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#### Abstract

To each irrational number $x$ is associated an infinite sequence of rational fractions $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}$, known as the convergents of $x$. Consider the functions $q_{n}\left|q_{n} x-p_{n}\right|=\theta_{n}(x)$. We shall primarily be concerned with the computation, for almost all real $x$, of the ergodic sum $$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \theta_{k}(x)=-1-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \approx-1.34657
$$


Each irrational number $x$ has a unique infinite, regular continued fraction expansion of the form

$$
x=\left[a_{1} ; a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots\right]=a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\frac{1}{a_{3}+\ldots}}
$$

where the $a_{i}$ are integers and $a_{i}>0$ for $i>1$. To $x$ is associated an infinite sequence of rational fractions $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=\left[a_{1} ; a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$, in lowest terms, known as the convergents of $x$. Define the functions $\theta_{n}(x)$ by the identity

$$
\left|x-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right|=\frac{\theta_{n}(x)}{q_{n}^{2}} .
$$

Important metrical results on the $\theta_{n}(x)$ are proved in the papers [3],[5] and [7]. Since the convergents satisfy the following well-known inequality, usually attributed to Dirichlet,

$$
\left|x-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right|<\frac{1}{q_{n}^{2}},
$$

we have $0<\theta_{n}(x)<1$.
It does not seem to have been observed that for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \theta_{n}(x)}{n}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To begin we supply a proof of this fact which was suggested by A. Rockett.
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From the sequence of inequalities (see [9]),

$$
\frac{1}{q_{n}\left(q_{n}+q_{n+1}\right)} \leq\left|x-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n+1}}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{q_{n}}{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log \theta_{n}(x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{q_{n}}{q_{n+1}}\right)
$$

The result then follows easily from the Khintchine-Lévy Theorem, which asserts that for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log q_{n}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{12 \log 2}, \quad(\text { see }[2] \text { or }[9]) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we look at the limiting average of the functions $\log \theta_{n}(x)$. While this average resembles those, such as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_{k}(x)$, computed in [3] and [5], its evaluation is complicated by the fact that $\log x$ is not continuous on the interval $[0,1]$. As a result, knowledge of the distribution function for $\theta_{n}(x)$ is not sufficient to prove the theorem. As in [3], we work with a form of the natural automorphic extension of the Gauss transform, derived from the extension originally given by Nakada [8].

Theorem 1. For almost all $x$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \theta_{k}(x)=-1-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \approx-1.34657
$$

Let $\Lambda=((0,1) \backslash \mathbb{Q}) \times[0,1]$ and define the map $\widetilde{S}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ by

$$
\widetilde{S}(s, t)=\left(\frac{1}{s}-\left[\frac{1}{s}\right], \frac{1}{t+\left[\frac{1}{s}\right]}\right)
$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer function. Let $\nu$ be the probability measure with density $m(s, t)=\frac{1}{\log 2}(1+s t)^{-2}$. It was first observed by Nakada [8] that the dynamical system $(\Lambda, B, \nu, \widetilde{S})$ is ergodic. See also [1].

Consider the related self-mapping $\widetilde{T}$ of $\Omega=((0,1) \backslash \mathbb{Q}) \times[-\infty,-1]$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{T}(x, y)=\left(\frac{1}{x}-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right], \frac{1}{y}-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]\right) .
$$

Let $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Omega$ be the invertible function given by $\varphi(s, t)=\left(s,-\frac{1}{t}\right)$. It is clear that $\varphi$ maps $\Lambda$ onto $\Omega$ and that $\widetilde{T}=\varphi \circ \widetilde{S} \circ \varphi^{-1}$.

The measure $\mu=\varphi^{*} \nu$ is defined by

$$
\mu(D)=\frac{1}{\log } \int_{\varphi^{-1}(D)} \frac{1}{(1+s t)^{2}} d s d t
$$

where $D$ is a borel subset of $\Omega$. It follows by an application of the chain rule that $\mu$ has the density $p(x, y)=\frac{1}{\log 2}(x-y)^{-2}$. As constructed, $\mu$ is invariant under the action of $\widetilde{T}$ and $\varphi$ defines an isomorphism between the dynamical systems $(\Lambda, B, \nu, \widetilde{S})$ and $(\Omega, B, \mu, \widetilde{T})$. It follows that $(\Omega, B, \mu, \widetilde{T})$ is an ergodic dynamical system. The ergodicity of $\widetilde{T}$ is central to the proof of Theorem 1 that follows.

Let $T(x)=\frac{1}{x}-\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]$ be the classical Gauss map and let $\pi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}$ be projection on the first factor. Then independent of $y, \pi \circ \widetilde{T}(x, y)=T(x)$ and $\pi^{*}(\mu)$ is the classical Gauss measure, which is an invariant measure for $T$, with density $g(x)=\frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{1}{1+x}$.

For irrational $x=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots\right]$, the Gauss map $T$ acts as a shift on continued fraction expansions with $T(x)=\left[0 ; a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots\right]$. Even when $x$ is rational, $T$ acts as a shift on the finite continued fraction expansion and the iterates are defined until $T^{n}(x)=0$. We assume henceforth that $x=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots\right]$ is irrational. The iterates $\widetilde{T}^{n}(x)$ are then defined for all positive integers $n$. If $y=-\left[a_{-1} ; a_{-2}, \ldots\right] \in(-\infty,-1]$, with a possibly finite continued fraction expansion and $x$ is as above then $\widetilde{T}^{n}(x, y)=(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ where $\hat{x}=\left[0 ; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2} \ldots\right]$ and $\hat{y}=-\left[a_{n} ; a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \ldots, a_{1}, a_{-1} \ldots\right]$.

Define the function

$$
F(x, y)=\log \left(\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{y}\right)=\log \left(\frac{y-x}{x y}\right)
$$

We show that $F$ is integrable on $\Omega=(0,1) \times(-\infty,-1]$ with respect to the density $p$. It shall soon be clear that $F$ is very useful for computing the quantity $\log \theta_{n}(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \log \left(\frac{y-x}{x y}\right) p(x, y) d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\log 2} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log \left(\frac{y-x}{x y}\right)}{(x-y)^{2}} d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\log 2} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1+\log (1-y)-\log (-y)}{y(y-1)} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\log 2} \lim _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left[\log (-y)+\log (1-y)+\frac{1}{2}(\log (-y))^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{1}{2}(\log (1-y))^{2}-\log (-y) \log (1-y)\right]\right]\left.\right|_{-h} ^{-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\log 2}\left[\left(\log 2+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \log (-y)-\frac{1}{2} \log (1-y)+1}{\frac{1}{\log (-y)}}+\frac{\frac{1}{2} \log (1-y)-\frac{1}{2} \log (-y)+1}{\frac{1}{\log (1-y)}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last limit is zero by L'Hospital's rule.

Since $F$ is $\mu$-integrable and $\widetilde{T}^{n}(x, y)$ is defined on a set of full measure for all $n \geq 0$, it is a direct consequence of the Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem (see [4] or [2]) that for almost all $(x, y) \in \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F\left(\widetilde{T}^{i}(x, y)\right)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, y) p(x, y) \quad d x d y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value was just computed to be $1+\frac{1}{2} \log 2$.
It is known, see, e.g., [2], that if two numbers $\alpha, \beta$ have continued fractions expansions which agree in their first $n$ digits, then $|\alpha-\beta|<2^{-n+1}$. Thus for $y, y^{\prime} \in[-\infty,-1], \quad\left|\pi_{2} \circ \widetilde{T}^{n}(x, y)-\pi_{2} \circ \widetilde{T}^{n}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right|<2^{-n+1}$.

Our next task is to prove that if the equality (3) holds for a given $(x, y)$ then it holds for $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ for all $y^{\prime} \in[-\infty,-1]$. In essence, the equality is true for almost all $x$ independent of $y$. Fix $x$ and suppose that the equality (3) holds for $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Let $y^{\prime} \in[-\infty,-1]$. To simplify the computation write $\widetilde{T^{i}}(x, y)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ and $\widetilde{T^{i}}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Keep in mind that $y_{i}$ and $y_{i}^{\prime}$ are negative numbers. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|F\left(\widetilde{T^{i}}(x, y)\right)-F\left(\widetilde{T^{i}}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\log \left(\frac{y_{i}-x_{i}}{x_{i} y_{i}}\right)-\log \left(\frac{y_{i}^{\prime}-x_{i}}{x_{i} y_{i}^{\prime}}\right)\right| \\
= & \left.\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \right\rvert\,\left(\log \left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)-\log \left(x_{i}\right)-\log \left(-y_{i}\right)\right) \\
& -\left(\log \left(x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}\right)-\log \left(x_{i}\right)-\log \left(-y_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mid \\
4) \quad \leq & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\log \frac{x_{i}-y_{i}}{x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}}\right|+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\log \frac{y_{i}^{\prime}}{y_{i}}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is no loss of generality in supposing that $x_{i}-y_{i} \geq x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}$, since the absolute value of the $\log$ of the quotient in the first sum of (4) is the same either way the inequality goes. Then by an earlier observation

$$
0<\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)-\left(x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=y_{i}^{\prime}-y_{i}<2^{-i+1}
$$

Since $x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}>1$,

$$
\frac{x_{i}-y_{i}}{x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}}<1+2^{-i+1}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}<1+2^{-i+1}
$$

Now take logs and apply the standard estimate that comes from the alternating series for $\log x$ to get

$$
\log \frac{x_{i}-y_{i}}{x_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}}<\log \left(1+2^{-i+1}\right)<2^{-i+1}
$$

It follows that the first sum in (4) converges to zero as $n$ goes to $\infty$. By a similar argument the same conclusion can be reached for the second sum in (4). This shows that if the equality (3) holds for some $(x, y) \in \Omega$ then it holds for any $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega$.

We are now close to completing the the proof of Theorem 1. Two identities from the classical theory will link the above to our main theorem. If $x=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots\right]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{n} ; a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_{1}\right]=\frac{q_{n}}{q_{n-1}} \quad(\text { see }[9]) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{T^{n}(x)}+\frac{q_{n-1}}{q_{n}}\right)^{-1} \quad(\text { see }[6, \text { p. } 29,(11)]) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $x \in(0,1)$, let $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\widetilde{T}(x, \infty)=(T(x),-[1 / x]) \in \Omega$. As above define $\widetilde{T^{i}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$. If $x=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots\right]$ then for $i>0$

$$
\left(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}\right)=\left(\left[0 ; a_{i+1}, a_{i+2} \ldots\right],-\left[a_{i} ; a_{i-1}, a_{i-2}, \ldots, a_{1}\right]\right)=\left(T^{i}(x),-\frac{q_{i}}{q_{i-1}}\right)
$$

where we have used (5) above. From (6) and the definition of $F$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-F\left(\widetilde{T^{i}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right) & =-\log \left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}-\frac{1}{y_{i}}\right) \\
& =\log \left(\frac{1}{T^{i+1}(x)}+\frac{q_{i}}{q_{i+1}}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\log \theta_{i+1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \theta_{i+1}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}-F\left(\widetilde{T}^{i}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right)
$$

converges to $-1-\frac{1}{2} \log 2$ for almost all $x_{0} \in(0,1)$, independent of $y_{0}$, and consequently for almost all $x \in(0,1)$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
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