector to L_i forms a positive or a negative basis of S^3 , respectively. This is well-defined (ie independent of the choice of orientation of L_i). We denote by $k(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the number of intersections of $f|_{\text{int}(D)}$ with L_i (not *all* link components!), counted algebraically. Again, we can think of $k(f)$ as the framing of L_i defined by f .

To every component L_i of L we can associate a function $n_i: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which we call the *i th framing function* as follows:

$$n_i(k) := \min \{ n(f) \mid f \text{ a compressing disk of } L_i \text{ with } k(f) = k \};$$

Precisely as in the case of knots we define the *natural framing of the component L_i of L* by

$$f_i(L) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_i(-k) - n_i(k)}{2};$$

and prove that this limit exists. It is clear from the definition that the natural framing of each component of L is an integer multiple of $\frac{1}{2}$. We claim:

Lemma 2.1 *The natural framing of each component of L is an integer.*

Proof It suffices to show that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we have either $n_i(k) \equiv k \pmod{2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $n_i(k) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. To see this, let \mathcal{f} be a compressing disk with $n(\mathcal{f}) = n(k^\mathcal{f})$, where $k^\mathcal{f} = k(\mathcal{f})$. Equip all link components with an orientation, no matter which. Let $\kappa(\mathcal{f})$ be the number of intersections of $\mathcal{f}|_{\text{int}(D)}$ with all components of L , counted algebraically. We have

$$\kappa(\mathcal{f}) \equiv n(\mathcal{f}) \pmod{2}$$

and

$$k(\mathcal{f}) = \kappa(\mathcal{f}) - \sum_{j \neq i} lk(L_i; L_j);$$

It follows that

$$n(\mathcal{f}) - k(\mathcal{f}) \equiv \sum_{j \neq i} lk(L_i; L_j) \pmod{2};$$

Since $\sum_{j \neq i} lk(L_i; L_j)$ is independent of \mathcal{f} , the result follows. \square

Examples (1) The trivial link on m components. Let L be the link consisting of m unknotted, unlinked components. It is easy to see that the framing function of each component is $n_i(k) = jk$. It follows that $f_i(L) = 0$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

(2) The Hopf link. The two link components L_1 and L_2 have linking number 1, so any compressing disk of the first component has at least one intersection with the second. Therefore we have $n_1(k) \geq jk + 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to construct compressing disks of L_1 which have framing k and $jk + 1$ holes, so $n_1(k) = jk + 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $f_1(L) = 0$, and similarly $f_2(L) = 0$.

(3) The link with two components shown in Figure 4 for any $t \geq 1$, consisting of an unknot and a twist-knot (eg for $t = 2$ we get the knot 6_1).




Figure 4: Two different compressing disks of L_2

Proposition 2.2 We have $\nu_1(L) = 0$ and $\nu_2(L) = 1$

Proof L_1 , regarded only as a closed curve in $S^3 \setminus nL_2$, represents a nontrivial element of $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus nL_2)$. Therefore any compressing disk of L_1 has at least one intersection with L_2 . Since the linking number of L_1 and L_2 is 0, there must in fact be a minimum of 2 holes. Therefore we have $\nu_1(k) \geq |jk| + 2$ for $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and again equality follows by construction. It follows that $\nu_1(L) = 0$.

In order to visualize compressing disks of L_2 , we draw their lines of self-intersections, and also the lines of intersection with the obvious compressing disk of L_1 which has no self-intersections and two intersection points with L_2 .

There exists a compressing disk of L_2 , indicated in Figure 4(a), which is disjoint from L_1 , and has one clasp singularity connecting two positive holes. This proves that $\nu_2(k) = k$ for $k \geq 2$.

On the other hand, there exists a compressing disk, indicated in Figure 4(b), which has two intersections of opposite sign with L_1 , and t clasp singularities, each connecting two negative holes. This proves that $\nu_2(k) \leq |jk| + 2$ for $k \leq -2t$.

Next let f be a compressing disk with $k(f) \leq 0$. The image of f has an even number of intersections with L_1 , because the linking number of L_1 with L_2 is 0. We distinguish two cases. If f is disjoint from L_1 , ie if the image of f is contained in the solid torus $S^3 \setminus nL_1$, then using a simple covering space argument it is easy to prove that f has at least two positive holes. So $\nu(f) \geq |jk(f)| + 4$ for all such compressing disks. If, however, the image of f has $2s$ intersections with L_1 ($s \geq 1$) then $\nu(f) \geq |jk(f)| + 2s$. In either case, $\nu(f) \geq |jk(f)| + 2$. It follows that $\nu_2(k) \geq |jk| + 2$ for $k \leq 0$.

Altogether we have $\nu_2(k) = |jk| + 2$ for $k \leq -2t$, and therefore $\nu_2(L) = 1$. \square

Example (4) The Whitehead link, which is the case $t = 0$ in Figure 4. We have $\mu_2(L) = 1$, by precisely the same argument as in the case $t > 0$. The Whitehead link is isotopic to itself with the roles of L_1 and L_2 interchanged. Therefore $\mu_1(L) = 1$. (Note that the reasoning behind the calculation of $\mu_1(L)$ for the case $t > 0$ does not apply here, since the *path* L_1 is contractible in $S^3 \setminus L_2$.)

These results are remarkable, because they show that $\mu_i(L)$, the natural framing of the i th link component, is not determined by the natural framing numbers of all individual link components and their linking numbers. More precisely, $\mu_i(L)$ is not determined by $(L_1; \dots; L_m)$ and $lk(L_r; L_s)$ ($r, s \geq 1; \dots; m$). Also, the natural framing numbers of link components can be odd. It is not obvious that *knots* with odd natural framings exist, but example (3) will lead to the construction of such a knot in the next section.

Proposition 2.3 Let $L^{(1)} = L_1^{(1)} \# \dots \# L_r^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)} = L_1^{(2)} \# \dots \# L_s^{(2)}$ be links in S^3 with r and s components, respectively. Let $L^{(3)}$ be the link obtained by embedding $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ on either side of some embedded S^2 in S^3 , and connecting $L_1^{(1)}$ and $L_1^{(2)}$ by a ‘band’, as in the construction of the connected sum of two knots. $L^{(3)}$ has $r + s - 1$ components, which we label such that $L_1^{(3)}$ is the one that contains the ‘band’. Then $\mu_1(L^{(3)}) = \mu_1(L^{(1)}) + \mu_1(L^{(2)})$.

Proof The proof is virtually identical to the proof of 1.10. (Note that the link $L^{(3)}$ does not depend on the choice of the band.) \square

Corollary 2.4 Let $L = L_1 \# \dots \# L_r$ be a link in S^3 . Then we can add one unknotted link component to L in such a way that the natural framing of L_1 is increased by 1, and such that the natural framings of $L_2; \dots; L_r$ remain unchanged.

Proof Both components of the Whitehead link are unknotted, so taking the connected sum of L_1 with either of its components doesn’t change the type of L . The result now follows from Example (4) and Proposition 2.3. \square

3 A knot with odd natural framing

For ‘simple’ knots, eg knots with low crossing number, the natural framing always appears to be an even number. In this section we exhibit a knot K with




Figure 5: The knot K with $(K) = 1$

$(K) = 1$. This knot is a satellite of a connected sum of three knots. We do not know an atoroidal knot with odd natural framing number.

We need one more technical tool. Let K be a knot, and let $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ be any continuous map. We define a *branched hole* of f to be a point $p \in D$ such that $f(p) \in K$ and such that f maps the boundary of a small disk containing p to some power of a meridian of K . So branched holes may just be transverse intersections of $f(D)$ with K , but they may also be essentially nontransverse. If all intersections of $f(D)$ with K are branched holes, then we say f is *branched transverse*.

Let $\pi_n: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the standard map of degree n . Then any branched transverse map $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ with $f|_{\partial D} = \pi_n$ has at least one branched hole, by the loop theorem. More generally:

Lemma 3.1 *Let K_1, \dots, K_m be nontrivial knots. Then any branched transverse map $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ with $f|_{\partial D} = (\pi_n \# \dots \# \pi_n)$ has at least m branched holes.*

Proof The proof is similar to, but even simpler than, the proof of Theorem 1.3. For any given compressing disk f there exists a compressing disk f^θ with no more branched holes than f and with only m arcs (no closed curves) of intersection with a separating sphere. Then f^θ can be split into two disks, and the lemma follows inductively. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section. Let K be the knot indicated in Figure 5.

Theorem 3.2 *The framing function of K satisfies $n(k) = |kj| + 2$ for $k \geq 2$ and $n(k) = |kj| + 4$ for $k \leq -4$. In particular, $\chi(K) = 1$.*

Proof It is easy to construct a compressing disk with 6 negative and 2 positive holes, so $n(k) \leq |kj| + 4$ for $k \leq -4$ (see Figure 6(a)). It is also easy to construct a compressing disk with 1 negative and 3 positive holes (see Figure 6(b)), so




Figure 6: The two 'optimal' compressing disks of K

$n(k) \leq |kj| + 2$ for $k \geq 2$. We want to prove that these are in fact equalities, ie that every compressing disk of K has at least one negative and two positive holes.

Consider the knotted solid torus S containing K , with a meridional curve c on its boundary, as indicated in Figure 7. The core of S is a connected sum of three trefoil knots.




Figure 7: The solid torus S containing K

Let $f: D \rightarrow S^3$ be a compressing disk transverse to ∂S with $n(f) = n(k(f))$, ie f has the minimal possible number of holes for its framing. Two compressing

disks f_0 and f_1 are called *isotopic* if there is a homotopy f_t ($t \in [0; 1]$) which is fixed on ∂D

$$f_t: (D; D - f_0^{-1}(K)) \rightarrow (S^3; S^3 - K):$$

We can assume that among all disks isotopic to f , f has the minimal number of intersections points $f(D) \cap c$.

Lemma 3.3 *The compressing disk does not intersect the curve c .*

Proof of the Lemma Assume it does. Then we look at intersection lines $f(D) \cap \partial S$. Each such curve represents an element $(m; l) \in H_1(\partial S) = \mathbb{Z}^2$, with $(1; 0)$ corresponding to a standard meridian of S . We can assume that there are no inessential curves, ie no curves representing $(0; 0)$, because we can remove them by an isotopy of f . We call curves representing $(m; 0)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) *meridinal* curves, and all others except the trivial one *longitudinal* curves. Since $f(D) \cap c$ is assumed minimal, meridinal curves are disjoint from c , so there is at least one longitudinal curve. The preimages of longitudinal curves are disjoint embedded circles in D , and we let γ be an innermost one. Then γ bounds a disk δ in D such that $f\delta$ has only meridinal intersections with ∂S . These meridinal curves are noncontractible in $S - K$, and they have linking number 0 with K , so a disk in δ bounded by the preimage of a meridinal curve contains at least one positive and one negative hole. By Lemma 3.1 there are at least three such meridinal curves. It follows that the framing disk has at least three positive and three negative holes, contradicting the hypothesis that the number of holes is minimal for its framing. \square

$S^3 \setminus K$ is a solid torus; since, by Lemma 3.3, $f(D)$ is disjoint from c , we can lift K and the compressing disk f to its universal cover. This is an open, infinite solid cylinder, and thus homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 (see Figure 8).

The preimage of K under the covering space projection consist of a \mathbb{Z} -family of link components $\dots; L_{-1}; L_0; L_1; L_2; \dots$, but we simply take away the link components $\dots; L_{-3}, L_{-2}; L_{-1}$ (see Figure 8). We denote by f^l the lifting of the disk which sends ∂D to L_1 . L_1 , regarded only as a closed curve, is noncontractible in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L_2$ and has linking number zero with L_2 , so f^l has at least one positive and one negative intersection with L_2 . It follows that f has at least one positive and one negative hole.

We can now also forget about the link components L_i for $i \geq 2$, and only consider L_0 and L_1 .




Figure 8: The universal cover of $S^3 - c$

Lemma 3.4 *The disk f^{θ} has at least one positive intersection, either with L_1 or with L_0 .*

Proof of the Lemma We embed a solid torus T in \mathbb{R}^3 such that it contains L_0 , as indicated. We can assume that f^{θ} has the minimal number of intersection lines with ∂T among all compressing disks isotopic to f^{θ} . There are two possibilities to consider. Either f^{θ} does not intersect T . Then again by a covering space argument we see that f^{θ} has at least two positive intersections with L_1 . The other possibility is that f^{θ} has intersections with ∂T . The preimages of these intersection lines are disjoint embedded circles in D , and we denote by γ an innermost one of them; γ bounds a disk D_0 . Recall that $f^{\theta}(\gamma)$ is assumed noncontractible in ∂T . So either $f^{\theta}(\gamma)$ is a power of the 0{longitude of T , in which case $f^{\theta}(\gamma)$ has at least one positive and one negative intersection with L_1 ; or $f^{\theta}(\gamma)$ has nonzero linking number with the core of T , in which case the compressing disk must intersect L_0 . Since $\text{lk}(L_1; L_0) = 0$, we have at least one positive and one negative intersection with L_0 . \square

In summary, f^{θ} has at least one negative and one positive intersection with L_2 , and at least one more positive intersection with L_1 or L_0 , so f has at least one negative and two positive holes. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

The signature of a knot is always an even number. So in particular, the absolute value of the natural framing and the signature of a knot can be different.

4 The natural framing of torus knots

In this section we prove that the natural framing of $T(p; q)$, the $(p; q)$ {torus knot, is $-(p-1)(q-1)$. More precisely, we exhibit a compressing disk of $T(p; q)$ with $(p-1)(q-1)$ negative and no positive holes, and we prove that every compressing disk must have at least $(p-1)(q-1)$ negative holes. A very different, more constructive proof of the special case $q = 2$ can be found in [4].

We start by reinterpreting the framing function. Let $K \subset S^3$ be an oriented knot, and let $G = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus nK)$ be the knot group. A *positive* or *negative Wirtinger generator* of G is a path from the basepoint to the boundary of a tubular neighbourhood of K , then once around a meridian of the tubular neighbourhood according to the right or left hand rule respectively, and back along the

rst segment of path in the opposite direction. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be positive Wirtinger generators which together generate the knot group. Fix a path γ from the boundary of a tubular neighbourhood of the knot to the basepoint. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $l_k \in G$ be the element represented by the path γ^{-1} followed by the longitude with linking number k with the knot followed by the path γ .

Lemma 4.1 Take $n_+, n_- \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k = n_+ - n_-$ and $n = n_+ + n_-$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a compressing disk of K with n_+ positive and n_- negative holes.
- (ii) The longitude $l_k \in G$ is represented by a word

$$w_1^{-1} x_{i_1} w_1 \dots w_n^{-1} x_{i_n} w_n$$

where each w_i is a word in $\langle x_j \rangle$, each $i_j = \pm 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^n i_j = k$.

Proof Suppose that (i) holds. By retracting the compressing disk to a one-dimensional spine we see that the path l_k , which is the boundary of the compressing disk, is homotopic to a product of n_+ positive and n_- negative Wirtinger generators. (ii) follows. Conversely, we can use a homotopy between a product of n_+ positive and n_- negative Wirtinger generators and the path l_k to construct a compressing disk with n_+ positive and n_- negative holes. \square

Thus we can reinterpret the framing function $n_K: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let

$$n_K(k) = \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid l_k \text{ is represented by a word in the above form} \}$$

Note that $n_K(k)$ is independent of the choice of γ . Roughly speaking, we are trying to express the longitude with linking number k as a shortest possible product of conjugates of the generators x_1, \dots, x_m .

We call a finite presentation of a knot group in which all generators are Wirtinger generators a *Wirtinger presentation*. The Cayley graph associated to such a presentation has natural ‘layers’ corresponding to the elements’ images under the natural map to $H_1(S^3 \setminus K) = \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying a given element of the knot group by any conjugate of a positive or negative Wirtinger generator corresponds to ‘stepping one layer up’ or ‘down’ respectively in \mathbb{Z} . There are k conjugates algebraically in a word representing l_k . In the cases below, we shall be trying to use as few as possible, so we want to avoid taking steps ‘down’ in \mathbb{Z} , ie using negative conjugates.

Consider the *positive cone* from the identity in \mathcal{K} , the set of elements which may be written as a product of positive conjugates. We want to know how close l_k gets to this cone as k increases; if we can show that, however large k gets, l_k still requires steps down, we will obtain a negative upper bound on the natural framing of K . For the left-hand trefoil, for example, we prove that l_k requires at least 2 steps down for any k , corresponding to 4 extra holes of a compressing disk; hence $n(k) \geq k + 4$, and, since we know that for the trefoil $n(-2) = 2$, we see $n(T(3;2)) = -2$.

We shall show that $n(T(p; q)) = -(p - 1)(q - 1)$ in two theorems, first for the case $q = 2$ and then for $q \geq 2$. The first proof is really a degenerate case of the second, but we introduce the ideas used in both theorems in the simpler context of $q = 2$ and leave the additional calculation to the second case, where it first becomes necessary.

Theorem 4.2 *The natural framing of $T(p; 2)$ is $-(p - 1)$; indeed, its framing function is given by $n(k) = (p - 1) + jk + (p - 1)j$.*




Figure 9: Generators of the knot group of the $(p; 2)$ torus knot

Proof Fix p . Draw $T(p; 2)$ in the usual way in a diagram with p negative crossings and p -fold symmetry as in Figure 9. Label the overcrossing arcs x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} clockwise around the diagram. Then the fundamental group of the complement is given by

$$G = \langle x_0; x_1; \dots; x_{p-1} \mid x_0 x_{p-1} = \dots = x_2 x_1 = x_1 x_0 \rangle;$$

and the word

$$x_0^{k+p} x_1^{-1} x_3^{-1} \dots x_{p-2}^{-1} x_0^{-1} x_2^{-1} \dots x_{p-1}^{-1}$$

represents the longitude $l_k \in G$. Notice that $n_K(-(p - 1)) = p - 1$; this follows from the existence of the disk drawn in Figure 10, which has $p - 1$ negative holes and no positive ones, and the fact that $n(k) \geq jk$.




Figure 10: A disk with $p - 1$ negative holes and no positive ones

Hence $n(k) = -k$ for $k \leq -(p - 1)$. If we could show that, given k , there was a possibly larger integer (which instead we call k) such that $n(k) \geq k + 2(p - 1)$, we would know the entire framing function.

We aim to capture something of the geometry of the Cayley graph of G with the above presentation, and show that l_k is always ‘hard to get to’. As an example, take $p = 3$ and consider just a small portion of Γ , those vertices which may be written as the product of at most two (positive) generators. Three of these words coincide as group elements; apart from that, they are all different. This portion may be embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 as shown in Figure 11.




Figure 11: Embedding the Cayley graph of G in \mathbb{R}^3

We may extend this embedding in a consistent way to the whole of Γ . (The exact meaning of ‘consistent’ is given implicitly by the definition of l_p below.) Arranged thus, Γ projects vertically down onto an infinite p -valent tree, which we can think of as the Cayley graph of

$$l_p := \langle p\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle 0; 1; \dots; p - 1 \mid 00 = 11 = \dots = (p - 1)(p - 1) = e \rangle:$$

(See below for a picture of this group’s Cayley graph.) To realise this projection, we want a function $\pi : G \rightarrow l_p$. We shall find that π is *not* a homomorphism.

We first define on X , the set of words in the symbols x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} and their inverses:

$$: x_{i_0}^0 x_{i_1}^1 \dots x_{i_{s-1}}^{s-1} \mathcal{F} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (i_j + h_j);$$

where each $i_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, each $j = 0, \dots, s-1$, and the j th 'height' is

$$h_j = \frac{j-1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} k$$

(all addition modulo p). For example, when $p = 3$, the word $x_1 x_2 x_1 x_0^{-1} x_0 x_2$ maps to 12:

$$\begin{array}{r} i_j \quad 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \ + \\ \times \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \% \\ k=1 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \% \\ h_j \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \underline{0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 0} \\ i_j + h_j \quad 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 = 12 \in I_3. \end{array}$$

As this example shows, changing a word in X by an elementary expansion or reduction (that is, insertion or deletion of a pair $x_i x_i^{-1}$ or $x_i^{-1} x_i$) does not change its image under \mathcal{F} , since the two adjacent letters involved have the same index and height, and so map to a repeated element in I_p . Also, $x_{i+1} x_i$ maps to the identity at any height for any i , so changing our word in X by a relator of G leaves its image under \mathcal{F} unchanged. Hence \mathcal{F} is well-defined as a map from G to I_p .

Suppose we have a word

$$W = w_1^{-1} y_1^1 w_1 w_2^{-1} y_2^2 w_2 \dots w_n^{-1} y_n^n w_n$$

which represents l_k , where $n = k + 2t$ and each $y_i \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}\}$. By adding small positive umbrellas, say by premultiplying W by a power of x_0 , we may assume that k is a large positive multiple of p . (This is for notational convenience and to remove a special case later. Notice that t is unaffected.)

symbols $f_0; 1; \dots; p - 1g$, which we think of as ‘turn-right-ness’, as

$$a(i_0 i_1 \dots i_{s-1}) := \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} (p - 2d_j);$$

where $i_{j-1} + d_j \equiv i_j \pmod{p}$ and $d_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$.

Thus each step i_{j-1} to i_j contributes between $-p + 2$ and $p - 2$ to the angle, and the more often and more sharply a word ‘turns right’, the greater this angle. Define the *angle* of an element of G as the angle of its reduced image under π . Since (l_k) turns sharp right $(k + 2p - 1)$ times, the angle is $(k + 2p - 1)(p - 2)$; this will prove unusually high for its exponent sum. Notice that the angle of an element of l_p is unchanged if we cycle the generators in its expression modulo p ; that is,

$$a(i_0 i_1 \dots i_{s-1}) = a((i_0 + 1)(i_1 + 1) \dots (i_{s-1} + 1))$$

Let W_0 be the large power of x_0 we multiplied our original word by, and define inductively $W_i = W_{i-1} w_i^{-1} y_i^i w_i$, so $W_n = W$. We ask how the angles of these initial segments increase with i . Suppose we are stepping from W_{i-1} to W_i . Since the angle is defined only for reduced words, we must cancel (W_i) down to its reduced form; we therefore pretend that W_i is a word in the letters $f x_j^{-1} g$, and that (W_i) is a word in the generators of l_p (the letter-by-letter image of W_i), and do this reduction in stages.

First, perform all elementary reductions on (W_{i-1}) , the initial segment. The total angle for this segment is $a(W_{i-1})$. Also, let v^θ and v be the reduced forms of the words obtained from the segments w_i^{-1} and w_i , respectively | notice that v is the reverse of v^θ cycled by i , so $a(v^\theta) + a(v) = 0$ (ie the angles for these segments cancel).

Next, consider the image of the whole segment $w_i^{-1} y_i^i w_i$. We currently have this in the form $v^\theta z v$, say, for some $0 \leq z < p$. This looks somewhat like a conjugate of z in l_p , except that the generators in v have been cycled by i modulo p . The words v and v^θ may already be trivial. If not, write z^θ for the last letter of v^θ ; then the first letter of v is $(z^\theta + i)$. If z equals z^θ or $(z^\theta + i)$, we may shorten v and v^θ and change z , and still have an expression of the same form (and notice that two cancelling angles have been removed from the word). For example, in l_3 , $(0212)0(0201) = (021)2(201) = (02)1(01)$. Thus $(w_i^{-1} y_i^i w_i)$ cancels down to one of the four forms below. The only contributions to the total angles for these segments come from the steps either side of z , and so are as shown.

case a:	$i = +1$	$(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = z$	$a(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = 0$
b:	$+1$	$v^{\theta}zV$	-2
c:	-1	z	0
d:	-1	$v^{\theta}zV$	2

The only contribution to the angle of the whole word (W_i) we have not yet considered comes from the boundary between the images under $(\text{reduced as described})$ of W_{i-1} and $w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i$. If there is no cancellation at this position in the word, the contribution to the angle of W_i from this junction is at most $(\rho - 2)$. If the second part of (W_i) is fully absorbed by the first, the same is true, since each elementary reduction before the last removes two equal and opposite contributions to the angle, and the last removes just one, which is certainly at least $(-\rho + 2)$. Notice that in cases a and c these are the only two possibilities. Notice also that we may assume that the first part is never completely absorbed by the second, by increasing the framing beforehand as described above.

This leaves the case where there is partial cancellation at this boundary. We have so far reached

$$\underbrace{j \dots j \ k_0 k_1 \dots k_{s-1}}_{(W_{i-1})} \quad \underbrace{k_{s-1} \dots k_1 k_0 l \dots l}_{(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)}$$

say, where $j \neq l$. Cancelling this down, and ignoring pairs of equal and opposite angles which vanish in the process, we lose the angle contributions from jk_0 and k_0l , and gain instead that from jl . This changes the angle by $-\rho$. Notice, however, that if $j + 1 = k_0$ the angle must decrease.

In summary, then, changes from W_{i-1} to W_i of types a, b, or c increase the angle by at most $(\rho - 2)$, and those of type d increase it by at most $(\rho + 2)$. The angle of l_k is unusually high for its framing k ; to see this, let $\text{esum}(W_i)$ be the sum of the exponents in W_i , ie the linking number of a path representing W_i with K , and consider

$$c(W_i) := a(W_i) - (\rho - 2)(\text{esum}(W_i) - 1):$$

This is only increased in cases c and d, by $(2\rho - 4)$ and 2ρ , respectively. Recall that $a(l_k) = (k + 2\rho - 1)(\rho - 2)$, so $c(W_n) = 2\rho(\rho - 2)$, so we require at least $(\rho - 2)$ cs or ds and the same total number of as and bs. Since our word W consisted of $(k + t)$ positive conjugates and t negative conjugates, we see that $t \geq \rho - 2$.

Suppose $t = p - 2$. Then c must increase by a full $2p$ for each negative conjugate, so there are no steps of type c . Let i be the first time we encounter a case other than a . All previous steps *must* have been multiplication by x_0 in G (since the word must turn sharp right each time to avoid decreasing $c(W_i)$). Hence the word so far is just a power of x_0 . But then at the boundary between W_{i-1} and $w_i^{-1}y_i'w_i$ we cannot increase a by p , so c must decrease. Hence $t > p - 2$, so $n \geq k + 2(p - 1)$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 4.3 *The natural framing of $T(p; q)$ is $-(p - 1)(q - 1)$; indeed, its framing function is given by $n(k) = (p - 1)(q - 1) + jk + (p - 1)(q - 1)j$.*




Figure 13: Generators of the knot group of the $(p; q)$ torus knot

Proof Fix p and q coprime with (say) $p > q$. From the diagram for $T(p; q)$ shown in Figure 13 we obtain the presentation

$$G = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} \mid x_{q-2} \dots x_0 x_{p-1} = \dots = x_q \dots x_2 x_1 = x_{q-1} \dots x_1 x_0 \rangle$$

for $\pi_1(S^3 nK)$. Now l_k is the group element represented by the word

$$x_0^{k + p(q-1)} x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} \dots x_{q-1}^{-1} x_{q+1}^{-1} \dots x_{2q-1}^{-1} x_{2q+1}^{-1} \dots x_{p-1}^{-1}$$

It is less clear geometrically in this more general case that we can find a disk corresponding to the value $n(-(p - 1)(q - 1)) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ of the framing function of $T(p; q)$. Seen algebraically, however, we are trying to write the above word as a product of negative conjugates (again, all indices modulo p) when $k = -(p - 1)(q - 1)$. There are $(q - 1)$ x_0^{-1} s in this expression. Conjugate each other inverse of a generator by x_0^{-1} to the power of the number of x_0^{-1} s after it; then elementary reduce the x_0 s and x_0^{-1} s. This gives an expression of the required form. For example, for $T(5; 3)$,

$$= x_0^2 x_1^{-1} x_0^{-2} x_2^{-1} x_0^{-2} x_4^{-1} x_0^{-2} x_0^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_0^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_0^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_4^{-1} x_0^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_0^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_4^{-1}.$$

We would like a version of ψ for this case; it must map a sequence of generators with gradually decreasing index of length q (rather than 2) to the identity. This suggests defining ψ from G to

$${}_{p}\mathbb{Z}_q := \langle 0; 1; \dots; p-1 \mid 0^q = 1^q = \dots = (p-1)^q = e \rangle.$$

As before, we first define X , the set of words in the symbols x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} and their inverses:

$$: x_{i_0}^0 x_{i_1}^1 \dots x_{i_{s-1}}^{s-1} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} (i_j + h_j)^{-1};$$

where each $i_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, each $j = 0, \dots, s-1$, and the height is again given by

$$h_j = \frac{j-1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} k$$

(all addition modulo p). Notice that this time we keep track of inverses of 0, 1, etc. In the involutory case, this was unnecessary.

Adjacent letters in a word in X with the same index but of opposite sign have the same height, and so map to a cancelling pair in ${}_{p}\mathbb{Z}_q$. Applying a relator of G to a word v in X replaces, in $\psi(v)$, the q th power of some generator of ${}_{p}\mathbb{Z}_q$ by that of another. Therefore ψ is well-defined as a map from G to ${}_{p}\mathbb{Z}_q$.

Suppose we take a framing disk. The longitude it follows is

$$l_k = x_0^{k+\rho(q-1)} x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} \dots x_{q-1}^{-1} x_{q+1}^{-1} \dots x_{2q-1}^{-1} x_{2q+1}^{-1} \dots x_{p-1}^{-1}$$

(all indices modulo p), where k may be assumed to be a large positive multiple of p by adding positive umbrellas as necessary. This maps under ψ to

$$\begin{aligned} (l_k) &= (01 \dots (p-1))^{k-p+q-1} 0^{-(q-1)} 1^{-(q-1)} \dots (p-1)^{-(q-1)} \\ &= (01 \dots (p-1))^{k-p+q}. \end{aligned}$$

The ‘angle’ alone is now too small to give a tight bound on the natural framing of $T(p; q)$; we therefore introduce new ideas to make up the difference.

Since the negative powers of generators of ${}_{p}\mathbb{Z}_q$ cancel so neatly in (l_k) , we want this cancelling to ‘score extra’. An element of ${}_{p}\mathbb{Z}_q$ may be written in the

standard form

$$i_0^{e_0} i_1^{e_1} \cdots i_{s-1}^{e_{s-1}},$$

with each i_j a generator, s minimal, and each $e_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$.

Adjacent i_j s are then different. This allows us to define *angle* for such a standard form of a non-trivial word, much as before, by

$$a(i_0^{e_0} i_1^{e_1} \cdots i_{s-1}^{e_{s-1}}) := \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} (p - 2d_j);$$

where $i_{j-1} + d_j = i_j \pmod{p}$ and $d_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$.

In addition, define the *pseudo-exponent* of $w \in G$ to be the sum of the exponents in the standard form of w . The *exponent sum* of w is the sum of exponents when w is written in terms of the x_i s. Then the *excess exponent*, $e(w)$, is defined to be the pseudo-exponent minus the exponent sum of w . Notice that $e(w)$ is a multiple of q . Finally, to register how close the excess exponent is to changing, define the *internal angle* of a word in standard form by

$$(i_0^{e_0} i_1^{e_1} \cdots i_{s-1}^{e_{s-1}}) := \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (e_j - 1);$$

Then the replacement we use for angle, which we call *angle^l* and denote a^l , is given by

$$a^l(w) := a(w) - p \cdot (\text{exponent sum of } w) + \frac{1}{q}(q-2)p \cdot e(w);$$

Notice that a^l is well-defined as a map from G to \mathbb{Z} , since any element of $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ has a unique standard form. a^l is *not* defined on $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, even though angle and internal angle are, because the excess exponent of an element is undefined. The *angle^l* of l_k is

$$\begin{aligned} a^l(l_k) &= (k + pq - 1)(p - 2) - 0 + \frac{1}{q}(q - 2)p(k + pq - k) \\ &= (k - 1)(p - 2) + 2p((p - 1)(q - 1) - 1); \end{aligned}$$

and this will turn out to be large enough to give the wanted tight upper bound on the natural framing.

Suppose we have a word

$$W = w_1^{-1} y_1^1 w_1 w_2^{-1} y_2^2 w_2 \cdots w_n^{-1} y_n^n w_n$$

which represents l_k , where $n = k + 2t$ and each $y_i \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}\}g$. As before, we may assume that k is a large positive multiple of p . Let W_0 be the large power of x_0 which W starts with, and define $W_i = W_{i-1} w_i^{-1} y_i^i w_i$.

Although these are really group elements, we write them out literally in the letters $fX_i^{-1}g$ and pretend that they are just words. We use the literal version of ϕ to map them to ${}_p\mathbb{Z}_q$. Only then do we do any simplification, ie elementary reduction and multiplication by q th powers of generators. In this way, we can monitor the total angle ^{θ} of the result. We shall often calculate the angle ^{θ} of a segment of a word | this is again simply the angle ^{θ} of the segment taken in isolation, not counting contributions to angle or internal angle from either end. The angle ^{θ} of a set of segments of a word is the sum of the angle ^{θ} s for each segment.

We know that simplifying the W_{i-1} segment of W_i gives angle ^{θ} $a^\theta(W_{i-1})$. Consider next w_i^{-1} and w_i . Taken as words, these map to, say,

$$v^\theta := v_0^{e_0} v_1^{e_1} \dots v_{s-1}^{e_{s-1}}$$

and $v := (v_{s-1} + i)^{-e_{s-1}} \dots (v_1 + i)^{-e_1} (v_0 + i)^{-e_0}$

(addition modulo p) respectively. We would like to show that these two expressions, taken together, contribute a total of 0 to a^θ ; however, we must convert them to standard form before we can measure this contribution. (By slight abuse of notation, we continue to call them v^θ and v through the stages of this standardisation. We are effectively showing that $a^\theta(g) = -a^\theta(g^{-1})$ for any $g \in G$.)

We may assume that each e_j lies in $\{0; 1; \dots; q-1\}g$ (by repeatedly multiplying by v_j^{-q} in v^θ and $(v_j + i)^{-q}$ in the corresponding place in v), and that none is 0 (by reducing s). To finish standardisation, we must, for each j , write the negative powers of $(v_j + i)$ as positive powers by multiplying by $(v_j + i)^q$ in the correct place in v . The pseudo-exponent then becomes qs , so $e(w_i^{-1}) + e(w_i) = qs$. For each j we have $e_j v_j^s$ in v^θ and $(q - e_j) (v_j + i)^s$ in v , so the total internal angle, $(v^\theta) + (v)$, is $(q-2)s$. We already know the total angle is zero | the contribution from $v_j v_{j+1}$ in v^θ cancels with that from $(v_{j+1} + i)(v_j + i)$ in v | so the total angle ^{θ} for these two segments is, as wanted,

$$a^\theta(v^\theta) + a^\theta(v) = 0 - p (q - 2)s + \frac{1}{q}(q - 2)p qs = 0:$$

Next we consider the whole second segment of (W_i) , namely $(w_i^{-1}y_i'w_i)$, where the images under ϕ of w_i^{-1} and w_i have already been simplified as above. Let z^i be the image under ϕ of y_i' . Perhaps $s = 0$ in the above expressions. If so, $(w_i^{-1}y_i'w_i) = z^i$, which is already in standard form if $i = +1$, and may be written in the standard form z^{q-1} when $i = -1$ making $e = q$ and $e = q - 2$; both these expressions have angle ^{θ} 0.

Consider the case $s > 0$. By cycling the whole image modulo p , we may assume, for notational convenience, that v_{s-1} and $(v_{s-1} + i)$ are 0 and 1 in some order.

The only extra contributions to a^θ are those involving z^i . We watch how the angle $^\theta$ changes from $a^\theta(v^\theta) + a^\theta(v)$ to the angle $^\theta$ of $v^\theta z v$ after cancellation.

Suppose first that $i = +1$, so we find $\dots 0z1 \dots$ in the middle of $(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)$. If z is not 0 or 1, then v and e are unchanged and a increases by $(\rho - 2z) + (\rho - 2(\rho - z + 1)) = -2$ (by definition, since z and $(\rho - z + 1)$ are both in $\{1; 2; \dots; \rho - 1\}$). It follows that $a^\theta(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = -2$. If $z = 0$ and $e_{s-1} < q - 1$, or if $z = 1$ and $e_{s-1} > 1$, we find e unchanged, v increased by 1, and a increased by $(\rho - 2)$; again, $a^\theta(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = -2$. Finally, if $z = 0$ and $e_{s-1} = q - 1$, or if $z = 1$ and $e_{s-1} = 1$, we may pretend $(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)$ is really a (possibly trivial) conjugate of 1 (the first letter of (w_i)) or 0 (the last letter of (w_i^{-1})) respectively with smaller s . This simplification removes two equal and opposite angles, reduces e by q , and reduces v by $(q - 2)$, leaving a^θ unchanged. By induction, cancellation of this kind terminates in one of the cases already considered.

Suppose instead that $i = -1$, so we find $\dots 1z^{-1}0 \dots$ in the middle of the word $(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)$. We may write the central z^{-1} as z^{q-1} , since this increases v by $(q - 2)$ and e by q , leaving a^θ unchanged. Now, if z is not 0 or 1, then v and e are unaltered and the angle a increases by $(\rho - 2(z - 1)) + (\rho - 2(\rho - z)) = 2$ (as above, since now $(z - 1)$ and $(\rho - z)$ are in $\{1; 2; \dots; \rho - 1\}$). In this case $a^\theta(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = 2$. If $z = 1$ and $e_{s-1} > 1$ (or if $z = 0$ and $e_{s-1} < q - 1$) then a block of q 1s (or 0s) may be removed from the centre, leaving $\dots 1^{e_{s-1}-1}0^{q-e_{s-1}} \dots$ (or $\dots 1^{e_{s-1}}0^{q-e_{s-1}-1} \dots$). In this middle segment, e is reduced by q , v is reduced from $(q - 2) + (q - 2)$ to $(q - 3)$, and a is increased by $(\rho - 2)$; again, $a^\theta(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = 2$. Finally, if $z = 1$ and $e_{s-1} = 1$, or if $z = 0$ and $e_{s-1} = q - 1$, we may pretend that $(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)$ is really a (possibly trivial) conjugate of 0^{q-1} (the first $(q - 1)$ letters of (w_i)) or 1^{q-1} (the last $(q - 1)$ letters of (w_i^{-1})) respectively with smaller s . Again, the simplification removes two equal and opposite angles, reduces e by q , and reduces v by $(q - 2)$, and therefore leaves a^θ unchanged; hence, by induction, cancellation of this kind terminates in one of the cases already considered.

Thus we have essentially the same set of cases as before:

case a:	$i = +1$	$(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = z$	$a^\theta(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i) = 0$
b:	$+1$	$v^\theta z v$	-2
c:	-1	z^{q-1}	0
d:	-1	$v^\theta z^{q-1} v$	2

Finally, there is the junction between (W_i) (say ending in x) and $(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)$ (say beginning with y) to consider, where each part here has been written in

standard form as described above. The total angle ^{θ} (ie the sum of the angle ^{θ} s) of these segments, taken separately, is $a^\theta(W_i)$ or $a^\theta(W_i) - 2$, depending on the form of $(w_i^{-1}y_i^i w_i)$. If $x \neq y$, the juxtaposition of these strings is already in standard form; then e and a remain the same and a increases by at most $(p - 2)$, so a^θ increases by at most $(p - 2)$.

Suppose, then, that $x = y$. Some cancelling may occur at the boundary | if it does, we continue to call the shorter (standard form) words whose product is (W_{i+1}) ‘the first word’ and ‘the second word’, even though they change. Say the first word ends in $...x^\theta x^j$, where $x^\theta \neq x$. (We may always assume that we can find such an x^θ , by having premultiplied W by a sufficiently high power of x_0 before we started.) The second may begin with $x^k x^\theta$, where $x \neq x^\theta$, or may simply be of the form x^k . Hence we have

$$\text{either } ...x^\theta x^j x^k x^\theta ... \text{ or } ...x^\theta x^j x^k$$

at the boundary. Since the words are in standard form, j and k are both in $\{1; 2; \dots; q - 1\}g$. Compare $(j + k)$ with q :

$j + k < q$: The juxtaposition of these strings is $...x^\theta x^{j+k} x^\theta ...$; which is already in standard form, so e increases by 1 and a^θ drops by p .

$j + k > q$: The juxtaposed strings cancel to $...x^\theta x^{j+k-q} x^\theta ...$; so e decreases by q , but a drops by $(q - 1)$, so a^θ increases by p .

$j + k = q$: x^j and x^k cancel, reducing e by 1 and a by $(q - 2)$, and hence leaving a^θ unchanged. Then one of the following holds.

- (i) There is no x^θ , so the word becomes $...x^\theta$, a drops by at least $(-p + 2)$, and e and a are unchanged, so a^θ increases by at most $(p - 2)$.
- (ii) $x^\theta \neq x^\theta$, so the string becomes $...x^\theta x^\theta ...$; e and a are unchanged, and a (and hence a^θ) changes by either $+p$ or $-p$ (certainly $-p$ if $x^\theta + 1 = x$).
- (iii) $x^\theta = x^\theta$, and we can induct with shorter words.

The largest possible change to a^θ from this boundary is therefore p , as before, so we find that $a^\theta(W_{i+1}) - a^\theta(W_i)$ is at most $(p - 2)$, $(p - 2)$, p , and $(p + 2)$ in the cases a to d, respectively. But $a^\theta(I_k) = (k - 1)(p - 2) + 2p((p - 1)(q - 1) - 1)$, so if t is the number of negative conjugates in W we have

$$(k - 1)(p - 2) + t(p - 2) + t(p + 2) \geq (k - 1)(p - 2) + 2p((p - 1)(q - 1) - 1)$$

$$t \geq (p - 1)(q - 1) - 1:$$

Suppose $t = (\rho - 1)(q - 1) - 1$. Then there are no steps of type c, since each negative conjugate must increase a^l by the full $(\rho + 2)$. Any initial steps of type a must be multiplication of the word in G by x_0 , since a^l must increase by $(\rho - 2)$ each step and the only way to do this is to increase a by the largest possible amount. But then the first step of type b or d must reduce a^l by ρ at the boundary between W_{i-1} and $w_i^{-1}y_i^l w_i$ (see the case labelled (ii) above), which is a contradiction.

Hence $t \geq (\rho - 1)(q - 1)$, and we are done. □

The theorem yields many other knots for which the absolute values of the natural framing number and the signature are different. For instance, if K is the $(3;7)$ torus knot then $\text{fr}(K) = -12$ and, according to [7], $\text{sgn}(K) = 8$. In this case, $|j(K)| > |j(K)|$. As M Lackenby [6] has pointed out, one can use this fact to construct non-prime knots with $|j(K)| < |j(K)|$. For instance, for $K = T(3;7) \# T(2; -13)$ we have $\text{fr}(K) = 12 - 12 = 0$ and $\text{sgn}(K) = -8 + 12 = 4$.

If our conjecture on the natural framing of $\frac{4m+l-1}{2l}$ -two bridge knots is true, then we obtain many more knots K with $|j(K)| \neq |j(K)|$. For instance, for $m = l = 2$ we would have that the knot 7_4 has natural framing -4 , whereas its signature is 2 (see the table in [1]). Still, it is reasonable to expect that the natural framing number has some properties similar to the signature:

- Questions**
- (1) Is $\text{fr}(K)$ even if K is atoroidal, or if K is a two-bridge knot?
 - (2) Is the natural framing number of slice knots always zero? Is the natural framing number a concordance-invariant?
 - (3) Is $2u(K) \geq |j(K)|$, where u is the unknotting number? Is $|j(K)| \geq |j(K)|$ if K is a prime knot? Is $|j(K)| \leq 2g(K)$, where $g(K)$ is the four-ball genus? We conjecture that the answer to all three questions is No.
 - (4) Is there a finite algorithm to compute the natural framing number of a given knot? What about two-bridge knots?

5 A table

The following table contains all we know about the natural framing numbers of prime knots with up to seven crossings. For each of these knots it states the range within which the natural framing could possibly lie, and the value which we conjecture. For the convenience of the reader, we have added columns for invariants which may be related to the natural framing: the signature (taken

from [1]), the blackboard-framing of an alternating diagram (see [8]), and the unknotting number (taken from [5]).

knot	natural framing range	conjecture	signature	alternating diagram	unknotting number
3_1	2		-2	3	1
4_1	0		0	0	1
5_1	4		-4	5	2
5_2	[0;2]	2	-2	5	1
6_1	0		0	2	1
6_2	[0;2]	2	-2	2	1
6_3	0		0	0	1
7_1	6		-6	7	3
7_2	[0;2]	2	-2	7	1
7_3	[0;4]	4	-4	7	2
7_4	[0;4]	4	-2	7	2
7_5	[0;4]	4	-4	7	2
7_6	[0;2]	2	-2	3	1
7_7	0		0	1	1

Proof 3_1 , 5_1 and 7_1 are torus knots. 4_1 and 6_1 belong to the family of twist knots with natural framing 0. 6_3 is amphichiral. It is easy to find compressing disks of the knots 5_2 , 7_2 , 7_3 , 7_4 , 7_5 and 7_6 with no ribbons, no negative clasps, only the appropriate number of positive clasps. (Note that the values for 5_2 , 7_2 and 7_4 have been conjectured in section 1.) The only cases where the compressing disks are not easy to imagine are 6_2 and 7_7 .




Figure 14: The knots 6_2 and 7_7

We see that 6_2 has longitude

$$l = a b A B a B A b A B a b a B A b A B a B A b$$

- [6] **M Lackenby**, personal communication
- [7] **R A Litherland**, *Signatures of iterated torus knots*, from: "Topology of low-dimensional manifolds", Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 722 (1977), 71{84
- [8] **K Murasugi**, *Jones polynomial and classical conjectures in knot theory II*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 102 (1987), 317{318
- [9] **E Pannwitz**, *Eine Elementargeometrische Eigenschaft von Verschlingungen und Knoten*, Mathematische Annalen 108 (1933)
- [10] **D Rolfsen**, *Knots and Links*, Publish or Perish (1976)
- [11] **H Whitney**, *The singularities of a smooth n -manifold in $(2n + 1)$ -space*, Annals of Math. 45 (1944), 247{293