# q-Difference Systems for the Jackson Integral of Symmetric Selberg Type

Masahiko ITO

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan E-mail: mito@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

Received April 29, 2020, in final form October 29, 2020; Published online November 08, 2020 https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2020.113

Abstract. We provide an explicit expression for the first order q-difference system for the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type. The q-difference system gives a generalization of q-analog of contiguous relations for the Gauss hypergeometric function. As a basis of the system we use a set of the symmetric polynomials introduced by Matsuo in his study of the q-KZ equation. Our main result is an explicit expression for the coefficient matrix of the q-difference system in terms of its Gauss matrix decomposition. We introduce a class of symmetric polynomials called *interpolation polynomials*, which includes Matsuo's polynomials. By repeated use of three-term relations among the interpolation polynomials we compute the coefficient matrix.

Key words: q-difference equations; Selberg type integral; contiguous relations; Gauss decomposition

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33D60; 39A13

#### 1 Introduction

The Gauss hypergeometric function

$$_{2}F_{1}\begin{pmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_{0}^{1} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{c-a-1} (1-xz)^{-b} dz,$$

where  $\operatorname{Re} c > \operatorname{Re} a > 0$  and |x| < 1, satisfies the contiguous relations

$${}_{2}F_{1}\begin{pmatrix}a,b\\c;x\end{pmatrix} = {}_{2}F_{1}\begin{pmatrix}a,b+1\\c+1;x\end{pmatrix} - x\frac{a(c-b)}{c(c+1)}{}_{2}F_{1}\begin{pmatrix}a+1,b+1\\c+2;x\end{pmatrix}$$
(1.1)

and

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left({a,b\atop c};x\right) = {}_{2}F_{1}\left({a+1,b\atop c+1};x\right) - x\frac{b(c-a)}{c(c+1)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left({a+1,b+1\atop c+2};x\right). \tag{1.2}$$

These contiguous relations for the Gauss hypergeometric function are extended to a difference system for a function defined by multivariable integral with respect to the Selberg type kernel [34]

$$\Psi(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_i^{\alpha-1} (1 - z_i)^{\beta-1} (x - z_i)^{\gamma-1} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} |z_j - z_k|^{2\tau}.$$

For the integral

$$\langle e_i \rangle := \int_C e_i(z) \Psi(z) \, \mathrm{d}z_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}z_n, \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Elliptic Integrable Systems, Special Functions and Quantum Field Theory. The full collection is available at <a href="https://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/elliptic-integrable-systems.html">https://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/elliptic-integrable-systems.html</a>

where  $e_i(z)$  is the function specified by

$$e_i(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (x - z_j) \prod_{k=n-i+1}^{n} (1 - z_k)$$

and C is some suitable region, the (n+1)-tuple  $(\langle e_0 \rangle, \langle e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n \rangle)$  satisfies the following difference system. Let  $\delta_{ij}$  be the symbol of Kronecker's delta.

**Proposition 1.1** ([14, Theorem 2.2]). Let  $T_{\alpha}$  be the shift operator with respect to  $\alpha \to \alpha + 1$ , i.e.,  $T_{\alpha}f(\alpha) = f(\alpha + 1)$  for an arbitrary function  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle e_0 \rangle, \langle e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n \rangle) = (\langle e_0 \rangle, \langle e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n \rangle) M, \tag{1.3}$$

where the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix M is written in terms of its Gauss matrix decomposition as

$$M = LDU = U'D'L'. (1.4)$$

Here  $L = (l_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D = (d_j \delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $U = (u_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are the lower triangular, diagonal, upper triangular matrices, respectively, given by

$$l_{ij} = (-x)^{i-j} \binom{n-j}{n-i} \frac{(\gamma + j\tau; \tau)_{i-j}}{(\alpha + \gamma + 2j\tau; \tau)_{i-j}},$$

$$d_{j} = \frac{x^{j}(\alpha; \tau)_{j}(\alpha + \gamma + 2j\tau; \tau)_{n-j}}{(\alpha + \gamma + (j-1)\tau; \tau)_{j}(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + (n+j-1)\tau; \tau)_{n-j}},$$

$$u_{ij} = (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} \frac{(\beta + (n-j)\tau; \tau)_{j-i}}{(\alpha + \gamma + 2i\tau; \tau)_{j-i}},$$

and  $U' = (u'_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D' = (d'_j \delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $L' = (l'_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are the upper triangular, diagonal, lower triangular matrices, respectively, given by

$$u'_{ij} = (-x^{-1})^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} \frac{(\beta + (n-j)\tau;\tau)_{j-i}}{(\alpha + \beta + 2(n-j)\tau;\tau)_{j-i}},$$

$$d'_{j} = \frac{x^{j}(\alpha + \beta + 2(n-j)\tau;\tau)_{j}(\alpha;\tau)_{n-j}}{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + (2n-j-1)\tau;\tau)_{j}(\alpha + \beta + (n-j-1)\tau;\tau)_{n-j}},$$

$$l'_{ij} = (-1)^{i-j} \binom{n-j}{n-i} \frac{(\gamma + j\tau;\tau)_{i-j}}{(\alpha + \beta + 2(n-i)\tau;\tau)_{i-j}},$$

where  $(x;\tau)_0 := 1$  and  $(x;\tau)_i := x(x+\tau)(x+2\tau)\cdots(x+(i-1)\tau)$  for i = 1, 2, ...

In particular, when n=1 the system (1.3) is given by

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle e_{0}\rangle, \langle e_{1}\rangle) = (\langle e_{0}\rangle, \langle e_{1}\rangle) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \gamma & 0 \\ -x\gamma & x\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta + \gamma & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha + \gamma \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \tag{1.5a}$$

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle e_{0}\rangle, \langle e_{1}\rangle) = (\langle e_{0}\rangle, \langle e_{1}\rangle) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ 0 & x(\alpha+\beta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha+\beta & 0 \\ \gamma & \alpha+\beta+\gamma \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$
 (1.5b)

The system (1.5b) can be rewritten as the following system of three-term equations

$$(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)T_{\alpha}\langle e_1 \rangle = -\beta \langle e_0 \rangle + x(\alpha + \beta)\langle e_1 \rangle, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\gamma T_{\alpha} \langle e_1 \rangle + (\alpha + \beta) T_{\alpha} \langle e_0 \rangle = \alpha \langle e_0 \rangle. \tag{1.7}$$

Since, for n=1

$$\langle e_0 \rangle = \int_0^1 z^{\alpha - 1} (1 - z)^{\beta - 1} (x - z)^{\gamma} dz$$
 and  $\langle e_1 \rangle = \int_0^1 z^{\alpha - 1} (1 - z)^{\beta} (x - z)^{\gamma - 1} dz$ ,

under the conditions Re  $\alpha > 0$ , Re  $\beta > 0$  and |x| > 1 we see that the equations (1.6) and (1.7) exactly coincide with the contiguous relations (1.1) and (1.2), respectively, after the substitutions  $\alpha \to a$ ,  $\beta \to c - a$ ,  $\gamma \to -b$  and  $x \to 1/x$ . Therefore the difference system (1.3) expressed in terms of Gauss matrix decomposition (1.4) can be regarded as a natural extension of the contiguous relations (1.1) and (1.2). For further applications of the difference system (1.3) in random matrix theory, see [14]. In the discussion of the result being a generalization of contiguous relations of the Gauss hypergeometric function, the analog of the equation (1.1) for the Selberg integral can be found in [15, 21].

Next we would like to discuss a q-analogue of the difference system (1.3) in Proposition 1.1. This is one of the aims of this paper. For an arbitrary  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we use the c-shifted factorial for  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$(x;c)_{i} = \begin{cases} (1-x)(1-cx)\cdots(1-c^{i-1}x) & \text{if } i=1,2,\ldots,\\ 1 & \text{if } i=0,\\ \frac{1}{(1-c^{-1}x)(1-c^{-2}x)\cdots(1-c^{i}x)} & \text{if } i=-1,-2,\ldots, \end{cases}$$

and the c-binomial coefficient

$$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}_c = \frac{(c;c)_i}{(c;c)_{i-j}(c;c)_j}.$$

We also use the symbol  $(x;c)_{\infty} := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-c^i x)$  for |c| < 1. Throughout this paper we fix  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with |q| < 1. For a point  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  and a function  $f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  we define the following sum over the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by

$$\int_0^{\xi \infty} f(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_n}{z_n} := (1 - q)^n \sum_{(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(\xi_1 q^{\nu_1}, \dots, \xi_n q^{\nu_n}), \tag{1.8}$$

if it converges. We call it the Jackson integral of f(z). By definition the Jackson integral (1.8) is invariant under the q-shift  $\xi_i \to q\xi_i$  (i = 1, ..., n). Let  $\Phi_{n,m}(z)$  and  $\Delta(z)$  be the functions on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  specified by

$$\Phi_{n,m}(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ z_i^{\alpha} \prod_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\left( q a_r^{-1} z_i; q \right)_{\infty}}{(b_r z_i; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} z_j^{2\tau - 1} \frac{\left( q t^{-1} z_k / z_j; q \right)_{\infty}}{(t z_k / z_j; q)_{\infty}},$$

$$\Delta(z) := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (z_i - z_j),$$
(1.9)

where  $t=q^{\tau}$ . For a point  $\xi=(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  and an arbitrary symmetric function  $\phi(z)=\phi(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  we set

$$\langle \phi, \xi \rangle := \int_0^{\xi \infty} \phi(z) \Phi_{n,m}(z) \Delta(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_n}{z_n},$$

which we call the *Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type*. In the study of q-difference de Rham cohomology associated with Jackson integrals [4, 7], Aomoto and Kato [8] showed that the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type satisfies q-difference systems of rank  $\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}$ 

when the parameters are generic. When m=1 the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type is equivalent to the q-Selberg integral defined by Askey [11] and proved by others, see [6, 13, 16, 20 for instance. See also recent references [15, Section 2.3] and [18]. q-Selberg integral is a very active area of research with important connections to special functions, combinatorics, mathematical physics and orthogonal polynomials (see [1, 23, 24, 25, 32, 35] and [17, Section 5]). Using the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type for m=2, Matsuo [28, 29] constructed a set of solutions of the q-KZ equation. Varchenko [33] extended Matsuo's construction to more general setting of the q-KZ equation using the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type for general m. Writing  $a_r = x_r$ ,  $b_r = q^{\beta_r} x_r^{-1}$  in (1.9), the q-KZ equation they studied can be regarded as the q-difference system with respect to the q-shift  $x_r \to qx_r$  (r = 1, ..., m). In another context, writing  $a_r = qx_r^{-1}$ ,  $b_r = q^{\mu_r}x_r$  in (1.9), Kaneko [22] showed an explicit expression for the q-difference system with respect to the q-shift  $x_r \to qx_r$   $(r=2,\ldots,m)$ satisfied by the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type for general m with special constraints  $\mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_m = 1$  or  $\mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_m = -\tau$ . With these constraints the q-difference system degenerates to be very simple and it can also be regarded as a generalization of the second order q-difference equation satisfied by Heine's  $_2\phi_1$  q-hypergeometric function.

In this paper, we fix m=2 for (1.9), and study two types of q-difference systems for the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type for  $\Phi(z) = \Phi_{n,2}(z)$ . One is the q-difference system with respect to the shift  $\alpha \to \alpha + 1$ , and the other is the system with respect to the q-shifts  $a_i \to qa_i$  and  $b_i \to q^{-1}b_i$  simultaneously. For these purposes, we define the set of symmetric polynomials  $\{e_i(a,b;z) | i=0,1,\ldots,n\}$ , where

$$e_i(a,b;z) := \frac{1}{\Delta(z)} \times \mathcal{A}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1 - bz_j) \prod_{j=n-i+1}^n (1 - a^{-1}z_j) \prod_{1 \le k < l \le n} (z_k - t^{-1}z_l)\right), \quad (1.10)$$

which we call Matsuo's polynomials. The symbol  $\mathcal{A}$  means the skew-symmetrization (see the definition (2.1) of  $\mathcal{A}$  in Section 2). With these symmetric polynomials, we denote

$$\langle e_i(a,b), \xi \rangle := \int_0^{\xi \infty} e_i(a,b;z) \Phi(z) \Delta(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_n}{z_n}. \tag{1.11}$$

We assume that

$$\left|qa_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}b_2^{-1}\right| < \left|q^{\alpha}\right| < 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left|qa_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}b_2^{-1}\right| < \left|q^{\alpha}t^{2n-2}\right| < 1$$

for convergence of the Jackson integrals (1.11). (See [19, Lemma 3.1] for details of convergence.) For the polynomials (1.10) let R be the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix defined by

$$(e_n(a_2, b_1; z), e_{n-1}(a_2, b_1; z), \dots, e_0(a_2, b_1; z))$$

$$= (e_0(a_1, b_2; z), e_1(a_1, b_2; z), \dots, e_n(a_1, b_2; z))R.$$
(1.12)

The transition matrix R is called the R-matrix in the context of [29]. Matsuo [29] gave the q-difference system with respect to the q-shifts  $a_i \to q a_i$  and  $b_i \to q^{-1} b_i$  simultaneously, using Matsuo's polynomials as follows.

**Proposition 1.2** (Matsuo). Let  $T_{q,u}$  be the q-shift operator with respect to  $u \to qu$ , and  $T_{q,b_i}^{-1}T_{q,a_i}$  (i = 1, 2) denote the q-shift operator with respect to  $a_i \to qa_i$  and  $b_i \to q^{-1}b_i$  simultaneously. Then, the Jackson integrals of symmetric Selberg type satisfy the q-difference system with respect to  $T_{q,b_i}^{-1}T_{q,a_i}$  (i = 1, 2) given by

$$T_{ab}^{-1}T_{q,a_i}(\langle e_n(a_2,b_1),\xi\rangle,\langle e_{n-1}(a_2,b_1),\xi\rangle,\ldots,\langle e_0(a_2,b_1),\xi\rangle)$$

$$= (\langle e_n(a_2, b_1), \xi \rangle, \langle e_{n-1}(a_2, b_1), \xi \rangle, \dots, \langle e_0(a_2, b_1), \xi \rangle) K_i, \tag{1.13}$$

whose coefficient matrices  $K_i$  are expressed as  $K_1 = R^{-1}D_1$  and  $K_2 = D_2(T_{q,b_2}^{-1}T_{q,a_2}R)$ , where R is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix given by (1.12), and  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  are the diagonal matrices given by

$$D_1 = ((q^{\alpha}t^{n-1})^{n-i}\delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}, \qquad D_2 = ((q^{\alpha}t^{n-1})^i\delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}.$$

Remark 1.3. If we replace  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  as  $a_i = x_i$  and  $b_i = q^{\beta_i} x_i^{-1}$ , respectively, then (1.13) simplifies to the case considered by Matsuo, and then  $T_{q,b_i}^{-1}T_{q,a_i}$  in (1.13) becomes the single q-shift operator  $T_{q,x_i}$ , and the system (1.13) coincides with the q-KZ equation (see [28, 29, 33]). For the problem of finding the explicit form of the coefficient matrix  $K_i$  for the system (1.13) Aomoto and Kato used the information of a connection matrix [9] between two kinds of fundamental solutions of (1.13) specified by their asymptotic behaviors. Based on Birkhoff's classical theory they introduced a way to derive the explicit form of the coefficient matrix for a linear ordinary q-difference system from its connection matrix. They call their method the Riemann-Hilbert approach for q-difference equations from connection matrices [5], and they presented  $K_i$  explicitly when n=1 and 2 as an example of their method (see [10, p. 272, examples]). The problem of finding the explicit form of the coefficient matrix for the equivalent q-difference system with respect to  $T_{q,x_i}$  was also studied by Mimachi [30, 31]. As a basis of the system Mimachi [31] introduced a family of Schur polynomials different from Matsuo's polynomials, and he calculated the entries of the coefficient matrix explicitly when n=1, 2 and 3.

From Proposition 1.2, if we want to know the coefficient matrices  $K_i$  of the above q-difference systems, it suffices to give the explicit expression for the transition matrix R or its inverse  $R^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 1.4** ([12, 26]). The matrix R is written in terms of its Gauss matrix decomposition as

$$R = L_R D_R U_R = U_R' D_R' L_R', (1.14)$$

where  $L_R = (l_{ij}^R)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D_R = (d_j^R \delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $U_R = (u_{ij}^R)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are the lower triangular, diagonal, upper triangular matrices, respectively, given by

$$l_{ij}^{R} = \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}} \frac{(-1)^{i-j} t^{-\binom{i-j}{2}} (a_2 b_2 t^j; t)_{i-j}}{(a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{-(n-2j-1)}; t)_{i-j}},$$

$$(1.15a)$$

$$d_j^R = \frac{\left(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-j}; t\right)_{n-j} (a_2 b_1; t)_j}{\left(a_1 b_2; t\right)_{n-j} \left(a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{-(n-j)}; t\right)_j},\tag{1.15b}$$

$$u_{ij}^{R} = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}} \frac{\left(a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(a_{1}a_{2}^{-1}t^{n-i-j}; t\right)_{j-i}},\tag{1.15c}$$

and  $U'_R = (u^R_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D'_R = (d^R_j \delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $L'_R = (l^R_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are the upper triangular, diagonal, lower triangular matrices, respectively, given by

$$u_{ij}^{R'} = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{(-1)^{j-i} t^{\binom{j-i}{2}} \left( a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} t^{-(n-i-1)}; t \right)_{j-i}}{\left( b_1^{-1} b_2 t^{i+j-n}; t \right)_{j-i}}, \tag{1.16a}$$

$$d_j^{R'} = \frac{\left(b_1 b_2^{-1} t^{n-2j+1}; t\right)_j \left(a_2^{-1} b_1^{-1} t^{-(n-j-1)}; t\right)_{n-j}}{\left(a_1^{-1} b_2^{-1} t^{-(j-1)}; t\right)_j \left(b_1^{-1} b_2 t^{-(n-2j-1)}; t\right)_{n-j}},\tag{1.16b}$$

$$l_{ij}^{R'} = \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}; t\right)_{i-j}}{\left(b_1b_2^{-1}t^{n-2i+1}; t\right)_{i-j}}.$$
(1.16c)

One of the main aims of this paper is to give a proof of the above result, which we will do in Section 6. The explicit expression for  $R^{-1}$  in terms of its Gauss matrix decomposition is also presented as Corollary 6.1 in Section 6.

**Remark 1.5.** After completing of earlier version of this paper, the author was informed that Theorem 1.4 previously appeared implicitly in [12, Section 5] and [26]. Our proof of the Theorem is, however, very different to that of [12] and [26].

From Theorem 1.4 we immediately obtain a closed-form expression for the determinant of R (or  $K_i$ ).

Corollary 1.6. The determinant of the transition matrix R evaluates as

$$\det R = d_0^R d_1^R \cdots d_n^R = \left(-a_1 a_2^{-1}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(a_2 b_1; t)_i}{(a_1 b_2; t)_i}.$$

The determinants of the coefficient matrices  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  given in (1.13) evaluate as

$$\det K_1 = \det \left( R^{-1} D_1 \right) = \left( -a_2 a_1^{-1} q^{\alpha} t^{n-1} \right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(a_1 b_2; t)_i}{(a_2 b_1; t)_i}$$

and

$$\det K_2 = \det \left( D_2 \left( T_{q,b_2}^{-1} T_{q,a_2} R \right) \right) = \left( -a_1 a_2^{-1} q^{\alpha - 1} t^{n-1} \right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q a_2 b_1; t)_i}{(q^{-1} a_1 b_2; t)_i}.$$

Next, we focus on the q-difference system with respect to the shift  $\alpha \to \alpha + 1$  for the Jackson integral of symmetric Selberg type. Using Matsuo's polynomials  $\{e_i(a_1, b_2; z) | i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , this q-difference system is given explicitly in terms of its Gauss matrix decomposition.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $T_{\alpha}$  be the shift operator with respect to  $\alpha \to \alpha + 1$ , i.e.,  $T_{\alpha}f(\alpha) = f(\alpha + 1)$  for an arbitrary function  $f(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle e_0(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \langle e_1(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle)$$

$$= (\langle e_0(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \langle e_1(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle) A, \tag{1.17}$$

where the coefficient matrix A is written in terms of its Gauss matrix decomposition as

$$A = L_A D_A U_A = U_A' D_A' L_A'.$$

Here  $L_A = (l_{ij}^A)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D_A = (d_j^A \delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $U_A = (u_{ij}^A)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are the lower triangular, diagonal, upper triangular matrices, respectively, given by

$$l_{ij}^{A} = (-1)^{i-j} t^{\binom{n-i}{2} - \binom{n-j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_{t} \frac{\left(a_{2}b_{2}t^{j}; t\right)_{i-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_{2}b_{2}t^{2j}; t\right)_{i-j}}, \tag{1.18a}$$

$$d_{j}^{A} = a_{1}^{n-j} a_{2}^{j} t^{\binom{j}{2} + \binom{n-j}{2}} \frac{\left(q^{\alpha}; t\right)_{j} \left(q^{\alpha} a_{2} b_{2} t^{2j}; t\right)_{n-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha} a_{2} b_{2} t^{j-1}; t\right)_{j} \left(q^{\alpha} a_{1} a_{2} b_{1} b_{2} t^{n+j-1}; t\right)_{n-j}}, \tag{1.18b}$$

$$u_{ij}^{A} = \left(-q^{\alpha} a_{1}^{-1} a_{2}\right)^{j-i} t^{\binom{j}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t} \frac{\left(a_{1} b_{1} t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(q^{\alpha} a_{2} b_{2} t^{2i}; t\right)_{j-i}}, \tag{1.18c}$$

and  $U'_A = (u^{A'}_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D'_A = (d^{A'}_j \delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $L'_A = (l^{A'}_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are the upper triangular, diagonal, lower triangular matrices, respectively, given by

$$u_{ij}^{A'} = \left(-q^{\alpha}\right)^{j-i} t^{\binom{n-i}{2} - \binom{n-j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j\\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t} \frac{\left(a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_{1}b_{1}t^{2(n-j)}; t\right)_{j-i}}, \tag{1.19a}$$

$$d_j^{A'} = a_1^{n-j} a_2^j t^{\binom{j}{2} + \binom{n-j}{2}} \frac{\left(q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2(n-j)}; t\right)_j \left(q^{\alpha}; t\right)_{n-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha} a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{2n-j-1}; t\right)_j \left(q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{n-j-1}; t\right)_{n-j}}, \tag{1.19b}$$

$$l_{ij}^{A'} = \left(-a_1 a_2^{-1}\right)^{i-j} t^{\binom{j}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_2 b_2 t^j; t\right)_{i-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2(n-i)}; t\right)_{i-j}}.$$
(1.19c)

The first part of Theorem 1.7 will be proved in Section 5, while the latter part of Theorem 1.7 will be explained in the Appendix. Note that, from this theorem we immediately have the following.

Corollary 1.8. The determinant of the coefficient matrix A evaluates as

$$\det A = d_0^A d_1^A \cdots d_n^A = (a_1 a_2)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} t^{2\binom{n+1}{3}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q^{\alpha}; t)_i}{(q^{\alpha} a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{2n-i-1}; t)_i}.$$

**Remark 1.9.** The expression (1.17) for the q-difference equation is equivalent to

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle e_0(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \langle e_1(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle) U_A^{-1}$$

$$= (\langle e_0(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \langle e_1(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle, \dots, \langle e_n(a_1, b_2), \xi \rangle) L_A D_A$$

or

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle e_0(a_1,b_2),\xi\rangle,\langle e_1(a_1,b_2),\xi\rangle,\ldots,\langle e_n(a_1,b_2),\xi\rangle)L_A^{\prime-1}$$
  
=\(\left(\lambde e\_0(a\_1,b\_2),\xi\rangle,\lambde e\_1(a\_1,b\_2),\xi\rangle,\lambda,\ldots,\ldots\rangle,\ldots\ld

Here the entries of  $U_A^{-1}$  and  $L_A'^{-1}$  are also factorized into binomials like  $U_A$  and  $L_A'$ , respectively. We will see the explicit expression for  $U_A^{-1}$  in Section 4 as Proposition 4.4. For the explicit expression for  $L_A'$ , see Proposition A.4.

**Remark 1.10.** If we consider the  $q \to 1$  limit after replacing  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  as  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = x$ ,  $b_1 = q^{\beta}$  and  $b_2 = q^{\gamma}x^{-1}$  on Theorem 1.7, then  $e_i(1, q^{\gamma}x^{-1}; z)\Phi(z)\Delta(z)\frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_1}{z_1}\cdots\frac{\mathrm{d}_q z_n}{z_n}$  tends to  $e_i'(z)\Psi'(z)\mathrm{d}z_1\cdots\mathrm{d}z_n$ , where

$$\Psi'(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} z_i^{\alpha-1} (1 - z_i)^{\beta-1} (1 - z_i/x)^{\gamma-1} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} (z_j - z_k)^{2\tau},$$

$$e_i'(z) = \mathcal{A}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1 - z_j/x) \prod_{j=n-i+1}^{n} (1 - z_j)\right).$$

This confirms that Theorem 1.7 in the  $q \to 1$  limit is consistent with the result presented in Proposition 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows. After defining some basic terminology in Section 2, we characterize in Section 3 Matsuo's polynomials by their vanishing property (Proposition 3.1), and define a family of symmetric polynomials of higher degree, which includes Matsuo's polynomials. We call such polynomials the *interpolation polynomials*, which are inspired from Aomoto's method [2, Section 8], [3], which is a technique to obtain difference equations for the Selberg

integrals (see also [18] for a q-analogue of Aomoto's method). We state several vanishing properties for the interpolation polynomials, which are used in subsequent sections. In Section 4 we present three-term relations (Lemma 4.1) among the interpolation polynomials. These are key equations for obtaining the coefficient matrix of the q-difference system with respect to the shift  $\alpha \to \alpha + 1$ . By repeated use of these three-term relations we obtain a proof of Theorem 1.7. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Lemma 4.1. In Section 6 we explain the Gauss decomposition of the transition matrix R. For this purpose, we introduce another set of symmetric polynomials called the Lagrange interpolation polynomials of type A in [19], which are different from Matsuo's polynomials. Both upper and lower triangular matrices in the decomposition can be understood as a transition matrix between Matsuo's polynomials and the other polynomials. In the Appendix we explain the proof of the latter part of Theorem 1.7.

Finally we would like to make some remarks about the original motivation for the current paper. Although the author already knew the results of this paper before publishing [14], many years have passed since then. The author recently learned of an interesting application of the q-difference systems of this paper in collaboration with Yasuhiko Yamada. They intend to publish the detail in a forthcoming paper.

#### 2 Notation

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . For a function  $f: (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to \mathbb{C}$  we define an action of the symmetric group  $S_n$  on f by

$$(\sigma f)(z) := f(\sigma^{-1}(z)) = f(z_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)})$$
 for  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

We say that a function f(z) on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is symmetric or skew-symmetric if  $\sigma f(z) = f(z)$  or  $\sigma f(z) = (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) f(z)$  for all  $\sigma \in S_n$ , respectively. We denote by  $\mathcal{A}f(z)$  the alternating sum over  $S_n$  defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(z) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma)(\sigma f)(z), \tag{2.1}$$

which is skew-symmetric. Let P be the set of partitions defined by

$$P := \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_n \ge 0\}.$$

We define the *lexicographic ordering* < on P as follows. For  $\lambda, \mu \in P$ , we denote  $\lambda < \mu$  if there exists a positive integer k such that  $\lambda_i = \mu_i$  for all i < k and  $\lambda_k < \mu_k$ . For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we denote by  $z^{\lambda}$  the monomial  $z_1^{\lambda_1} z_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n}$ . For  $\lambda \in P$  the monomial symmetric polynomials  $m_{\lambda}(z)$  are defined by

$$m_{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{\mu \in S_n \lambda} z^{\mu},$$

where  $S_n\lambda := \{\sigma\lambda \mid \sigma \in S_n\}$  is the  $S_n$ -orbit of  $\lambda$ . For  $\lambda \in P$ , we denote by  $m_i$  the multiplicity of i in  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $m_i = \#\{j \mid \lambda_j = i\}$ , see [27] for instance. It is convenient to use the notation  $\lambda = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}\cdots r^{m_r}\cdots)$ : for example,  $(1^32^2) = (2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)$  and  $z^{(1^32^2)} = z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3 z_4 z_5$ .

# 3 Interpolation polynomials

In this section we define a family of symmetric functions which extends Matsuo's polynomials. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  let  $E_{k,i}(a, b; z)$   $(k, i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$  be functions

specified by

$$E_{k,i}(a,b;z) := z_1 z_2 \cdots z_k \Delta(t;z) \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1 - bz_j) \prod_{j=n-i+1}^{n} (1 - a^{-1}z_j),$$
(3.1)

where

$$\Delta(t;z) := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (z_i - t^{-1}z_j) = t^{-\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (tz_i - z_j),$$

and let  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(a,b;z)$   $(k,i=0,1,\ldots,n)$  be the symmetric functions of  $z\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  specified by

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(a,b;z) := \frac{\mathcal{A}E_{k,i}(a,b;z)}{\Delta(z)},\tag{3.2}$$

which, in particular, satisfy

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(a,b;z) = e_i(a,b;z)$$
 and  $\tilde{E}_{n,i}(a,b;z) = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n e_i(a,b;z),$ 

as special cases. We sometimes abbreviate  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(a,b;z)$  to  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$ . The leading term of the symmetric polynomial  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$  is  $m_{(1^{n-k}2^k)}(z)$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) = C_{ki} m_{(1^{n-k}2^k)}(z) + \text{lower order terms},$$

where the coefficient  $C_{ki}$  of the monomial  $m_{(1^{n-k}2^k)}(z)$  is expressed as

$$C_{ki} = (-1)^n \frac{\left(t^{-1}; t^{-1}\right)_k \left(t^{-1}; t^{-1}\right)_{n-k}}{a^i b^{-(n-i)} \left(1 - t^{-1}\right)^n}.$$

For arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , we set

$$\zeta_{j}(x,y) := \left(\underbrace{yt^{-(j-1)}, yt^{-(j-2)}, \dots, yt^{-1}, y}_{j}, \underbrace{x, xt, xt^{2}, \dots, xt^{n-j-1}}_{n-j}\right) \in (\mathbb{C}^{*})^{n}.$$
(3.3)

The following gives another characterization of Matsuo's polynomials  $e_i(a, b; z) = \tilde{E}_{0,i}(z)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** The leading term of the function  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(z)$  is  $m_{(1^n)}(z)$  up to a multiplicative constant. The functions  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(z)$ , i = 0, 1, ..., n, satisfy

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(a,b^{-1})) = c_i \delta_{ij}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the constant  $c_i$  is given by

$$c_{i} = (abt^{i}; t)_{n-i} (a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}; t)_{i} \frac{(t; t)_{i}(t; t)_{n-i}}{t^{\binom{n}{2}}(1-t)^{n}}$$
(3.5a)

$$= (ab;t)_{n-i} \left(a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(n-1)};t\right)_i \frac{\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_i \left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_{n-i}}{\left(1-t^{-1}\right)^n}.$$
(3.5b)

**Remark 3.2.** The set of symmetric functions  $\{\tilde{E}_{0,i}(z) | i = 0, 1, ..., n\}$  forms a basis of the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) | \lambda \leq (1^n)\}$ . Conversely such basis satisfying the condition (3.4) is uniquely determined. Thus we can take Proposition 3.1 as a definition of Matsuo's polynomials, instead of (1.10).

**Proof.** By definition  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(a,b^{-1})) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_i(a,b^{-1}))$  evaluates as

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_i(a,b^{-1})) = \frac{E_{0,i}(at^{n-i-1},\dots,at^2,at,a,b^{-1},b^{-1}t^{-1},\dots,b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)})}{\Delta(at^{n-i-1},\dots,at^2,at,a,b^{-1},b^{-1}t^{-1},\dots,b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)})},$$

which coincides with (3.5a) and (3.5b).

Lemma 3.3 (triangularity). Suppose

$$\xi_j := \left(\underbrace{b^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}, b^{-1}t^{-(j-2)}, \dots, b^{-1}t^{-1}, b^{-1}}_{j}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-j}\right) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

Then

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_j) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad 0 \le i < j \le n. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\xi_i)$  evaluates as

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\xi_i) = \frac{t^{-i(n-i)} (t^{-1}; t^{-1})_i (t^{-1}; t^{-1})_{n-i}}{(1-t^{-1})^n} (a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}; t)_i \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1-z_j bt^i)$$

$$= \frac{(t; t)_i (t; t)_{n-i}}{t^{\binom{n}{2}} (1-t)^n} (a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}; t)_i \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1-z_j bt^i). \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, if  $\eta_j := (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j, \underbrace{a, at, at^2, \dots, at^{n-j-1}}_{n-j}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , then

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\eta_i) = 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad 0 \le j < i \le n. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\eta_i)$  evaluates as

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\eta_i) = \frac{\left(t^{-1}; t^{-1}\right)_i \left(t^{-1}; t^{-1}\right)_{n-i}}{\left(1 - t^{-1}\right)^n} (ab; t)_{n-i} \prod_{i=1}^i \left(1 - z_j / a t^{n-i}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

**Proof.** By the definition (3.1) of  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$  it immediately follows that  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_j) = 0$  if i < j, and  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\eta_j) = 0$  if j < i. If we put  $z_j = b^{-1}t^{-i}$   $(j = 1, 2, \dots, n-i)$  in the polynomial  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i)$ , then we have  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i) = 0$  because  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i)$  satisfies the condition of (3.6). This implies that  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i)$  is divisible by  $\prod_{j=1}^{n-i}(1-z_jbt^i)$  up to a constant. Thus we write  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i) = c\prod_{j=1}^{n-i}(1-z_jbt^i)$ , where c is some constant independent of  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-i}$ . Next we determine the explicit form of c. If we put  $z_1 = a, z_2 = at, \dots, z_{n-i} = at^{n-i-1}$  in  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i)$ , then  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i) = \tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_i(a,b^{-1}))$ . From (3.4), we have  $c_i = c(abt^i;t)_{n-i}$ , where  $c_i$  is given by (3.5b). Therefore the constant c is evaluated as  $c = c_i/(abt^i;t)_{n-i}$ , i.e., we obtain the expression (3.7) for  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\xi_i)$ . The evaluation (3.9) is carried out in the same way as above.

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $0 \le j \le n$ , let  $\zeta_j(x, b^{-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  be the point specified by (3.3) with  $y = b^{-1}$ . Then

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})) = \frac{\left(xbt^i;t\right)_{n-i}\left(xa^{-1};t\right)_{i-j}\left(a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(j-1)};t\right)_j(t;t)_n}{t^{\binom{n}{2}}(1-t)^n} \frac{\begin{bmatrix}i\\j\end{bmatrix}_t}{\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_t}.$$
(3.10)

Moreover, if  $i + k \le n$  (i.e.,  $k \le n - i \le n - j$ ), then

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})) = x^k t^{(n-j)k - \binom{k+1}{2}} \tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})). \tag{3.11}$$

**Proof.** If  $i \leq j$ ,  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})) = 0$  is a special case of (3.6). Suppose  $j \leq i$ . If we put  $x = b^{-1}t^{-i-k}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., n-i-1), then the polynomial  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1}))$  satisfies the condition (3.6) of Lemma 3.3, so that it is equal to zero, which implies  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1}))$  is divisible by  $(xbt^i;t)_{n-i}$ . If we put  $x = at^{-k}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., i-j-1), then the polynomial  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1}))$  satisfies the condition (3.8) of Lemma 3.3, so that it is also equal to zero, which implies  $\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1}))$  is divisible by  $(xa^{-1};t)_{i-j}$ . Therefore we have

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})) = c(xbt^i;t)_{n-i}(xa^{-1};t)_{i-j}, \tag{3.12}$$

where c is some constant independent of x. Next we determine the explicit form of c. Put  $x = b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}$  in (3.12). Then, using (3.7), the left-hand side of (3.12) is written as

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_{j}(x,b^{-1}))\Big|_{x=b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}} = \tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_{i}(x,b^{-1}))\Big|_{x=b^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}} 
= \frac{(t;t)_{i}(t;t)_{n-i}(t;t)_{n-j}}{t^{\binom{n}{2}}(1-t)^{n}(t;t)_{i-j}} (a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)};t)_{i},$$
(3.13)

while the right-hand side of (3.12) is

$$c(xbt^{i};t)_{n-i}(xa^{-1};t)_{i-j}\Big|_{x=b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}} = c(t;t)_{n-i}(a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(i-1)};t)_{i-j}.$$
(3.14)

Comparing with (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$c = \frac{(t;t)_i(t;t)_{n-j}}{t^{\binom{n}{2}}(1-t)^n(t;t)_{i-j}} (a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(j-1)};t)_j.$$

Therefore we obtain (3.10). Moreover, if  $i + k \le n$ , by definition we have

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})) = (xt^{(n-j-1)})(xt^{(n-j-2)})\cdots(xt^{(n-j-k)})\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(x,b^{-1})),$$

which coincides with (3.11).

As a counterpart of Lemma 3.4, we have the following.

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $0 \le j \le n$ , let  $\zeta_j(a,y) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  be the point specified by (3.3) with x = a. Then

$$\tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(a,y)) = \frac{\left(ybt^{-(j-i-1)};t\right)_{j-i}\left(y/at^{n-1};t\right)_i(ab;t)_{n-j}\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_n}{\left(1-t^{-1}\right)^n} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-i\\n-j \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}}}{\begin{bmatrix} n\\j \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}}}.$$
(3.15)

Moreover, if  $n \le i + k$  (i.e.,  $n - j \le n - i \le k$ ), then

$$\tilde{E}_{k,i}(\zeta_j(a,y)) = y^{k+j-n} a^{n-j} t^{\binom{n-j}{2} - \binom{k+j-n}{2}} \tilde{E}_{0,i}(\zeta_j(a,y)).$$

**Proof.** This lemma can be proved in the same way as Lemma 3.4 using Lemma 3.3.

## 4 Three-term relations

In this section we fix  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) = \tilde{E}_{k,i}(a_1, b_2; z)$ . In particular we have  $e_i(a_1, b_2; z) = \tilde{E}_{0,i}(a_1, b_2; z)$ . The following lemma is a technical key for computing the coefficient matrix A of (1.17) in Theorem 1.7. We abbreviate  $\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i}, x \rangle$  to  $\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle$  throughout this section.

**Lemma 4.1** (three-term relations). Suppose  $i + k \leq n$ . Then,

$$\frac{1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{2n-k-1}}{a_1 t^{n-i-k}} \langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle = \left(1 - q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{n+i-k}\right) \langle \tilde{E}_{k-1,i} \rangle - \left(1 - a_2 b_2 t^i\right) \langle \tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1} \rangle. (4.1)$$

On the other hand, if  $i + k \ge n$ , then,

$$t^{n-k} (1 - q^{\alpha} t^{n-k}) \langle \tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1} \rangle$$

$$= a_1^{-1} q^{\alpha} t^{n+i-k} (1 - a_1 b_1 t^{n-i-1}) \langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle + a_2^{-1} (1 - q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{n+i-k}) \langle \tilde{E}_{k,i+1} \rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

The proof of this lemma will be given in Section 5. The rest of this section is devoted to computing the Gauss matrix decomposition of A in Theorem 1.7 using Lemma 4.1. By repeated use of Lemma 4.1, we have the following.

Corollary 4.2. Suppose  $i + k \le n$ . For  $0 \le l \le k$ ,  $\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle$  is expressed as

$$\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{l} L_{k-l,i+j}^{k,i} \langle \tilde{E}_{k-l,i+j} \rangle, \tag{4.3}$$

where the coefficients  $L_{k-l,i+j}^{k,i}$  is expressed as

$$L_{k-l,i+j}^{k,i} = \begin{bmatrix} l \\ j \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{(-1)^j \left(a_1 t^{n-i-k}\right)^l t^{\binom{l-j}{2}} \left(a_2 b_2 t^i; t\right)_j \left(q^\alpha a_2 b_2 t^{n+i+j-k}; t\right)_{l-j}}{\left(q^\alpha a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{2n-k-1}; t\right)_l}.$$

On the other hand, if  $i + k \ge n$ , then,

$$\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{l} U_{k-l+j,i-j}^{k,i} \langle \tilde{E}_{k-l+j,i-j} \rangle, \tag{4.4}$$

where the coefficient  $U_{k-l+j,i-j}^{k,i}$  is expressed as

$$U_{k-l+j,i-j}^{k,i} = \begin{bmatrix} l \\ j \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(-q^{\alpha}a_1^{-1}t^{i-l}\right)^j \left(a_2t^{n-k}\right)^l t^{\binom{l}{2}} \left(a_1b_1t^{n-i};t\right)_j \left(q^{\alpha}t^{n-k};t\right)_{l-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_2b_2t^{n+i-k-j-1};t\right)_{l-j} \left(q^{\alpha}a_2b_2t^{n+i-k-2j+l};t\right)_j}.$$

**Proof.** (4.3) and (4.4) follow by double induction on l and j using (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.

In particular, we immediately have the following as a special case of Corollary 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. For  $0 \le j \le n$ ,  $\langle E_{n-j,j} \rangle$  is expressed as

$$\langle \tilde{E}_{n-j,j} \rangle = \sum_{i=j}^{n} \tilde{l}_{ij} \langle \tilde{E}_{0,i} \rangle,$$
 (4.5)

where the coefficients  $\tilde{l}_{ij}$  is expressed as

$$\tilde{l}_{ij} = L_{0,i}^{n-j,j} = \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{(-1)^{i-j} a_1^{n-j} t^{\binom{n-i}{2}} (a_2 b_2 t^j; t)_{i-j} (q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{i+j}; t)_{n-i}}{(q^{\alpha} a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{n+j-1}; t)_{n-j}},$$

$$(4.6)$$

while, for  $0 \le j \le n$ ,  $\langle E_{n,j} \rangle$  is expressed as

$$\langle \tilde{E}_{n,j} \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \tilde{u}_{ij} \langle \tilde{E}_{n-i,i} \rangle,$$
 (4.7)

where the coefficients  $\tilde{u}_{ij}$  is expressed as

$$\tilde{u}_{ij} = U_{n-i,i}^{n,j} = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(-q^{\alpha}a_1^{-1}\right)^{j-i}a_2^j t^{\binom{j}{2}} \left(q^{\alpha};t\right)_i \left(a_1b_1t^{n-j};t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_2b_2t^{i-1};t\right)_i \left(q^{\alpha}a_2b_2t^{2i};t\right)_{j-i}}.$$

$$(4.8)$$

We now give the explicit expression for A in terms of Gauss decomposition.

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** From (4.5), we have

$$(\langle \tilde{E}_{n,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{n-1,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{1,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) \tilde{L},$$

where the matrix  $\tilde{L} = (\tilde{l}_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is defined by (4.6). Moreover, from (4.7) we have

$$(\langle \tilde{E}_{n,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{n,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{n,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{n,n} \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{E}_{n,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{n-1,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{1,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) \tilde{U}$$
(4.9a)  
$$= (\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) \tilde{L} \tilde{U},$$
(4.9b)

where the matrix  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{u}_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is defined by (4.8). Since  $T_{\alpha}\Phi(z) = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \Phi(z)$  and  $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \tilde{E}_{0,i}(z) = \tilde{E}_{n,i}(z)$ , we have  $T_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{E}_{0,i} \rangle = \langle \tilde{E}_{n,i} \rangle$ , i.e.,

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{E}_{n,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{n,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{n,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{n,n} \rangle). \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.9b) and (4.10), we obtain the difference system

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}_{0,n} \rangle) \tilde{L} \tilde{U}.$$

Comparing this with (1.17), we therefore obtain  $A = \tilde{L}\tilde{U} = L_A D_A U_A$ , i.e.,

$$l_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle A} = rac{ ilde{l}_{ij}}{ ilde{l}_{jj}}, \qquad d_{j}^{\scriptscriptstyle A} = ilde{l}_{jj} ilde{u}_{jj}, \qquad u_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle A} = rac{ ilde{u}_{ij}}{ ilde{u}_{ii}}.$$

Corollary 4.2 implies that  $l_{ij}^A$ ,  $d_j^A$  and  $u_{ij}^A$  coincide with (1.18a), (1.18b) and (1.18c), respectively. The Gauss decomposition of A in the opposite direction, i.e.,  $A = U_A' D_A' L_A'$  can also be given in the same way as above. This will be explained in the Appendix.

Finally we state the explicit forms for  $U_A^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** The inverse matrix  $U_A^{-1} = (u_{ij}^{A*})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is upper triangular, and is written as

$$u_{ij}^{A*} = \left(q^{\alpha} a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{j-1}\right)^{j-i} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_1 b_1 t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{j+i-1}; t\right)_{j-i}}.$$
(4.11)

**Proof.** Since  $A = \tilde{L}\tilde{U} = L_A D_A U_A$ , we have  $\tilde{U} = D_{\tilde{U}} U_A$ , where  $D_{\tilde{U}}$  is the diagonal matrix defined by the diagonal elements of  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{u}_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ , i.e.,  $D_{\tilde{U}} = (\tilde{u}_{ii}\delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ , where  $\tilde{u}_{ij}$  is given by (4.8). We first compute the explicit expression for  $\tilde{U}^{-1}$ . From (4.9a),  $\tilde{U}^{-1}$  is regarded as the transition matrix

$$(\langle E_{n,0} \rangle, \langle E_{n-1,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle E_{1,n-1} \rangle, \langle E_{0,n} \rangle) = (\langle E_{n,0} \rangle, \langle E_{n,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle E_{n,n-1} \rangle, \langle E_{n,n} \rangle) \tilde{U}^{-1}, \quad (4.12)$$

namely, if we write  $\tilde{U}^{-1} = (\tilde{v}_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$ , then (4.12) is equivalent to  $\langle \tilde{E}_{n-j,j} \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \tilde{v}_{ij} \langle \tilde{E}_{n,i} \rangle$ . Similar to Corollary 4.2, by repeated use of the three-term relation (4.4) inductively,  $\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle$  is generally expressed as

$$\langle \tilde{E}_{k,i} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{l} V_{k+l,i-j}^{k,i} \langle \tilde{E}_{k+l,i-j} \rangle,$$

where the coefficients  $V_{k+l,i-j}^{k,i}$  is given by

$$V_{k+l,i-j}^{k,i} = \begin{bmatrix} l \\ j \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(q^{\alpha} a_1^{-1} t^{i-1}\right)^j t^{\binom{l-j}{2} - \binom{j}{2}} \left(a_1 b_1 t^{n-i}; t\right)_j \left(q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{n+i-k-l-1}; t\right)_{l-j}}{\left(a_2 t^{n-k-1}\right)^{l-j} \left(q^{\alpha} t^{n-k-l}; t\right)_l}.$$

In particular, the entries  $\tilde{v}_{ij}$  of  $\tilde{U}^{-1}$  are explicitly expressed as

$$\tilde{v}_{ij} = V_{n,i}^{n-j,j} = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(q^{\alpha} a_1^{-1} t^{j-1}\right)^{j-i} t^{\binom{i}{2} - \binom{j-i}{2}} \left(a_1 b_1 t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i} \left(q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{j-1}; t\right)_i}{\left(a_2 t^{j-1}\right)^i \left(q^{\alpha}; t\right)_j}.$$

$$(4.13)$$

Next we compute  $U_A^{-1} = (u_{ij}^{A*})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ . Since  $U_A^{-1}$  is expressed as  $U_A^{-1} = \tilde{U}^{-1}D_{\tilde{U}}$ , using (4.8) and (4.13), we obtain

$$u_{ij}^{A*} = \tilde{v}_{ij}\tilde{u}_{jj}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t} \frac{\left(q^{\alpha}a_{1}^{-1}t^{j-1}\right)^{j-i}t^{\binom{i}{2}-\binom{j-i}{2}}\left(a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-j};t\right)_{j-i}\left(q^{\alpha}a_{2}b_{2}t^{j-1};t\right)_{i}}{\left(a_{2}t^{j-1}\right)^{i}\left(q^{\alpha};t\right)_{j}} \frac{a_{2}^{j}t^{\binom{j}{2}}\left(q^{\alpha};t\right)_{j}}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_{2}b_{2}t^{j-1};t\right)_{j}},$$

which coincides with (4.11).

#### 5 Proof of Lemma 4.1

The aim of this section is to give a proof of Lemma 4.1. Throughout this section we fix  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) = \tilde{E}_{k,i}(a_1,b_2;z)$ . For  $\Phi(z) = \Phi_{n,2}$ , let  $\nabla$  be the operator specified by

$$(\nabla \varphi)(z) := \varphi(z) - \frac{T_{q,z_1} \Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} T_{q,z_1} \varphi(z),$$

where  $T_{q,z_1}$  is the q-shift operator with respect to  $z_1 \to qz_1$ , i.e.,  $T_{q,z_1}f(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n) = f(qz_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)$  for an arbitrary function  $f(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)$ . Here the ratio  $T_{q,z_1}\Phi(z)/\Phi(z)$  is expressed explicitly as

$$\frac{T_{q,z_1}\Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} = \frac{q^{\alpha}t^{2(n-1)}(1-b_1z_1)(1-b_2z_1)}{\left(1-qa_1^{-1}z_1\right)\left(1-qa_2^{-1}z_1\right)} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \frac{z_1-t^{-1}z_j}{qz_1-tz_j} = \frac{G_1(z)}{T_{z_1}F_1(z)},$$

where

$$F_1(z) = (1 - a_1^{-1} z_1) (1 - a_2^{-1} z_1) \prod_{j=2}^n (z_1 - t z_j),$$

$$G_1(z) = q^{\alpha} t^{2(n-1)} (1 - b_1 z_1) (1 - b_2 z_1) \prod_{j=2}^n (z_1 - t^{-1} z_j).$$

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose that  $\int_0^{\infty} \Phi(z)\varphi(z)\varpi_q$  converges for a meromorphic function  $\varphi(z)$ , then

$$\int_0^{x\infty} \Phi(z) \nabla \varphi(z) \varpi_q = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^{x\infty} \Phi(z) \mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi(z) \varpi_q = 0.$$

**Proof.** See Lemma 5.3 in [19].

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 4.1. We show a further lemma before proving Lemma 4.1. For this purpose we abbreviate  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(a_1,b_2;z)$  to  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$ . When we need to specify the number of variables  $z_1,\ldots,z_n$ , we use the notation  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(z) = \tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$  and  $\Delta^{(n)}(z) = \Delta(z)$ . We set  $\varphi_{k,i}(z) := F_1(z)E_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(z_2,\ldots,z_n)$ . Then

$$\nabla \varphi_{k,i}(z) = (F_1(z) - G_1(z)) E_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)$  be the skew-symmetrization of  $\nabla \varphi_{k,i}(z)$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z) := \mathcal{A}\nabla\varphi_{k,i}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} (F_j(z) - G_j(z)) \tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_j) \Delta^{(n-1)}(\hat{z}_j), \tag{5.1}$$

where  $\hat{z}_j := (z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$F_i(z) = (1 - a_1^{-1} z_i) (1 - a_2^{-1} z_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ k \ne i}} (z_i - t z_k),$$

$$G_i(z) = q^{\alpha} t^{2(n-1)} (1 - b_1 z_i) (1 - b_2 z_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ k \ne i}} (z_i - t^{-1} z_k),$$

which satisfy the following vanishing property at the point  $z = \zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})$  or  $z = \zeta_j(a_1, y)$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** If  $i \neq 0$  and  $i \neq j$ , then  $F_{i+1}(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})) = 0$ . If  $i \neq n$ , then  $G_i(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})) = 0$ . Otherwise,

$$F_1(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})) = \frac{(1 - a_1^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-(j-1)})(1 - a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-(j-1)})}{(b_2t^{j-1})^{n-1}(1-t)}(t; t)_j(xb_2t^j; t)_{n-j},$$
(5.2a)

$$F_{j+1}(\zeta_j(x,b_2^{-1})) = (-1)^j \frac{(1-a_1^{-1}x)(1-a_2^{-1}x)x^{n-j-1}}{b_2^j t^{\binom{j-1}{2}-1}(1-t)} (t,t)_{n-j}(xb_2t^{-1};t)_j,$$
 (5.2b)

$$G_n(\zeta_j(x,b_2^{-1})) = q^{\alpha}t^{2(n-1)}(1-b_1xt^{n-j-1})(1-b_2xt^{n-j-1})$$

$$\times \left(-b_2^{-1}\right)^j t^{-\binom{j+1}{2}} \left(xbt^{n-j};t\right)_j \left(xt^{n-j-1}\right)^{n-j-1} \frac{\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_{n-j}}{1-t^{-1}},\tag{5.2c}$$

while, if  $i \neq 1$ , then  $F_i(\zeta_j(a_1, y)) = 0$ . If  $i \neq n$  and  $i \neq j$ , then  $G_i(\zeta_j(a_1, y)) = 0$ . Otherwise,

$$F_{1}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) = \left(1 - ya_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right)(-yt)^{j-1}(-a_{1}t)^{n-j}t^{\binom{n-j}{2}-\binom{j-1}{2}} \times \left(ya_{1}^{-1}t^{-(n-1)};t\right)_{n-j+1}\frac{\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_{j}}{1-t^{-1}},$$

$$(5.3a)$$

 $G_j(\zeta_j(a_1, y)) = q^{\alpha} t^{2(n-1)} (1 - yb_1)(1 - yb_2)$ 

$$\times y^{j-1}(-a_1t^{-1})^{n-j}t^{\binom{n-j}{2}}(ya_1^{-1}t^{-(n-j-2)};t)_{n-j}\frac{(t^{-1};t^{-1})_j}{1-t^{-1}},$$
(5.3b)

$$G_n(\zeta_j(a_1, y)) = q^{\alpha} t^{2(n-1)} \left(1 - a_1 b_1 t^{n-j-1}\right) \left(1 - a_1 b_2 t^{n-j-1}\right) \times \left(a_1 t^{n-j-1}\right)^{n-1} \left(y a_1^{-1} t^{-(n-1)}; t\right)_j \frac{\left(t^{-1}; t^{-1}\right)_{n-j}}{1 - t^{-1}}.$$
(5.3c)

**Proof.** The proof follows by direct computation and we omit the details.

Since the leading term of the symmetric polynomial  $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)/\Delta^{(n)}(z)$  is equal to  $m_{(1^{n-k}2^k)}(z)$  up to a multiplicative constant,  $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)/\Delta^{(n)}(z)$  is expressed as the linear combination of the symmetric polynomials  $\tilde{E}_{l,j}^{(n)}(z)$  in the following two ways:

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)}{\Delta^{(n)}(z)} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} c_{lj} \tilde{E}_{l,j}^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \sum_{j=n-l}^{n} d_{lj} \tilde{E}_{l,j}^{(n)}(z), \tag{5.4}$$

where  $c_{lj}$  and  $d_{lj}$  are some coefficients.

**Lemma 5.3.** Suppose  $i + k \le n$ . Then, (5.4) is written as

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)}{\Delta^{(n)}(z)} = c_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(z) + c_{k-1,i}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(z) + c_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(z), \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$c_{k,i} = -a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{k-1}\left(1 - q^{\alpha}a_1a_2b_1b_2t^{2n-k-1}\right) = q^{\alpha}b_1t^{2n-2} - a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{k-1},\tag{5.6a}$$

$$c_{k-1,i} = a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1} t^{n-i-1} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{n+i-k} \right) = a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1} t^{n-i-1} - q^{\alpha} t^{2n-k-1}, \tag{5.6b}$$

$$c_{k-1,i+1} = -a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{n-i-1}(1 - a_2b_2t^i) = t^{n-1}(1 - a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-i}).$$
(5.6c)

Suppose  $i + k \ge n$ . Then, (5.4) is written as

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)}{\Delta^{(n)}(z)} = d_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(z) + d_{k,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k,i+1}^{(n)}(z) + d_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(z), \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$d_{k,i} = -a_1^{-1} q^{\alpha} t^{n+i-1} (1 - a_1 b_1 t^{n-i-1}) = q^{\alpha} b_1 t^{2n-2} - q^{\alpha} a_1^{-1} t^{n+i-1},$$
(5.8a)

$$d_{k,i+1} = -a_2^{-1} t^{k-1} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_2 b_2 t^{n+i-k} \right) = q^{\alpha} b_2 t^{n+i-1} - a_2^{-1} t^{k-1}, \tag{5.8b}$$

$$d_{k-1,i+1} = t^{n-1} (1 - q^{\alpha} t^{n-k}) = t^{n-1} - q^{\alpha} t^{2n-k-1}.$$
 (5.8c)

**Remark 5.4.** Given Lemma 5.3, Lemma 4.1 immediately follows by Lemma 5.1. Instead of proving Lemma 4.1 it thus suffices to prove Lemma 5.3.

Before proving Lemma 5.3 we show it holds for the following specific cases.

**Lemma 5.5.** If  $i + k \le n$ , then the equation (5.5) holds for the points  $z = \zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})$  (j = 0, 1, ..., n), while if  $i + k \ge n$ , then the equation (5.7) holds for the points  $z = \zeta_j(a_1, y)$  (j = 0, 1, ..., n).

**Proof.** Suppose  $i + k \le n$ . If  $z = \zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})$ , then the right-hand side of (5.5) with coefficients given by (5.6a)–(5.6c) can be written as

$$c_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1})) + c_{k-1,i}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1})) + c_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))$$

$$= (c_{k,i}xt^{n-j-k} + c_{k-1,i})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1})) + c_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))$$

$$= \left[ (1 - a_{1}^{-1}xt^{i-j})a_{2}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{n-i-1} - q^{\alpha}t^{2n-k-1}(1 - xb_{1}t^{n-j-1})\right]\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))$$

$$+ t^{n-1}(1 - a_{2}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{-i})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))$$

$$= \left[ \frac{(1 - xb_{2}t^{i})(1 - t^{i-j+1})}{a_{2}b_{2}t^{i}(1 - t^{i+1})} + (1 - a_{2}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{-i})\right]t^{n-1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))$$

$$- q^{\alpha}t^{2n-k-1}(1 - xb_{1}t^{n-j-1})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1})). \tag{5.9}$$

The final equality follows from the relation

$$(1 - a_1^{-1}xt^{i-j})(1 - t^{i+1})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})) = (1 - xb_2t^i)(1 - t^{i-j+1})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})),$$

which follows from (3.10) and (3.11). On the other hand, using (5.1) and (5.2a)–(5.2c), the left-hand side of (5.5) at  $z = \zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})$  can be written as

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(\zeta_{j}(x,b^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))} = F_{1}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(x,b^{-1}))\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(x,b^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))} + (-1)^{j}F_{j+1}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n-1)}(xt,b^{-1}))\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n-1)}(xt,b^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))} + (-1)^{n}G_{n}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n-1)}(x,b^{-1}))\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))}.$$
(5.10)

Since we can compute

$$\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(x,b^{-1})) = \frac{t^{n-1}(1-t)(1-xbt^{i})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))}{(1-xbt^{n-1})(1-a^{-1}b^{-1}t^{-(j-1)})(1-t^{i+1})},$$
(5.11a)

$$\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(xt,b^{-1})) = \frac{t^{n-1}(1-t)(1-t^{i-j+1})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))}{(1-xa^{-1})(1-t^{i+1})(1-t^{n-j})},$$
(5.11b)

$$\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(x,b^{-1})) = \frac{t^{n-k}(1-t)\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))}{(1-xbt^{n-1})(1-t^{n-j})},$$
(5.11c)

and

$$\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(x,b^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))} = \frac{(b_2t^{j-1})^{n-1}}{(t;t)_{j-1}(xbt^{j-1};t)_{n-j}},$$
(5.11d)

$$\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(xt,b^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(x,b^{-1}))} = \frac{x^{-(n-j-1)}b_2^j t_2^{(j)}}{(t;t)_{n-j-1}(xb;t)_j}$$
(5.11e)

$$\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n-1)}\left(xb^{-1}\right)\right)}{\Delta^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}\left(x,b^{-1}\right)\right)} = \frac{x^{-(n-j-1)}b_{2}^{j}t^{\binom{j}{2}-\binom{n-j-1}{2}}}{(t;t)_{n-j-1}\left(xbt^{n-j-1};t\right)_{j}},\tag{5.11f}$$

applying (5.11a)–(5.11f) to (5.10), the left-hand side of (5.5) at  $z = \zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(\zeta_{j}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b_{2}^{-1}))} = \left[ \frac{\left(1 - a_{2}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right)\left(1 - t^{j}\right)\left(1 - xb_{2}t^{i}\right)}{\left(1 - xb_{2}t^{j-1}\right)\left(1 - t^{i+1}\right)} + \frac{\left(1 - a_{2}^{-1}x\right)\left(1 - xb_{2}t^{-1}\right)\left(1 - t^{i-j+1}\right)}{\left(1 - xb_{2}t^{j-1}\right)\left(1 - t^{i-j+1}\right)} t^{j} \right] t^{n-1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b_{2}^{-1})) - q^{\alpha}t^{2n-k-1}\left(1 - xb_{1}t^{n-j-1}\right)\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(x,b_{2}^{-1})). \tag{5.12}$$

Comparing (5.9) with (5.12), the claim of the lemma is proved if we can check the identity

$$\frac{\left(1 - xb_2t^i\right)\left(1 - t^{i-j+1}\right)}{a_2b_2t^i(1 - t^{i+1})} + \left(1 - a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-i}\right) 
= \frac{\left(1 - a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right)\left(1 - t^j\right)\left(1 - xb_2t^i\right)}{\left(1 - xb_2t^{j-1}\right)\left(1 - t^{i+1}\right)} + \frac{\left(1 - a_2^{-1}x\right)\left(1 - xb_2t^{-1}\right)\left(1 - t^{i-j+1}\right)}{\left(1 - xb_2t^{j-1}\right)\left(1 - t^{i+1}\right)}t^j,$$

which is confirmed by direct computation.

Next suppose  $i + k \ge n$ . If  $z = \zeta_j(a_1, y)$ , then the right-hand side of (5.7) with coefficients given by (5.8a)–(5.8c) can be written as

$$\begin{split} d_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) + d_{k,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) + d_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &= d_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) + \left[d_{k,i+1}yt^{-(k+j-n-1)} + d_{k-1,i+1}\right]\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &= -q^{\alpha}a_{1}^{-1}t^{n+i-1}\left(1 - a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-i-1}\right)\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &+ \left[t^{n-1}\left(1 - ya_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right) - q^{\alpha}t^{2n-k-1}\left(1 - yb_{2}t^{-(j-i-1)}\right)\right]\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &= -q^{\alpha}t^{n+i-1}\left[a_{1}^{-1}\left(1 - a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-i-1}\right) + \frac{\left(1 - ya_{1}^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)}\right)\left(1 - t^{-(j-i)}\right)}{yt^{-(j-i-1)}\left(1 - t^{-(n-i)}\right)}\right]\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &+ t^{n-1}\left(1 - ya_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right)\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &= -q^{\alpha}t^{n+j-2}\left[\frac{1 - a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-i-1}}{a_{1}t^{j-i-1}} + \frac{\left(1 - ya_{1}^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)}\right)\left(1 - t^{-(j-i)}\right)}{y\left(1 - t^{-(n-i)}\right)}\right]\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) \\ &+ t^{n-1}\left(1 - ya_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right)\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)). \end{split}$$
(5.13)

On the other hand, using (5.1) and (5.3a)–(5.3c), the left-hand side of (5.7) at  $z = \zeta_j(a_1, y)$  can be written as

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y))}{\Delta^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_{1},y)\right)} = F_{1}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_{1},y))\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}\left(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a_{1},y)\right) \frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}\left(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a_{1},y)\right)}{\Delta^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_{1},y)\right)} - (-1)^{j-1}G_{j}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_{1},y)\right)\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}\left(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a_{1},yt^{-1})\right) \frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}\left(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a_{1},yt^{-1})\right)}{\Delta^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_{1},y)\right)}$$

$$-(-1)^{n-1}G_n(\zeta_j^{(n)}(a_1,y))\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(a_1,y))\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(a_1,y))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(a_1,y))}.$$
(5.14)

Since we can compute

$$\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a,y)) = \frac{(1-t^{-1})\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a,y))}{(1-t^{-j})(1-ya^{-1}t^{-(n-1)})},$$
(5.15a)

$$\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a,yt^{-1})) = \frac{(1-t^{-1})(1-t^{-(j-i)})\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a,y))}{(1-t^{-j})(1-t^{-(n-i)})(1-yb)},$$
(5.15b)

$$\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(a,y)) = \frac{(1-t^{-1})(1-ya^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)})\tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(a,y))}{at^{n-j-1}(1-t^{-(n-i)})(1-ya^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)})(1-abt^{n-j-1})},$$
(5.15c)

and

$$\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a_1,y))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(a_1,y))} = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{y^{j-1}a_1^{n-j}t^{\binom{n-j}{2}-\binom{j-1}{2}}(ya_1^{-1}t^{-(n-2)};t)_{n-j}(t^{-1};t^{-1})_{j-1}},$$
(5.15d)

$$\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}\left(\zeta_{j-1}^{(n-1)}(a_1,yt^{-1})\right)}{\Delta^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_1,y)\right)} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{y^{j-1}a_1^{n-j}t^{\binom{n-j}{2}}\left(ya_1^{-1}t^{-(n-j-1)};t\right)_{n-j}\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_{j-1}},\tag{5.15e}$$

$$\frac{\Delta^{(n-1)}(\zeta_j^{(n-1)}(a_1, y))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_j^{(n)}(a_1, y))} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(a_1 t^{n-j-1})^{n-1} (y a_1^{-1} t^{-(n-2)}; t)_j (t^{-1}; t^{-1})_{n-j-1}},$$
(5.15f)

applying (5.15a)–(5.15f) to (5.14), the left-hand side of (5.7) at  $z = \zeta_j(a, y)$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y))}{\Delta^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}^{(n)}(a_{1},y))} = t^{n-1} \left(1 - ya_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)}\right) \tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)) 
- q^{\alpha}t^{n+j-2} \left[ \frac{(1 - yb_{1})(1 - ya^{-1}t)(1 - t^{-(j-i)})}{y(1 - ya^{-1}t^{-(n-j-1)})(1 - t^{-(n-i)})} \right] 
+ \left(1 - a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-j-1}\right) \frac{t(1 - ya^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)})(1 - t^{-(n-j)})}{a(1 - ya^{-1}t^{-(n-j-1)})(1 - t^{-(n-i)})} \tilde{E}_{k,i}^{(n)}(\zeta_{j}(a_{1},y)).$$
(5.16)

Comparing with (5.13) and (5.16), the claim of the lemma is proved if we can check the identity

$$\begin{split} \frac{1-a_1b_1t^{n-i-1}}{a_1t^{j-i-1}} + \frac{\left(1-ya_1^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)}\right)\left(1-t^{-(j-i)}\right)}{y\left(1-t^{-(n-i)}\right)} &= \frac{(1-yb_1)\left(1-ya^{-1}t\right)\left(1-t^{-(j-i)}\right)}{y\left(1-ya^{-1}t^{-(n-j-1)}\right)\left(1-t^{-(n-i)}\right)} \\ &+ \left(1-a_1b_1t^{n-j-1}\right)\frac{t\left(1-ya^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)}\right)\left(1-t^{-(n-j)}\right)}{a\left(1-ya^{-1}t^{-(n-j-1)}\right)\left(1-t^{-(n-i)}\right)}, \end{split}$$

which follows from direct computation.

**Proof of Lemma 5.3.** Set  $D_j = \{(l,i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid j \leq i, 0 \leq l, i+l \leq n\}$ , which satisfies  $D_0 \supset D_1 \supset \cdots \supset D_n = \{(0,n)\}$ . The set  $\{\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) \mid (k,i) \in D_0\}$  forms a basis for the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) \mid \lambda \leq (2^n)\}$ . If we put

$$\psi(z) := \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)}{\Delta(z)} - \left(c_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) + c_{k-1,i}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i}(z) + c_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}(z)\right),$$

where  $c_{k,i}$ ,  $c_{k-1,i}$  and  $c_{k-1,i+1}$  are specified by (5.6a)–(5.6c), then the symmetric polynomial  $\psi(z)$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$ ,  $(k,i) \in D_0$ , i.e.,

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{(l,m)\in D_0} c'_{lm} \tilde{E}_{l,m}(z), \tag{5.17}$$

where the coefficients  $c'_{lm}$  are some constants. We now prove  $\psi(z) = 0$  identically, i.e.,  $c'_{lm} = 0$  for all  $(l,m) \in D_0$  inductively. Namely, we prove that, if  $c'_{lm} = 0$  for  $(l,m) \in D_{j+1}$ , then  $c'_{lm} = 0$  for  $(l,m) \in D_j$ .

First we show that  $c'_{0n}=0$  as the starting point of induction. Using Lemma 3.4 for (5.17) at  $z=\zeta_n(x,b_2^{-1})$  we have  $\psi(\zeta_n(x,b_2^{-1}))=c'_{0n}\tilde{E}_{0,n}(\zeta_n(x,b_2^{-1}))$ . From Lemma 5.5 we have  $\psi(\zeta_n(x,b_2^{-1}))=0$ , while  $\tilde{E}_{0,n}(\zeta_n(x,b_2^{-1}))\neq 0$ . Therefore  $c'_{0n}=0$ .

Next suppose that  $c'_{lm}=0$  for  $(l,m)\in D_{j+1}$ . Then using Lemma 3.4 for (5.17) at  $z=\zeta_j(x,b_2^{-1})$  we have

$$\psi(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-j} c'_{lj} \tilde{E}_{l,j}(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})) = \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-j} c'_{lj} x^l t^{l(n-j) - \binom{l+1}{2}}\right) \tilde{E}_{0,j}(\zeta_j(x, b_2^{-1})).$$

From Lemma 5.5  $\psi(\zeta_j(x,b_2^{-1}))$  vanishes as a function of x, while  $\tilde{E}_{0,j}(\zeta_j(x,b_2^{-1})) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\sum_{l=0}^{n-j} c'_{lj} x^l t^{l(n-j)-\binom{l+1}{2}} = 0$ , i.e., the coefficient  $c'_{lj} t^{l(n-j)-\binom{l+1}{2}}$  of  $x^l$  vanishes for  $0 \leq l \leq n-j$ . Therefore  $c'_{lj} = 0$  for  $0 \leq l \leq n-j$ . This implies  $c'_{lm} = 0$  for  $(l,m) \in D_j$ .

On the other hand, we prove (5.7) of Lemma 5.3. Set  $D'_j = \{(l,i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n \leq i+l, 0 \leq l \leq n, 0 \leq i \leq j\}$ , which satisfies  $\{(n,0)\} = D'_0 \subset D'_1 \subset \cdots \subset D'_n$ . The set  $\{\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) \mid (k,i) \in D'_n\}$  also forms a basis for the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) \mid \lambda \leq (2^n)\}$ . If we put

$$\psi'(z) := \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i}(z)}{\Delta(z)} - \left(d_{k,i}\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z) + d_{k,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k,i+1}(z) + d_{k-1,i+1}\tilde{E}_{k-1,i+1}(z)\right),$$

where  $d_{k,i}, d_{k,i+1}$  and  $d_{k-1,i+1}$  are specified by (5.8a)–(5.8c), then the symmetric polynomial  $\psi'(z)$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $\tilde{E}_{k,i}(z)$ ,  $(k,i) \in D'_n$ , i.e.,

$$\psi'(z) = \sum_{(l,m)\in D'_n} d'_{lm}\tilde{E}_{l,m}(z),\tag{5.18}$$

where the coefficients  $d'_{lm}$  are some constants. We now prove  $\psi'(z)=0$  identically, i.e.,  $d'_{lm}=0$  for all  $(l,m)\in D'_n$  inductively. Namely, we prove that, if  $d'_{lm}=0$  for  $(l,m)\in D'_{j-1}$ , then  $d'_{lm}=0$  for  $(l,m)\in D'_j$ .

First we show that  $d'_{n0} = 0$  as the starting point of induction. Using Lemma 3.5 for (5.18) at  $z = \zeta_0((a_1, y))$  we have  $\psi'(\zeta_0(a_1, y)) = d'_{n0}\tilde{E}_{n,0}(\zeta_0(a_1, y))$ . From Lemma 5.5 we have  $\psi'(\zeta_0(a_1, y)) = 0$ , while  $\tilde{E}_{n,0}(\zeta_0(a_1, y)) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $d'_{n0} = 0$ .

Next suppose that  $d'_{lm} = 0$  for  $(l, m) \in D'_{j-1}$ . Then using Lemma 3.5 for (5.18) at  $z = \zeta_j(a_1, y)$  we have

$$\psi'(\zeta_j(a_1, y)) = \sum_{l=n-j}^n d'_{lj} \tilde{E}_{l,j}(\zeta_j(a_1, y))$$

$$= \left(\sum_{l=n-j}^n d'_{lj} y^{l+j-n} a_1^{n-j} t^{\binom{n-j}{2} - \binom{l+j-n}{2}}\right) \tilde{E}_{0,j}(\zeta_j(a_1, y)).$$

From Lemma 5.5  $\psi'(\zeta_j(a_1,y))$  vanishes as a function of y, while  $\tilde{E}_{0,j}(\zeta_j(a_1,y)) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\sum_{l=n-j}^n d'_{lj} y^{l+j-n} a_1^{n-j} t^{\binom{n-j}{2} - \binom{l+j-n}{2}} = 0$ , i.e., the coefficient  $d'_{lj} a_1^{n-j} t^{\binom{n-j}{2} - \binom{l+j-n}{2}}$  of  $y^{l+j-n}$  vanishes for  $n-j \leq l \leq n$ . Therefore  $d'_{lj} = 0$  for  $n-j \leq l \leq n$ . This implies  $d'_{lm} = 0$  for  $(l,m) \in D'_j$ .

## 6 The transition matrix R

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.4. Before proving Theorem 1.4, we will show the results deduced from Theorem 1.4. By the definition (1.12) of the transition matrix R, we have

$$R^{-1} = J\bar{R}J,$$

where the symbol  $\bar{R}$  is the matrix R after the interchange  $(a_1, b_1) \leftrightarrow (a_2, b_2)$  and J is the matrix specified by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 \\ & \dots & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The explicit form of the inverse matrix of R is given by

Corollary 6.1. The inverse matrix  $R^{-1}$  is written as Gauss matrix decomposition

$$R^{-1} = U_R^{-1} D_R^{-1} L_R^{-1} = L_R^{\prime -1} D_R^{\prime -1} U_R^{\prime -1},$$

where the inverse matrices  $L_R^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} l_{ij}^{R*} \end{pmatrix}_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D_R^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d_j^{R*} \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $U_R^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ij}^{R*} \end{pmatrix}_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are lower triangular, diagonal, upper triangular, respectively, given by

$$l_{ij}^{R*} = \overline{u_{n-i,n-j}^R} = \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_{t-1} \frac{\left(a_2 b_2 t^j; t\right)_{i-j}}{\left(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{i+j-n}; t\right)_{i-j}},\tag{6.1a}$$

$$d_j^{R*} = \overline{d_{n-j}^R} = \frac{\left(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{-(n-j)}; t\right)_j (a_1 b_2; t)_{n-j}}{(a_2 b_1; t)_j \left(a_2^{-1} a_1 t^{-j}; t\right)_{n-j}},\tag{6.1b}$$

$$u_{ij}^{R*} = \overline{l_{n-i,n-j}^R} = (-1)^{j-i} t^{-\binom{j-i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t-1} \frac{\left(a_1 b_1 t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(a_2^{-1} a_1 t^{n-2j+1}; t\right)_{j-i}},\tag{6.1c}$$

and the inverse matrices  $U_R'^{-1} = (u_{ij}^{R'*})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $D_R'^{-1} = (d_j^{R'*}\delta_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ ,  $L_R'^{-1} = (l_{ij}^{R'*})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  are upper triangular, diagonal, lower triangular, respectively, given by

$$u_{ij}^{R'*} = \overline{l_{n-i,n-j}^{R'}} = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)}; t\right)_{j-i}}{\left(b_2b_1^{-1}t^{-(n-2i-1)}; t\right)_{j-i}},\tag{6.2a}$$

$$d_{j}^{R'*} = \overline{d_{n-j}^{R'}} = \frac{\left(b_{2}b_{1}^{-1}t^{-(n-2j-1)};t\right)_{n-j}\left(a_{1}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{-(j-1)};t\right)_{j}}{\left(a_{2}^{-1}b_{1}^{-1}t^{-(n-j-1)};t\right)_{n-j}\left(b_{2}^{-1}b_{1}t^{n-2j+1};t\right)_{j}},\tag{6.2b}$$

$$l_{ij}^{R'*} = \overline{u_{n-i,n-j}^{R'}} = (-1)^{i-j} t^{\binom{i-j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1} t^{-(i-1)}; t\right)_{i-j}}{\left(b_2^{-1} b_1 t^{n-i-j}; t\right)_{i-j}}.$$
 (6.2c)

**Proof.** Since  $R^{-1} = J\bar{R}J$ , we have  $R^{-1} = U_R^{-1}D_R^{-1}L_R^{-1}$ , where  $L_R^{-1} = J\overline{U_R}J$ ,  $D_R^{-1} = J\overline{D_R}J$  and  $U_R^{-1} = J\overline{L_R}J$ . Thus we immediately have the expressions  $l_{ij}^{R*} = \overline{u_{n-i,n-j}^R}$ ,  $d_j^{R*} = \overline{d_{n-j}^R}$  and  $u_{ij}^{R*} = \overline{l_{n-i,n-j}^R}$ . From Theorem 1.4 this gives the explicit forms (6.1a), (6.1b) and (6.1c). On the other hand, we also have  $R^{-1} = L_R'^{-1}D_R'^{-1}U_R'^{-1}$ , where  $U_R'^{-1} = J\overline{L_R'}J$ ,  $D_R'^{-1} = J\overline{D_R'}J$  and  $L_R'^{-1} = J\overline{U_R'}J$ . Therefore  $u_{ij}^{R'*} = \overline{l_{n-i,n-j}^{R'}}$ ,  $d_j^{R'*} = \overline{d_{n-j}^{R'}}$ , and  $l_{ij}^{R'*} = \overline{u_{n-i,n-j}^{R'}}$ . Thus we obtain the expressions (6.2a), (6.2b) and (6.2c).

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4. For this purpose we introduce another set of symmetric polynomials different from Matsuo's polynomials.

For  $0 \le r \le n$ , let  $f_r(a_1, a_2; t; z)$  be (symmetric) polynomials specified by

$$f_r(a_1, a_2; t; z) := \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |I| = r}} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{z_{i_k} - a_2 t^{i_k - k}}{a_1 t^{k-1} - a_2 t^{i_k - k}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-r} \frac{z_{j_l} - a_1 t^{j_l - l}}{a_2 t^{l-1} - a_1 t^{j_l - l}},$$

$$(6.3)$$

where the summation is over all r-subsets I of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ , and  $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\}$ ,  $J = \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus I = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-r}\}$ . In particular,

$$f_0(a_1, a_2; t; z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i - a_1}{a_2 t^{i-1} - a_1}, \qquad f_n(a_1, a_2; t; z) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i - a_2}{a_1 t^{i-1} - a_2}.$$

We remark that the polynomials  $f_r(a_1, a_2; t; z)$  are called the Lagrange interpolation polynomials of type A and their properties are discussed in [19, Appendix B]. By definition the polynomial  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$  satisfies

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z) = f_{n-i}(a_2, a_1; t; z). (6.4)$$

When we need to specify the number of variables  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ , we use the notation  $f_i^{(n)}(a_1, a_2; t; z) = f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$ .

**Lemma 6.2** (recurrence relation). The polynomials (6.3) satisfy the following recurrence relations:

$$f_i^{(n)}(a_1, a_2; t; z) = \frac{z_n - a_2 t^{n-i}}{a_1 t^{i-1} - a_2 t^{n-i}} f_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(a_1, a_2; t; \widehat{z}_n) + \frac{z_n - a_1 t^i}{a_2 t^{n-i-1} - a_1 t^i} f_i^{(n-1)}(a_1, a_2; t; \widehat{z}_n)$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., n, where  $\widehat{z}_n = (z_1, ..., z_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ .

**Proof.** The lemma follows from a direct computation and we omit the detail.

For arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we define

$$\xi_j(x,y;t) := \left(\underbrace{x,xt,\dots,xt^{j-1}}_{j},\underbrace{y,yt,\dots,yt^{n-j-1}}_{n-j}\right) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$
(6.5)

for j = 0, 1, ..., n.

**Proposition 6.3.** The polynomial  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$  is symmetric in the variables  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ . The leading term of  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$  is  $m_{(1^n)}(z)$  up to a multiplicative constant. The functions  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$   $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$  satisfy

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(a_1, a_2; t)) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{6.6}$$

**Proof.** See [19, Example 4.3 and equation (4.7)]. Otherwise, using Lemma 6.2 we can also prove this proposition directly by induction on n.

**Remark 6.4.** The set of symmetric polynomials  $\{f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z) | i = 0, 1, ..., n\}$  forms a basis of the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) | \lambda \leq (1^n)\}$ . Conversely such basis satisfying the condition (6.6) is uniquely determined. Thus we can take Proposition 6.3 as a definition of the polynomials  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$ , instead of (6.3).

Lemma 6.5 (triangularity). Suppose that

$$\xi_j(a_1) := \left(\underbrace{a_1, a_1 t, \dots, a_1 t^{j-1}}_{j}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-j}\right) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

If i < j, then

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_i(a_1)) = 0. (6.7)$$

Moreover,  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_i(a_1))$  evaluates as

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_i(a_1)) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-i} \frac{z_l - a_1 t^i}{a_2 t^{l-1} - a_1 t^i} = \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-i} \left(1 - z_l a_1^{-1} t^{-i}\right)}{\left(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{-i}; t\right)_{n-i}}.$$
(6.8)

On the other hand, suppose that

$$\eta_j(a_2) := (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j, \underbrace{a_2, a_2t, \dots, a_2t^{n-j-1}}_{n-j}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

If i > j, then

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_i(a_2)) = 0.$$
 (6.9)

Moreover,  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_i(a_2))$  evaluates as

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_i(a_2)) = \prod_{l=1}^i \frac{z_l - a_2 t^{n-i}}{a_1 t^{l-1} - a_2 t^{n-i}} = \frac{\prod_{l=1}^i \left(1 - z_l a_2^{-1} t^{-(n-i)}\right)}{\left(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-(n-i)}; t\right)_i}.$$
 (6.10)

**Proof.** First we show (6.9) by induction on n. For simplicity we write  $\eta_i(a_2)$  as  $\eta_i$ . Suppose i > j. Using Lemma 6.2 we have

$$f_i^{(n)}(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_j) = \frac{a_2 t^{n-j-1} - a_2 t^{n-i}}{a_1 t^{i-1} - a_2 t^{n-i}} f_{i-1}^{(n-1)} (a_1, a_2; t; \eta_j^{(n-1)}) + \frac{a_2 t^{n-j-1} - a_1 t^i}{a_2 t^{n-i-1} - a_1 t^i} f_i^{(n-1)} (a_1, a_2; t; \eta_j^{(n-1)}),$$

where  $\eta_j^{(n-1)} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j, a_2, a_2t, \dots, a_2t^{n-j-2}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ . Since  $f_i^{(n-1)}(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_i^{(n-1)}) = 0$  by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$f_i^{(n)}(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_j) = \frac{a_2 t^{n-j-1} - a_2 t^{n-i}}{a_1 t^{i-1} - a_2 t^{n-i}} f_{i-1}^{(n-1)} (a_1, a_2; t; \eta_j^{(n-1)}).$$

If i-1>j, then  $f_{i-1}^{(n-1)}\left(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_j^{(n-1)}\right)=0$  by the induction hypothesis, while if i-1=j, then  $a_2t^{n-j-1}-a_2t^{n-i}=0$ . In any case we obtain  $f_i^{(n)}(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_i)=0$ , which is the claim of (6.9). Next we show (6.10). If we put  $z_l=a_2t^{n-i}$  for  $l\in\{1,\ldots,i\}$  in the polynomial  $f_i(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_i(a_2))$  of  $z_1,\ldots,z_i$ , then we have  $f_i(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_i(a_2))=0$  because  $f_i(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_i(a_2))|_{z_k=a_2t^{n-i}}$  satisfies the condition of (6.9). This implies  $f_i(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_i(a_2))$  is divisible by  $\prod_{l=1}(z_l-a_2t^{n-i})$ , so that we have  $f_i(a_1,a_2;t;\eta_i(a_2))=c\prod_{l=1}^i(z_l-a_2t^{n-i})$ , where c is some constant. Thus

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_i(a_2))\Big|_{(z_1, \dots, z_i) = (a_1, a_1t, \dots, a_1t^{i-1})} = c \prod_{l=1}^i (a_1t^{l-1} - a_2t^{n-i}).$$

On the other hand, (6.6) implies that

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \eta_i(a_2))\Big|_{(z_1, \dots, z_i) = (a_1, a_1t, \dots, a_1t^{i-1})} = f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_i(a_1, a_2; t)) = 1.$$

We therefore obtain  $c = 1/\prod_{l=1}^{i} (a_1 t^{l-1} - a_2 t^{n-i})$ , which implies (6.10). Finally we show (6.7) and (6.8). From (6.4) we have

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(a_1)) = f_{n-i}(a_2, a_1; t; \xi_j(a_1)) = f_{n-i}(a_2, a_1; t; \eta_{n-j}(a_1)).$$

If i < j (i.e., n - i > n - j), then using (6.9) we see that the right-hand side of the above is equal to zero. Moreover, using (6.10) we obtain

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_i(a_1)) = f_{n-i}(a_2, a_1; t; \eta_{n-i}(a_1)) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-i} \frac{z_l - a_1 t^i}{a_2 t^{l-1} - a_1 t^i} = \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-i} \left(1 - z_l a_1^{-1} t^{-i}\right)}{\left(a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{-i}; t\right)_{n-i}},$$

which completes the proof.

Corollary 6.6. Let  $\xi_j(x, a_2; t) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  be the point specified by (6.5) with  $y = a_2$ . Then  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t))$  evaluates as

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}} \frac{\left(x a_1^{-1}; t\right)_{j-i} \left(x a_2^{-1} t^{-(n-j)}; t\right)_i}{\left(a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{n-j-i}; t\right)_{j-i} \left(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-(n-i)}; t\right)_i}.$$
 (6.11)

**Proof.** If i > j, then  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) = 0$  is a special case of (6.9) in Lemma 6.5. Now we assume that  $i \le j$ . If we put  $x = a_2 t^{n-j-k}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., i - 1), then from (6.9) we have  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) = 0$ . If we put  $x = a_1 t^{-k}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., j - i - 1), then from (6.7) we also have  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) = 0$ . This implies that  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t))$  as a polynomial of x is divisible by  $(xa_1)_{j-i}(xa_2^{-1}t^{-(n-j)})_i$ . Since the degree of  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t))$  as a function of x is equal to x, the function x is equal to x, the function x is expressed as

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) = c(xa_1^{-1}; t)_{j-i} (xa_2^{-1}t^{-(n-j)}; t)_i,$$

where c is some constant. In order to determine the constant c, we put  $x = a_2 t^{n-j-i}$  in the above equation. Then

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) \Big|_{x = a_0 t^{n-j-i}} = c \left( a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{n-j-i}; t \right)_{j-i} \left( t^{-i}; t \right)_i,$$

while, from (6.10) we have

$$f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_j(x, a_2; t)) \Big|_{x = a_2 t^{n-j-i}} = f_i(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_i(x, a_2; t)) \Big|_{x = a_2 t^{n-j-i}} = \frac{(t^{-j}; t)_i}{(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-(n-i)}; t)_i}.$$

The constant c can be explicitly computed as

$$c = \frac{(t^{-j};t)_{i}}{(a_{1}^{-1}a_{2}t^{n-j-i};t)_{j-i}(a_{1}a_{2}^{-1}t^{-(n-i)};t)_{i}(t^{-i};t)_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{(t^{-1};t^{-1})_{j}}{(a_{1}^{-1}a_{2}t^{n-j-i};t)_{j-i}(a_{1}a_{2}^{-1}t^{-(n-i)};t)_{i}(t^{-1};t^{-1})_{j-i}(t^{-1};t^{-1})_{i}}.$$

We therefore obtain (6.11).

**Lemma 6.7.** Suppose that  $\tilde{U}_R$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e_n(a_2, b_1; z), e_{n-1}(a_2, b_1; z), \dots, e_0(a_2, b_1; z)) \\
&= (f_n(a_1, a_2; t; z), f_{n-1}(a_1, a_2; t; z), \dots, f_0(a_1, a_2; t; z)) \tilde{U}_R.
\end{aligned} (6.12)$$

Then  $\tilde{U}_R = \left(\tilde{u}_{ij}^R\right)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  is an upper triangular matrix with entries given by

$$\tilde{u}_{ij}^{R} = \frac{\left(a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-j}; t\right)_{j-i}\left(a_{1}a_{2}^{-1}t^{-i}; t\right)_{n-j}\left(a_{2}b_{1}; t\right)_{i}\left(t^{-1}; t^{-1}\right)_{n}}{\left(1 - t^{-1}\right)^{n}} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}}}{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}}}.$$
(6.13)

Suppose that  $\tilde{L}_R$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix satisfying

$$(f_n(a_1, a_2; t; z), f_{n-1}(a_1, a_2; t; z), \dots, f_0(a_1, a_2; t; z))$$

$$= (e_0(a_1, b_2; z), e_1(a_1, b_2; z), \dots, e_n(a_1, b_2; z))\tilde{L}_R.$$
(6.14)

Then  $\tilde{L}_R = (\tilde{l}_{ij}^R)_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is a lower triangular matrix with entries given by

$$\tilde{l}_{ij}^{R} = \frac{(-1)^{i-j} t^{-\binom{i-j}{2}} (a_2 b_2 t^j; t)_{i-j} (1 - t^{-1})^n}{(a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{-(n-2j-1)}; t)_{i-j} (a_1 b_2; t)_{n-j} (a_1^{-1} a_2 t^{-(n-j)}; t)_j (t^{-1}; t^{-1})_n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}}. (6.15)$$

**Proof.** Since both  $\{e_i(a_2, b_1; z) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\{f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$  form bases of the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) \mid \lambda < (1^n)\}$ , the polynomial  $e_i(a_2, b_1; z)$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$   $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ , i.e.,

$$e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tilde{u}_{ij}^{R} f_{n-i}(a_1, a_2; t; z),$$

where  $\tilde{u}_{ij}^R$  are some constants. From the vanishing property (6.6), the coefficient  $\tilde{u}_{ij}^R$  is given by

$$\tilde{u}_{ij}^{R} = e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; \xi_{n-i}(a_1, a_2; t)) = e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; \zeta_{n-i}(a_2, y)) \Big|_{y=a_1 t^{n-i-1}}.$$
(6.16)

From (3.15) in Lemma 3.5,  $e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; \zeta_{n-i}(a_2, y))$  evaluates as

$$\begin{split} e_{n-j}(a_2,b_1;\zeta_{n-i}(a_2,y)) \\ &= \big(yb_1t^{-(j-i-1)};t\big)_{j-i}\big(ya_2^{-1}t^{-(n-1)};t\big)_{n-j}(a_2b_1;t)_i\frac{\big(t^{-1};t^{-1}\big)_{n-i}\big(t^{-1};t^{-1}\big)_j}{\big(t^{-1};t^{-1}\big)_{j-i}\big(1-t^{-1}\big)^n}. \end{split}$$

Combining this and (6.16), we obtain the expression (6.13).

Since  $\{e_i(a_1, b_2; z) \mid i = 0, 1, ..., n\}$  is also a basis of the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) \mid \lambda < (1^n)\}$ , the polynomial  $f_i(a_1, a_2; t; z)$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $e_i(a_1, b_2; z)$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n), i.e.,

$$f_{n-j}(a_1, a_2; t; z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tilde{l}_{ij}^{R} e_i(a_1, b_2; z),$$

where  $\tilde{l}_{ij}^R$  are some constants. From (3.4), the coefficient  $\tilde{l}_{ij}^R$  is written as

$$\tilde{l}_{ij}^{R} = \frac{f_{n-j}(a_1, a_2; t; \zeta_i(a_1, b_2^{-1}))}{c_i} = c_i^{-1} f_{n-j}(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_{n-i}(a_1, x)) \Big|_{x = b_2^{-1} t^{-(i-1)}},$$
(6.17)

where  $c_i$  is given explicitly in (3.5b) as

$$c_{i} = (a_{1}b_{2};t)_{n-i} \left(a_{1}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{-(n-1)};t\right)_{i} \frac{\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_{i}\left(t^{-1};t^{-1}\right)_{n-i}}{\left(1-t^{-1}\right)^{n}}.$$
(6.18)

Using (6.11) in Corollary 6.6, we have

$$f_{n-j}(a_1, a_2; t; \xi_{n-i}(a_1, x)) = f_j(a_2, a_1; t; \xi_{n-i}(a_1, x)) = f_j(a_2, a_1; t; \xi_i(x, a_1))$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{t^{-1}} \frac{(x a_2^{-1}; t)_{i-j} (x a_1^{-1} t^{-(n-i)}; t)_j}{(a_2^{-1} a_1 t^{n-i-j}; t)_{i-j} (a_2 a_1^{-1} t^{-(n-j)}; t)_j}.$$

Combining this, (6.17) and (6.18), we therefore obtain the expression (6.15).

**Lemma 6.8.** Suppose that  $\tilde{L}'_R$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e_n(a_2, b_1; z), e_{n-1}(a_2, b_1; z), \dots, e_0(a_2, b_1; z)) \\
&= (f_n(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z), f_{n-1}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z), \dots, f_0(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z)) \tilde{L}'_R.
\end{aligned} (6.19)$$

Then  $\tilde{L}'_R = (\tilde{l}_{ij}^R)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  is a lower triangular matrix with entries given by

$$\tilde{l}_{ij}^{R'} = \frac{\left(a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}; t\right)_{i-j} \left(a_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)}; t\right)_{n-i} \left(b_1b_2^{-1}t^{n-i-j+1}; t\right)_j (t; t)_n}{t^{\binom{n}{2}} (1-t)^n} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} i\\j \end{bmatrix}_t}{\begin{bmatrix} n\\j \end{bmatrix}_t}.$$
(6.20)

Suppose that  $\tilde{U}'_R$  is the  $(n+1)\times(n+1)$  matrix satisfying

$$\left(f_n(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z), f_{n-1}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z), \dots, f_0(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z)\right) 
= \left(e_0(a_1, b_2; z), e_1(a_1, b_2; z), \dots, e_n(a_1, b_2; z)\right) \tilde{U}_R',$$
(6.21)

then  $\tilde{U}'_R = (\tilde{u}_{ij}^R)_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  is an upper triangular matrix with entries given by

$$\tilde{u}_{ij}^{R'} = \frac{(-1)^{j-i} t^{\binom{j-i}{2}} + \binom{n}{2} \left(a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} t^{-(n-i-1)}; t\right)_{j-i} (1-t)^n}{\left(b_1^{-1} b_2 t^{-(n-i-j)}; t\right)_{j-i} \left(b_1^{-1} b_2 t^{-(n-2j-1)}; t\right)_{n-j} \left(a_1^{-1} b_2^{-1} t^{-(j-1)}; t\right)_j (t; t)_n} \times \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \\ t \end{bmatrix}_t \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \\ t \end{bmatrix}_t.$$
(6.22)

**Proof.** Since both  $\{e_i(a_2,b_1;z) | i=0,1,\ldots,n\}$  and  $\{f_i(b_1^{-1},b_2^{-1};t^{-1};z) | i=0,1,\ldots,n\}$  form bases of the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) | \lambda < (1^n)\}$ , the polynomial  $e_i(a_2,b_1;z)$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $f_i(b_1^{-1},b_2^{-1};t^{-1};z)$   $(i=0,1,\ldots,n)$ , i.e.,

$$e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \tilde{l}_{ij}^{R'} f_{n-i}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z),$$

where  $\tilde{l}_{ij}^{R'}$  are some constants. From (6.6) we have

$$\tilde{l}_{ij}^{R'} = e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; \xi_{n-i}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1})) = e_{n-j}(a_2, b_1; \zeta_{n-i}(x, b_1^{-1})) \Big|_{x = b_2^{-1} t^{-(i-1)}}.$$
(6.23)

Using (3.10) in Lemma 3.4 we have

$$e_{n-j}(a_2,b_1;\zeta_{n-i}(x,b_1^{-1})) = (xb_1t^{n-j};t)_i(xa_2^{-1};t)_{i-j}(a_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}t^{-(n-i-1)};t)_{n-i}$$

$$\times \frac{(t;t)_{n-j}(t;t)_i}{t^{\binom{n}{2}}(1-t)^n(t;t)_{i-j}}.$$

Combining this and (6.23), we obtain (6.20).

On the other hand, since  $\{e_i(a_1,b_2;z) | i=0,1,\ldots,n\}$  is also a basis of the linear space spanned by  $\{m_{\lambda}(z) | \lambda < (1^n)\}$ , the polynomial  $f_i(b_1^{-1},b_2^{-1};t^{-1};z)$  is expressed as a linear combination of  $e_i(a_1,b_2;z)$   $(i=0,1,\ldots,n)$ , i.e.,

$$f_{n-j}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; z) = \sum_{i=0}^n \tilde{u}_{ij}^{R'} e_i(a_1, b_2; z),$$

where  $\tilde{u}_{ij}^{R'}$  are some constants. From (3.4) we have

$$\tilde{u}_{ij}^{R'} = \frac{f_{n-j}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; \zeta_i(a_1, b_2^{-1}))}{c_i} 
= \frac{f_{n-j}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; \xi_{n-i}(x, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}))}{c_i} \Big|_{x=a_1t^{n-i-1}},$$
(6.24)

where  $c_i$  is the constant given in (3.5a) as

$$c_{i} = \left(a_{1}b_{2}t^{i}; t\right)_{n-i} \left(a_{1}^{-1}b_{2}^{-1}t^{-(i-1)}; t\right)_{i} \frac{(t; t)_{i}(t; t)_{n-i}}{t^{\binom{n}{2}}(1-t)^{n}}.$$
(6.25)

From (6.11) in Corollary 6.6 we have

$$f_{n-j}(b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}; \xi_{n-i}(x, b_2^{-1}; t^{-1}))$$

$$= \frac{(xb_1; t^{-1})_{j-i}(xb_2t^i; t^{-1})_{n-j}(t; t)_{n-i}}{(b_1b_2^{-1}t^{-(i+j-n)}; t^{-1})_{j-i}(b_1^{-1}b_2t^j; t^{-1})_{n-j}(t; t)_{j-i}(t; t)_{n-j}}.$$

Combining this, (6.24) and (6.25), we therefore obtain the expression (6.22).

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** From (6.12) and (6.14) in Lemma 6.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e_n(a_2, b_1; z), e_{n-1}(a_2, b_1; z), \dots, e_0(a_2, b_1; z)) \\
&= (e_0(a_1, b_2; z), e_1(a_1, b_2; z), \dots, e_n(a_1, b_2; z)) \tilde{L}_R \tilde{U}_R,
\end{aligned} (6.26)$$

where  $\tilde{L}_R = (\tilde{l}_{ij}^R)_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  and  $\tilde{U}_R = (\tilde{u}_{ij}^R)_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  are the matrices given by (6.13) and (6.15), respectively. Comparing (6.26) with (1.14), we obtain  $R = \tilde{L}_R \tilde{U}_R = L_R D_R U_R$ , i.e.,

$$l_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle R} = rac{ ilde{l}_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle R}}{ ilde{l}_{jj}^{\scriptscriptstyle R}}, \qquad d_{j}^{\scriptscriptstyle R} = ilde{l}_{jj}^{\scriptscriptstyle R} ilde{u}_{jj}^{\scriptscriptstyle R}, \qquad u_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle R} = rac{ ilde{u}_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle R}}{ ilde{u}_{ii}^{\scriptscriptstyle R}}.$$

Lemma 6.7 implies that  $l_{ij}^R$ ,  $d_j^R$  and  $u_{ij}^R$  above coincide with (1.15a), (1.15b) and (1.15c), respectively. On the other hand, from (6.19) and (6.21) in Lemma 6.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e_n(a_2, b_1; z), e_{n-1}(a_2, b_1; z), \dots, e_0(a_2, b_1; z)) \\
&= (e_0(a_1, b_2; z), e_1(a_1, b_2; z), \dots, e_n(a_1, b_2; z)) \tilde{U}'_R \tilde{L}'_R,
\end{aligned} (6.27)$$

where  $\tilde{U}'_R = \left(\tilde{u}^{R\prime}_{ij}\right)_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  and  $\tilde{L}'_R = \left(\tilde{l}^{R\prime}_{ij}\right)_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  are the matrices given by (6.20) and (6.22), respectively. Comparing (6.27) with (1.14), we obtain  $R = \tilde{U}'_R \tilde{L}'_R = U'_R D'_R L'_R$ , i.e.,

$$u_{ij}^{R'} = \frac{\tilde{u}_{ij}^{R'}}{\tilde{u}_{jj}^{R'}}, \qquad d_{j}^{R'} = \tilde{u}_{jj}^{R'} \tilde{l}_{jj}^{R'}, \qquad l_{ij}^{R'} = \frac{\tilde{l}_{ij}^{R'}}{\tilde{l}_{ii}^{R'}}.$$

Lemma 6.8 implies that  $u_{ij}^{R'}$ ,  $d_j^{R'}$  and  $l_{ij}^{R'}$  above coincide with (1.16a), (1.16b) and (1.16c), respectively.

# A Appendix

In this appendix we consider the Gauss decomposition part  $A = U'_A D'_A L'_A$  of Theorem 1.7. Since the method to compute  $A = U'_A D'_A L'_A$  is almost the same as that to compute  $A = L_A D_A U_A$ , we only give the outline of the proof. For this purpose, we define another family of interpolation polynomials  $\tilde{E}'_{k,i}(a,b;z)$  slightly different from (3.2). Set

$$\tilde{E}'_{k,i}(z) = \tilde{E}'_{k,i}(a,b;z) := \mathcal{A}E'_{k,i}(a,b;z)/\Delta(z),$$

where

$$E'_{k,i}(a,b;z) := \underbrace{z_{n-k+1}z_{n-k+2}\cdots z_n}_{k} \Delta(t;z) \prod_{j=1}^{n-i} (1-bz_j) \prod_{j=n-i+1}^{n} (1-a^{-1}z_j).$$

We now specify  $a=a_1,\,b=b_2,$  i.e., we set  $\tilde{E}'_{k,i}(z)=\tilde{E}'_{k,i}(a_1,b_2;z)$  throughout this section.

**Lemma A.1** (three-term relations). Suppose  $k \leq i$ . Then,

$$a_2^{-1} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{2n-k-1} \right) \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k,i} \right\rangle$$

$$= t^{k-1} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2n-k-i} \right) \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k-1,i} \right\rangle - q^{\alpha} t^{n-1} \left( 1 - a_1 b_1 t^{n-i} \right) \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k-1,i-1} \right\rangle.$$
(A.1)

On the other hand, if  $k \geq i$ , then,

$$a_1^{-1} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2n-k-i} \right) \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k,i-1} \right\rangle$$

$$= t^{k-i} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} t^{n-k} \right) \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k-1,i-1} \right\rangle - a_2^{-1} t^{-(i-1)} \left( 1 - a_2 b_2 t^{i-1} \right) \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k,i} \right\rangle.$$
(A.2)

**Proof.** Put  $\tilde{\varphi}'_{k,i-1}(z) := \mathcal{A}\nabla_1 \varphi'_{k,i-1}(z)$ , where

$$\varphi'_{k,i-1}(z) := \left(1 - a_1^{-1} z_1\right) \left(1 - a_2^{-1} z_1\right) \prod_{i=2}^n (z_1 - t z_i) \times E_{k-1,i-1}^{(n-1)}(z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

Then, by a similar argument to that used in the proof of Lemma 5.3, it follows that, if  $k \leq i$ , the polynomial  $\tilde{\varphi}'_{k,i-1}(z)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}'_{k,i-1}(z)}{\Delta(z)} = c'_{k,i}\tilde{E}'_{k,i}(z) + c'_{k-1,i}\tilde{E}'_{k-1,i}(z) + c'_{k-1,i-1}\tilde{E}'_{k-1,i-1}(z),\tag{A.3}$$

where

$$c'_{k,i} = -a_2^{-1} t^{n-1} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 t^{2n-k-1} \right),$$

$$c'_{k-1,i} = t^{n+k-2} \left( 1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2n-k-i} \right),$$

$$c'_{k-1,i-1} = -q^{\alpha} t^{2n-2} \left( 1 - a_1 b_1 t^{n-i} \right),$$

while, if  $i \leq k$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi}'_{k,i-1}(z)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\tilde{\varphi}'_{k,i-1}(z)}{\Delta(z)} = d'_{k,i}\tilde{E}'_{k,i}(z) + d'_{k,i-1}\tilde{E}'_{k,i-1}(z) + d'_{k-1,i-1}\tilde{E}'_{k-1,i-1}(z),\tag{A.4}$$

where

$$d'_{k,i} = -a_2^{-1} t^{n-1} (1 - a_2 b_2 t^{i-1}),$$

$$d'_{k,i-1} = -a_1^{-1} t^{n+i-2} (1 - q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2n-k-i}),$$

$$d'_{k-1,i-1} = t^{n+k-2} (1 - q^{\alpha} t^{n-k}).$$

Using the expressions (A.3) and (A.4) for  $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,i-1}(z)$ , (A.1) and (A.2) follow by application of Lemma 5.1.

By repeated use of the three-term relations (A.1) and (A.2), we obtain the following.

**Lemma A.2.** If  $k \leq i$ , then

$$\langle \tilde{E}'_{k,i} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{l} U'^{k,i}_{k-l,i-j} \langle \tilde{E}'_{k-l,i-j} \rangle,$$

where

$$U_{k-l,i-j}^{\prime k,i} = \left(-q^{\alpha}t^{n-k+l-1}\right)^{j} \left(a_{2}t^{k-l}\right)^{l} t^{\binom{l-j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{t} \frac{\left(a_{1}b_{1}t^{n-i}; t\right)_{j} \left(q^{\alpha}a_{1}b_{1}t^{2n-k-i+j}; t\right)_{l-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_{1}a_{2}b_{1}b_{2}t^{2n-k-1}; t\right)_{l}},$$

while, if  $k \geq i$ , then

$$\left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k,i} \right\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{l} L'^{k,i}_{k-l+j,i+j} \left\langle \tilde{E}'_{k-l+j,i+j} \right\rangle,$$

where

$$L_{k-l+j,i+j}^{\prime k,i} = \begin{bmatrix} l \\ j \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(-a_2^{-1}t^{-(k-1)}\right)^j \left(a_1t^{k-i-1}\right)^l t^{-\binom{l}{2}} \left(q^\alpha t^{n-k};t\right)_{l-j} \left(a_2b_2t^i;t\right)_j}{\left(q^\alpha a_1b_1t^{2n-k-i-j-1};t\right)_{l-j} \left(q^\alpha a_1b_1t^{2n-k-i-2j+l};t\right)_j}.$$

As a special case of the above lemma we immediately have the following.

**Lemma A.3.** For  $0 \le j \le n$ ,  $\langle \tilde{E}'_{j,j} \rangle$  is expressed as

$$\langle \tilde{E}'_{j,j} \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \tilde{u}'_{ij} \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,i} \rangle,$$
 (A.5)

where

$$\tilde{u}'_{ij} = U'^{j,j}_{0,i} = \left(-q^{\alpha}t^{n-1}\right)^{j-i}a_2^j t^{\binom{i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} j\\i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_1b_1t^{n-j};t\right)_{j-i} \left(q^{\alpha}a_1b_1t^{2n-i-j};t\right)_i}{\left(q^{\alpha}a_1a_2b_1b_2t^{2n-j-1};t\right)_j},\tag{A.6}$$

while, for  $0 \le j \le n$ ,  $\langle \tilde{E}'_{n,j} \rangle$  is expressed as

$$\langle \tilde{E}'_{n,j} \rangle = \sum_{i=j}^{n} \tilde{l}'_{ij} \langle \tilde{E}'_{i,i} \rangle, \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$\tilde{l}'_{ij} = L'^{n,j}_{i,i} = (-1)^{i-j} \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{a_1^{n-j} a_2^{-(i-j)} t^{\binom{n-i}{2} + \binom{j}{2} - \binom{i}{2}} (q^{\alpha}; t)_{n-i} (a_2 b_2 t^j; t)_{i-j}}{(q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{n-i-1}; t)_{n-i} (q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2(n-i)}; t)_{i-j}}.$$
(A.8)

**Proof of** (1.19a)–(1.19c) in **Theorem 1.7.** From (A.5), we have

$$\left(\left\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0}^{\prime}\right\rangle ,\left\langle \tilde{E}_{1,1}^{\prime}\right\rangle ,\ldots ,\left\langle \tilde{E}_{n-1,n-1}^{\prime}\right\rangle ,\left\langle \tilde{E}_{n,n}^{\prime}\right\rangle \right)=\left(\left\langle \tilde{E}_{0,0}^{\prime}\right\rangle ,\left\langle \tilde{E}_{0,1}^{\prime}\right\rangle ,\ldots ,\left\langle \tilde{E}_{0,n-1}^{\prime}\right\rangle ,\left\langle \tilde{E}_{0,n}^{\prime}\right\rangle \right)\tilde{U}^{\prime},$$

where the matrix  $\tilde{U}' = (\tilde{u}'_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$  is defined by (A.6). Moreover, from (A.7) we have

$$\left(\langle \tilde{E}'_{n,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,n} \rangle\right) = \left(\langle \tilde{E}'_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{1,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n-1,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,n} \rangle\right) \tilde{L}' 
= \left(\langle \tilde{E}'_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n} \rangle\right) \tilde{U}' \tilde{L}', (A.9)$$

where the matrix  $\tilde{L}' = (\tilde{l}'_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is defined by (A.8). Since  $T_{\alpha}\Phi(z) = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \Phi(z)$  and  $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n \tilde{E}'_{0,i}(z) = \tilde{E}'_{n,i}(z)$ , we have  $T_{\alpha} \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,i} \rangle = \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,i} \rangle$ , i.e.,

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle \tilde{E}'_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n} \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{E}'_{n,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{n,n} \rangle). \quad (A.10)$$

From (A.9) and (A.10), we obtain the difference system

$$T_{\alpha}(\langle \tilde{E}'_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n} \rangle) = (\langle \tilde{E}'_{0,0} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle \tilde{E}'_{0,n} \rangle) \tilde{U}' \tilde{L}'.$$

Comparing this with (1.17), we therefore obtain  $A = \tilde{U}'\tilde{L}' = U'_A D'_A L'_A$ , i.e.,

$$u_{ij}^{A\prime} = \frac{\tilde{u}_{ij}^{\prime}}{\tilde{u}_{jj}^{\prime}}, \qquad d_{j}^{A\prime} = \tilde{u}_{jj}^{\prime} \tilde{l}_{jj}^{\prime}, \qquad l_{ij}^{A\prime} = \frac{\tilde{l}_{ij}^{\prime}}{\tilde{l}_{ii}^{\prime}}.$$

Lemma A.3 implies that  $u_{ij}^{A\prime}$ ,  $d_j^{A\prime}$  and  $l_{ij}^{A\prime}$  above coincide with (1.19a), (1.19b) and (1.19c), respectively, which completes the proof.

Finally we give an explicit forms for  $L_A^{\prime -1}$ .

**Proposition A.4.** The inverse matrix  $L_A^{\prime -1} = (l_{ij}^{A'*})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is lower triangular and is written

$$l_{ij}^{AI*} = \begin{bmatrix} n-j \\ n-i \end{bmatrix}_t \frac{\left(a_1 a_2^{-1} t^{-j}\right)^{i-j} \left(a_2 b_2 t^j; t\right)_{i-j}}{\left(q^{\alpha} a_1 b_1 t^{2n-i-j-1}; t\right)_{i-j}}.$$

**Proof.** Using (A.2) we can calculate the entries  $l_{ij}^{A'*}$  of the lower triangular matrix  $L_A^{\prime -1}$  by completely the same way as Proposition 4.4. We omit the details.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees who kindly provided many careful comments and suggestions for improving his manuscript. He would also like to express his gratitude to Professor Peter J. Forrester for providing considerable encouragement from the early stage of this research. The comments and suggestions by Professor Yasuhiko Yamada on the preliminary version of this manuscript are most appreciated. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number (C)18K03339.

### References

- [1] Albion S.P., Rains E.M., Warnaar S.O., AFLT-type Selberg integrals, arXiv:2001.05637.
- [2] Andrews G.E., Askey R., Roy R., Special functions, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] Aomoto K., Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg integrals, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 18 (1987), 545–549.
- [4] Aomoto K., q-analogue of de Rham cohomology associated with Jackson integrals. I, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 66 (1990), 161–164.
- [5] Aomoto K., Connection matrices and Riemann–Hilbert problem for q-difference equations, in Structure of Solutions of Differential Equations (Katata/Kyoto, 1995), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996, 51–69.
- [6] Aomoto K., On elliptic product formulas for Jackson integrals associated with reduced root systems, J. Algebraic Combin. 8 (1998), 115–126.
- [7] Aomoto K., Kato Y., A q-analogue of de Rham cohomology associated with Jackson integrals, in Special Functions (Okayama, 1990), ICM-90 Satell. Conf. Proc., Springer, Tokyo, 1991, 30–62.

- [8] Aomoto K., Kato Y., Gauss decomposition of connection matrices and application to Yang-Baxter equation. I, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 69 (1993), 238–242.
- [9] Aomoto K., Kato Y., Gauss decomposition of connection matrices for symmetric A-type Jackson integrals, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 1 (1995), 623–666.
- [10] Aomoto K., Kato Y., Derivation of q-difference equation from connection matrix for Selberg type Jackson integrals, J. Differ. Equations Appl. 4 (1998), 247–278.
- [11] Askey R., Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 (1980), 938–951.
- [12] Bosnjak G., Mangazeev V.V., Construction of *R*-matrices for symmetric tensor representations related to  $U_q(\widehat{sl_n})$ , *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** (2016), 495204, 19 pages, arXiv:1607.07968.
- [13] Evans R.J., Multidimensional q-beta integrals, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 23 (1992), 758-765.
- [14] Forrester P.J., Ito M., Difference system for Selberg correlation integrals, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 43 (2010), 175202, 19 pages, arXiv:1011.1650.
- [15] Forrester P.J., Warnaar S.O., The importance of the Selberg integral, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 45 (2008), 489–534, arXiv:0710.3981.
- [16] Habsieger L., Une q-intégrale de Selberg et Askey, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19 (1988), 1475–1489.
- [17] Ishikawa M., Zeng J., Hankel hyperpfaffian calculations and Selberg integrals, arXiv:2008.09776.
- [18] Ito M., Forrester P.J., A bilateral extension of the q-Selberg integral, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), 2843–2878, arXiv:1309.0001.
- [19] Ito M., Noumi M., Connection formula for the Jackson integral of type A<sub>n</sub> and elliptic Lagrange interpolation, SIGMA 14 (2018), 077, 42 pages, arXiv:1801.07041.
- [20] Kadell K.W.J., A proof of Askey's conjectured q-analogue of Selberg's integral and a conjecture of Morris, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19 (1988), 969–986.
- [21] Kaneko J., Selberg integrals and hypergeometric functions associated with Jack polynomials, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 24 (1993), 1086–1110.
- [22] Kaneko J., q-Selberg integrals and Macdonald polynomials, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 29 (1996), 583–637.
- [23] Kim J.S., Okada S., A new q-Selberg integral, Schur functions, and Young books, Ramanujan J. 42 (2017), 43–57, arXiv:1412.7914.
- [24] Kim J.S., Stanton D., On q-integrals over order polytopes, Adv. Math. 308 (2017), 1269–1317, arXiv:1608.03342.
- [25] Kim J.S., Yoo M., Hook length property of d-complete posets via q-integrals, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 162 (2019), 167–221, arXiv:1708.09109.
- [26] Kuniba A., Okado M., Yoneyama A., Matrix product solution to the reflection equation associated with a coideal subalgebra of  $U_q(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ , Lett. Math. Phys. 109 (2019), 2049–2067, arXiv:1812.03767.
- [27] Macdonald I.G., Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [28] Matsuo A., Jackson integrals of Jordan-Pochhammer type and quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 151 (1993), 263–273.
- [29] Matsuo A., Quantum algebra structure of certain Jackson integrals, Comm. Math. Phys. 157 (1993), 479–498.
- [30] Mimachi K., Connection problem in holonomic q-difference system associated with a Jackson integral of Jordan–Pochhammer type, Nagoya Math. J. 116 (1989), 149–161.
- [31] Mimachi K., Holonomic q-difference system of the first order associated with a Jackson integral of Selberg type, *Duke Math. J.* 73 (1994), 453–468.
- [32] Rimányi R., Tarasov V., Varchenko A., Zinn-Justin P., Extended Joseph polynomials, quantized conformal blocks, and a q-Selberg type integral, J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012), 2188–2207, arXiv:1110.2187.
- [33] Varchenko A., Quantized Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations, quantum Yang–Baxter equation, and difference equations for q-hypergeometric functions, Comm. Math. Phys. 162 (1994), 499–528.
- [34] Varchenko A., Special functions, KZ type equations, and representation theory, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 98, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [35] Warnaar S.O., q-Selberg integrals and Macdonald polynomials, Ramanujan J. 10 (2005), 237–268.