\mathbf{SeMR} ISSN 1813-3304

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports http://semr.math.nsc.ru

Том 3, стр. 342–345 (2006) Краткие сообщения УДК 515.124, 517.54 MSC 28A80, 52C25

ON THE RIGIDITY OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS OF CONTRACTION SIMILITUDES

ANDREI V. TETENOV

Associated family of similitudes $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ for a system S of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R}^d was primarily introduced by C.Bandt and S.Graf in their work [1] as a tool for checking, whether the invariant set K(S) of the system S has positive Hausdorff measure. This approach was developed in [3],[5],[2], leading to formulation of weak separation property (WSP) and it's application to graph-directed systems.

Nevertheless, thorough consideration of the associated family of similitudes allows to throw light upon some other properties of self-similar sets. If the identity map is a limit point for the associated family $\mathfrak{F}(S)$, the system S demonstrates the rigidity phenomenon. We regard it in the case when the invariant set K(S) of the system S is the segment [0,1]. Our main result is that in this situation any continuous map $\varphi:K(S)\to K(T)$ of the attractor of the system S to the attractor of the other system of contraction similitudes T which agrees with the structure of self-similar set on the sets K(S) and K(T) is a linear map of [0,1] to a straight line segment.

1. Definitions and notation. A compact set K = K(S) in \mathbb{R}^d is called an attractor or invariant set of the system $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$ of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R}^d if $K = S_1(K) \cup \ldots \cup S_m(K)$. Existence and uniqueness of the set K follow from theorem of Hutchinson ([4, crp. 724]).

We denote by $I = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ a set of first m natural numbers, by I^k – the set of multiindices of length k and $I^* = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I^k$. Given a system $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$ of maps of \mathbb{R}^d to itself, for each multiindex $\mathbf{i} \in I^k$, $\mathbf{i} = i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k$, we define $S_{\mathbf{i}} = S_{i_1} \cdot S_{i_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot S_{i_k} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

Tetenov A.V., On the rigidity of one-dimensional systems of contraction similitudes.

^{© 2006} Tetenov A.V.

The work is supported by "Universities of Russia" (grant UR 04.01.456).

Communicated by A.D.Mednykh July 11, 2006, published September 30, 2006.

We denote by Lip(g) the expansion ratio of the similitude g, and by fix(g) we denote it's fixed point. If g is a translation we put $\text{fix}(g) = \infty$.

- 1.1 The associated family. A family of all transformations having the form $S_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \cdot S_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\mathbf{i} \in I^*$, $\mathbf{j} \in I^*$ and $i_1 \neq j_1$ we call, following [1, p.996], the associated family $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ of similitudes of the system S.
- 1.2 Structure-preserving homeomorphisms. Let $S = \{S_1, ..., S_m\}$ and $T = \{T_1, ..., T_m\}$ be two systems of contraction maps of complete metric spaces X_1 and X_2 to themselves. Let $K(S) \subset X_1$ and $K(T) \subset X_2$ be the attractors of these two systems. A continuous map $\varphi : K(S) \to K(T)$ is said to be *structure-preserving*, if

(1)
$$\forall x \in K(S) \ \forall i \in I \ \varphi(S_i(x) = T_i(\varphi(x))$$

It follows immediately from this definition, that for any multiindex $\mathbf{j} \in I^*$, and for any $x \in [0,1]$ the relation $\varphi(S_{\mathbf{j}}(x) = S_{\mathbf{j}}(\varphi(x)))$ is also valid. The same is also true for the elements of associated families:

Proposition 1. Let $\varphi: K(S) \to K(T)$ – be a structure-preserving map of the attractors of systems S and T. Let $g = S_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \cdot S_{\mathbf{j}}$ and $h = T_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \cdot T_{\mathbf{j}}$ – be the elements of $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(T)$. The relation $\varphi \cdot g(x) = h \cdot \varphi(x)$ holds for any $x \in K(S) \cap g^{-1}(K(S))$.

Two systems $S = \{S_1, ..., S_m\}$ and $T = \{T_1, ..., T_m\}$ are called *structurally equivalent*, if there is a structure-preserving homeomorphism $\varphi : K(S) \to K(T)$.

2. The main results.

The first statement belongs to the case when each of the invariant sets is [0,1]:

Theorem 1. Let $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$, $T = \{T_1, \ldots, T_m\}$ be systems of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R} , invariant set of each being the segment [0,1], and let $\varphi : K(S) \to K(T)$ be a structure-preserving homeomorphism for these two systems, such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$. If Id is a limit point of the associated family $\mathfrak{F}(S)$ for the system S, then $\varphi(x) \equiv x$, and S = T.

The scheme of the proof is the following:

Let $g_n = S_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} S_{\mathbf{j}}$ be such a sequence of elements of the family $\mathfrak{F}(S)$, that $g_n \to \mathrm{Id}$ (and $g_n([0,1]) \cap [0,1] \neq \emptyset$), and let $h_n = T_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} T_{\mathbf{j}}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathfrak{F}(S)$, which are conjugate to g_n via the homeomorphism φ in the sense of Proposition 1. We prove that this pair of conjugate sequences may be modified to satisfy the following conditions:

- 1. Each map g_n, h_n preserves orientation on \mathbb{R} .
- 2. Either (A): all similitudes g_n are translations $g_n(x) = x + \Delta_n$, where $\Delta_n > 0$, or (B): the expansion ratios $p_n = \text{Lip}(g_n) \neq 1$, $g_n(0) \geq 0$ and the sequence of points $\text{fix}(g_n)$ converges to infinity;
 - 3. Either (I): all similarities h_n are translations $h_n(x) = x + \delta_n, \delta_n > 0$,
- or (II): the expansion ratios $q_n = \text{Lip}(h_n) \neq 1$, $g_n(0) \geq 0$ and the sequence of points $\text{fix}(h_n)$ converges to infinity;
 - 4. In the case (,II) there is $\lim_{n\to\infty} \log_{p_n} q_n = \alpha$;
 - 5. For any n, $g_n(0) > 0$, $h_n(0) > 0$.

Let $\Gamma(\varphi) \subset [0,1] \times [0,1]$ be the graph of the homeomorphism φ . Take some $\varepsilon \in (0,1/4)$.

For n sufficiently large, the points $(g_n^k(0), h_n^k(0))$, where k runs from 0 to $M_n = \max\{k: g_n^k(0) \leq 1\}$, form an ε -net in the set $\Gamma(\varphi)$. At the same time these points belong to an arc γ , specified by an equation $y = \psi(x), x \in [0, 1]$, where:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\Delta_n}{\delta_n} x \text{ in the case (AI)};$$

$$\psi(x) = L(e^{\lambda x} - 1), \text{ where } \lambda = \frac{\log q_n}{\Delta_n}, L = -\operatorname{fix}(h_n) \text{ in the case (AII)};$$

$$\psi(x) = L'\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{L}\right)^{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda = \frac{\log q_n}{\log p_n}, L = -\operatorname{fix}(g_n), L' = -\operatorname{fix}(h_n) \text{ in the case (BII)}.$$

In each of these three cases we proof that for n sufficiently large, Hausdorff distance between γ and a segment $C=\{y=x,x\in[0,1]\}$, is not greater than ε . Since ε may be arbitrarily small, γ and C(and therefore $\Gamma(\varphi)$ and C) coincide, hence $\varphi(x)\equiv x$.

The second statement treats structure-preserving homeomorphisms $\varphi: K(S) \to K(T)$ in the case, when K(S) = [0,1], and K(T) is a Jordan arc.

Theorem 2. Let $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$ be a system of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R} , whose attractor is segment [0,1]. Let Jordan arc $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with endpoints a_0, a_1 is the attractor of a system $T = \{T_1, \ldots, T_m\}$ of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\varphi : [0,1] \to \gamma$ be a structure-preserving homeomorphism for the systems S and T.

If Id is a limit point for the family $\mathfrak{F}(S)$, then the arc γ is a straight line segment with endpoints a_0, a_1 .

The method of the proof of this theorem is similar to the previous one. Assuming, that the affine hull of γ is \mathbb{R}^d , we build such sequence $g_n \in \mathfrak{F}(S)$, that for it and for the sequence $h_n \in \mathfrak{F}(T)$, conjugate to g_n via homeomorphism φ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. g_n and h_n preserve orientation in [0,1] and γ correspondingly;
- $2. g_n(0) > 0;$
- 3. a sequence of distances Δ_n from the point a_0 to the closest proper strictly invariant affine codimension 2 subspace V_n of similitude h_n converges to infinity.

Take $\varepsilon > 0$. For n sufficiently large, the points $h_n^k(a_0)$, where k runs from 0 to $M_n = \max\{k : g_n^k(0) \le 1\}$ form an ε -net in γ . At the same time they all are contained in an arc of a loxodrome $L_n = \{h_n^t(a_0), t \in [0, M_n]\}$. By virtue of condition 3, n may be chosen so that Hausdorff distance between the arc L_n and a straight line segment $[a_0, a_1]$ is no greater than ε . Since ε may be arbitrarily small, this means that γ is equal to the segment $[a_0, a_1]$.

Using the result of Theorem 2 and slightly modifying the argument in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain:

Theorem 3. Let $S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$ be a system of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R} , whose attractor is segment [0,1]. Let a continuum $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the attractor of a system $T = \{T_1, \ldots, T_m\}$ of contraction similitudes in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\varphi : [0,1] \to \gamma$ be a structure-preserving map of the attractors of the systems S and T.

If the identity map Id is a limit point for the family $\mathfrak{F}(S)$, then γ is a straight line segment, and φ is a linear map.

References

- [1] Bandt Ch., Graf S. Self-similar sets 7. A characterization of self-similar fractals with positive Hausdorff measure, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 114, No. 4 (1992), 995–1001.
- Das M., Edgar G. A. Separation properties for graph-directed self-similar fractals, Preprint, (2003).

- [3] Lau K.-S., Ngai S.-M. Multifractal measures and weak separation condition, Adv. Math., 141 (1999), 45–96.
- [4] Hutchinson J. Fractals and self-similarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 30, No. 5 (1981), 713–747.
- [5] Zerner M. Weak separation properties for self-similar sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 3529–3539.

Andrei V. TETENOV Gorno-Altaisk State University, Lenkin st. 1, 649000, Gorno-Altaisk, Russia

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{atet@gasu.ru}$