

## NOTE ON SOME MERCERIAN THEOREM

Branislav Martić

**Abstract.** We first give a different proof of a Mercerian theorem in [3] for slowly varying sequences, which does not use monotonicity conditions (5). Then we treat the case of regularly varying sequences.

In this paper all the matrices considered are *triangular* and *invertible*. To shorten exposition we shall use the terminology of the first two pages of the paper [1] of S. Zimring. In addition, if  $A = (a_{nk})$  and  $s$  is the sequence with general term  $s_n$ , by  $As$  we denote the sequence  $(As)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}s_k$ . ( $\sum$  stands for  $\sum_{k=1}^n$  unless stated otherwise). If  $A_n = \sum a_{nk} = 1$  for all  $n$ , we say that the matrix  $A$  is *normalized*.

( $R_0$  denotes the class of *slowly* varying sequences).

We shall use the following two theorems.

THEOREM MV. (M. Vuilleumier [2, Th. 4.1] and [1, p. 72]).

1° For a matrix  $(a_{nk})$  to be  $O(R_0)$ -regular, it is necessary and sufficient that, for some  $\gamma > 0$ .

$$(1) \quad \sum |a_{nk}|k^{-\gamma} = O(n^{-\gamma}), \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

2° If in addition to (1),

$$(2) \quad A_n = \sum a_{nk} \rightarrow 1, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

then the matrix  $(a_{nk})$  is  $R_0$ -regular.

THEOREM SZ. (S. Zimring [1, th. A]). A matrix  $(\delta_{nk})$  which satisfies the condition

$$(3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ |\delta_{nk}| - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\delta_{nk}| \right\} > 0$$

is  $O(1)$ -mercerian. If, in addition, the matrix  $(\delta_{nk})$  is regular, then it is mercerian.

In [3] N. Tanović-Miller proved the following

**THEOREM NTM.** *Let the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  be normalized and nonnegative (i.e.  $a_{nk} \geq 0$ ), let, moreover*

$$(4) \quad a_{n1} > 0, \quad \text{for all } n,$$

and

$$(5) \quad a_{ni}a_{n-1,k} \leq a_{n-1,i}a_{nk}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq i \leq n, \quad n \geq 2.$$

If  $A$  satisfies the condition (1) for some  $\gamma > 0$ , then the matrix

$$B = (I + \lambda A)/(1 + \lambda), \quad \lambda > -1,$$

is  $R_0$ -mercerian, i.e.  $Bs \in R_0 \Rightarrow s_n \sim (BS)_n, (n \rightarrow \infty)$ .

( $I$  is the unit matrix. Here we take  $B = (I + \lambda A)/(1 + \lambda)$  so that the regularity condition is satisfied, i.e.  $B = \frac{I + \lambda A}{1 + \lambda} \Rightarrow \sum b_{nk} = \frac{1 + \lambda \sum a_{nk}}{1 + \lambda} = \frac{1 + \lambda}{1 + \lambda} = 1$ .)

The proof of Theorem NTM is very elementary in case  $\lambda \geq 0$ ). However, in case  $-1 < \lambda < 0$  the proof becomes somewhat involved, demanding some convexity and inductive arguments.

The aim of this note is to give a different proof of this case (more generally, for  $|\lambda| < 1$ ) which, moreover, does not use monotonicity conditions (5).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  be normalized and nonnegative. If (4) holds and  $A$  satisfies (1) for some  $\gamma > 0$ , then*

$$B = (I + \lambda A)/(1 + \lambda), \quad |\lambda| < 1,$$

is  $R_0$ -mercerian.

*Proof.* Suppose  $Bs \in R_0$ . By a well-known property of slowly varying sequences this means: there are sequences  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  such that  $c_n \rightarrow 1, \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $(Bs)_n = c_n \alpha_n$ , where  $\alpha_n = \exp(\sum \varepsilon_k/k) > 0$ . (See [2, p. 15], [4, p. 45] and [5, p. 58–59]).

Thus, we have

$$s_n + \lambda \sum a_{nk} s_k = c_n \alpha_n (1 + \lambda),$$

and, with  $t_n = s_n/\alpha_n$ ,

$$(6) \quad t_n + \lambda \sum a_{nk} \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_n} t_k = c_n (1 + \lambda) \rightarrow 1 + \lambda \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

an Consider the matrix  $A' = (a_{nk} \alpha_k / \alpha_n)$ . Since  $(\alpha_k) \in R_0$ , we have

$$\sum a_{nk} \alpha_k \sim \alpha_n, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \left( \sum a_{nk} = 1 \right)$$

i.e.

$$\sum a_{nk}\alpha_k/\alpha_n \rightarrow 1, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

(See the last relation in [2, p. 19]).

Using now Theorem MV this proves that the matrix  $A'$  is  $R_0$ -regular, since  $A'$  satisfies the same condition (1) as  $A(0 < \alpha_k/\alpha_n \leq 1, a_{nk} \geq 0)$ . A fortiori,  $A'$  is regular.

Let  $B' = (I + \lambda A')/(1 + \lambda)$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Trivially,  $B'$  is regular. If  $B' = (b'_{nk})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \lambda) \left\{ |b'_{nn}| - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |b'_{nk}| \right\} &= |1 + \lambda a_{nn}| - |\lambda| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{nk} \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_n} \geq \\ &\geq 1 - |\lambda| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} = 1 - |\lambda| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $B'$  satisfies (3) and by Theorem SZ it is mercerian, being regular. This gives  $t_n \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $s_n = t_n \alpha_n$  where  $t_n \rightarrow 1, (n \rightarrow \infty)$ . This proves that  $B$  is  $R_0$ -mercerian.

Let us remark that in a similar way one can treat the case of regularly varying sequences. Namely, in case  $\lambda \geq 0$  one applies the method of [3] and in case  $|\lambda| < 1$  the method of our proof of Theorem 1. However, one can deduce such a theorem as a simple consequence of the theorem NTM [3].

( $R_\sigma$  denotes the class of regularly varying sequences of index  $\sigma$ ).

Thus we shall prove

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  be triangular, normalized and non-negative. Let  $a_{n1} > 0$  for all  $n$  and  $a_{ni}a_{n-1,k} \leq a_{n-1,i}a_{nk}, 1 \leq k \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $B = I + \lambda A$  and  $\sigma > 0$ .*

*If  $A$  satisfies the conditions  $\sum a_{nk}(k/n)^\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha, (n \rightarrow \infty)$  for some  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < \sigma$ , and for  $\alpha = \sigma$ , then from  $B_s \in R_\sigma$ , it follows that*

$$s_n \sim \frac{(Bs)n}{1 + \lambda A_\sigma}, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

*provided  $1 + \lambda A_\sigma > 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the well-known representation of regularly varying sequences by slowly varying sequences, from  $B_s \in R_\sigma$  follows  $((Bs)_n/n^\sigma) \in R_0$ . Writing

$$A_\sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum a_{nk}(k/n)^\sigma$$

we have

$$(Bs)_n/n^\sigma = t_n + \lambda A_\sigma \sum a'_{kn} t_k = (B't)_n$$

where  $t_n = s_n/n^\sigma, a'_{nk} = a_{nk}(k/n)^\sigma/A_\sigma$  and  $B' = I + \lambda A_\sigma A'$ .

Obviously,  $A'$  satisfies the conditions of the NTM theorem for  $\delta = \sigma - \alpha$ . Since  $B't \in R_0$  and  $1 + \lambda A_\sigma > 0$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n}{(B't)_n} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda A_\sigma}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{(Bs)_n} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda A_\sigma}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Zimering, *Some Mercerian Theorems for regularly varying sequences*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.) **15(29)** (1973), 171–177.
- [2] M. Vuilleumier, *Comportement asymptotique des transformations linéaires des suites*, Thesis. University of Geneva, 1966.
- [3] N. Tanović-Miller, *A Mercerian theorem for slowly varying sequences*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.) **28(42)** (1980), 203–208.
- [4] J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions*, Mathematica (Cluj) **4** (1930), 38–52.
- [5] J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance régulière—théorèmes fondamentaux*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **61** (1933), 55–62.

Bjelave 70  
71000 Sarajevo  
Jugoslavija

(Received 01 09 1982)