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#### Abstract

In this paper we obtain existence results for the positive solution of a singular elliptic boundary value problem. To prove the main results we use comparison arguments and the method of sub-super solutions combined with a procedure which truncates the singularity.


## 1 Introduction

This paper contains contribution of a technical nature to the study of positive solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c(x) u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}=a(x) \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, u(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N>2, a: R^{N} \rightarrow R$ is a function satisfying the following conditions
AC1) $a, c \in C_{l o c}^{0, \alpha}\left(R^{N}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$;
AC2) $\quad a(x)>0, c(x)>0$ for all $x \in R^{N}$;
A3) for $\varphi(r)=\max _{|x|=r} a(x)$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} r \varphi(r) d r<\infty
$$

Problems like (1.1) has been intensively studied. Our study is motivated by the works of Shu [17], Arcoya, Carmona, Leonori, Aparicio, Orsina and Petitta [2], Arcoya, Barile and Aparicio [3] where the existence, non-existence and uniqueness of solution for the problem like (1.1) are solved.

In this article we present a new argument in the study of the problem (1.1) more simple that used in [2], [3], [17] and where the problem is considered just in the case when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary.

[^0]The above equation contains different quantities, such as: singular nonlinear term (like $u^{-1}$ ), convection nonlinearity (denoted by $|\nabla u|^{2}$ ), as well as potentials ( $c$ and $a$ ). The principal difficulty in the treatment of (1.1) is due to the singular character of the equation combined with the nonlinear gradient term.

The importance of the problem (1.1) is given considering the well know problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=a(x) h(u), u>0 \text { in } \Omega, \quad u(x)=\infty \text { as } x \rightarrow \partial \Omega, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because we can easily deduce the following two remarks:
Remark 1. When $h(u)=e^{u}$, by a transformation of the form $w=e^{-u}$ the problem (1.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta w+\frac{|\nabla w|^{2}}{w}=a(x), w>0 \text { in } \Omega, w(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } x \rightarrow \partial \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this is the problem (1.1) when $c(x)=1$.
Remark 2. For $h(u)=u^{\delta}(\delta>1)$ and $w=C[u]^{-C^{-1}},(C:=1 /(\delta-1))$ in (1.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta w+\delta C \frac{|\nabla w|^{2}}{w}=a(x), w>0 \text {, in } \Omega, w \rightarrow 0 \text { as } x \rightarrow \partial \Omega \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the problem (1.1) when $c(x)=\delta C$.
This finish the motivation of our work.
The main results of the article are:
Theorem 3. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with boundary $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{2, \alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $a, c \in C^{0, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), a(x)>0, c(x)>0$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c(x) u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}=a(x) \text { in } \Omega, u_{\mid \partial \Omega}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least a positive solution $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2, \alpha}(\Omega)$.
In the next result we establish sufficient condition for the existence of solution to the problem (1.1) in the case when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Theorem 4. We suppose that hypotheses AC1), AC2), A3) are satisfied. Then, the problem (1.1) has a $C_{\text {loc }}^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ positive solution vanishing at infinity. If, in addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{\mu} \varphi(|x|)<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu \in(2, N)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=O\left(|x|^{2-\mu}\right) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the existence of such a solution to (1.1) we establish some preliminary results.

## 2 Preliminary results

Since we apply sub and super solution method due to Amann [1], we recall the following definition of sub and super solution which are our main tools in the proof of the solvability of problem (1.1).

For $f_{1}(x, \eta, \xi): \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_{1}: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Amann introduce the following definitions:
Definition 5. A function $\underline{u} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ is called a sub solution for the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=f_{1}(x, u, \nabla u) \text { in } \Omega, u=g \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
-\Delta \underline{u} \leq f_{1}(x, \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{u}) \text { in } \Omega, \underline{u}=g \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

Definition 6. A function $\bar{u} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ is called a super solution of the problem (2.1) if

$$
-\Delta \bar{u} \geq f_{1}(x, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}) \text { in } \Omega, \bar{u}=g \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

One of the important results from [1] is:
Lemma 7. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain from $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, with boundary $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{2, \alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1), g \in C^{2, \alpha}(\partial \Omega)$ and $f_{1}$ be a continuous function with the property that $\partial f_{1} / \partial \eta, \partial f_{1} / \partial \xi^{i}, i=\overline{1, N}$ exists and are continuous on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ and such that

AM1) $f_{1}(\cdot, \eta, \xi) \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, uniformly for $(\eta, \xi)$ in bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
AM2)there exists a function $f_{2}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}:=[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{1}(x, \eta, \xi)\right| \leq f_{2}(\rho)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\rho \geq 0$ and $(x, \eta, \xi) \in \bar{\Omega} \times[-\rho, \rho] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Under these assumption, if the problem (2.1) has a sub solution $\underline{u}$ and a super solution $\bar{u}$ such that $\underline{u}(x) \leq \bar{u}(x), \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$ then there exists at least a function $u(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ which satisfies $\underline{u}(x) \leq u(x) \leq \bar{u}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and satisfying (2.1) pointwise. More precisely, there exist a minimal solution $\tilde{u}(x) \in[\underline{u}(x), \bar{u}(x)]$ and a maximal solution $\widetilde{\widetilde{u}}(x) \in[\underline{u}(x), \bar{u}(x)]$, in the sense that every solution $u(x) \in$ $[\underline{u}(x), \bar{u}(x)]$ satisfies $\widetilde{u}(x) \leq u(x) \leq \widetilde{\widetilde{u}}(x)$.

We will need the following variant of the maximum principle:
Lemma 8. Assume that $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u \geq 0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ u \geq 0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

then $u \geq 0$ in $\Omega$.
This finishes the auxiliary results. Now we prove the announced Theorems.

## 3 Proof of the Theorem 3

In the following will we use similarly argument that were used by Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar [7], Noussair [15] and the author [6].

Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. The existence will be established by solving the approximate problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rrr}
-\Delta u+c(x) u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}=a(x), & \text { in } \Omega, u>\varepsilon \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3.1}\\
u=\varepsilon, & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For this, let $\varphi_{1}$ be the first positive eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u(x)=\lambda u(x), \text { in } \Omega, u_{\mid \partial \Omega}(x)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $\varphi_{1} \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. We note by $m_{2}:=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} a(x)$ and $M_{1}:=$ $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} c(x)$ to prove that the function $\underline{u}(x)=\sigma_{1} \varphi_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sigma_{1} \leq \min \left\{\frac{m_{2}}{2 \lambda_{1} \max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \varphi_{1}^{2}+4 M_{1} \max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}}, 1\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a sub solution of (3.1) in the sense of Lemma 7. Indeed, by (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta \underline{u}+c(x) \underline{u}^{-1}|\nabla \underline{u}|^{2}-a(x) \leq-\Delta \underline{u}+M_{1} \underline{u}^{-1}|\nabla \underline{u}|^{2}-m_{2} \\
& \leq-2 \sigma_{1} \varphi_{1} \Delta \varphi_{1}-2 \sigma_{1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}+4 M_{1} \sigma_{1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}-m_{2} \\
& =2 \sigma_{1} \lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}^{2}-2 \sigma_{1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}+4 M_{1} \sigma_{1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}-m_{2} \\
& \leq 2 \sigma_{1} \lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}^{2}+4 M_{1} \sigma_{1}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}-m_{2} \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next step we prove the existence of a super solution to the problem (3.1). For this, let $v \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ be the unique solution of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta y=a(x) \text { in } \Omega, y(x)=0 \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that, $\bar{u}=v+\varepsilon \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, fulfils

$$
-\Delta \bar{u}(x)+c(x) \bar{u}^{-1}(x)|\nabla \bar{u}(x)|^{2}=a(x)+c(x) \bar{u}^{-1}(x)|\nabla \bar{u}(x)|^{2} \geq a(x) \text { for } x \in \Omega .
$$

Clearly, $\bar{u}$ is a super solution to (3.1). Now, since

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta[\bar{u}-\underline{u}] & \geq a(x)+c(x) \underline{u}^{-1}|\nabla \underline{u}|^{2}-a(x) \geq 0, & & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3.5}\\
\bar{u}-\underline{u} & =0, & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

follows from the maximum principle, Lemma 8 , that $\underline{u}(x) \leq \bar{u}(x), x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
We have obtained a sub solution $\underline{u} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ and a super solution $\bar{u} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for the problem (3.1) such that $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ with the property from Lemma 7. Then, there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}(x) \leq u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \bar{u}(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfying (pointwisely) the problem (3.1).
The relation (3.6) shows that $u>0$ in $\Omega$. We remark that $\underline{u}=\sigma_{1} v^{2}+\varepsilon$, where $\sigma_{1}$ is a positive constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sigma_{1} \leq \min \left\{\frac{m_{2}}{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left[2 v+4 M_{1}|\nabla v|^{2}\right]}, 1\right\}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is again a sub solution of (3.1) with the same property from Lemma 7.
In this time we have obtained a function $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ that satisfies pointwisely the equivalently form of (3.1):

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+c(x)(u+\varepsilon)^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}=a(x), & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3.8}\\ u>0, & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ is unique. Indeed, assume that the problem (3.8) has more that one solution and let $v_{\varepsilon}$ the second solution. Let us show that $u_{\varepsilon} \leq v_{\varepsilon}$ or, equivalently, $u_{\varepsilon}(x)+\varepsilon \leq v_{\varepsilon}(x)+\varepsilon$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Assume the contrary. Set

$$
\alpha(x):=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x)+\varepsilon}{v_{\varepsilon}(x)+\varepsilon}-1 .
$$

Since we have $\left.[\alpha(x)]\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$ we deduce that $\max _{\bar{\Omega}} \alpha(x)$, exists and is positive. At that point, say $x_{0}$, we have $\nabla \alpha\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $\Delta \alpha\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 0$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\left(v_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right) \Delta u_{\varepsilon}+\left(u_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right) \Delta v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{2}}=\frac{\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{\left(v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a\left(x_{0}\right)}{u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon}-\frac{a\left(x_{0}\right)}{v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon}+c\left(x_{0}\right)\left(\frac{\left(v_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{v_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon}-\frac{\left(u_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\right)^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}}{u_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)-u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left(u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon\right)} \geq 0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction with $u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)>v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$. So $u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq v_{\varepsilon}(x)$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. A similar argument can be made to produce $v_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ forcing $u_{\varepsilon}(x)=v_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

We will show that, for any smooth bounded subdomain $\Omega^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ there exists a constant $C_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C_{4} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any bounded $C^{2, \alpha_{-}}$-smooth domain $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, take $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{3}$ with $C^{2, \alpha_{-}}$ smooth boundaries, such that $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega_{1} \subset \subset \Omega_{2} \subset \subset \Omega_{3} \subset \subset \Omega$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \underline{u}(x)>0, \forall x \in \Omega_{i}, i=\overline{1,3} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h_{\varepsilon}(x)=a(x)-c(x)\left(u_{\varepsilon}(x)+\varepsilon\right)^{-1}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2}, x \in \overline{\Omega_{3}}$. Following, we use $C_{i=\overline{1,4}}$, to denote positive constants which are independent of $\varepsilon$.

Since $-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x)=h_{\varepsilon}(x), x \in \overline{\Omega_{3}}$, we see by the interior gradient estimate theorem of Ladyzenskaya and Ural'tseva [11, Theorem 3.1, p. 266] that there exists a positive constant $C_{1}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}_{2}}\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\| \leq C_{1} \max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}_{3}} u_{\varepsilon}(x) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.6) and (3.15) we obtain that $\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|$ is uniformly bounded on $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$. This final result, the property of $a$ and $c$ shows that $\left|h_{\varepsilon}\right|$ is uniformly bounded on $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$ and so $h_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ for any $p>1$.

Since $-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x)=h_{\varepsilon}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{2}$, we see from [6], that there exists a positive constant $C_{2}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{W^{2, p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq C_{2}\left(\left\|h_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)}+\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)}\right),
$$

i.e. $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{W^{2, p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}$ is uniformly bounded.

Choose $p$ such that $p>N$ and $p>N(1-\alpha)^{-1}$. Then by Sobolev's imbedding theorem, it follows that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}\right)}$ is uniformly bounded by a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.

Moreover, this say that $h_{\varepsilon} \in C^{0, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}\right)$ and $\left\|h_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{0, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}\right)}$, is uniformly bounded. Using this and the interior Schauder estimates (see $[6,8]$ ), for solutions of elliptic equations (4.1) we have that there exists a positive constant $C_{3}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C_{3}\left(\left\|h_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{0, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}\right)}+\sup _{\bar{\Omega}_{1}} u_{\varepsilon}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\left\|h_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{0, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}\right)}$ is uniformly bounded, we see from (3.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C_{4} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.13) is proved.
Set $\varepsilon:=1 / n$ and $u_{\varepsilon}:=u^{n}$. Since the sequence $u^{n}$ is bounded in $C^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)$ for any bounded domain $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$ by (3.17), using the Ascoli-Arzela theorem and the standard diagonal process, we can find a subsequence of $u^{n}$, denote again by $u^{n}$ and a function $u \in C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left\|u^{n}-u\right\|_{C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular

$$
\Delta u^{n} \text { respectively } a(x)-c(x)\left(u^{n}(x)+1 / n\right)^{-1}\left|\nabla u^{n}(x)\right|^{2}
$$

converge for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ to

$$
\Delta u \text { respectively } a(x)-c(x) u(x)^{-1}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} .
$$

It follows that $u$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=a(x)-c(x) u^{-1}(x)|\nabla u(x)|^{2}, \text { in } \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

of class $C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)$, and hence of class $C^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)$ by a standard regularity arguments based on Schauder estimates.

Since $\Omega^{\prime}$ is arbitrary, we also see that $u \in C^{2, \alpha}(\Omega)$. We have obtained $u^{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} u$ (pointwisely) in $C^{2, \alpha}(\Omega)$.

For $\varepsilon:=1 / n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ in (3.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}_{2}(x):=\sigma_{1} \varphi_{1}^{2} \leq u(x) \leq \bar{u}^{2}(x):=v(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
-\Delta u=a(x)-c(x) u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \text { a.e. in } \Omega, u>0 \text { in } \Omega, u_{\mid \partial \Omega}=0 .
$$

Thus $u \in C(\Omega) \cap C^{2, \alpha}(\Omega)$ is the solution of the problem (1.5).

## 4 Proof of the Theorem 4

To prove the existence of solution to (1.1) we consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c(x) u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}=a(x), \quad u>0 \text { in } B_{k}, u=0 \text { on } \partial B_{k}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}| | x \mid<k\right\}$ is the ball of center 0 and radius $k=1,2, \ldots$ Put $\Omega=B_{k}$ in Theorem 3. Then the problem (4.1) has at least one solution $u_{k} \in C\left(\bar{B}_{k}\right) \cap C^{2, \alpha}\left(B_{k}\right)$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}_{2} \leq u_{k} \leq \bar{u}^{2} \text { in } B_{k}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\underline{u}_{2}$ (resp. $\bar{u}^{2}$ ) the corresponding functions from Theorem 3 when $\Omega=B_{k}$. In outside of $B_{k}$ we put $u_{k}=0$. The resulting function is in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Now, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r):=\int_{r}^{\infty} \xi^{1-N} \int_{0}^{\xi} \sigma^{N-1} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma d \xi, r:=|x| \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the unique radial solution of the problem $-\Delta w=\varphi(|x|)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, w>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $w \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0$. We prove that $w$ is bounded. Using integration by parts and L' Hôpital
rule, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{r}^{\infty} \xi^{1-N} \int_{0}^{\xi} \sigma^{N-1} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma d \xi=-\frac{1}{N-2} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d \xi}\left(\xi^{2-N}\right)\left[\int_{0}^{\xi} \sigma^{N-1} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma\right] d \xi \\
= & \frac{1}{N-2} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{r}^{R} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi-R^{2-N} \int_{0}^{R} \sigma^{N-1} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma+r^{2-N} \int_{0}^{r} \sigma^{N-1} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{N-2} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R^{N-2}\left[\int_{r}^{R} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi+r^{2-N} \int_{0}^{r} \xi^{N-1} \varphi(\xi) d \xi\right]-\int_{0}^{R} \xi^{N-1} \varphi(\xi) d \xi}{R^{N-2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{N-2}\left[\int_{r}^{\infty} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi+r^{2-N} \int_{0}^{r} \xi^{N-1} \varphi(\xi) d \xi\right], R>r . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by the second mean value theorem for integrals follows that there exists $r_{1} \in(0, r)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{r} \xi^{N-1} \varphi(\xi) d \xi & =\int_{0}^{r} \xi^{N-2} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi \\
& =r^{N-2} \int_{r_{1}}^{r} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi \leq r^{N-2} \int_{0}^{r} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $N>2$. By (4.4)-(4.5) we obtain $w(r) \leq K:=\frac{1}{N-2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi \varphi(\xi) d \xi$. We observe, in addition, that $w$ satisfies $-\Delta w(|x|)+c(x) w^{-1}(|x|)|\nabla w(|x|)|^{2} \geq a(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, $0<w \leq K$ and $w(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k} \leq w(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, k=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(|x|)>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $u_{k}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{k}$ it is enough to prove that $u_{k} \leq w$ in $B_{k}, k=1,2,3, \ldots$ To prove this we observe that $w \in C^{2}\left(\bar{B}_{k}\right)$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta\left[w(x)-u_{k}(x)\right] & \geq c(x) u_{k}^{-1}(x)\left|\nabla u_{k}(x)\right|^{2}-a(x)+a(x) \geq 0, & \text { in } B_{k}, \\
w(x)-u_{k}(x) & >0, & \text { on } \partial B_{k} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

As a consequence of the maximum principle, Lemma 8, we have that $u_{k} \leq w$ in $B_{k}$. So (4.6) holds.

To finish the proof, use the standard convergence procedure (see [6] or [15]) and so $u_{k}$ has a subsequence, denoted again by $u_{k}$, such that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ (pointwise) in $C_{l o c}^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and that $u$ is a solution for the problem (1.5) that vanishing at infinity.

In order to show (1.7), from the above arguments we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leq w \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(|x|)}{|x|^{2-\mu}} & =\frac{1}{2-\mu} \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w^{\prime}(x)}{|x|^{1-\mu}}=\frac{1}{\mu-2} \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{0}^{|x|} \sigma^{N-1} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma /|x|^{N-\mu}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\mu-2} \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{\mu} \varphi(|x|)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

The above relation imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=O\left(|x|^{2-\mu}\right) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (1.7) follows from (4.8) and (4.7). The proof of Theorem 4 is completed.
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