

**ALMOST NORMALITY AND MILD NORMALITY
OF THE TYCHONOFF PLANK**

LUTFI N. KALANTAN

Abstract: The Tychonoff Plank is a popular example of the fact normality is not hereditary. We will show that it is mildly normal but not almost normal.

The Tychonoff plank $X = (\omega_1 + 1 \times \omega + 1) \setminus \{\langle \omega_1, \omega \rangle\}$ is a famous example of a $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space which is not normal, see [1]. It is also a famous example of the fact that normality is not hereditary, see [1]. In this paper, we will show that the Tychonoff plank is mildly normal but not almost normal. We will denote an order pairs by $\langle x, y \rangle$, the set of positive integers by \mathbb{N} and the set of all real numbers by \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1. A subset A of a topological space X is called *regularly closed* (called also, *closed domain*) if $A = \overline{\text{int } A}$. Two subsets A and B in a topological space X are said to be separated if there exist two disjoint open subsets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. \square

Definition 2. A topological space X is called *mildly normal* (called also κ -normal) if any two disjoint regularly closed subsets A and B of X , can be separated. \square

Received: March 13, 2004; *Revised:* May 9, 2004.

AMS Subject Classification: 54D15, 54B10.

Keywords: κ -normal; mildly normal; almost normal; regularly closed; normal.

In [2], Shchepin introduced the notion of κ -normal property. He required regularity in his definition. In [3], Singal and Singal introduced the notion of mildly normal property. They did not require regularity.

Let ω be the first infinite ordinal and ω_1 be the first uncountable ordinal with their usual order topology. Consider the product space $\omega_1 + 1 \times \omega + 1$. The Tychonoff Plank is the subspace $X = (\omega_1 + 1 \times \omega + 1) \setminus \{\langle \omega_1, \omega \rangle\}$. Write $X = A \cup B \cup C$, where $A = \{\omega_1\} \times \omega$, $B = \omega_1 \times \{\omega\}$, and $C = X \setminus (A \cup B)$. Let $p_1: \omega_1 + 1 \times \omega + 1 \rightarrow \omega_1 + 1$ and $p_2: \omega_1 + 1 \times \omega + 1 \rightarrow \omega + 1$ be the natural projections. To show that X is mildly normal, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *If H and K are closed disjoint unseparated subsets of X , then either $(p_1(H \cap B)$ is unbounded and $p_2(K \cap A)$ is unbounded) or $(p_1(K \cap B)$ is unbounded and $p_2(H \cap A)$ is unbounded).*

Proof: Let H and K be any closed disjoint unseparated subsets of X . Suppose that the conclusion is false. This gives us that $(p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded or $p_2(K \cap A)$ is bounded) and $(p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded and $p_2(H \cap A)$ is bounded). This gives us the following four cases:

1. $p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded and $p_2(H \cap A)$ is bounded.
2. $p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded and $p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded.
3. $p_2(K \cap A)$ is bounded and $p_2(H \cap A)$ is bounded.
4. $p_2(K \cap A)$ is bounded and $p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded.

Case 1: $p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded and $p_2(H \cap A)$ is bounded. Let γ be the least upper bound of $p_1(H \cap B)$ and m be the least upper bound of $p_2(H \cap A)$. In the space $Y = \omega_1 + 1 \times \omega + 1 \supset X$ we have that $\langle \omega_1, \omega \rangle \notin \overline{H}^Y$. Because if $\langle \omega_1, \omega \rangle \in \overline{H}^Y$, then for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and for each $n < \omega$, we have $((\alpha, \omega_1] \times (n, \omega]) \cap H \neq \emptyset$. Pick $k > m$ and $\alpha > \gamma$. Pick $\langle \alpha_1, k_1 \rangle \in ((\alpha, \omega_1] \times (k, \omega]) \cap H$. Pick $\langle \alpha_2, k_2 \rangle \in ((\alpha_1, \omega_1] \times (k_1, \omega]) \cap H$. Observe that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and $k_1 < k_2$. If $l \geq 3$, $l < \omega$, and $\langle \alpha_1, k_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \alpha_l, k_l \rangle$ are all picked such that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_l$ and $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_l$. Then pick $\langle \alpha_{l+1}, k_{l+1} \rangle \in ((\alpha_l, \omega_1] \times (k_l, \omega]) \cap H$. By induction, we get a countably infinite sequence $\{\langle \alpha_i, k_i \rangle : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1}$ and $k_i < k_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since ω_1 has uncountable cofinality, then there exists a limit ordinal $\beta < \omega_1$ such that $\langle \beta, \omega \rangle$ is a limit point of the sequence $\{\langle \alpha_i, k_i \rangle : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq H$. Hence $\langle \beta, \omega \rangle \in \overline{H}^X = H$. This means that $\langle \beta, \omega \rangle \in H \cap B$ with $\gamma < \beta$ which is a contradiction because γ is the least upper bound. Therefore, H is closed in Y . Now, let $K^* = K \cup \{\langle \omega_1, \omega \rangle\}$. Then K^* is closed in Y which is disjoint from H .

Since Y is normal, being a T_2 -compact space, then H and K^* can be separated in Y by two disjoint open sets, say U and V with $H \subseteq U$ and $K^* \subseteq V$. Now, the two X -open sets U and $V \cap X$ are disjoint with $H \subseteq U$ and $K \subseteq V \cap X$. So, H and K are separated, which is a contradiction.

Case 4: $p_2(K \cap A)$ is bounded and $p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded. This case is similar to Case 1.

Case 2: $p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded and $p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded. Let γ_1 be the least upper bound for $p_1(H \cap B)$ and γ_2 be the least upper bound for $p_1(K \cap B)$. For each $n \in p_2(K \cap A)$, there exists an $\alpha_n < \omega_1$ such that the open set $V_n = (\alpha_n, \omega_1] \times \{n\}$ is disjoint from H . For each $m \in p_2(H \cap A)$, there exists a $\beta_m < \omega_1$ such that the open set $U_m = (\beta_m, \omega_1] \times \{m\}$ is disjoint from K . Now, the set $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha_n, \beta_m : n \in p_2(K \cap A), m \in p_2(H \cap A)\}$ is a countable subset of ω_1 . Pick an upper bound ξ of it. Now, observe that the set $D = \{\langle \alpha, k \rangle \in H \cup K : \xi \leq \alpha < \omega_1 \text{ and } k \notin p_2(K \cap A) \cup p_2(H \cap A)\}$ is countable. So, pick an upper bound ζ of the set $\{\alpha : \langle \alpha, k \rangle \in D \text{ for some } k < \omega\}$ with $\xi \leq \zeta$. Let $\eta = \zeta + 1$. We have that $(\eta, \omega_1] \times \{n\} \subseteq V_n$ for each $n \in p_2(K \cap A)$ and $(\eta, \omega_1] \times \{m\} \subseteq U_m$ for each $m \in p_2(H \cap A)$. Thus $\bigcup_{n \in p_2(K \cap A)} (\eta, \omega_1] \times \{n\} = N$ is open and disjoint from H . Also, $\bigcup_{m \in p_2(H \cap A)} (\eta, \omega_1] \times \{m\} = M$ is open and disjoint from K . Now, consider the clopen (closed-and-open) subspace $Z = \eta + 1 \times \omega + 1$ of X which is normal, being T_2 -compact. So, the disjoint Z -closed subsets $Z \cap H$ and $Z \cap K$ can be separated in Z by, say, G and L with $Z \cap H \subseteq G$ and $Z \cap K \subseteq L$. Now, let $U = M \cup G$ and $V = N \cup L$. Then U and V are disjoint X -open subsets with $H \subseteq U$ and $K \subseteq V$. Thus H and K are separated in X which is a contradiction.

Case 3: $p_2(K \cap A)$ is bounded and $p_2(H \cap A)$ is bounded. In this case, we must have that either $p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded or $p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded since closed unbounded subsets of ω_1 have nonempty intersection and H and K are disjoint. Since either $p_1(H \cap B)$ is bounded or $p_1(K \cap B)$ is bounded, then this case is reduced to either Case 1 or Case 4.

In each case we got a contradiction. Therefore, the Lemma is true. ■

Theorem 1. *The Tychonoff Plank X is mildly normal.*

Proof: Suppose that there exist two disjoint non-empty regularly closed subsets H and K of X which are unseparated. We have that $\text{int } H \neq \emptyset \neq \text{int } K$. Since any regularly closed set is closed, then, by Lemma 1, assume, without loss of generality, that $p_1(H \cap B)$ is unbounded and $p_2(K \cap A)$ is unbounded.

Claim 1: For each $n \in p_2(K \cap A)$ and for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists $\beta > \alpha$ with $\langle \beta, n \rangle \in \text{int } K \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega)$.

The statement is clear if $\langle \omega_1, n \rangle \in \text{int } K$. If $\langle \omega_1, n \rangle \notin \text{int } K$, then for any basic open neighborhood of $\langle \omega_1, n \rangle$ which is of the form $(\alpha, \omega_1] \times \{n\}$, where $\alpha < \omega_1$, will meet $\text{int } K$ because $\langle \omega_1, n \rangle \in K = \overline{\text{int } K}$.

Claim 2: For each $\gamma \in p_1(H \cap B)$, for each $\zeta_\gamma < \gamma$, and for each $m < \omega$ there exist $n > m$ and β with $\zeta_\gamma < \beta \leq \gamma$ and $\langle \beta, n \rangle \in \text{int } H \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega)$.

The statement is clear if $\langle \gamma, \omega \rangle \in \text{int } H$. If $\langle \gamma, \omega \rangle \notin \text{int } H$, then for any basic open neighborhood of $\langle \gamma, \omega \rangle$ which is of the form $(\zeta_\gamma, \gamma] \times (m, \omega]$, where $\zeta_\gamma < \gamma$ and $m < \omega$, will meet $\text{int } H$ because $\langle \gamma, \omega \rangle \in H = \overline{\text{int } H}$.

Now, pick $n_1 \in p_2(K \cap A)$ and $\alpha_1 < \omega_1$. By Claim 1, pick $\langle \beta_1, n_1 \rangle \in \text{int } K \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega)$. Since $p_1(H \cap B)$ is unbounded, pick $\gamma_1 \in p_1(H \cap B)$ with $\beta_1 < \gamma_1$. Since $p_2(K \cap A)$ is unbounded, pick $m_1 \in p_2(K \cap A)$ with $n_1 < m_1$. Using Claim 2, pick $\langle \alpha_1, k_1 \rangle \in \text{int } H \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega) \cap ((\beta_1, \gamma_1] \times (m_1, \omega])$. We continue by induction. If for $l \geq 2$, $\langle \beta_1, n_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \beta_l, n_l \rangle \in \text{int } K \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega)$ and $\langle \alpha_1, k_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \alpha_l, k_l \rangle \in \text{int } H \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega)$ are all picked with $\beta_1 < \alpha_1 < \beta_2 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \beta_l < \alpha_l$ and $n_1 < k_1 < n_2 < k_2 < \dots < n_l < k_l$. Then, since $p_2(K \cap A)$ is unbounded, pick $n_{l+1} \in p_2(K \cap A)$. Pick $\langle \beta_{l+1}, n_{l+1} \rangle \in \text{int } K \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega) \cap ((\alpha_l, \omega_1] \times \{n_{l+1}\})$. Since $p_1(H \cap B)$ is unbounded, pick $\gamma_{l+1} \in p_1(H \cap B)$ such that $\beta_{l+1} < \alpha_{l+1}$ and m_{l+1} with $n_{l+1} < m_{l+1}$. Pick $\langle \alpha_{l+1}, k_{l+1} \rangle \in \text{int } H \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega) \cap ((\beta_{l+1}, \gamma_{l+1}] \times (m_{l+1}, \omega])$. So, by induction, we got two sequences $\{\langle \beta_i, n_i \rangle \in \text{int } K \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\langle \alpha_i, k_i \rangle \in \text{int } H \cap (\omega_1 \times \omega) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $\beta_i < \alpha_i < \beta_{i+1} < \alpha_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_i < k_i < n_{i+1} < k_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, the set $\{\beta_i, \alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countably infinite subset of ω_1 . Let η be its least upper bound. By our construction, any basic open neighborhood of $\langle \eta, \omega \rangle$ will meet $\text{int } H$ and $\text{int } K$. Thus $\langle \eta, \omega \rangle \in \overline{\text{int } H} = H$ and $\langle \eta, \omega \rangle \in \overline{\text{int } K} = K$. Therefore, $H \cap K \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus there are no unseparated disjoint regularly closed sets. Thus X is mildly normal. ■

Definition 3 (Singal and Singal, [4]). A topological space X is called *almost normal* if any two disjoint closed subsets A and B of X one of which is regularly closed can be separated. □

It is clear from the definition that any almost normal space is mildly normal. In [4], Singal and Singal gave a non-regular space which is mildly normal but not almost normal. The next theorem will give a $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space which is mildly normal but not almost normal.

Theorem 2. *The Tychonoff Plank X is not almost normal.*

Proof: Let $O = \{2n + 1 : n < \omega\}$ and $E = \omega \setminus O$. Let

$$K = \{\langle \omega_1, n \rangle : n \in O\}$$

and

$$H = \left(\bigcup_{m \in E} \{\langle \alpha, m \rangle : \alpha \leq \omega_1, m \in E\} \right) \cup B.$$

Now, $\text{int } H = \bigcup_{m \in E} \{\langle \alpha, m \rangle : \alpha \leq \omega_1, m \in E\}$, and hence $\overline{\text{int } H} = \overline{\bigcup_{m \in E} \{\langle \alpha, m \rangle : \alpha \leq \omega_1, m \in E\}} = (\bigcup_{m \in E} \{\langle \alpha, m \rangle : \alpha \leq \omega_1, m \in E\}) \cup B = H$. Thus H is regularly closed. It is clear that K is closed and disjoint from H . Since $K \subset A$ is infinite and $B \subset H$, then H and K cannot be separated. Thus X is not almost normal. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] ENGELKING, R. – *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [2] SHCHEPIN, E.V. – Real function and near-normal spaces, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 13(5) (1972), 820–830.
- [3] SINGAL, M. and SINGAL, A. – Mildly normal spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 13(1) (1973), 27–31.
- [4] SINGAL, M. and SINGAL, A. – Almost Normal and Almost Completely Regular spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 25(1) (1970), 141–152.

Lutfi N. Kalantan,
King Abdulaziz University, Department of Mathematics,
P.O. Box 114641, Jeddah 21381 – SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail: lkalantan@hotmail.com