

**HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS OF UNIFORMLY BOUNDED TYPE  
AND THE LINEAR TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANTS  
( $H_{ub}$ ), ( $LB^\infty$ ) AND ( $DN$ )**

LE MAU HAI, NGUYEN VAN KHUE and BUI QUOC HOAN

**Abstract:** The main aim of this paper is to establish the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}H_b(E, F) &= H_{ub}(E, F) \\ H_b(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*) &= H_{ub}(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*)\end{aligned}$$

for the case where  $E$  and  $F$  are Fréchet spaces in the relation with the linear topological invariants ( $H_{ub}$ ), ( $LB^\infty$ ) and ( $DN$ ).

## 1 – Introduction

Let  $E, F$  be locally convex spaces. By  $H(E, F)$  we denote the space of all  $F$ -valued holomorphic mappings on  $E$ . Instead of  $H(E, \mathbb{C})$  we write  $H(E)$ . Each element of  $H(E, F)$  is called an entire mapping. By  $H_b(E, F)$  we denote the space of all entire mappings which are bounded on all bounded subsets of  $E$ . The mappings in  $H_b(E, F)$  are called of bounded type. An entire mapping  $f \in H(E, F)$  is called of uniformly bounded type if it is bounded on multiples of some neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$ . We denote by  $H_{ub}(E, F)$  the space of all entire mappings of uniformly bounded type.

A locally convex space  $E$  has the property ( $H_{ub}$ ) and is written shortly  $E \in (H_{ub})$  if  $H(E) = H_{ub}(E)$ . The property ( $H_{ub}$ ) has been investigated by some authors. Colombeau and Mujica have proved that  $H(E) = H_{ub}(E)$  for each (DFM)-space  $E$  (Ex. 3.11 in [2], p.163) while Nachbin has shown that  $H_{ub}(E) \not\subset H(E)$  for the nuclear Fréchet space  $E = H(\mathbb{C})$  (Ex. 3.12 in [2], p.165).

Meise–Vogt have also proved that a nuclear locally convex space  $E$  satisfies  $H(E) = H_{ub}(E)$  if and only if entire mappings on  $E$  are universally extendable in the following sense, whenever  $E$  is a topological linear subspace of a locally convex space  $F$  with the topology defined by a fundamental system of continuous semi-norms induced by semi-inner products, then each  $f \in H(E)$  has a holomorphic extension to  $F$  (Proposition 6.21 in [2], p. 421).

Next they have given some sufficient conditions for the equality  $H(E) = H_{ub}(E)$  in terms of the linear topological invariants  $(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $(\tilde{\Omega})$  (Theorem 3.3 and 3.9 in [8]) and in the case  $E$  is a nuclear Fréchet space they have shown that  $(\tilde{\Omega}) \Rightarrow (H_{ub}) \Rightarrow (LB^\infty)$  (Remark 3.11 in [8]). By Vogt (Ex. 5.5 in [15]) the class  $(LB^\infty)$  is strictly larger than the class  $(\tilde{\Omega})$ . However we do not know whether one of the above implications can be reversed.

In this paper we will establish the relations

$$(1) \quad H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$$

and

$$(2) \quad H_b(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*) = H_{ub}(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*)$$

for Fréchet-valued (resp.  $DF$ -valued) entire mappings on Fréchet spaces (resp.  $DF$  spaces) in the relation with linear topological invariants  $(H_{ub})$ ,  $(LB^\infty)$  and  $(DN)$ . Note that under various assumptions (1) has been considered by some authors [3], [4], [5], [6]. It should be noticed that if  $E$  is a Fréchet space that is not a Banach space then the scalar valued equality  $H_{ub}(E) = H_b(E)$  does not imply the equality  $H_{ub}(E, F) = H_b(E, F)$  for all Fréchet spaces  $F$ . It is enough to consider the case  $F = E$ .

Beside the introduction the article contains four sections. In the second one we recall some definitions and fix the notations. The section 3 is devoted to prove the equality (2). The main aim of section 4 is to prove that (1) holds in a special case where  $F = H(\mathbb{C}, A)$ ,  $A$  is a Banach space. In order to obtain the result in this case we modify some techniques of Vogt (Proposition 1.3 and 1.4 in [15]) for continuous linear maps to holomorphic mappings of bounded type. From the results obtained in the section 4 as a special case we prove, in the section 5, the equality (1) under the assumption that  $E$  has the property  $(H_{ub})$  and  $F$  has the property  $(DN)$ .

**2 – Preliminaries**

**2.1.** We shall use standard notations from the theory of locally convex spaces as presented in the books of R. Meise and D. Vogt [9] and Schaefer [13]. All locally convex spaces  $E$  are assumed to be complex vector spaces and Hausdorff.

For a locally convex space  $E$  by  $\mathcal{U}(E)$  we denote a neighbourhood basis of  $0 \in E$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  by  $E_U$  we denote the Banach space associated to the neighbourhood  $U$ . Let  $V \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ ,  $V \subset U$ ,  $\omega_{VU}: E_V \rightarrow E_U$  denotes the canonical map from  $E_V$  to  $E_U$ .

A locally convex space  $E$  is called to be Schwartz if for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  there exists  $V \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ ,  $V \subset U$  such that  $\omega_{VU}: E_V \rightarrow E_U$  is compact.

For each locally convex space  $E$ ,  $E_\beta^*$  denotes the topological dual space  $E^*$  of  $E$  equipped with the strong topology  $\beta(E^*, E)$ .

Now assume that  $E$  is a Fréchet space. We always consider that its locally convex structure is generated by an increasing system  $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k \geq 1}$  of semi-norms. For  $k \geq 1$   $E_k$  will denote the Banach space associated to the semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_k$ .

Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space and  $u \in E^*$ . For each  $k \geq 1$  we define

$$\|u\|_k^* = \sup \{ |u(x)| : \|x\|_k \leq 1 \} .$$

Now we say that  $E$  has the property  $(LB^\infty)$  if

$$(LB^\infty) \quad \forall \{ \rho_n \} \uparrow +\infty \quad \forall p \quad \exists q \quad \forall n_0 \quad \exists N_0 \geq n_0, \quad C > 0 \\ \forall u \in E^*, \quad \exists k \quad n_0 \leq k \leq N_0 : \quad \|u\|_q^{*1+\rho_k} \leq C \|u\|_k^* \|u\|_p^{*\rho_k} .$$

$E$  is said to have the property  $(DN)$  if

$$(DN) \quad \exists p, d > 0 \quad \forall q \quad \exists k, C > 0 \quad \forall x \in E : \quad \|x\|_q^{1+d} \leq C \|x\|_k \|x\|_p^d .$$

The properties  $(LB^\infty)$  and  $(DN)$  and some others are introduced and investigated by Vogt [15], [16], [17].

From now on, to be brief, whenever  $E$  has the property  $(H_{ub})$  (resp.  $(LB^\infty)$ ,  $(DN)$ , ...) we write  $E \in (H_{ub})$  (resp.  $E \in (LB^\infty)$ ,  $E \in (DN)$ , ...).

**2.2. Holomorphic mappings.** Let  $E, F$  be locally convex spaces and  $D$  be a non empty open subset of  $E$ .

A mapping  $f: D \rightarrow F$  is called Gâteaux-holomorphic if for each  $x \in D, a \in E$  and  $u \in F^*$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function of one complex variable

$$\lambda \longrightarrow u \circ f(x + \lambda a)$$

is holomorphic on some neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}$ . A mapping  $f: D \rightarrow F$  is called holomorphic if  $f$  is Gâteaux-holomorphic and continuous. By  $H(D, F)$  we denote the space of all  $F$ -valued holomorphic mappings on  $D$ , the compact-open topology on  $H(D, F)$  is denoted by  $\tau_0$ . For details concerning holomorphic mappings on locally convex spaces we refer to the books of Dineen [2] and Noverraz [12].

### 3 – $DF$ -valued holomorphic mappings of uniformly bounded type and the linear topological invariants $(LB^\infty)$ and $(DN)$

In the section we investigate the connection between  $DF$ -valued holomorphic mappings of uniformly bounded type on  $DF$ -spaces and the linear topological invariants  $(LB^\infty)$  and  $(DN)$ . We prove the following

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space. Then*

- a)  *$E$  has the property  $(DN)$  if and only if  $H_{ub}(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*) = H_b(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*)$  holds for every Fréchet space  $F$  having the property  $(LB^\infty)$ .*
- b)  *$E$  has the property  $(LB^\infty)$  if and only if  $H_{ub}(F_\beta^*, E_\beta^*) = H_b(F_\beta^*, E_\beta^*)$  holds for every Fréchet space  $F$  having the property  $(DN)$ .*

**Proof:** a) Assume that  $E \in (DN)$ , obviously  $H_{ub}(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*) \subset H_b(E_\beta^*, F_\beta^*)$ . Let  $f: E_\beta^* \rightarrow F_\beta^*$  be a holomorphic mapping of bounded type. Consider the linear map  $\hat{f}: H_b(F_\beta^*) \rightarrow H_b(E_\beta^*)$  given by  $\hat{f}(g) = g \circ f$  for all  $g \in H_b(F_\beta^*)$ . It is easy to see that  $F$  is a subspace of  $H_b(F_\beta^*)$ . Hence  $\hat{f}: F \rightarrow H_b(E_\beta^*)$  is linear and continuous. Since  $E \in (DN)$  by (Theorem 3 in [10])  $H_b(E_\beta^*)$  also has the property  $(DN)$ . Now from  $F \in (LB^\infty)$  we infer that there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $0 \in F$  for which  $\hat{f}(V)$  is bounded in  $H_b(E_\beta^*)$  (Theorem 6.2 in [15]). This yields that

$$\sup \left\{ |\hat{f}(x)(u)| : x \in V, u \in B \right\} = \sup \left\{ |f(u)(x)| : x \in V, u \in B \right\} < +\infty$$

for every bounded subset  $B \subset E_\beta^*$ . Hence  $f: E_\beta^* \rightarrow (F_V)^*$  is holomorphic and of bounded type (Proposition 7 in [3]).

Conversely, by (Theorem 2.1 in [15]) it suffices to show that

$$L(\Lambda_1(\alpha), E) = LB(\Lambda_1(\alpha), E)$$

for the exponential sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_n = n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $f: \Lambda_1(\alpha) \rightarrow E$  be a continuous linear map. Since  $f$  maps bounded subsets of  $\Lambda_1(\alpha)$  to bounded subsets of  $E$  then  $f^* \in L(E_\beta^*, (\Lambda_1(\alpha))_\beta^*)$  where  $f^*$  is the

dual map of  $f$ . In view of  $\Lambda_1(\alpha) \in (LB^\infty)$  and by applying the hypothesis we obtain that  $f^* \in LB(E_\beta^*, (\Lambda_1(\alpha))_\beta^*)$ . Hence  $f \in LB(\Lambda_1(\alpha), E)$ .

**b)** Necessity follows from a).

Conversely, by (Theorem 5.2 in [16]) it suffices to show that

$$L(E, \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)) = LB(E, \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha))$$

where  $\alpha_n = n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha) = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j)_{j \geq 1} : \|\xi\|_k = \sup |\xi_j| \rho_k^{\alpha_j} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \geq 1 \right\}$$

and  $\{\rho_k\} \uparrow +\infty$ .

Let  $f: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)$  be a continuous linear map.

As in a)  $f^* \in L((\Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha))_\beta^*, E_\beta^*)$ . It is easy to check that  $\Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)$  has the property (DN) and, hence,  $f^* \in LB((\Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha))_\beta^*, E_\beta^*)$ . From an argument as in a) we obtain that  $f \in LB(E, \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha))$  which completes the proof of 3.1 Theorem. ■

#### 4 – Fréchet-valued holomorphic mappings of uniformly bounded type and the linear topological invariant ( $H_{ub}$ )

The main aim of this section is to prove the following technical result which is crucial for the proof of 5.1 Theorem.

**4.1. Theorem.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet-Schwartz space having the property ( $H_{ub}$ ) and  $A$  be a Banach space. Then  $\forall \{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty \exists k > 0 \forall p, s > 0 \forall r > 0 \forall n$  sufficiently large  $\exists N_0 > n, C > 0 \forall f \in H_b(E, A) \exists n \leq N^* \leq N_0$ :*

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{k,r}^{1+\rho_{N^*}} \leq C \|f\|_{N^*, \rho_{N^*}} \cdot \|f\|_{p, \rho_s}^{\rho_{N^*}}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{k,r} = \sup \left\{ \|f(x)\| : \|x\|_k \leq r \right\}$$

for  $f \in H_b(E, A)$ .

In order to derive the proof of this theorem first we establish the stability of the property ( $H_{ub}$ ) under the finite products (see 4.2 Proposition below). 4.2 Proposition is a key ingredient in the proof of 4.1 Theorem. Moreover, next we modify some techniques of Vogt (Proposition 1.3, 1.4 in [15]) which are used for establishing (1) for continuous linear maps to holomorphic mappings of bounded type.

Now we state and prove the following

**4.2. Proposition.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Fréchet-Schwartz spaces having the property  $(H_{ub})$ . Then  $E \times F$  has also the property  $(H_{ub})$ .*

**Proof:** Given  $f \in H(E \times F)$ . Consider the holomorphic mapping  $f_E : E \rightarrow (H(F), \tau_0)$  associated to  $f$ . Since  $F \in (H_{ub})$ , by (Proposition 4.1 in [8]),  $(H(F), \tau_0)_{bor}$  is a regular inductive limit of  $H_b(F_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Banach space of holomorphic mappings of bounded type on  $F_\alpha$  where  $F_\alpha$  is the Banach space associated to the continuous semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  of  $F$ . First we prove that there exist  $p, \alpha \geq 1$  such that

$$f_E(U_p) \subset H_b(F_\alpha) .$$

Indeed, otherwise, for each  $p \geq 1, \alpha \geq 1$  there exists  $x_p^\alpha \in U_p$  and  $f_E(x_p^\alpha) \notin H_b(F_\alpha)$ . Since  $\{x_p^p\}_{p \geq 1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $(H(F), \tau_0)_{bor} = \lim \text{ind} H_b(F_\alpha)$  is regular we can find  $\alpha_0$  such that

$$f_E(x_p^p) \subset H_b(F_{\alpha_0}) \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1 .$$

This is impossible because  $f_E(x_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0}) \notin H_b(F_{\alpha_0})$ . Thus there exists  $p$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $f_E(U_p) \subset H_b(F_\alpha)$ . Similarly there exist  $q > p, \beta > \alpha$  such that  $f^F(V_\beta) \subset H_b(E_q)$  where  $f^F : F \rightarrow (H(E), \tau_0)$  is the holomorphic mapping induced by  $f$ .

Consider the mapping

$$g : (U_q \times F_\beta) \cup (E_q \times V_\beta) \subset E_q \times F_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by  $f_E$  and  $f^F$ . Notice that  $g$  is separately holomorphic. By a result of N.T. Van-Zeriahi (Théorème 1.1 in [11])  $g$  extends to Gâteaux-holomorphic mapping  $\tilde{g}$  on  $E_q \times F_\beta$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux-holomorphically factorized through  $\tilde{g}$  by  $\omega_q \times \omega_\beta : E \times F \rightarrow E_q \times F_\beta$ .

By shrinking  $U_q$  and  $V_\beta$  we may assume that  $f$  is bounded on  $U_q \times V_\beta$ . Hence by the Zorn theorem  $\hat{g}$  is holomorphic on  $E_q \times F_\beta$ .

On the other hand, since  $E$  and  $F$  are Schwartz spaces we can find  $k \geq q$  and  $\gamma \geq \beta$  such that the canonical maps  $\omega_{qk} : E_k \rightarrow E_q, \omega_{\beta\gamma} : F_\gamma \rightarrow F_\beta$  are compact. Hence  $\hat{g} \in H_b(E_k \times F_\gamma)$  and  $f$  is factorized through  $\hat{g}$  by  $\omega_k \times \omega_\gamma$ . Hence  $f \in H_{ub}(E \times F)$ . ■

**Remark.** In the above proposition, if we take  $F = \mathbb{C}$  then we have  $H_b(E \times \mathbb{C}) = H_{ub}(E \times \mathbb{C})$ . However,  $H_b(E \times \mathbb{C}) = H_b(E, H(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $H_{ub}(E \times \mathbb{C}) = H_{ub}(E, H(\mathbb{C}))$  and, hence, (1) holds for the case  $F = H(\mathbb{C})$ . But it is known that  $H(\mathbb{C})$  has the

property (DN). Below, in 5.1 Theorem , we shall show that (1) holds under the assumptions  $E \in (H_{ub})$  and  $F \in (DN)$ .  $\square$

Now in order to obtain the proof of 4.1 Theorem we shall establish some equivalent conditions for which (1) holds.

First we fix some notations. Let  $E$  (resp.  $F$ ) be a Fréchet space with the topology defined by an increasing system of semi-norms  $(\|\cdot\|_\gamma)_{\gamma \geq 1}$  (resp.  $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k \geq 1}$ ). For each  $k, \gamma, r > 0$  (or  $\rho > 0$ ) and  $f \in H(E, F)$  we define

$$\|f\|_{k,\gamma,r} = \sup \left\{ \|f(x)\|_k : \|x\|_\gamma \leq r \right\} .$$

Through this section we always assume that  $E$  is a Fréchet space having the property  $(H_{ub})$ . Now we have the following

**4.3. Proposition.** *The following assertions are equivalent*

- (i)  $H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$ .
  - (ii)  $\forall \{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow \forall \{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty \exists k \forall r > 0 \forall n \exists N_0, C > 0 \forall f \in H_b(E, F)$
- (4)  $\|f\|_{n,\gamma(k),r} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \|f\|_{N,\gamma(N),\rho_N} .$

**Proof:** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Given  $\{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow$  and  $\{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty$ . Put

$$G = \left\{ f \in H_b(E, F) : \|f\|_{n,\gamma(n),\rho_n} < +\infty, \forall n \right\} .$$

Since  $H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$  then  $G$  is a Fréchet space equipped with the topology defined by the system of semi-norms

$$q_m(f) = \sup \left\{ \|f\|_{n,\gamma(n),\rho_n} : n = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

for  $f \in G$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$H_k = \left\{ f \in H_b(E, F) : \|f\|_{n,\gamma(k),r} < +\infty \text{ for all } n, r > 0 \right\} .$$

$H_k$  is a Fréchet space under the topology defined by the systems of semi-norms

$$p_{n,r}(f) = \|f\|_{n,\gamma(k),r} .$$

We note that  $H_k \subset H_{k+1}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . By the hypothesis  $H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$  it follows that  $G \subset \bigcup_{k \geq 1} H_k$ . All these spaces are continuously embedded in  $H_b(E, F)$ .

By the factorization theorem of Grothendieck (Theorem 24.33 in [9], p. 290) there exists  $k$  such that  $G$  is continuously embedded in  $H_k$ . Hence  $\forall r > 0 \forall n \exists N_0, C > 0$  such that

$$p_{n,r}(f) \leq C \max_{N \leq N_0} q_N(f)$$

for  $f \in H_b(E, F)$ . This shows that (4) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is trivial. ■

Now we need the following result which shows that (1) holds for the case  $F$  is a Banach space.

**4.4. Lemma.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space having the property  $(H_{ub})$  and  $F$  a Banach space. Then*

$$H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F).$$

**Proof:** See the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) of Proposition 2.5 in [4].

Let  $A$  be a Banach space and  $B = (b_{j,k})_{j,k \geq 1}$  a Köthe matrix. We define

$$\Lambda^\infty(B, A) := \left\{ a = (a_i)_{i \geq 1} : a_i \in A, \|a\|_n = \sup \|a_i\| b_{i,n} < +\infty \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

$\Lambda^\infty(B, A)$  is a Fréchet space under the topology defined by the system of seminorms  $(\|\cdot\|)_{n \geq 1}$ .

When  $A = \mathbb{C}$  we write  $\Lambda^\infty(B)$  instead of  $\Lambda^\infty(B, \mathbb{C})$ . ■

For a comprehensive survey on the theory of Köthe sequence spaces we refer the readers to the book of Meise–Vogt (Chapters 27–31, p. 326–403 in [9]).

Let  $E \in (H_{ub})$ . Then we have the following

**4.5. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent*

- (i)  $H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A)) = H_{ub}(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A))$ .
- (ii)  $\forall \{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow \forall \{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty \exists k \forall r > 0 \forall n \exists N_0, C > 0$

$$(5) \quad b_{j,n} \|f\|_{\gamma(k),r} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} b_{j,N} \|f\|_{\gamma(N),\rho_N}$$

for all  $j \geq 1$  and for  $f \in H_b(E, A)$ .



**Proof:** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $f \in H_b(E, A)$ .

Put  $g_j = f \otimes e_j \in H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A))$  where  $\{e_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  are vectors in  $\Lambda^\infty(B)$  of the form  $e_j = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underset{j}{1}, 0, \dots)$ . By applying 4.3 Proposition to  $g_j$  and using

$$\|g_j\|_{n, \gamma(k), r} = b_{j,n} \|f\|_{\gamma(k), r}$$

we obtain (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $f \in H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A))$  be given. Since

$$\Lambda^\infty(B, A) = \left\{ a = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}: a_i \in A, \|a\|_n = \sup_i \|a_i\| b_{i,n} < +\infty \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \right\}$$

it implies that  $f = (f_i)_{i \geq 1}$  where  $f_i \in H_b(E, A)$ . From  $E \in (H_{ub})$  and  $f \in H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A))$  it follows that for each  $n \geq 1$  if  $f$  can be considered as a holomorphic mapping of bounded type with values in the Banach space  $\Lambda^\infty(B, A)_n$  induced by the continuous semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_n$  then 4.4 Lemma implies that there exists  $\gamma(n) \geq 1$  such that

$$M(n, \gamma(n), r) = \sup \left\{ \|f(x)\|_n: \|x\|_{\gamma(n)} \leq r \right\} < +\infty$$

for all  $r > 0$ .

We may assume that the sequence  $\{\gamma(n)\}$  is increasing.

Take some sequence  $\{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty$  and by using (ii) for  $\{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow$  and  $\{\rho_n\}$  we derive that  $\exists k \forall r > 0 \forall n \exists N_0, C > 0$ :

$$b_{i,n} \|f_i\|_{\gamma(k), r} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} b_{i,N} \|f_i\|_{\gamma(N), \rho_N} .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{n, \gamma(k), r} &= \sup_i b_{i,n} \|f_i\|_{\gamma(k), r} \\ &\leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \sup_i b_{i,N} \|f_i\|_{\gamma(N), \rho_N} \\ &= C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \|f\|_{N, \gamma(N), \rho_N} . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f \in H_{ub}(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A))$ . ■

**Proof of 4.1 Theorem:** By 4.2 Proposition, we have  $E \times \mathbb{C} \in (H_{ub})$ . Using 4.4 Lemma for  $F = A$ , we get

$$H_b(E \times \mathbb{C}, A) = H_{ub}(E \times \mathbb{C}, A) .$$

We have  $H(\mathbb{C}, A)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $H(\mathbb{C}) \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon A$  [14] (Also see Ex. 4.91, p. 313 in [2]). Moreover, the Fréchet-nuclear space  $H(\mathbb{C})$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)$ , where

$$\Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha) = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|\xi\|_k = \sup_j |\xi_j| e^{\rho_k \alpha_j} < +\infty, \text{ for all } k \right\}$$

and  $\alpha = (\alpha_j)$ ,  $\alpha_j = j$ ,  $\rho = \{\rho_k\} \uparrow +\infty$ .

Hence

$$H(\mathbb{C}, A) = H(\mathbb{C}) \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon A = H(\mathbb{C}) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi A = \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi A = \Lambda^\infty(B, A) .$$

Now we have

$$H_b(E \times \mathbb{C}, A) = H_b(E, H(\mathbb{C}, A)) = H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A)) .$$

Hence

$$H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A)) = H_{ub}(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A)) .$$

Now by applying 4.5 Proposition to the sequence  $\{\gamma(n) = n\}$  and  $\{\rho_k\} \uparrow +\infty$  as above we have

$$\exists k > 0 \quad \forall r > 0 \quad \forall n > k \quad \exists N_0 > n, \quad D > 0 \quad \forall f \in H_b(E, A)$$

$$(6) \quad e^{\rho_n j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} e^{\rho_N j} \|f\|_{N, \rho_N} \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1 .$$

For each  $n$  we can choose  $j_0$  such that for  $j \geq j_0$

$$(7) \quad e^{(\rho_{n-1} - \rho_n)j} D < 1 .$$

For  $k \leq N \leq n-1$  and  $j \geq j_0$  the following inequality holds

$$(8) \quad D e^{\rho_N j} \|f\|_{N, \rho_N} < e^{\rho_n j} \|f\|_{k,r}$$

for  $r \geq \rho_{n-1}$ .

Indeed, in the converse case, we assume that there exist  $k \leq N \leq n-1$  and  $j \geq j_0$  such that

$$e^{\rho_n j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D e^{\rho_N j} \|f\|_{N, \rho_N}$$

for  $r \geq \rho_{n-1}$ .

It follows that

$$(9) \quad \frac{\|f\|_{k,r}}{\|f\|_{N, \rho_N}} \leq D \cdot e^{(\rho_N - \rho_n)j} < 1 .$$

However, since  $N \geq k$  it implies that  $U_N \subset U_k$  and

$$\left\{ \|f(x)\| : \frac{x}{\rho_N} \in U_N \right\} \subset \left\{ \|f(x)\| : \frac{x}{r} \in U_k \right\}$$

for  $r \geq \rho_{n-1}$ . This shows that

$$1 \leq \frac{\|f\|_{k,r}}{\|f\|_{N,\rho_N}}$$

and, hence, it contradicts to (9).

Therefore, for  $j \geq j_0$  and  $r \geq \rho_{n-1}$

$$(10) \quad e^{\rho_n j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D \max \left\{ e^{\rho_N j} \|f\|_{N,\rho_N} : N = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, n, \dots, N_0 \right\} .$$

Now let  $f \in H_b(E, A)$  and  $p, s$  be given. If  $\|f\|_{p,\rho_s} = +\infty$  then (3) holds. Now assume that  $\|f\|_{p,\rho_s} < +\infty$ . Let  $j$  be the smallest natural number larger or equal to  $j_0$  such that

$$D \|f\|_{p,\rho_s} \leq e^{(\rho_n - \rho_{k-1})j} \|f\|_{k,r} .$$

Then

$$(11) \quad e^{(\rho_n - \rho_{k-1})(j-1)} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D \|f\|_{p,\rho_s} \leq e^{(\rho_n - \rho_{k-1})j} \|f\|_{k,r} .$$

For  $j$  such that (11) holds there exists  $n \leq N^* \leq N_0$  which satisfies

$$e^{\rho_{N^*} j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} = \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} e^{\rho_N j} \|f\|_{N,\rho_N} .$$

Indeed, otherwise there exists  $1 \leq N^* \leq k-1$  such that

$$e^{\rho_{N^*} j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} = \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} e^{\rho_N j} \|f\|_{N,\rho_N} .$$

From (10) we infer that

$$e^{\rho_n j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D e^{\rho_{N^*} j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} \quad \text{for } r \geq \rho_{n-1} .$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{k,r} \leq D e^{(\rho_{N^*} - \rho_n)j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} < \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}}$$

holds for all  $r > 0$ . It is impossible.

Now from (10) we deduce

$$e^{\rho_n j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D e^{\rho_{N^*} j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{k,r} &\leq D e^{(\rho_{N^*}-\rho_n)j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} \\ &\leq D e^{\theta \cdot \frac{\rho_{N^*}-\rho_n}{\rho_n-\rho_{k-1}}(\rho_n-\rho_{k-1})(j-1)} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \frac{j}{j-1}$ .

Put  $d = \theta \cdot \frac{\rho_{N^*}-\rho_n}{\rho_n-\rho_{k-1}}$ . Then

$$(12) \quad \|f\|_{k,r} \leq D \left( D \frac{\|f\|_{p,\rho_s}}{\|f\|_{k,r}} \right)^d \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}}.$$

However

$$(13) \quad d = \theta \frac{\rho_{N^*}-\rho_n}{\rho_n-\rho_{k-1}} \leq \frac{\theta}{\rho_n-\rho_{k-1}} \rho_{N^*} \leq \rho_{N^*}$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large such that  $\frac{\theta}{\rho_n-\rho_{k-1}} \leq 1$ . On the other hand,

$$(14) \quad 1 \leq e^{(\rho_n-\rho_{k-1})(j-1)} \leq D \frac{\|f\|_{p,\rho_s}}{\|f\|_{k,r}}.$$

By combining (12), (13) and (14) we obtain that

$$\|f\|_{k,r} \leq D \left( D \frac{\|f\|_{p,\rho_s}}{\|f\|_{k,r}} \right)^{\rho_{N^*}} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}}.$$

Thus

$$\|f\|_{k,r}^{1+\rho_{N^*}} \leq C \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} \|f\|_{p,\rho_s}^{\rho_{N^*}}$$

where  $C = D^{1+\rho_{N^*}}$  which completes the proof of 4.1 Theorem. ■

## 5 – Fréchet-valued holomorphic mappings of uniformly bounded type and the linear topological invariants $(H_{ub})$ and $(DN)$

Based on results obtained in Section 4 this section is devoted to study the connection between the uniform boundedness of Fréchet-valued holomorphic mappings and the linear topological invariants  $(H_{ub})$  and  $(DN)$ . The main result of this section is the following

**5.1. Theorem.** *Let  $F$  be a Fréchet space. Then*

$$H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$$

*holds for all Fréchet-Schwartz space  $E$  having the property  $(H_{ub})$  if and only if  $F \in (DN)$ .*

**Proof:**

*Necessity.* Take  $E = \Lambda_1(\beta)$  with  $\beta = (\beta_n)$ ,  $\beta_n = n$ . Then  $\Lambda_1(\beta)$  is a nuclear Fréchet space and by the hypothesis  $L(\Lambda_1(\beta), F) = LB(\Lambda_1(\beta), F)$ . Hence by (Theorem 2.1 in [15])  $F \in (DN)$ .

*Sufficiency.* By the hypothesis and (Theorem 2.6 in [17]) we have that  $F$  is a subspace of  $A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s \cong A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha) = \Lambda^\infty(B, A)$  where  $A$  is a Banach space and  $s \cong \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = (\log(n + 1))_{n \geq 1}$ . Hence it suffices to show that

$$H_b(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A)) = H_{ub}(E, \Lambda^\infty(B, A)) .$$

We shall show that the condition (ii) of 4.5 Proposition is satisfied. Indeed, take a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\} \uparrow$  and  $\{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n}{n} = 0$ . As in (Theorem 3.2 in [15]) we may assume that  $\gamma(n) = n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By the hypothesis and by applying 4.1 Theorem for the sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  we infer that there exists  $k$  such that  $\forall p, s > 0 \forall r > 0 \forall n$  sufficiently large  $\exists N_0 > n, C > 0 \forall f \in H_b(E, A) \exists n \leq N^* \leq N_0$ :

$$(15) \quad \|f\|_{k,r}^{1+\rho_{N^*}} \leq C \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} \|f\|_{p,\rho_s}^{\rho_{N^*}} .$$

Now take  $p = 1, s = 1$ . For given  $n$  there exists  $n_0$  sufficiently large such that for all  $N \geq n_0$  we have

$$\rho_N(n - 1) \leq N - n .$$

Applying (15) for  $p = 1, s = 1$  and  $n = n_0 \forall r > 0$  we can find  $N_0 > n_0, C > 1 \forall f \in H_b(E, A) \exists n_0 \leq N^* \leq N_0$ :

$$(16) \quad \|f\|_{k,r}^{1+\rho_{N^*}} \leq C \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} \|f\|_{1,\rho_1}^{\rho_{N^*}} .$$

Now we need to prove

$$(17) \quad e^{n\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} e^{N\alpha_j} \|f\|_{N,\rho_N} \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1 .$$

Given  $j \geq 1$ . Then either

$$e^{n\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq e^{\alpha_j} \|f\|_{1,\rho_1}$$

or, in the converse case,

$$e^{\alpha_j} \|f\|_{1,\rho_1} \leq e^{n\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r} .$$

In the first case (17) obviously holds. We consider the second. Then we have

$$\|f\|_{1,\rho_1} \leq e^{(n-1)\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r} .$$

From (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{k,r}^{1+\rho_{N^*}} &\leq C \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} e^{\rho_{N^*}(n-1)\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r}^{\rho_{N^*}} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} e^{(N^*-n)\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r}^{\rho_{N^*}} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$e^{n\alpha_j} \|f\|_{k,r} \leq C e^{N^*\alpha_j} \|f\|_{N^*,\rho_{N^*}} .$$

Combining all these results we see that (17) is satisfied.

By 4.5 Proposition we have

$$H_b(E, A\widehat{\otimes}_\pi \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)) = H_{ub}(E, A\widehat{\otimes}_\pi \Lambda_\infty^\infty(\alpha)) .$$

This completes the proof. ■

At the end of this paper we want to give an equivalent condition for which (1) holds in the case that  $E = \Lambda(B)$  is the space of Köthe sequences and  $F$  is a Fréchet space. With the notations used as above with  $B = (b_{j,k})_{j,k \geq 1}$  a matrix satisfying (\*) we define the sequence space  $\Lambda(B)$  given by

$$\Lambda(B) = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) : \|\xi\|_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| b_{j,k} < +\infty \text{ for all } k \geq 1 \right\} .$$

$\Lambda(B)$  is a Fréchet space with the topology defined by the system of semi-norms  $(\|\cdot\|_k)$ . If we consider the Schauder basis  $\{e_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  in  $\Lambda(B)$  of the form

$$e_j = \left( 0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{b_{j,j}}, 0, \dots \right)$$

then  $\{e_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  is an absolute basis of  $\Lambda(B)$  and

$$\|e_j\|_k = b_{j,k}$$

for  $j, k \geq 1$ .

Now we prove the following

**5.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\Lambda(B) \in (H_{ub})$  and  $F$  be a Fréchet space. The following are equivalent*

- (i)  $H_b(\Lambda(B), F) = H_{ub}(\Lambda(B), F)$ ;
- (ii)  $\forall \{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow \quad \forall \{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty \quad \exists k \quad \forall r > 0 \quad \forall n \quad \exists N_0 > 0, C > 0$

$$(18) \quad \frac{\|x\|_n r^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)}} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \frac{\|x\|_N \rho_N^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(N)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(N)}}$$

for  $x \in F$ ,  $j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $\{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow$  and  $\{\rho_n\} \uparrow +\infty$  be given. By 4.3 Proposition we can find  $k$  satisfying (4). For  $j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $x \in F$  we define  $f \in H_b(\Lambda(B), F)$  given by

$$f(\xi) = \xi_{j_1} \cdots \xi_{j_p} x$$

where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_2}, \dots, \xi_{j_p}, \dots) \in \Lambda(B)$ . Then

$$\frac{\|x\|_n r^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)} p^p} = \|f\|_{n, \gamma(k), r} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \|f\|_{N, \gamma(N), \rho_N},$$

$$\frac{\|x\|_n r^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)} p^p} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \frac{\|x\|_N \rho_N^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(N)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(N)} p^p}.$$

Hence we have (18).

(ii) $\rightarrow$ (i) Let  $f \in H_b(E, F)$ . Since  $\Lambda(B) \in (H_{ub})$  it follows that for each  $n \geq 1$  then exists  $\gamma(n)$  such that

$$M(n, \gamma(n), \rho) = \sup \left\{ \|f(\xi)\|_n : \|\xi\|_{\gamma(n)} \leq \rho \right\} < +\infty$$

for all  $\rho > 0$ . We may assume that  $\{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow$ . Fix a sequence  $\{\rho_n\} \uparrow$ . Write the Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $0 \in \Lambda(B)$

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{p \geq 0} P_p f(\xi) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \widehat{P}_p f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p}) \xi_{j_1} \cdots \xi_{j_p}.$$

Using (ii) for the sequence  $\{\gamma(n)\} \uparrow$  defined as above we can find  $k$  such that (18)

holds. On the other hand, in (18) we can take  $r = 1$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(\xi)\|_n &\leq \sum_{p \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \|\widehat{P}_p f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p})\|_n |\xi_{j_1}| \cdots |\xi_{j_p}| \\
&\leq \sum_{p \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \frac{\|\widehat{P}_p f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p})\|_n}{b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)}} b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} |\xi_{j_1}| \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)} |\xi_{j_p}| \\
&\leq \sum_{p \geq 0} \sup_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \frac{\|\widehat{P}_p f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p})\|_n}{b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} b_{j_1, \gamma(k)} |\xi_{j_1}| \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(k)} |\xi_{j_p}| \\
(19) \quad &\leq C \sum_{p \geq 0} \sup_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \left( \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \frac{\|\widehat{P}_p f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p})\|_N \rho_N^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(N)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(N)}} \right) \|\xi\|_{\gamma(k)}^p \\
&\leq C \sum_{p \geq 0} \rho_{N_0}^p \frac{1}{\rho^p} \sup_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \left( \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \frac{\|\widehat{P}_p f(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p})\|_N \rho^p}{b_{j_1, \gamma(N)} \cdots b_{j_p, \gamma(N)}} \right) \|\xi\|_{\gamma(k)}^p \\
&\leq C \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{\rho_{N_0}^p}{\rho^p} \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \sup_{j_1, \dots, j_p \geq 1} \left\| \widehat{P}_p f \left( \frac{\rho e_{j_1}}{b_{j_1, \gamma(N)}}, \dots, \frac{\rho e_{j_p}}{b_{j_p, \gamma(N)}} \right) \right\|_N \|\xi\|_{\gamma(k)}^p \\
&\leq C \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{\rho_{N_0}^p}{\rho^p} \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} \left( \frac{p^p}{p!} \|f\|_{N, \gamma(N), \rho} \right) \|\xi\|_{\gamma(k)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\|\xi\|_{\gamma(k)} \leq R$  for arbitrary  $R > 0$ . From (19) we derive that

$$\|f\|_{n, \gamma(k), R} \leq C \max_{1 \leq N \leq N_0} M(N, \gamma(N), \rho) \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{\rho_{N_0}^p \cdot p^p}{p!} \frac{R^p}{\rho^p} < +\infty$$

for  $\rho$  sufficiently large and the conclusion follows. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] DINEEN, S. – *Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud., 57, 1981.
- [2] DINEEN, S. – *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimension Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [3] GALINDO, P.; GARCÍA, D. and MAESTRE, M. – *Holomorphic mappings of bounded type on (DF)-spaces*, in “Progress in Functional Analysis”, North-Holland Math. Stud., 170, pp. 135–148, 1992.
- [4] GALINDO, P.; GARCÍA, D. and MAESTRE, M. – Entire functions of bounded type on Fréchet spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, 161 (1993), 185–198.



- [5] HAI, LE MAU – Weak extension of Fréchet-valued holomorphic functions on compact sets and linear topological invariants, *Acta. Math. Vietnamica*, 21(2) (1996), 183–199.
- [6] HAI, LE MAU and QUANG, THAI THUAN – Linear topological invariants and Fréchet-valued holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type on Fréchet spaces, *Publication CFCA*, 2 (1998), 23–42.
- [7] MEISE, R. and VOGT, D. – Extension of entire functions on nuclear locally convex spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 92(4), (1984), 495–500.
- [8] MEISE, R. and VOGT, D. – Holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type on nuclear Fréchet spaces, *Studia Math.*, 83 (1986), 147–166.
- [9] MEISE, R. and VOGT, D. – *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1997.
- [10] NGUYEN, VAN KHUE and PHAN, THIEN DANH – Structure of spaces of germs of holomorphic functions, *Publi. Mate*, 41 (1997), 467–480.
- [11] NGUYEN, THANH VAN and ZERIAHI, A. – *Une extension du théorème de Hartogs sur les fonctions séparément analytiques*, in “Analyse Complexe Multivariable, Récents Développements” (Alex. Meril, Ed.), Editel, Rende, pp. 183–194, 1991.
- [12] NOVERRAZ, P. – *Pseudo-convexité, Convexité – Polynomiale et Domaines d’Holomorphie en Dimension Infinie*, North-Holland Math. Stud., 3, 1973.
- [13] SCHAEFER, H.H. – *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [14] SCHOTTENLOHER, M. –  *$\epsilon$ -products and continuation of analytic mappings*, in “Analyse Fonctionnelle et Applications” (L. Nachbin, Ed.) C.R. du Colloque, Instituto de Matematica, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, 1972. Hermann, Paris, 1974, 261–270.
- [15] VOGT, D. – Frécheträume, zwischen denen jede stetige lineare Abbildung beschränkt ist, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, 345 (1983), 182–200.
- [16] VOGT, D. – *Subspaces and quotient spaces of  $(s)$* , in “Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results” (K.-D. Bierstedt, B. Fuchssteiner, Eds.), North-Holland Math. Stud., 27, pp. 167–187, 1977.
- [17] VOGT, D. – On two classes of  $F$ -spaces, *Arch. Math.*, 45 (1985), 255–266.

Le Mau Hai, Nguyen Van Khue and Bui Quoc Hoan,  
Department of Mathematics, Pedagogical Institute Hanoi,  
Tuliem – Hanoi – VIETNAM