

**A RELATION  
BETWEEN FOURIER COEFFICIENTS  
OF HOLOMORPHIC CUSP FORMS  
AND EXPONENTIAL SUMS**

**Anne-Maria Ernvall-Hytönen**

*Communicated by Aleksandar Ivić*

**ABSTRACT.** We consider certain specific exponential sums related to holomorphic cusp forms, give a reformulation for the Lehmer conjecture and prove that certain exponential sums of Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms contain information on other similar non-overlapping exponential sums. Also, we prove an Omega result for short sums of Fourier coefficients.

**1. Introduction**

Holomorphic cusp forms can be represented as Fourier series

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{(\kappa-1)/2}e(nz),$$

where  $\text{Im } z > 0$ ,  $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ , and the numbers  $a(n)$  are called normalized Fourier coefficients and  $\kappa$  is the weight of the form; see e.g. [1] or [13] for an account of the theory of holomorphic modular forms. For properties of exponential sums and related techniques, see [10].

It is of interest to consider exponential sums of the normalized Fourier coefficients:

$$A(M, \Delta, \alpha) = \sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} a(n) e(n\alpha)$$

with  $0 < \Delta \leq M$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For similar exponential sums involving the divisor function  $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ , the notation  $D(M, \Delta, \alpha)$  will be used. Wilton's estimate [17]

$$\sum_{n \leq M} a(n) e(n\alpha) \ll M^{1/2} \log M$$

from the year 1929 is a classical result. This estimate is nearly sharp, only the logarithm can be removed and that was done by Jutila in 1987 [11]. Therefore,

moving the focus to short sums was a logical next step. Karppinen and Ernvall-Hytönen [5] proved that, for  $1 \leq \Delta \ll M^{3/4}$ ,

$$A(M, \Delta, \alpha) \ll \begin{cases} \Delta M^\varepsilon, & \text{when } 1 \leq \Delta \ll M^{2/5} \\ \Delta^{1/6} M^{1/3+\varepsilon}, & \text{when } M^{2/5} \ll \Delta \ll M^{5/8} \\ \Delta M^{-9/48+\varepsilon}, & \text{when } M^{5/8} \ll \Delta \ll M^{11/16} \\ M^{-1/4} \Delta + M^{1/2-1/32+\varepsilon}, & \text{when } M^{11/16} \ll \Delta \ll M^{3/4}. \end{cases}$$

In this article, we will consider the sum

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} c(n) e\left(\frac{n\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) w(n),$$

where  $c(n)$  is either  $a(n)$  or  $d(n)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $w$  is a smooth weight function. In particular, we will show a connection between this sum with  $c(n) = a(n)$  and the coefficient  $a(k)$ . For  $k = 1$ , such a relation was established in [5] for  $c(n) = a(n)$  and in [4] for  $c(n) = d(n)$ . We will also show that this sum contains information about similar shifted (not necessarily overlapping) sums.

Also, we will show the  $\Omega$ -result

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+c\sqrt{M}} a(n) = \Omega(M^{1/4}),$$

where the  $\Omega$ -symbol is to be understood in the following way:  $f = \Omega(g)$  if  $f = o(g)$  does not hold. The question of good  $\Omega$ -results has been earlier tackled by several mathematicians, Joris [9], Redmond [16], Corrádi and Katai [2], to mention a few. In 1989, Ivić and Hafner [6] proved the existence of a positive constant  $D$  such that

$$\sum_{n \leq M} a(n) n^{(\kappa-1)/2} = \Omega_{\pm} \left( M^{\kappa/2-1/4} \exp \left( D \frac{(\log \log M)^{1/4}}{(\log \log \log M)^{3/4}} \right) \right),$$

where  $\Omega_{\pm}$  means the following:  $f = \Omega_{\pm}(g)$  if  $\limsup f/g > 0$  and  $\liminf f/g < 0$ . One year later appeared Ivić's paper [8] in which he showed that there are  $A, B, T_0 > 0$  such that, for  $T \geq T_0$ , every interval  $[T, T + A\sqrt{T}]$  contains  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  for which  $A(1, t_1 - 1, 0) > Bt_1^{1/4}$  and  $A(1, t_2 - 1, 0) < -Bt_2^{1/4}$ . Very recently, Ivić [7] proved an  $\Omega$ -result for short sums:

$$A(M, \Delta, 1) = \Omega(\sqrt{\Delta})$$

when  $M^\varepsilon \leq \Delta \leq M^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ . The result in this article extends this result by treating the "missing" case  $\Delta \asymp M^{1/2}$ .

The author would like to thank professors Jutila and Ivić for valuable insight and comments.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us begin with

DEFINITION 2.1. Given  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}$  we write

$$d(X, Y, Z) = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : \exists y \in [X, Y] : |x - y| < Z\}.$$

Now we may state a lemma [12, Lemma 6] which will be used repeatedly in this article:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A$  be a function which is compactly supported in a finite interval  $[M_1, M_2]$  and at least  $P \geq 0$  times differentiable. Assume also that there exist two natural numbers  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  such that for any non-negative integer  $\nu \leq P$  and for any  $x \in [M_1, M_2]$ ,*

$$A^{(\nu)}(x) \ll A_0 A_1^{-\nu}.$$

*Also, let  $B$  be a function which is real-valued on  $[M_1, M_2]$ , and regular throughout the complex domain  $d(M_1, M_2, \rho)$ ; and assume that there exists a quantity  $B_1$  such that*

$$0 < B_1 \ll |B'(x)|$$

*for any point  $x$  in the domain. Then we have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(x) e(B(x)) dx \ll A_0 (A_1 B_1)^{-P} \left(1 + \frac{A_1}{\rho}\right)^P (M_2 - M_1).$$

### 3. Connecting exponential sums and individual coefficients

The following theorem was proved in [5]:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $M^{1/2+\delta} < \Delta \leq \lambda M^{3/4}$ , where  $0 < \lambda < 1$  is a constant. Let  $w$  be a smooth weight function on the interval  $[M, M + \Delta]$  which equals 1 on the interval  $[a, b] \subset [M, M + \Delta]$  where  $a - M = M + \Delta - b = \Delta^{1-\delta}$  with  $\delta$  a sufficiently small fixed positive real number. Assume further that  $\alpha = M^{-1/2}$ . Then*

$$\left| \sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} a(n) w(n) e(\alpha n) \right| \asymp \Delta M^{-1/4}.$$

The symbol  $\asymp$  has to be understood in the following way:  $f \asymp g$  if  $f = O(g)$  and  $g = O(f)$ .

However, the following more general theorem holds:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $M^{1/2+\theta} \ll \Delta \leq \lambda M^{3/4}$  and  $0 \leq T \leq M^{3/4}$ , where  $0 < \lambda \leq 1/\sqrt{k}$  is a constant,  $\theta$  an arbitrarily small fixed positive number,  $k$  a positive integer, and let  $w$  be a smooth weight function on the interval  $[M, M + \Delta]$  such that  $w$  is a constant function 1 on the interval  $[a, b] \subset [M, M + \Delta]$  where  $a - M, M + \Delta - b = \Delta^{1-\delta}$  with  $\delta < \frac{2\theta}{1+2\theta}$  a sufficiently small fixed positive real number. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{M+T \leq n \leq M+T+\Delta} c(n) w(n-T) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}n}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \\ &= Cc(k)k^{-1/4} \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} x^{-1/4} w(x-T) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}x - 2\sqrt{kx}\right) dx + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(n) = a(n)$  or  $d(n)$  and  $C$  is a constant depending only whether  $c(n)$  equals  $d(n)$  or  $a(n)$  and on the weight of the form.

Notice that the size of the integral is  $\asymp M^{-1/4}\Delta$ . This can be easily proved using the fact that the exponential part is stationary.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2. The proof for  $c(n) = a(n)$  with  $k = 1$  and  $T = 0$  can be found in [5] and the proof for both  $c(n) = d(n)$  and  $c(n) = a(n)$  with  $k = 1$  and  $T = 0$  can be found in [4] and the proof of the above formula is similar. As the case with  $c(n) = a(n)$  is easier and similar to the case  $c(n) = d(n)$ , we are only going to prove the latter case.

Let us first use a Voronoi type summation formula [10, Theorem 1.7]

$$D\left(M+T, \Delta, \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) = \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} (\log x + 2\gamma) w(x-T) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}x}{\sqrt{M}}\right) dx \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} \{-2\pi Y_0(4\pi\sqrt{nx}) + 4K_0(4\pi\sqrt{nx})\} w(x-T) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}x}{\sqrt{M}}\right) dx,$$

where  $Y_0$  and  $K_0$  are Bessel functions in the standard notation. The following estimate is well known (see formula (5.16.5) of [14])

$$K_0(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}, \quad \text{when } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the integral corresponding to the  $K$ -function yields

$$\int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} 4K_0(4\pi\sqrt{nx}) w(x-T) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}x}{\sqrt{M}}\right) dx \\ \ll \frac{1}{n^{1/4}} \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} x^{-1/4} e^{-4\pi\sqrt{nx}} dx \ll n^{-3/2}.$$

Hence, the corresponding sums converges to  $O(1)$  (as a function of  $M$ ). Let us now move to the  $Y$ -Bessel function. We write it first using Hankel functions [14, (5.6.1)]:

$$Y_0(z) = \frac{1}{2i} (H_0^{(1)}(z) - H_0^{(2)}(z)).$$

The asymptotic expansions for the Hankel functions [14, (5.11.5)] give

$$(3.1) \quad H_0^{(j)}(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi z}\right)^{1/2} e^{i(-1)^{j-1}(z-\pi/4)} (1 + c_{1j}z^{-1} + O(|z|^{-2})).$$

The first step to treat these terms is first to integrate and then sum over the  $O$ -term:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} (nx)^{-5/4} dx \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) n^{-5/4} \Delta M^{-5/4} \ll 1.$$

Use Lemma 2.1 to treat the integral over the second term in (3.1), except in the case of  $n = d$ , with the following choices:  $M_2 - M_1 = \Delta$ ,  $\varrho = \frac{1}{2}M$ ,  $A_1 = \Delta^{1-\delta}$ ,  $B_1 \asymp \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M}}$  and  $A_0 = n^{-3/4}M^{-3/4}$ . We obtain

$$\int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} (nx)^{-3/4} e\left(\frac{x\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}} \pm 2\sqrt{nx}\right) w(x-T) dx \\ \ll \Delta^{-P(1-\delta)+1} n^{-P/2-3/4} M^{P/2-3/4} \\ \ll n^{-P/2-3/4} M^{-P(1/2+\theta)(1-\delta)+P/2-1/4+\theta} \ll n^{-P/2-3/4}.$$

Therefore, the series converges and produces an error term of size  $O(1)$ . When  $n = k$ , use integration over the absolute values to obtain the same estimate. Let us now treat the integral corresponding to the first term in the asymptotic Expansion (3.1). When  $n \neq k$ , we obtain by use of Lemma 2.1 the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \Delta^{(1-\delta)(1-P)} n^{-P/2-1/4} M^{P/2-1/4} \\ &\ll M^{-P(1/2+\theta)(1-\delta)+P/2+1/4+\theta} n^{-P/2-1/4} \ll n^{-P/2-1/4}, \end{aligned}$$

when  $P$  is sufficiently large. When  $n = k$ , the first term in the asymptotic expansion for  $H_0^{(1)}$  also gives the same estimate. Hence, we have now derived

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(M+T, \Delta, \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) &= \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} \left(2\gamma + \log x - cd(k)k^{-1/4}x^{-1/4}e(-2\sqrt{kx})\right) \\ &\quad \times w(x-T)e\left(\frac{x\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) dx + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Write  $q(x) = w(x-T)(\ln x + 2\gamma)$ . Now  $q^{(P)}(x) \ll \Delta^{(1-\delta)(\varepsilon-P)}$ . Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M+T}^{M+T+\Delta} q(x)e\left(\frac{x\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) dx &\ll \Delta^{(1-\delta)(\varepsilon-P)+1} M^{P/2} \\ &\ll M^{P/2+(1-\delta)(\varepsilon-P)+1/2+\theta} \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

As a simple corollary, we obtain

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *With the assumptions of the previous theorem and supposing, moreover, that  $a(k) = 0$ , we have*

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} a(n)w(n)e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}n\right) = O(1).$$

On the other hand, if  $a(k) \neq 0$ , then

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} a(n)w(n)e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}n\right) \asymp M^{-1/4}\Delta.$$

In other words, the Lehmer conjecture for the eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators is equivalent to the corresponding sums being large.

**REMARK 3.1.** Notice that if  $a(k) \neq 0$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta'} a(n)e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}n\right) \right| \gg M^{-1/4}\Delta$$

for some  $\Delta' \in (0, \Delta]$ . Otherwise, it would follow from partial summation that the estimate for the smoothed sum would be  $o(M^{-1/4}\Delta)$ .

THEOREM 3.3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} c(n) w(n) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}n}{\sqrt{M}}\right) - \sum_{M+T \leq n \leq M+T+\Delta} c(n) w(n-T) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}n}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \\ \ll \frac{T\Delta(T+\Delta)}{M^{7/4}} + \frac{\Delta(\Delta+T)}{M^{5/4}} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Using Theorem 3.2, we see that it is sufficient to consider the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M^{M+\Delta} x^{-1/4} w(x) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{kx}\right) dx \\ - \int_M^{M+\Delta} (x+T)^{-1/4} w(x) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{k(x+T)}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We first use the Taylor expansion to treat the terms  $x^{-1/4}$  and  $(x+T)^{-1/4}$ :

$$x^{-1/4} = M^{-1/4} + O(M^{-5/4}|x-M|).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M^{M+\Delta} \left( \frac{w(x)}{x^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{kx}\right) - \frac{w(x)}{(x+T)^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{k(x+T)}\right) \right) dx \\ = M^{-1/4} \int_M^{M+\Delta} w(x) \left( e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}x}{\sqrt{M}} - 2\sqrt{kx}\right) - e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} - 2\sqrt{k(x+T)}\right) \right) dx \\ + O\left(\frac{\Delta(\Delta+T)}{M^{5/4}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}x}{\sqrt{M}} - 2\sqrt{kx}\right) - e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} - 2\sqrt{k(x+T)}\right) \right| \\ = \left| e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}x}{\sqrt{M}} - 2\sqrt{kx} - \frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} + 2\sqrt{k(x+T)}\right) - 1 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|e^{iy} - 1| \leq |y|$ , it is sufficient to consider the exponent to obtain an upper bound for the difference of the exponent functions, and thereby for the original integral expression:

$$\left| \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{kx} - \frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} + 2\sqrt{k(x+T)} \right| \ll \frac{T(\Delta+T)}{M^{3/2}}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M^{M+\Delta} \left( \frac{w(x)}{x^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{kx}\right) - \frac{w(x)}{(x+T)^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}(x+T)}{\sqrt{M}} x - 2\sqrt{k(x+T)}\right) \right) dx \\ \ll \frac{T\Delta(T+\Delta)}{M^{7/4}} + \frac{\Delta(\Delta+T)}{M^{5/4}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. An Omega-result for short sums of Fourier coefficients

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $c > 0$  be an arbitrary real number. Then*

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+c\sqrt{M}} a(n) = \Omega(M^{1/4}).$$

Before proving the theorem, let us prove a lemma:

LEMMA 4.1. *Write  $D = Kc^4$ , where  $K$  is a sufficiently large constant. Write  $\|x\|$  to denote the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer. Let  $b$  be a sufficiently large constant. Then it is possible to choose an integer  $k \in [b^{-1}D, bD]$  such that the following two conditions are satisfied: (1)  $\|c\sqrt{k}\| > D^{-1/4}$ , (2)  $a(k) \neq 0$ .*

PROOF. First, consider the difference

$$c\sqrt{k+1} - c\sqrt{k} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{k} + \sqrt{k+1}} \asymp D^{-1/2}.$$

Therefore, the values  $\|c\sqrt{k}\|$  are somewhat uniformly distributed on the interval  $[0, 1)$ . It is now easy to conclude that only  $\asymp D^{3/4}$  of  $k \in [b^{-1}D, bD]$  satisfy the condition  $\|c\sqrt{k}\| \leq D^{-1/4}$ . Since  $a(k) \ll k^\varepsilon$  by Deligne's estimate [3], we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{b^{-1}D \leq k \leq bD, \\ \|c\sqrt{k}\| < D^{-1/4}}} |a(k)|^2 \ll D^{3/4+\varepsilon}.$$

The Rankin–Selberg mean value theorem (see e.g. Rankin [15]) gives the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{b^{-1}D \leq k \leq bD, \\ \|c\sqrt{k}\| > D^{-1/4}}} |a(k)|^2 + O(D^{3/4+\varepsilon}) \asymp D,$$

which proves the existence of a coefficient satisfying both conditions.  $\square$

We may now turn to the proof of the actual theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. Take  $k$  as in Lemma 4.1. From the first condition we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{0 \leq h \leq c\sqrt{M}} e\left(\frac{h\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{1 - e(\lfloor c\sqrt{M} \rfloor \sqrt{k}M^{-1/2} + \sqrt{k}M^{-1/2})}{1 - e(\sqrt{k}M^{-1/2})} \right| \gg M^{1/2},$$

since the denominator is  $\asymp M^{-1/2}\sqrt{k} \asymp M^{-1/2}$  as  $k$  is a constant, and the numerator is  $\asymp 1$  by condition (1) of Lemma 4.1. From Remark 3.1 we know that there exists  $\Delta' \leq \lambda M^{3/4}$ , where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  is a constant, such that

$$\left| \sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta'} a(n) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \right| \gg M^{1/2}.$$

Multiplying these two sums together, we obtain

$$M \ll \left| \left( \sum_{0 \leq h \leq c\sqrt{M}} e\left(\frac{h\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta'} a(n) e\left(\frac{n\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \right) \right|.$$

Change the variable  $m = h + n$  and estimate further:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| \sum_{M \leq m \leq M+\Delta'+c\sqrt{M}} e\left(m\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \sum_{n \in [M, M+\Delta'] \cap [m-c\sqrt{M}, m]} a(n) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{M+c\sqrt{M} \leq m \leq M+\Delta'} e\left(\frac{c\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \sum_{m-c\sqrt{M} \leq n \leq m} a(n) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{M \leq m < M+c\sqrt{M}} e\left(\frac{c\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \sum_{n \in [M, M+\Delta'] \cap [m-c\sqrt{M}, m]} a(n) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{M+\Delta' < m \leq M+\Delta'+c\sqrt{M}} e\left(\frac{c\sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{M}}\right) \sum_{n \in [M, M+\Delta'] \cap [m-c\sqrt{M}, m]} a(n) \right| \end{aligned}$$

We may now use the well-known estimate (see [10])  $\sum_{n \leq M} a(n) \ll M^{1/3+\varepsilon}$  to treat the second and third term and then use the triangle inequality to the first term to obtain

$$\ll \sum_{M+c\sqrt{M} \leq m \leq M+\lambda M^{3/4}} \left| \sum_{m-c\sqrt{M} \leq n \leq m} a(n) \right| + M^{5/6},$$

Therefore the mean of the sums  $\left| \sum_{m-c\sqrt{M} \leq n \leq m} a(n) \right|$  is  $\gg M^{1/4}$  and hence, at least one of them has to be  $\gg M^{1/4}$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*, second edition, Grad. Texts Math. 41, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [2] K. Corrádi and I. Kátai. *A comment on K. S. Gangadharan's paper entitled "Two classical lattice point problems"*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Fiz. Oszt. Közl. **17** (1967), 89–97.
- [3] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **43** (1974), 273–307.
- [4] A.-M. Ernvall-Hytönen, *On problems related to Fourier series of holomorphic cusp forms*; in: A. Laurinčikas and J. Steuding (eds.), *Proc. 4th Internat. Kyiv Conf. Analytic Number Theory and Spatial Tessellations*, Kiev, 2008, 18–26.
- [5] A.-M. Ernvall-Hytönen and K. Karppinen, *On short exponential sums involving Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (10), doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnn022 44pp (2008).
- [6] J. L. Hafner and A. Ivić, *On sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Enseign. Math. (2) **35**(3-4) (1989), 375–382.
- [7] A. Ivić, *On the divisor function and the Riemann zeta-function in short intervals*, Ramanujan J. **19**(2) (2009), 207–224.
- [8] A. Ivić, *Large values of certain number-theoretic error terms*, Acta Arith. **56**(2) (1990), 135–159.



- [9] H. Joris,  *$\Omega$ -Sätze für zwei arithmetische Funktionen*, Comment. Math. Helv. **47** (1972), 220–248.
- [10] M. Jutila, *Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums*, Lect. Math. Phys., Math., Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. 80, Tata Institute, Bombay, 1987.
- [11] M. Jutila, *On exponential sums involving the Ramanujan function*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **97**(1-3) (1987), 157–166.
- [12] M. Jutila and Y. Motohashi, *Uniform bound for Hecke  $L$ -functions*, Acta Math. **195** (2005), 61–115.
- [13] M. Koecher and A. Krieg. *Elliptische Funktionen und Modulformen*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [14] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972, Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman.
- [15] R. A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function  $\tau(n)$  and similar arithmetical functions ii. The order of Fourier coefficients of integral modular forms*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **35** (1939), 357–372.
- [16] D. Redmond, *Omega theorems for a class of Dirichlet series*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **9**(4) (1979), 733–748.
- [17] J. R. Wilton, *A note on Ramanujan's arithmetical function  $\tau(n)$* , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **25**(II) (1929), 121–129.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Turku  
Turku  
Finland  
`anmaer@utu.fi`

(Received 08 12 2008)

(Revised 27 03 2009)