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#### Abstract

We propose in this paper the shrinking projection method for finding common elements of the set of fixed points of a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping and the set of solutions of split equilibrium problems. We then prove strong convergence theorems in Hilbert spaces. Furthermore, we give an example and numerical results to illustrate our main theorem.
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## 1 Introduction

In what follows, let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be real Hilbert spaces with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $C$ and $Q$ be a nonempty convex subsets of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. A subset $C \subset H_{1}$ is said to be proximinal if for each $x \in H_{1}$, there exists $y \in C$ such that

$$
\|x-y\|=d(x, C)=\inf \{\|x-z\|: z \in C\}
$$

Let $C B(C), K(C)$ and $P(C)$ denote the families of nonempty closed bounded subsets, nonempty compact subsets and nonempty proximinal bounded subset of $C$, respectively. The Hausdorff metric on $C B(C)$ is defined by

$$
H(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup _{y \in B} d(y, A)\right\}
$$

[^0]for all $A, B \in C B(C)$ where $d(x, B)=\inf _{b \in B}\|x-b\|$. A singlevalued mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive if
$$
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|
$$
for all $x, y \in C$. A multivalued mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is said to be nonexpansive if
$$
H(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|
$$
for all $x, y \in C$. An element $z \in C$ is called a fixed point of $T: C \rightarrow C$ (resp., $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ ) if $z=T z$ (resp., $z \in T z$ ). The fixed point set of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$. We write $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ to indicate that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $x$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x$.

Recent fixed point results for multivalued mappings can be found in $[1,7,12,14,15,16,17,21]$ and references therein.

A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is said to be demiclosed at 0 if $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0$ imply $x \in T x$.
Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. The equilibrium problem is to find a point $\hat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in C$, which has been introduced and studied by Blum and Oettli [2]. The solution set of the equilibrium problem (1.1) is denoted by $E P\left(F_{1}\right)$.
Recently, Combettes and Hirstoaga [4] introduced and studied an iterative method for finding the best approximation to the initial data when $E P\left(F_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and prove a strong convergence theorem. Subsequently, Takahashi et al.[18] introduced a new projection method called the shrinking projection method for finding the common element of the set of solution of equilibriums and the set of fixed points for a nonexpansive singlevalued mapping in Hilbert spaces. They proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. [18] Let $H_{1}$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H_{1}$. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ and $\tau$ be a family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into $H$ such that $F:=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=$ $F(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ and let $x_{0} \in H$. Suppose that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition (I) with $\tau$. For $C_{1}=C$ and $u_{1}=P_{C_{1}} x_{0}$, define a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} u_{n}  \tag{1.2}\\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
u_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq a<1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point $z_{0}=P_{F} x_{0}$.
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Very recently, Kazmi and Rizvi [8] introduced and studied the following split equilibrium problem which is a generalization of the equilibrium problem:

Let $C \subseteq H_{1}$ and $Q \subseteq H_{2}$. Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F_{2}: Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two bifunctions. Let $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. The split equilibrium problem is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(\hat{x}, x) \geq 0 \text { for all } x \in C \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}=A \hat{x} \in Q \text { solves } F_{2}(\hat{y}, y) \geq 0 \text { for all } y \in Q . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the inequality (1.3) is the classical equilibrium problem and we denote its solution set by $E P\left(F_{1}\right)$. The problems (1.3) and (1.4) constitute a pair of equilibrium problems which have to find the image $\hat{y}=A \hat{x}$, under a given bounded linear operator $A$, of the solution $\hat{x}$ of the problem (1.3) in $H_{1}$ which is the solution of the problem (1.4) in $H_{2}$. It's easy to see that the split equilibrium problem generalize an equilibrium problem. We denote the solution set of the problem (1.4) by $E P\left(F_{2}\right)$. The solution set of the split equilibrium (1.3) and (1.4) is denoted by $\Omega=\left\{z \in E P\left(F_{1}\right): A z \in E P\left(F_{2}\right)\right\}$.

In the recent years, the problem of finding a common element of the set of solution of split equilibriums and the set of fixed points for a singlevalued mapping in the framework of Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces have been intensively studied by many authors, for instance, (see [5, 8, 19, 20]) and the references cited therein.
In 2008, Kohsaka and Takahashi [10] introduced a new class of mappings, which is called the class of nonspreading mappings.

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ be nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Then a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonspreading if

$$
2\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-T y\|^{2}+\|y-T x\|^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Recently, Iemoto and Takahashi [6] showed that $T: C \rightarrow C$ is nonspreading if and only if

$$
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+2\langle x-T y, y-T y\rangle, \forall x, y \in C .
$$

Very recently, Liu [11] introduced the following class of multi-valued mappings:
A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is called nonspreading if

$$
2\left\|u_{x}-u_{y}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{x}-y\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{y}-x\right\|^{2}, \text { for } u_{x} \in T x, u_{y} \in T y, \forall x, y \in C
$$

for all $u_{x} \in T x$ and $u_{y} \in T y$ for all $x, y \in C$. Also, he proved a weak convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of common fixed points.

In this paper, inspired by Liu [11] and Takahashi et al.[18], we define and study a new multivalued mapping which is called nonspreading-type by using the Hausdorff metric. We then introduce an iterative method by using the shrinking projection method for finding the common element of the set of solutions of a split equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping, also, obtain strong convergence theorems in a Hilbert space. Furthermore, we give an example and numerical results for supporting our main theorem.

## 2 Preliminaries

We now provide some results for the main results. In a Hilbert space $H_{1}$, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H_{1}$. For every point $x \in H_{1}$, there exists a unique nearest point of $C$, denoted by $P_{C} x$, such that $\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $y \in C$. Such a $P_{C}$ is called the metric projection from $H_{1}$ on to $C$. Further, for any $x \in H_{1}$ and $z \in C, z=P_{C} x$ if and only if

$$
\langle x-z, z-y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $H_{1}$ be a real Hilbert space. Then the following equations hold:
(1) $\|x-y\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}-\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x-y, y\rangle$ for all $x, y \in H_{1}$;
(2) $\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, x+y\rangle$ for all $x, y \in H_{1}$;
(3) $\|t x+(1-t) y\|^{2}=t\|x\|^{2}+(1-t)\|y\|^{2}-t(1-t)\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in H_{1}$;
(4) If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $H_{1}$ which converges weakly to $z \in H_{1}$, then

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\|z-y\|^{2}
$$

for all $y \in H_{1}$.
Lemma 2.2. [13] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_{1}$ and $P_{C}: H_{1} \rightarrow C$ be the metric projection from $H_{1}$ onto $C$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\|y-P_{C} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in H_{1}, \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma 2.3. [9] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_{1}$. Given $x, y, z \in H_{1}$ and also given $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the set

$$
\left\{v \in C:\|y-v\|^{2} \leq\|x-v\|^{2}+\langle z, v\rangle+a\right\}
$$

is convex and closed.
Assumption 2.4. [2] Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the following assumptions:
(1) $F_{1}(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
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(2) $F_{1}$ is monotone, i.e., $F_{1}(x, y)+F_{1}(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$;
(3) For each $x, y, z \in C$, $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow 0} F_{1}(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq F_{1}(x, y)$;
(4) For each $x \in C, y \rightarrow F_{1}(x, y)$ is convex and lower semi-continuous.

Lemma 2.5. [4] Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumption 2.4. For any $r>0$ and $x \in H_{1}$, define a mapping $T_{r}^{F_{1}}: H_{1} \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
T_{r}^{F_{1}}(x)=\left\{z \in C: F_{1}(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\} .
$$

Then we have the following:
(1) $T_{r}^{F_{1}}$ is nonempty and single-value;
(2) $T_{r}^{F_{1}}$ is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for any $x, y \in H_{1}$,

$$
\left\|T_{r}^{F_{1}} x-T_{r}^{F_{1}} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle T_{r}^{F_{1}} x-T_{r}^{F_{1}} y, x-y\right\rangle ;
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}^{F_{1}}\right)=E P\left(F_{1}\right)$;
(4) $E P\left(F_{1}\right)$ is closed and convex.

Further, assume that $F_{2}: Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Assumption 2.4. For each $s>0$ and $w \in H_{2}$, define a mapping $T_{s}^{F_{2}}: H_{2} \rightarrow Q$ as follows:

$$
T_{s}^{F_{2}}(w)=\left\{d \in Q: F_{2}(d, e)+\frac{1}{s}\langle e-d, d-w\rangle \geq 0, \forall e \in Q\right\} .
$$

Then we have the following:
(5) $T_{s}^{F_{2}}$ is nonempty and single-value;
(6) $T_{s}^{F_{2}}$ is firmly nonexpansive;
(7) $F\left(T_{s}^{F_{2}}\right)=E P\left(F_{2}, Q\right)$;
(8) $E P\left(F_{2}, Q\right)$ is closed and convex.

Condition(A). Let $H_{1}$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ be a subset of $H_{1}$. A multi-valued mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is said to satisfy Condition $(A)$ if $\|x-p\|=d(x, T p)$ for all $x \in H_{1}$ and $p \in F(T)$. Remark 2.6. We see that $T$ satisfies Condition (A) if and only if $T p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$. It is known that the best approximation operator $P_{T}$, which is defined by $P_{T} x=\{y \in T x:\|y-x\|=$ $d(x, T x)\}$, also satisfies Condition (A).

## 3 Main results

Let $H_{1}$ be a real Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty convex subset of $H_{1}$. In this paper, we introduce, by using Hausdorff metric, the class of nonspreading multivalued mappings. We say that
a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is a $k$-nonspreading multivalued mapping if there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(T x, T y)^{2} \leq k\left(d(T x, y)^{2}+d(x, T y)^{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in C$.
It is easy to see that, if $T$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-nonspreading, then $T$ is nonspreading in the case of singlevalued mappings (see [10]). Moreover, if $T$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-nonspreading and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $T$ is quasi-nonexpansive. Indeed, for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 H(T x, T p)^{2} & \leq d(T x, p)^{2}+d(x, T p)^{2} \\
& \leq H(T x, T p)^{2}+\|x-p\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(T x, T p) \leq\|x-p\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ is a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping if $T$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-nonspreading.

Now, we give an example of a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping which is not a nonexpansive multivalued mapping.

Example 3.1. Consider $C=[-3,0]$ with the usual norm. Define a multivalued mapping $T: C \rightarrow$ $C B(C)$ by

$$
T x= \begin{cases}\{0\}, & x \in[-2,2] \\ {[-\exp \{x+2\}, 0],} & x \notin[-2,2]\end{cases}
$$

To see that $T$ is nonspreading-type, we observe the following cases:
Case 1: if $x, y \in[-2,0]$, then $H(T x, T x)=0$.
Case 2: if $x \in[-2,0]$ and $y \notin[-2,0]$, then $T x=\{0\}$ and $T y=[-\exp \{y+2\}, 0]$. This implies that

$$
2 H(T x, T y)^{2}=2(-\exp \{y+2\})^{2}<2<d(T x, y)^{2}+d(x, T y)^{2}
$$

Case 3: if $x, y \notin[-2,2]$, then $T x=[-\exp \{x+2\}, 0]$ and $T y=[-\exp \{y+2\}, 0]$. This implies that

$$
2 H(T x, T y)^{2}=2(-\exp \{x+2\}+\exp \{y+2\})^{2}<2<d(T x, y)^{2}+d(x, T y)^{2}
$$

But $T$ is not nonexpansive since for $x=-2$ and $y=-\frac{9}{4}$, we have $T x=\{0\}$ and $T y=\left[-\frac{1}{\exp \{1 / 4\}}, 0\right]$. This implies that $H(T x, T y)=\frac{1}{\exp \{1 / 4\}}>\frac{1}{4}=\left|-2-\left(-\frac{9}{4}\right)\right|=\|x-y\|$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty set in a Hilbert space $H_{1}$. We define $T(C)=\cup_{x \in C} T x$ and $(S T) x=S(T x)$ for all $x \in C$. Now, we are ready to prove some convergence theorem for a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping in Hilbert spaces. To this end, we need the following crucial results:

Shrinking projection methods for a split equilibrium problem...
Lemma 3.2. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_{1}$. Let $T: C \rightarrow C B(C)$ be a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then the followings hold
(i) $F(T)$ is closed;
(ii) if $T$ satisfies Condition $(A)$, then $F(T)$ is convex.

Proof. (i) Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $F(T)$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x, T x) & \leq\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|+d\left(x_{n}, T x\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|+H\left(T x_{n}, T x\right) \\
& \leq 2\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $d(x, T x)=0$. Hence $x \in F(T)$.
(ii) Let $p=t p_{1}+(1-t) p_{2}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2} \in F(T)$ and $t \in(0,1)$. Let $z \in T p$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|p-z\|^{2} & =\left\|t\left(z-p_{1}\right)+(1-t)\left(z-p_{2}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =t\left\|z-p_{1}\right\|^{2}+(1-t)\left\|z-p_{2}\right\|^{2}-t(1-t)\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =t d\left(z, T p_{1}\right)^{2}+(1-t) d\left(z, T p_{2}\right)^{2}-t(1-t)\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq t H\left(T p, T p_{1}\right)^{2}+(1-t) H\left(T p, T p_{2}\right)^{2}-t(1-t)\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq t\left\|p-p_{1}\right\|^{2}+(1-t)\left\|p-p_{2}\right\|^{2}-t(1-t)\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =t(1-t)^{2}\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2}+(1-t) t^{2}\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2}-t(1-t)\left\|p_{1}-p_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $p=z$. Therefore, $p \in F(T)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_{1}$ and $T: C \rightarrow K(C)$ be a $k$-nonspreading multivalued mapping such that $k \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. If $x, y \in C$ and $a \in T x$, then there exists $b \in T y$ such that

$$
\|a-b\|^{2} \leq H(T x, T y)^{2} \leq \frac{k}{1-k}\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+2\langle x-a, y-b\rangle\right)
$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in C$ and $a \in T x$. By Nadler's Theorem (see [12]), there exists $b \in T y$ such that

$$
\|a-b\|^{2} \leq H(T x, T y)^{2}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{k} H(T x, T y)^{2} \\
\leq & d(T x, y)^{2}+d(x, T y)^{2} \\
\leq & \|a-y\|^{2}+\|x-b\|^{2} \\
\leq & \|a-x\|^{2}+2\langle a-x, x-y\rangle+\|x-y\|^{2}+\|x-a\|^{2}+2\langle x-a, a-b\rangle+\|a-b\|^{2} \\
= & 2\|a-x\|^{2}+\|x-y\|^{2}+\|a-b\|^{2}+2\langle a-x, x-a-(y-b)\rangle \\
\leq & 2\|a-x\|^{2}+\|x-y\|^{2}+H(T x, T y)^{2}+2\langle a-x, x-a-(y-b)\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
H(T x, T y)^{2} \leq \frac{k}{1-k}\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+2\langle x-a, y-b\rangle\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 3.4. Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H_{1}$ and $T: C \rightarrow K(C)$ be a $k$-nonspreading multivalued mapping such that $k \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup p$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$ for some $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$. Then $p \in T p$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C$ which converges weakly to $p$ and let $y_{n} \in T x_{n}$ be such that $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.
Now, we show that $p \in F(T)$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists $z_{n} \in T p$ such that

$$
\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{k}{1-k}\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle x_{n}-y_{n}, p-z_{n}\right\rangle\right)
$$

Since $T p$ is compact and $z_{n} \in T p$, there exists $\left\{z_{n_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that $z_{n_{i}} \rightarrow z \in T p$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly, it is bounded. For each $x \in H_{1}$, define a function $f: H_{1} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
f(x):=\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-x\right\|^{2}
$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1(4), we obtain

$$
f(x)=\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left(\left\|x_{n_{i}}-p\right\|^{2}+\|p-x\|^{2}\right)
$$

for all $x \in H_{1}$. Thus $f(x)=f(p)+\frac{k}{1-k}\|p-x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in H_{1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=f(p)+\frac{k}{1-k}\|p-z\|^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
f(z)=\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2}=\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-y_{n_{i}}+y_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2} \leq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left\|y_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z) & \leq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left\|y_{n_{i}}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& =\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left(\left\|y_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}+z_{n_{i}}-z\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left(\left\|x_{n_{i}}-p\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle x_{n_{i}}-y_{n_{i}}, p-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{1-k}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& =f(p) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that $\|p-z\|=0$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.5. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be two real Hilbert spaces and $C \subset H_{1}, Q \subset H_{2}$ be nonempty closed convex subsets of Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. Let $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator and $T: C \rightarrow K(C)$ a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping. Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F_{2}: Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bifunctions satisfying Assumtion 2.4 and $F_{2}$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that $\Theta=F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, where $\Omega=\left\{z \in C: z \in E P\left(F_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.A z \in E P\left(F_{2}\right)\right\}$. For an initial point $x_{1} \in H_{1}$ with $C_{1}=C$, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be sequences defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n}=T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}  \tag{3.5}\\
y_{n} \in \alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T u_{n} \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1), r_{n} \subset(0, \infty)$ and $\gamma \in(0,1 / L)$ such that $L$ is the spectral radius of $A^{*} A$ and $A^{*}$ is the adjoint of $A$. Assume that the following conditions hold:
(i) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$;
(ii) $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$.

If $T$ satisfies Condition $(A)$, then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\Theta} x_{1}$.

Proof. We split the proof into six steps.
Step 1. Show that $P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}$ is well-defined for every $x_{1} \in H_{1}$.
By Lemma 3.2, we obtain that $F(T)$ is closed and convex. Since $A$ is a bounded linear operator, it is easy to prove that $\Omega$ is closed and convex. So, $\Theta=F(T) \cap \Omega$ is also closed and convex. From the definition of $C_{n+1}$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $C_{n+1}$ is closed and convex for each $n \geq 1$.

Since $T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}$ is firmly nonexpansive and $I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}$ is 1-inverse strongly monotone, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x-A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A y\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y), A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y), A A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y)\right\rangle \\
& \leq L\left\langle\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y),\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y)\right\rangle \\
& =L\left\|\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq L\left\langle A x-A y,\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right)(A x-A y)\right\rangle \\
& =L\left\langle x-y, A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x-A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A y\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in H_{1}$. This implies that $A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A$ is a $\frac{1}{L}$-inverse strongly monotone mapping. Since $\gamma \in\left(0, \frac{1}{L}\right)$, it follows that $I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A$ is nonexpansive. Let $p \in \Theta$. Then $p=T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}} p$ and $\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) p=p$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}-\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|\alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \\
& =\alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(z_{n}, T p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) H\left(T u_{n}, T p\right) \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z_{n} \in T u_{n}$. So, we have $p \in C_{n+1}$, thus $\Theta \subset C_{n+1}$. Therefore $P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}$ is well defined.
Step 2. Show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|$ exists.
Since $\Theta$ is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $H_{1}$, there exists a unique $v \in \Theta$ such that

$$
v=P_{\Theta} x_{1} .
$$

From $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{1}, C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$ and $x_{n+1} \in C_{n}, \forall n \geq 1$, we get

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{1}\right\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

On the other hand, as $\Theta \subset C_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|v-x_{1}\right\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

It follows that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and nondecreasing. Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|$ exists.

Shrinking projection methods for a split equilibrium problem...
Step 3. Show that $x_{n} \rightarrow w \in C$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
For $m>n$, by the definition of $C_{n}$, we see that $x_{m}=P_{C_{m}} x_{1} \in C_{m} \subset C_{n}$. By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{m}-x_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-x_{1}\right\|^{2} .
$$

From Step 2, we obtain that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy. Hence, there exists $w \in C$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Step 4. Show that $w \in F(T)$.
From Step 3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \leq 2\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $y_{n} \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $p \in \Theta$, we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}} p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{2}\left\|A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle p-x_{n}, A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{2}\left\langle A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}, A A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \gamma\left\langle p-x_{n}, A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma^{2}\left\langle A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}, A A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle & \leq L \gamma^{2}\left\langle A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}, A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =L \gamma^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \gamma\left\langle p-x_{n}, A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle= & 2 \gamma\left\langle A\left(p-x_{n}\right), A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & 2 \gamma\left\langle A\left(p-x_{n}\right)+\left(A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right), A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & 2 \gamma\left\{\left\langle A p-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}, A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\rangle-\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & 2 \gamma\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
= & -\gamma\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+L \gamma^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\gamma\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma(L \gamma-1)\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that, for all $z_{n} \in T u_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(z_{n}, T p\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) H\left(T u_{n}, T p\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma(L \gamma-1)\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma(L \gamma-1)\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\gamma(L \gamma-1)\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $\gamma(L \gamma-1)<0$ and (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}$ is firmly nonexpansive and $I-\gamma A^{*}\left(T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}-I\right) A$ is nonexpansive, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right)-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}} p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\langle T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right)-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}} p, x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle u_{n}-p, x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{2}\left\|A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right.\right. \\
- & \left.\left.2 \gamma\left\langle u_{n}-x_{n}, A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}-I\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle u_{n}-x_{n}, A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\left\|A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Shrinking projection methods for a split equilibrium problem...
It follows from (3.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(z_{n}, T p\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) H\left(T u_{n}, T p\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\left\|A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq 2 \gamma\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\left\|A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} .
$$

It follows from the condition (i), (3.8) and (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $x_{n} \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus $u_{n} \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(z_{n}, T p\right)^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) H\left(T u_{n}, T p\right)^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the condition (i) and (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, we obtain $w \in F(T)$.
Step 5. Show that $w \in E P(F)$.
From $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I+\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}$, we have

$$
F_{1}\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in C$, which implies that

$$
F_{1}\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, \gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in C$. By Assumption 2.4 (2), we have

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle y-u_{n_{i}}, u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle y-u_{n_{i}}, \gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n_{i}}}^{F_{1}}\right) A x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq F_{1}\left(y, u_{n_{i}}\right)
$$

for all $y \in C$. From $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$, from (3.12), (3.14) and the Assumption 2.4 (4), we obtain

$$
F_{1}(y, w) \leq 0
$$

for all $y \in C$. For any $0<t \leq 1$ and $y \in C$, let $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) w$. Since $y \in C$ and $w \in C, y_{t} \in C$ and hence $F_{1}\left(y_{t}, w\right) \leq 0$. So, by Assumption 2.4 (1) and (4), we have

$$
0=F_{1}\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right) \leq t F_{1}\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) F_{1}\left(y_{t}, w\right) \leq t F_{1}\left(y_{t}, y\right)
$$

and hence $F_{1}\left(y_{t}, y\right) \geq 0$. So $F_{1}(w, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$ and hence $w \in E P\left(F_{1}\right)$. Since $A$ is a bounded linear operator, $A x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup A w$. Then it follows from (3.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r_{n_{i}}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup A w \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the definition of $T_{r_{n_{i}}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n_{i}}$, we have

$$
F_{2}\left(T_{r_{n_{i}}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n_{i}}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle y-T_{r_{n_{i}}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n_{i}}, T_{r_{n_{i}}}^{F_{2}} A x_{n_{i}}-A x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in C$. Since $F_{2}$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument and (3.17), it follows that

$$
F_{2}(A w, y) \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in C$. This shows that $A w \in E P\left(F_{2}\right)$. Hence $w \in \Omega$.
Step 6. Show that $w=v=P_{\Theta} x_{1}$.
Since $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}} x_{1}$ and $\Theta \subset C_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{1}-x_{n}, x_{n}-p\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Theta . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the limit in (3.18), we obtain

$$
\left\langle x_{1}-w, w-p\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Theta .
$$

This shows that $w=P_{\Theta} x_{1}=v$.
From Step 4, we obtain that $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $v=P_{\Theta} x_{1}$. This completes the proof.

Shrinking projection methods for a split equilibrium problem...
If $T p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$, then $T$ satisfies Condition (A). We then obtain the following result:
Theorem 3.6. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be two real Hilbert space and $C \subset H_{1}, Q \subset H_{2}$ be nonempty closed convex subsets of Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. Let $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator and $T: C \rightarrow K(C)$ a nonspreading-type multivalued mapping. Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F_{2}: Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bifunctions satisfying Assumtion 2.4 and $F_{2}$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that $\Theta=F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, where $\Omega=\left\{z \in C: z \in E P\left(F_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.A z \in E P\left(F_{2}\right)\right\}$. For an initial point $x_{1} \in H_{1}$ with $C_{1}=C$, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be sequences defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n}=T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}  \tag{3.19}\\
y_{n} \in \alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T u_{n} \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1), r_{n} \subset(0, \infty)$ and $\gamma \in(0,1 / L)$ such that $L$ is the spectral radius of $A^{*} A$ and $A^{*}$ is the adjoint of $A$. Assume that the following conditions hold:
(i) $0<\liminf \operatorname{in}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$;
(ii) $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$.

If $T p=\{p\}$ for all $p \in F(T)$, then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\Theta} x_{1}$.
Since $P_{T}$ satisfies Condition (A), we also obtain the following result:
Theorem 3.7. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be two real Hilbert space and $C \subset H_{1}, Q \subset H_{2}$ be nonempty closed convex subsets of Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. Let $A: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator and $T: C \rightarrow K(C)$ a multivalued mapping with $I-T$ is demiclosed at 0 . Let $F_{1}: C \times C \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, F_{2}: Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bifunctions satisfying Assumtion 2.4 and $F_{2}$ is upper semi-continuous in the first argument. Assume that $\Theta=F(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, where $\Omega=\left\{z \in C: z \in E P\left(F_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.A z \in E P\left(F_{2}\right)\right\}$. For an initial point $x_{1} \in H_{1}$ with $C_{1}=C$, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be sequences defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n}=T_{r_{n}}^{F_{1}}\left(I-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r_{n}}^{F_{2}}\right) A\right) x_{n}  \tag{3.20}\\
y_{n} \in \alpha_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) P_{T} u_{n} \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}, \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1), r_{n} \subset(0, \infty)$ and $\gamma \in(0,1 / L]$ such that $L$ is the spectral radius of $A^{*} A$ and $A^{*}$ is the adjoint of A. Assume that the following conditions hold:
(i) $0<\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$;
(ii) $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$.

If $P_{T}$ is nonspreading multivalued mapping, then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\Theta} x_{1}$.

Proof. By the same proof as in Theorem 3.5, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0
$$

where $z_{n} \in P_{T} u_{n}$. This implies that

$$
d\left(u_{n}, T u_{n}\right) \leq d\left(u_{n}, P_{T} u_{n}\right) \leq\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From $I-T$ is demiclosed at 0 , so we obtain the result.

## 4 Examples and Numerical Results

In this section, we give examples and numerical results for supporting our main theorem.
Example 4.1. Let $H_{1}=H_{2}=\mathbb{R}, C=[-3,0]$ and $Q=[0, \infty)$. Let $F_{1}(u, v)=2 u(v-u)$ for all $u, v \in C$ and $F_{2}(x, y)=x(y-x)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Define two mappings $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $T: C \rightarrow K(C)$ by $A x=3 x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
T x= \begin{cases}\{0\}, & x \in[-2,2] ; \\ {[-\exp \{x+2\}, 0],} & x \notin[-2,2] .\end{cases}
$$

Choose $\alpha_{n}=r_{n}=\frac{n}{100 n+1}$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{100}$. It is easy to check that $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ satisfy all conditions in Theorem 3.5 and $T$ satisfies Condition (A). For each $r>0$ and $x \in C$, we divide the process of our iteration into 6 Steps as follows:
Step 1. Find $z \in Q$ such that $F_{2}(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-A x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in Q$. Noting that $A x=3 x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{2}(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-A x\rangle \geq 0 & \Longleftrightarrow z(y-z)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-3 x\rangle \geq 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow r z(y-z)+(y-z)(z-3 x) \geq 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(y-z)((1+r) z-3 x) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we know that $T_{r}^{F_{2}} A x$ is single-valued. Hence $z=\frac{3 x}{1+r}$.
Step 2. Find $s \in C$ such that $s=x-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r}^{F_{2}}\right) A x$. From Step 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s=x-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-T_{r}^{F_{2}}\right) A x & =x-\gamma A^{*}\left(A x-T_{r}^{F_{2}} A x\right) \\
& =x-\gamma\left(9 x-\frac{3(3 x)}{1+r}\right) \\
& =(1-9 \gamma) x+\frac{3 \gamma}{1+r}(3 x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. Find $u \in C$ such that $F_{1}(u, v)+\frac{1}{r}\langle v-u, u-s\rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in C$. From Step 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(u, v)+\frac{1}{r}\langle v-u, u-s\rangle \geq 0 & \Longleftrightarrow(2 u)(v-u)+\frac{1}{r}\langle v-u, u-s\rangle \geq 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow r(2 u)(v-u)+(v-u)(u-s) \geq 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(v-u)((1+2 r) u-s) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Similarly, by Lemma 2.5, we obtain $u=\frac{s}{1+2 r}=\frac{(1-9 \gamma) x}{1+2 r}+\frac{9 \gamma x}{(1+r)(1+2 r)}$.
Step 4. Find $y_{n} \in \alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T u_{n}$, where $u_{n}=\frac{(1-9 \gamma) x_{n}}{1+2 r_{n}}+\frac{9 \gamma x_{n}}{\left(1+r_{n}\right)\left(1+2 r_{n}\right)}$. Then, we have $y_{n}=\alpha_{n} u_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}$, where

$$
z_{n} \in \begin{cases}\{0\}, & u_{n} \in[-2,2] ; \\ {\left[-\exp \left\{u_{n}+2\right\}, 0\right],} & u_{n} \notin[-2,2] .\end{cases}
$$

Step 5. Find $C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}$ where $C_{1}=[-3,0]$. Since $\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|$, we have

$$
\left(2 z-\left(y_{n}+x_{n}\right)\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

We observe the following cases:
Case 1: If $x_{n}-y_{n} \geq 0$, then

$$
z \leq \frac{y_{n}+x_{n}}{2}
$$

This implies that $C_{2}=\left[-3,\left(y_{1}+x_{1}\right) / 2\right] \cap[-3,0]$ and $C_{n+1}=\left[-3,\left(y_{n}+x_{n}\right) / 2\right] \cap\left[-3,\left(y_{n-1}+x_{n-1}\right) / 2\right]$ for all $n \geq 2$.
Case 2: If $x_{n}-y_{n} \leq 0$, then

$$
z \geq \frac{y_{n}+x_{n}}{2}
$$

This implies that $C_{2}=\left[\left(y_{1}+x_{1}\right) / 2,0\right] \cap[-3,0]$ and $C_{n+1}=\left[\left(y_{n}+x_{n}\right) / 2,0\right] \cap\left[\left(y_{n-1}+x_{n-1}\right) / 2,0\right]$ for all $n \geq 2$.
Step 6. Compute the numerical results of $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}$. Choosing $x_{1}=-3$, we obtain

| $n$ | $u_{n}$ | $y_{n}$ | $C_{n}$ | $x_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-2.41483 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-1.29552 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $[-3.00000 \mathrm{E}+00,0]$ | $-3.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2 | $-1.25944 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-1.25317 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $[-1.56478 \mathrm{E}+00,0]$ | $-1.56478 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3 | $-6.34744 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-6.32635 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $[-7.88654 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-7.88654 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 4 | $-3.19913 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-3.19115 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $[-3.97490 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-3.97490 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 5 | $-1.61239 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-1.60917 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $[-2.00341 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-2.00341 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 6 | $-8.12666 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-8.11314 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-1.00975 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-1.00975 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 7 | $-4.09596 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-4.09012 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-5.08931 \mathrm{E}-02,0]$ | $-5.08931 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 8 | $-2.06443 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-2.06186 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-2.56511 \mathrm{E}-02,0]$ | $-2.56511 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 9 | $-1.04051 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-1.03936 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-1.29286 \mathrm{E}-02,0]$ | $-1.29286 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 10 | $-5.24437 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $-5.23913 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $[-6.51628 \mathrm{E}-03,0]$ | $-6.51628 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 50 | $-6.57171 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $-6.57040 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $[-8.16566 \mathrm{E}-15,0]$ | $-8.16566 \mathrm{E}-15$ |

Table 1. Numerical results of Example 4.1 being randomized in the first time.
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| $n$ | $u_{n}$ | $y_{n}$ | $C_{n}$ | $x_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-2.41483 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-4.58971 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $[-3.00000 \mathrm{E}+00,0]$ | $-3.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2 | $-1.39201 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-1.38508 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $[-1.72949 \mathrm{E}+00,0]$ | $-1.72949 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 3 | $-7.01558 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-6.99227 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $[-8.71668 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-8.71668 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 4 | $-3.53587 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-3.52705 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $[-4.39330 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-4.39330 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 5 | $-1.78211 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-1.77856 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $[-2.21429 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-2.21429 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 6 | $-8.98208 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-8.96713 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-1.11604 \mathrm{E}-01,0]$ | $-1.11604 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 7 | $-4.52710 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-4.52065 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-5.62502 \mathrm{E}-02,0]$ | $-5.62502 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 8 | $-2.28174 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-2.27889 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-2.83511 \mathrm{E}-02,0]$ | $-2.83511 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 9 | $-1.15004 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-1.14876 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $[-1.42895 \mathrm{E}-02,0]$ | $-1.42895 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 10 | $-5.79639 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $-5.79060 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $[-7.20219 \mathrm{E}-03,0]$ | $-7.20219 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 50 | $-7.26345 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $-7.26200 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $[-9.02519 \mathrm{E}-15,0]$ | $-9.02519 \mathrm{E}-15$ |

Table 2. Numerical results of Example 4.1 being randomized in the second time.
From Table 1 and Table 2, we see that 0 is the solution in Example 4.1.


Figure 1. Error plots for all sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in Table 1 and Table 2.
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