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#### Abstract

For a semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ consisting of analytic self-maps from the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ to itself, a strongly continuous composition semi-group $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ induced by $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on analytic Morrey spaces $H^{2, \lambda}, 0<\lambda<1$, is investigated. By the weak compactness of resolvent operator, we give a complete characterization of $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ for $0<\lambda<1$ in terms of the infinitesimal generator if the Denjoy-Wolff point of $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is in $\mathbb{D}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Recall that a family $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ of analytic self-maps of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be a semigroup if:
(i) $\varphi_{0}$ is the identity $\operatorname{map} I$, i.e. $\varphi_{0}(z)=z, z \in \mathbb{D}$;
(ii) $\varphi_{t+s}=\varphi_{t} \circ \varphi_{s}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$;
(iii) for each $z \in \mathbb{D}, \varphi_{t}(z) \rightarrow z$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

A semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be trivial if each $\varphi_{t}$ is the identity of $\mathbb{D}$. By [12], every non-trivial semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ has a unique common fixed point $b \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with $\left|\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(b)\right| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$, called the Denjoy-Wolff point (DW point) of $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. The infinitesimal generator of $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is the function

$$
G(z)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi_{t}(z)-z}{t}=\left.\frac{\partial \varphi_{t}(z)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

[^0]This convergence holds uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, so $G \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, the set of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, $G$ has a unique representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=(\bar{b} z-1)(z-b) P(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the DW point of $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and $P \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ with $\operatorname{Re}(P(z)) \geq 0$ for $z \in$ $\mathbb{D}$. For every non-trivial semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with the infinitesimal generator $G$, there exists a unique univalent function $h$, the Koenigs function of $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathbb{D}$, correspending to $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. If the DW point $b \in \mathbb{D}$, then $h(b)=0, h^{\prime}(b)=1$ and

$$
h\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)=e^{G^{\prime}(b) t} h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, t \geq 0 .
$$

If the $\mathbb{D W}$ point $b \in \partial \mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|=1\}$, then $h(0)=0$ and

$$
h\left(\varphi_{t}(z)\right)=h(z)+i t, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, t \geq 0
$$

Without loss of generality, the $\mathbb{D W}$ point $b \in \mathbb{D}$ or $b \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ can be written as $b=0$ or $b=1$. See [5] and [12] for more results about the composition semigroups.

For a given semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and a Banach space $X$ consisting of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, we say that $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ generates a strongly continuous composition semigroup $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$ if $C_{t}$ is bounded on $X$ for $t \geq 0$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|C_{t}(f)-f\right\|_{X}=0 \quad \text { for all } f \in X,
$$

where $C_{t}(f)=f \circ \varphi_{t}$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Here $C_{0}$ is the identity operator and $C_{t+s}=C_{t} \circ C_{s}$ for $t, s \geq 0$. Denote by $\left[\varphi_{t}, X\right]$ the maximal subspace of $X$ on which $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ generates a strongly continuous composition semigroup $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. Note that $\left[\varphi_{t}, X\right] \subset X$ is obvious. By $[2,10,11]$, we know that every semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ generates a strongly continuous composition semigroup $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on the Hardy space $H^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, the Bergman space $A^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, and the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$, respectively. In our notation, $\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{p}\right]=H^{p},\left[\varphi_{t}, A^{p}\right]=A^{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\left[\varphi_{t}, \mathcal{D}\right]=\mathcal{D}$. However, not all analytic function spaces admit the property that the corresponding composition semigroups are strongly continuous on them. For this situation, we choose $X=H^{\infty}$, the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$, the spaces $Q_{p}$ and $Q_{K}$, for examples. See [3, 9, 15] for the details.

The authors of [6] considered the same problems for the analytic Morrey spaces $H^{2, \lambda}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Let $H^{2}$ be the Hardy space of all analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ for which

$$
\sup _{0 \leq r<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{2 \pi}<\infty .
$$

Note that for $f \in H^{2}$, the function $f(z)$ converges nontangentially to an $L^{2}$ function $f(t)$ almost everywhere on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, the analytic Morrey space $H^{2, \lambda}$ consisting of those functions $f \in H^{2}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{H^{2}, \lambda}:=\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{I}\left|f(t)-f_{I}\right|^{2} \frac{|\mathrm{~d} t|}{2 \pi}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

where $f_{I}$ denotes the average of $f$ over the $\operatorname{arc} I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $|I|$ denotes the arc length of $I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$. It is clear that for $\lambda=0$ or $\lambda=1, H^{2, \lambda}$ reduces to $H^{2}$ or $B M O A$, the set of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ with boundary values of bounded mean oscillation. It is known (cf.[14]), that $\|f\|_{H^{2, \lambda}}^{2}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{S(I)}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} m(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(I)$ is the Carleson box and $\mathrm{d} m(z)$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$.

It was shown in [6] that for every non-trivial semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B M O A \varsubsetneqq H_{0}^{2, \lambda} \subset\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right] \varsubsetneqq H^{2, \lambda}, \quad 0<\lambda<1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is the closure of all polynomials in $H^{2, \lambda}$. [6, Theorem 3.1], the analogue of Sarason's characterization of a function in $V M O A$, showed that $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=$ [ $\left.\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ for $\varphi_{t}(z)=e^{-t} z$ with the DW point $b=0$. However, by choosing

$$
\varphi_{t}(z)=\frac{\left(e^{-t}\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}-1\right)+1\right)^{\frac{2}{1-\lambda}}-1}{\left(e^{-t}\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}-1\right)+1\right)^{\frac{2}{1-\lambda}}+1}, \quad 0<\lambda<1
$$

with the DW point $b=0$, we find that the function

$$
f_{\lambda}(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}-1 \in H^{2, \lambda} \backslash H_{0}^{2, \lambda}, \quad 0<\lambda<1 .
$$

Since

$$
\left\|f_{\lambda} \circ \varphi_{t}-f_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2, \lambda}}=\left(1-e^{-t}\right)\left\|f_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{2, \lambda}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0, f_{\lambda} \in\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$. It means that $H_{0}^{2, \lambda} \neq\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ holds for the semi$\operatorname{group}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. In addition, we are able to find a semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}=\left(e^{-t} z+1-\right.$ $\left.e^{-t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with the DW point $b=1$, for example, such that $H_{0}^{2, \lambda} \neq\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$.

A natural problem is to characterize the semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=$ [ $\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}$ ] holds. The authors of [6] obtained a sufficient condition for $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=$ [ $\left.\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ in terms of the infinitesimal generator of $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ as follows.

Theorem A ([6]). Let $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semigroup of analytic self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ with the infinitesimal generator $G$ and $0<\lambda<1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{1-|z|}{|G(z)|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} m(z)=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$.
They also gave a neccessary condition on the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup with the DW point $b \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$.

Theorem B ([6]). Let $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semigroup of analytic self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ with the $D W$ point $b \in \mathbb{D}$ and the infinitesimal generator $G$. If for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$ we have $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$, then

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-|z|)^{\frac{3-\lambda}{2}}}{G(z)}=0 .
$$

The following result, Theorem1.1, is our main result in this paper which gives a sufficient and necessary condition for $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ in terms of the weakly compactness of the resolvent operator when the semigroup $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ has a DW point in $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, this shows that when (5) holds, condition (4) in Theorem A is also necessary for $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $0<\lambda<1$ and $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a non-trivial semigroup of analytic self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ with the $D W$ point $b=0$ and the infinitesimal generator $G$. Denote by $\Gamma$ the infinitesimal generator of the corresponding composition semigroup $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ and denote by $R(\sigma, \Gamma)=(\sigma-\Gamma)^{-1}$ the resolvent operator for $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma)$, the resolvent set of $\Gamma$. Then $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ if and only if the resolvent operator $R(\sigma, \Gamma)$ is weakly compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. Moreover, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{1-|z|}{|G(z)|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} m(z)<\infty, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} \frac{1-|z|}{|G(z)|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} m(z)=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, the symbol $A \approx B$ means that $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$. We say that $A \lesssim B$ if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $A \leq C B$.

## 2. Lemmas

For $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, the Volterra type operator $V_{g}$ on $H^{2, \lambda}$ is defined by

$$
V_{g}(f)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\xi) g^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi, \quad f \in H^{2, \lambda}
$$

The following Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are extensions of the related results in [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $0<\lambda<1$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $V_{g}$ is bounded on $H^{2, \lambda}$.
(ii) $V_{g}$ is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose $V_{g}$ is bounded on $H^{2, \lambda}$. By [8], $g \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ since $B M O A \subset H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ for $0<\lambda<1$. A simple computation shows that

$$
V_{g}\left(z^{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{z} \xi^{n} g^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

belong to $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ for all integers $n \geq 1$, and then $V_{g}(P) \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ for all polynomials $P$. Thus, for $f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}, V_{g}(f)$ can be approximated by $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ functions since $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is the closure of all polynomials in $H^{2, \lambda}$. Bearing in mind that $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is closed and the assertion follows.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose $V_{g}$ is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. From [13], we know that the second dual of $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is isomorphic to $H^{2, \lambda}$ under the pairing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, h\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{h(\zeta)}|\mathrm{d} \zeta| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ and $h \in\left(H_{0}^{2, \lambda}\right)^{*}$. Let $V_{g}^{*}$ be the adjoint of $V_{g}$ acting on the dual space $\left(H_{0}^{2, \lambda}\right)^{*}$ under (2.1), and let $V_{g}^{* *}$ be the adjoint of $V_{g}^{*}$ acting on $H^{2, \lambda}$. Thus, by the definition of the adjoint operator,

$$
\left\langle V_{g}(f), h\right\rangle=\left\langle f, V_{g}^{*}(h)\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle V_{g}^{*}(h), f\right\rangle}=\overline{\left\langle h, V_{g}^{* *}(f)\right\rangle}=\left\langle V_{g}^{* *}(f), h\right\rangle
$$

hold for all $f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ and $h \in\left(H_{0}^{2, \lambda}\right)^{*}$. Owing to $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is weak ${ }^{*}$ dense in $H^{2, \lambda}$, we say that $V_{g}^{* *}=V_{g}$ as operators on $H^{2, \lambda}$. Hence, $V_{g}$ is bounded on $H^{2, \lambda}$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $0<\lambda<1$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. If $V_{g}$ is bounded on $H^{2, \lambda}$, then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $V_{g}$ is weakly compact on $H^{2, \lambda}$.
(ii) $V_{g}$ is weakly compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$.
(iii) $V_{g}$ is compact on $H^{2, \lambda}$.
(iv) $V_{g}$ is compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$.
(v) $V_{g}\left(H^{2, \lambda}\right) \subset H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$.

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $V_{g}^{* *}=V_{g}$. According to [4], the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (v) can be easily obtained.

Next, we show that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent. Because $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is a subspace of $H^{2, \lambda}$ and they share the same norm, (iii) implies (iv). Conversely, let $V_{g}$ be compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. Using $V_{g}^{* *}=V_{g}$ again, and together with [4, Theorem VI.5.2], we get that (iv) and (iii) are equivalent.

Finally, we verify that (i) and (iii) are equivalent. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious. To finish the proof, for a given subarc $I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$, we consider the functions

$$
f_{w}(z)=\frac{1}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

where $w=(1-|I|) \zeta$ and $\zeta$ is the center of $I$. Note that $f_{w} \in H^{2, \lambda}$ and

$$
\sup _{w \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{H^{2, \lambda}}<\infty .
$$

If (i) is true, then the equivalence of (i) and (v) gives that $V_{g}\left(H^{2, \lambda}\right) \subset H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. It follows that

$$
V_{g}\left(f_{w}\right)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f_{w}(\xi) g^{\prime}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}
$$

belong to $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. Similar to (2), we have

$$
\lim _{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|^{\lambda}} \int_{S(I)}\left|f_{w}(z)\right|^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} m(z)=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\lim _{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} m(z)=0
$$

which means that $g \in V M O A$ by [7]. Combining this with [8] implies that $V_{g}$ is compact on $H^{2, \lambda}$.

Suppose now that $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is the corresponding composition semigroup on $H^{2, \lambda}$. Since each $\varphi_{t}$ is univalent, we know that $C_{t}$ is bounded on $H^{2, \lambda}\left(\left[16\right.\right.$, Corollary 1]), and $\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|C_{t}\right\|<\infty$. If $f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ and $\epsilon>0$, then there exists a polynomial $P$ such that $\|f-P\|_{H^{2, \lambda}}<\epsilon$ ([13, Lemma 2.8]). Hence,

$$
\left\|C_{t}(f)-C_{t}(P)\right\|_{H^{2, \lambda}}<\epsilon\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}
$$

Since $C_{t}(P) \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$, it follows that $C_{t}(f) \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. Therefore $C_{t}: H_{0}^{2, \lambda} \rightarrow H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ exists as a bounded operator with $\left\|C_{t}\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1+\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}{1-\left|\varphi_{t}(0)\right|}\right)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}$. Thus, we can define the composition operator $S_{t}=\left.C_{t}\right|_{H_{0}^{2, \lambda}}$ on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. It is clear that $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}, 0<\lambda<1$, by [1, Corollary 1.3].
Lemma 2.3. Let $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a semigroup of self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$, $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the corresponding composition semigroup on $H^{2, \lambda}$, and $S_{t}=\left.C_{t}\right|_{H_{0}^{2, \lambda}}$ for $0<\lambda<1$. Then $S_{t}^{* *}=C_{t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $S_{t}^{* *}$ means the second adjoint operator of $S_{t}$ under the pairing (7).
Proof. For $f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ and $h \in\left(H_{0}^{2, \lambda}\right)^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\langle S_{t}(f), h\right\rangle=\left\langle f, S_{t}^{*}(h)\right\rangle=\overline{\left\langle S_{t}^{*}(h), f\right\rangle}=\overline{\left\langle h, S_{t}^{* *}(f)\right\rangle}=\left\langle S_{t}^{* *}(f), h\right\rangle,
$$

which gives

$$
S_{t}^{* *}(f)=S_{t}(f) \text { for all } f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left.C_{t}\right|_{H_{0}^{2, \lambda}}=S_{t}=\left.S_{t}^{* *}\right|_{H_{0}^{2, \lambda}} .
$$

Since $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ is weak* dense in $H^{2, \lambda}$, the conclusion follows.
Lemma C ([5]). Let $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous composition semigroup on a Banach space $X$ with the infinitesimal generator $A$ and let $\omega_{0}$ be the growth bound of $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, i.e.

$$
\omega_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left\|T_{t}\right\|}{t} .
$$

(i) If $\delta>\omega_{0}$, then there is a constant $M_{\delta}$ such that $\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leq M_{\delta} e^{\delta t}, t \geq 0$;
(ii) If $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma)>\omega_{0}$, then $\sigma \in \rho(A)$ and

$$
R(\sigma, A)(f)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma t} T_{t}(f) \mathrm{d} t, \quad f \in X .
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a non-trivial semigroup of self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ with the $D W$ point $b=0$, the infinitesimal generator $G$ and Koenigs function $h$. Suppose $S_{t}$ is the corresponding composition semigroup on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}, 0<\lambda<1$, with the infinitesimal generator $\Gamma$. Then for $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma)$, the resolvent operator of $\Gamma$ has the following representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\sigma, \Gamma) f(z)=-\frac{1}{G^{\prime}(0)} \frac{1}{(h(z))^{-\frac{\sigma}{G^{\prime}(0)}}} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta)(h(\zeta))^{-\frac{\sigma}{G^{\prime}(0)}-1} h^{\prime}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $-G^{\prime}(0)$ belongs to $\rho(\Gamma)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(-G^{\prime}(0), \Gamma\right) f(z)=-\frac{1}{G^{\prime}(0) h(z)} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) h^{\prime}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Write

$$
R:=-\frac{1}{G^{\prime}(0)} \frac{1}{(h(z))^{-\frac{\sigma}{G^{\prime}(0)}}} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta)(h(\zeta))^{-\frac{\sigma}{G^{\prime}(0)}-1} h^{\prime}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta .
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
(\sigma I-\Gamma) R=R(\sigma I-\Gamma)=I,
$$

which shows that $R$ is the resolvent operator of $\Gamma$ and (8) holds. Since each $\varphi_{t}$ is univalent, we immediately get that each $S_{t}$ maps $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ into itself and so

$$
\omega_{0}:=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left\|S_{t}\right\|}{t}=0 .
$$

By (1), we have

$$
G(z)=-z P(z), \quad \operatorname{Re}(P(z)) \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(-G^{\prime}(0)\right)=\operatorname{Re}(P(0)) \geq 0
$$

If $\operatorname{Re}\left(-G^{\prime}(0)\right)>0$, by (ii) of Lemma $\mathrm{C},-G^{\prime}(0) \in \rho(\Gamma)$. If $\operatorname{Re}\left(-G^{\prime}(0)\right)=0$, write $G(z)=-i \alpha z$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. By [3, Theorem 2],

$$
\Gamma(f)(z)=G(z) f^{\prime}(z)=-i \alpha z f^{\prime}(z) .
$$

Thus, $(i \alpha I-\Gamma)(f)=g$ has the unique analytic solution

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{i \alpha z} \int_{0}^{z} g(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

It is not difficult to see that the operator

$$
g \rightarrow \frac{1}{i \alpha z} \int_{0}^{z} g(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

is bounded on $H^{2, \lambda}$. Hence, it is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. Therefore, $-G^{\prime}(0) \in \rho(\Gamma)$. Choosing $\sigma=-G^{\prime}(0)$ in (8), we obtain (9).

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. Suppose $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$. By (i) of Lemma C, there are two positive constants $\delta$ and $M_{\delta}$ such that $\left\|S_{u}\right\| \leq M_{\delta} e^{\delta u}$ for $u \geq 0$. By (ii) of Lemma C, we choose a large enough real number $\sigma>\delta$ such that $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma)$ and we have

$$
R(\sigma, \Gamma)(f)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma u} S_{u}(f) \mathrm{d} u, \quad f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}
$$

Thus,

$$
S_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)(f)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma u} S_{t+u}(f) \mathrm{d} u=e^{\sigma t} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma u} S_{u}(f) \mathrm{d} u
$$

Accordingly,

$$
S_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)(f)-R(\sigma, \Gamma)(f)=\left(e^{\sigma t}-1\right) \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma u} S_{u}(f) \mathrm{d} u-\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\sigma u} S_{u}(f) \mathrm{d} u
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| S_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)(f)- & R(\sigma, \Gamma)(f) \|_{H^{2, \lambda}} \\
& \leq\left(\left|e^{\sigma t}-1\right| \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma u}\left\|S_{u}\right\| \mathrm{d} u+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\sigma u}\left\|S_{u}\right\| \mathrm{d} u\right)\|f\|_{H^{2, \lambda}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|S_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)-R(\sigma, \Gamma)\right\| \leq M_{\delta}\left(\left|e^{\sigma t}-1\right| \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-(\sigma-\delta) u} \mathrm{~d} u+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(\sigma-\delta) u} \mathrm{~d} u\right)
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)-R(\sigma, \Gamma)\right\|=0
$$

By Lemma 2.3, $S_{t}^{* *}=C_{t}$. Recalling that $S_{t}$ commutes with $R(\sigma, \Gamma)$, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|C_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}-R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}\right\|=0 .
$$

This implies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|C_{t} \circ R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}(f)-R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}(f)\right\|_{H^{2}, \lambda}=0, \quad f \in H^{2, \lambda}
$$

which yeilds that $R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}\left(H^{2, \lambda}\right) \subset\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]=H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. According to [4, Theorem VI.4.2], we know that $R(\sigma, \Gamma)$ is weakly compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ for a large enough real number $\sigma$. For a general $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma)$, using the resolvent equation

$$
R(\sigma, \Gamma)-R(\mu, \Gamma)=(\mu-\sigma) R(\sigma, \Gamma) R(\mu, \Gamma), \quad \sigma, \mu \in \rho(\Gamma),
$$

we obtain that $R(\sigma, \Gamma)$ is weakly compact for some $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma)$ if and only if it is weakly compact for every $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma)$.

Conversely, write $Y=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ and then $H_{0}^{2, \lambda} \subset Y \varsubsetneqq H^{2, \lambda}$ by [6]. By [3, Theorem 2], the restriction of $\left(C_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $Y$ is a strongly continuous semigroup with the infinitesimal generator $\Delta(f)=G f^{\prime}$. It is clear that the domain of $\Gamma$

$$
D(\Gamma)=\left\{f \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}: G f^{\prime} \in H_{0}^{2, \lambda}\right\} \subset D(\Delta)=\left\{f \in Y: G f^{\prime} \in Y\right\},
$$

and that $\Delta$ is an extension of $\Gamma$. Let $\sigma$ be a large enough real number such that $\sigma \in \rho(\Gamma) \cap \rho(\Delta)$. An argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 2.3 shows that

$$
\left.R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}\right|_{H_{0}^{2, \lambda}}=R(\sigma, \Gamma),\left.\quad R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}\right|_{Y}=R(\sigma, \Delta) .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\Delta) & =\left\{f \in Y: G f^{\prime} \in Y\right\} \\
& =\left\{f \in Y: g=G f^{\prime}-\sigma f \in Y\right\} \\
& =\{f \in Y: f=R(\sigma, \Delta)(g), g \in Y\} \\
& =R(\sigma, \Delta)(Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
D(\Delta)=\left.R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}\right|_{Y}(Y) \subset R(\sigma, \Gamma)^{* *}\left(H^{2, \lambda}\right) \subset H_{0}^{2, \lambda} .
$$

By [3, Theorem 1], we have

$$
Y=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]=\overline{D(\Delta)} \subset H_{0}^{2, \lambda}
$$

which means that

$$
H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right] .
$$

Next, we are going to prove the second part of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.4, we know that $-G^{\prime}(0) \in \rho(\Gamma)$ and

$$
R_{h}(f):=R\left(-G^{\prime}(0), \Gamma\right) f(z)=-\frac{1}{G^{\prime}(0) h(z)} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) h^{\prime}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

By using the techniques mentioned in [12], the operator $R_{h}$ and the multiplier operator

$$
M_{I}(f)(z)=I(z) f(z)=z f(z)
$$

satisfy the following identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{I} P_{h}=-G^{\prime}(0) R_{h} M_{I}, \quad Q_{h}=P_{h}+Q_{h} P_{h} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P_{h} f(z)=\frac{1}{z h(z)} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) \zeta h^{\prime}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

and

$$
Q_{h} f(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) \frac{\zeta h^{\prime}(\zeta)}{h(\zeta)} \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

To finish our proof, by the first part of the theorem, it suffices to show that $R_{h}$ is weakly compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ if and only if (6) holds. A simple computation shows that

$$
Q_{h}(f)(z)=J(f)(z)+L_{h} M_{I}(f)(z)
$$

where

$$
J(f)(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

and

$$
L_{h} f(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta)\left(\log \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

Since the DW point $b=0$, we have

$$
h^{\prime}(z) G(z)=G^{\prime}(0) h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Thus, (5) gives

$$
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{I I \mid} \int_{S(I)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right|^{2}(1-|z|) \mathrm{d} m(z)<\infty
$$

which shows that $\log \frac{h(z)}{z} \in B M O A$. By [8] and Lemma 2.1, $L_{h}$ is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$, and so $Q_{h}$ is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. By (10), $R_{h}$ is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ and therefore, $P_{h}$ is bounded on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$. Meanwhile, (6) is equivalent to

$$
\lim _{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} m(z)=0
$$

which shows that $\log \frac{h(z)}{z} \in V M O A$. Similarly, we obtain that (6) is equivalent to that $R_{h}$ is weakly compact on $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}$ see [4, Theorem VI.4.5]. The proof is complete.

The following corollary is closely related to Theorem B.
Corollary 3.1. Suppose $0<\lambda<1$ and $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a non-trivial semigroup of analytic self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$ with the DW point in $\mathbb{D}$ and infinitesimal generator $G$. If condition (5) holds, then $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$ implies that

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-|z|}{G(z)}=0 .
$$

Proof. Suppose $H_{0}^{2, \lambda}=\left[\varphi_{t}, H^{2, \lambda}\right]$. By Theorem 1.1, we have that (6) holds. A standard argument (cf. [7]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|G(z)|^{2}}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} m(z)=0, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{a}(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a} z}, a \in \mathbb{D}$, is the Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$. For $0<r<1$, let $\mathbb{D}(a, r)=\left\{a \in \mathbb{D}:\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|<r\right\}$ be the pseudohyperbolic disk with center $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and radius $r$. By [17], we see that

$$
|1-\bar{a} z|^{2} \approx\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2} \approx\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2} \approx m(\mathbb{D}(a, r)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}(a, r) .
$$

Choose an $r_{0} \in(0,1)$. By the subharmonicity, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|G(z)|^{2}}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} m(z) \\
& \geq\left(1-r_{0}^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{D}\left(a, r_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{|G(z)|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} m(z) \geq\left(1-r_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}}{|G(a)|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $|a| \rightarrow 1$, by (11) we obtain

$$
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-|a|}{G(a)}=0 .
$$

Thus, Corollary 3.1 is proved.
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