## FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON S-METRIC SPACES

# Shaban Sedghi and Nguyen Van Dung

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove a general fixed point theorem in S-metric spaces which is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 from [S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, Mat. Vesnik 64 (2012), 258–266]. As applications, we get many analogues of fixed point theorems from metric spaces to S-metric spaces.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In [13], S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche have introduced the notion of an *S*-metric space as follows.

DEFINITION 1.1. [13, Definition 2.1] Let X be a nonempty set. An S-metric on X is a function  $S: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  that satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

(S1) S(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z.

(S2)  $S(x, y, z) \le S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a).$ 

The pair (X, S) is called an *S*-metric space.

This notion is a generalization of a G-metric space [11] and a  $D^*$ -metric space [14]. For the fixed point problem in generalized metric spaces, many results have been proved, see [1, 7, 9, 10], for example. In [13], the authors proved some properties of S-metric spaces. Also, they proved some fixed point theorems for a self-map on an S-metric space.

In this paper, we prove a general fixed point theorem in S-metric spaces which is a generalization of [13, Theorem 3.1]. As applications, we get many analogues of fixed point theorems in metric spaces for S-metric spaces.

Now we recall some notions and lemmas which will be useful later.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54H25, 54E99

Keywords and phrases: S-metric space.

This work is partly discussed at the Dong Thap Seminar on Mathematical Analysis.

DEFINITION 1.2. [2] Let X be a nonempty set. A *B*-metric on X is a function  $d : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$  if there exists a real number  $b \ge 1$  such that the following conditions hold for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

(B1) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y.

(B2) d(x,y) = d(y,x).

(B3)  $d(x,z) \le b[d(x,y) + d(y,z)].$ 

The pair (X, d) is called a *B*-metric space.

DEFINITION 1.3. [13] Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. For r > 0 and  $x \in X$ , we define the open ball  $B_S(x, r)$  and the closed ball  $B_S[x, r]$  with center x and radius r as follows

$$B_S(x,r) = \{ y \in X : S(y,y,x) < r \},\$$
  
$$B_S[x,r] = \{ y \in X : S(y,y,x) \le r \}.$$

The topology induced by the S-metric is the topology generated by the base of all open balls in X.

DEFINITION 1.4. [13] Let (X, S) be an S-metric space.

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  converges to  $x \in X$  if  $S(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . That is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$  we have  $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ . We write  $x_n \to x$  for brevity.
- (2) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is a Cauchy sequence if  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \to 0$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ . That is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \ge n_0$  we have  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ .
- (3) The S-metric space (X, S) is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence.

LEMMA 1.5. [13, Lemma 2.5] In an S-metric space, we have

$$S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$$

for all 
$$x, y \in X$$
.

LEMMA 1.6. [13, Lemma 2.12] Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. If  $x_n \to x$ and  $y_n \to y$  then  $S(x_n, x_n, y_n) \to S(x, x, y)$ .

As a special case of [13, Examples in page 260] we have the following

EXAMPLE 1.7. Let  $\mathbb R$  be the real line. Then

$$S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  is an S-metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This S-metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  is called the *usual* S-metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

# 2. Main results

First, we prove some properties of S-metric spaces.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let (X, S) be an S-metric space and let

$$d(x,y) = S(x,x,y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then we have

- (1) d is a B-metric on X;
- (2)  $x_n \to x$  in (X, S) if and only if  $x_n \to x$  in (X, d);
- (3)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X, S) if and only if  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d).

*Proof.* For the statement (1), conditions (B1) and (B2) are easy to check. It follows from (S2) and Lemma 1.5 that

$$d(x,z) = S(x,x,z) \le S(x,x,y) + S(x,x,y) + S(z,z,y)$$
  
= 2S(x,x,y) + S(y,y,z) = 2d(x,y) + d(y,z)  
$$d(x,z) = S(z,z,x) \le S(z,z,y) + S(z,z,y) + S(x,x,y)$$
  
= 2S(z,z,y) + S(x,x,y) = 2d(y,z) + d(x,y).

It follows that  $d(x, z) \leq 3/2[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ . Then d is a B-metric with b = 3/2. Statements (2) and (3) are easy to check.

The following property is trivial and we omit the proof.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. Then we have

- (1) X is first-countable;
- (2) X is regular.

REMARK 2.3. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 we have that every S-metric space is topologically equivalent to a B-metric space.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a map from an S-metric space X to an S-metric space Y. Then f is continuous at  $x \in X$  if and only if  $f(x_n) \to f(x)$  whenever  $x_n \to x$ .

Now, we introduce an implicit relation to investigate some fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the family of all continuous functions of five variables  $M: \mathbb{R}^5_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . For some  $k \in [0, 1)$ , we consider the following conditions.

(C1) For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , if  $y \leq M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \leq 2x + y$ , then  $y \leq kx$ .

(C2) For all  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0)$ , then y = 0.

(C3) If  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for all  $x_i, y_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, i \leq 5$ , then

 $M(x_1, \dots, x_5) \le M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$ 

Moreover, for all  $y \in X$ ,  $M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) \le k y$ .

REMARK 2.5. Note that the coefficient k in conditions (C1) and (C3) may be different, for example,  $k_1$  and  $k_3$  respectively. But we may assume that they are equal by putting  $k = \max\{k_1, k_3\}$ .

A general fixed point theorem for S-metric spaces is as follows.

THEOREM 2.6. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and  $S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \leq M(S(x, x, y), S(Tx, Tx, x), S(Tx, Tx, y)),$ 

$$S(Ty, Ty, x), S(Ty, Ty, y)) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and some  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then we have

(1) If M satisfies the condition (C1), then T has a fixed point. Moreover, for any  $x_0 \in X$  and the fixed point x, we have

$$S(Tx_n, Tx_n, x) \le \frac{2k^n}{1-k}S(x_0, x_0, Tx_0).$$

- (2) If M satisfies the condition (C2) and T has a fixed point, then the fixed point is unique.
- (3) If M satisfies the condition (C3) and T has a fixed point x, then T is continuous at x.

*Proof.* (1) For each  $x_0 \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . It follows from (2.1) and Lemma 1.5 that

$$S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = S(Tx_n, Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq M \left( S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n), S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_n), S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \right)$$

$$= M \left( S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), 0, S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+2}), S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right).$$

By (S2) and Lemma 1.5 we have

$$S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+2}) \le 2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})$$
  
= 2S(x<sub>n</sub>, x<sub>n</sub>, x<sub>n+1</sub>) + S(x<sub>n+1</sub>, x<sub>n+1</sub>, x<sub>n+2</sub>).

Since M satisfies the condition (C1), there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le kS(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \le k^{n+1}S(x_0, x_0, x_1).$$
(2.2)

Thus for all n < m, by using (S2), Lemma 1.5 and (2.2), we have

$$S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \le 2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(x_m, x_m, x_{n+1})$$
  
= 2S(x<sub>n</sub>, x<sub>n</sub>, x<sub>n+1</sub>) + S(x<sub>n+1</sub>, x<sub>n+1</sub>, x<sub>m</sub>)  
...  
$$\le 2[k^n + \dots + k^{m-1}]S(x_0, x_0, x_1)$$
  
$$\le \frac{2k^n}{1-k}S(x_0, x_0, x_1).$$

Taking the limit as  $n, m \to \infty$  we get  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \to 0$ . This proves that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete S-metric space (X, S). Then  $x_n \to x \in X$ . Moreover, taking the limit as  $m \to \infty$  we get

$$S(x_n, x_n, x) \le \frac{2k^{n+1}}{1-k}S(x_0, x_0, x_1).$$

It implies that

$$S(Tx_n, Tx_n, x) \le \frac{2k^n}{1-k}S(x_0, x_0, Tx_0).$$

Now we prove that x is a fixed point of T. By using (2.1) again we get

$$S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, Tx) = S(Tx_n, Tx_n, Tx)$$
  

$$\leq M(S(x_n, x_n, x), S(Tx_n, Tx_n, x), S(Tx_n, Tx_n, x_n),$$
  

$$S(Tx, Tx, x_n), S(Tx, Tx, x))$$
  

$$= M(S(x_n, x_n, x), S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x), S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n),$$
  

$$S(Tx, Tx, x_n), S(Tx, Tx, x)).$$

Note that  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , then using Lemma 1.6 and taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  we obtain

$$S(x, x, Tx) \le M(0, 0, 0, S(Tx, Tx, x), S(Tx, Tx, x)).$$

Then, from Lemma 1.5, we obtain

$$S(x, x, Tx) \le M(0, 0, 0, S(x, x, Tx), S(x, x, Tx, )).$$

Since M satisfies the condition (C1), then  $S(x, x, Tx) \le k \cdot 0 = 0$ . This proves that x = Tx.

(2) Let x, y be fixed points of T. We shall prove that x = y. It follows from (2.1) and Lemma 1.5 that

$$\begin{split} S(x, x, y) &= S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq M \big( S(x, x, y), S(Tx, Tx, x), S(Tx, Tx, y), S(Ty, Ty, x), S(Ty, Ty, y) \big) \\ &= M \big( S(x, x, y), 0, S(x, x, y), S(y, y, x), 0 \big) \\ &= M \big( S(x, x, y), 0, S(x, x, y), S(x, x, y), 0 \big). \end{split}$$

Since M satisfies the condition ((C2), then S(x, x, y) = 0. This proves that x = y.

(3) Let x be the fixed point of T and  $y_n \to x \in X$ . By Corollary 2.4, we need to prove that  $Ty_n \to Tx$ . It follows from (2.1) that

$$S(x, x, Ty_n) = S(Tx, Tx, Ty_n) \leq M(S(x, x, y_n), S(Tx, Tx, x), S(Tx, Tx, y_n), S(Ty_n, Ty_n, x), S(Ty_n, Ty_n, y_n)) = M(S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n), S(Ty_n, Ty_n, x), S(Ty_n, Ty_n, y_n)).$$

Since M satisfies the condition (C3) and by (S2)

$$S(Ty_n, Ty_n, y_n) \le 2S(Ty_n, Ty_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, x)$$

then we have

$$S(x, x, Ty_n) \le M (S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n)) + M (0, 0, 0, S(Ty_n, Ty_n, x), 2.S(Ty_n, Ty_n, x)) \le M (S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n)) + k S(Ty_n, Ty_n, x).$$

Therefore

$$S(x, x, Ty_n) \le \frac{1}{1-k} M \big( S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n), 0, S(x, x, y_n) \big).$$

Note that  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , hence taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  we get  $S(x, x, Ty_n) \to 0$ . This proves that  $Ty_n \to x = Tx$ .

Next, we give some analogues of fixed point theorems in metric spaces for Smetric spaces by combining Theorem 2,6 with examples of  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and M satisfies conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3). The following corollary is an analogue of Banach's contraction principle.

COROLLARY 2.7. [13, Theorem 3.1] Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le LS(x, x, y)$$

for some  $L \in [0,1)$  and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with M(x, y, z, s, t) = Lx for some  $L \in [0, 1)$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

The following corollary is an analogue of R. Kannan's result in [8].

COROLLARY 2.8. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le a \left( S(Tx, Tx, x) + S(Ty, Ty, y) \right)$$

for some  $a \in [0, 1/2)$  and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with M(x, y, z, s, t) = a(y+t) for some  $a \in [0, 1/2)$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have M(x, x, 0, z, y) = a(x + y). So, if  $y \leq M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \leq 2x + y$ , then  $y \leq a/(1-a)', x$  with a/(1-a) < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = 0$ , then y = 0. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = a(x_2 + x_5) \le a[(y_2 + z_2) + (y_5 + z_5)]$$

$$= a(y_2 + z_2) + a(y_5 + z_5) = M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$$

Moreover

$$M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = a(0 + 2y) = 2ay$$

where 2a < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

EXAMPLE 2.9. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the usual S-metric space as in Example 1.7 and let

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } x \in [0,1) \\ 1/4 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then T is a self-map on a complete S-metric space  $[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . For all  $x \in (3/4,1)$  we have

$$\begin{split} S(Tx,Tx,T1) &= S(1/2,1/2,1/4) = |1/2 - 1/4| + |1/2 - 1/4| = 1/2 \\ S(x,x,1) &= |x-1| + |x-1| = 2|x-1| < 1/2. \end{split}$$

Then T does not satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.7. We also have

$$S(Tx, Tx, x) = \begin{cases} 2|1/2 - x| & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 3/2 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

It implies that

$$5/12 ((S(Tx, Tx, x) + S(Ty, Ty, y))) = \begin{cases} 5/6 (|1/2 - x| + |1/2 - y|) & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1) \\ 5/12|1/2 - x| + 5/8 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1), y = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we get  $S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \leq 5/12((S(Tx, Tx, x) + S(Ty, Ty, y)))$ . Therefore, T satisfies the condition of Corollary 2.8. It is clear that x = 1/2 is the unique fixed point of T.

The following corollary is an analogue of R. M. T. Bianchini's result in [3].

COROLLARY 2.10. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le h \max\{S(Tx, Tx, x), S(Ty, Ty, y)\}$$

for some  $h \in [0,1)$  and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, if  $h \in [0,1/2)$ , then T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with  $M(x, y, z, s, t) = h \max\{y, t\}$  for some  $h \in [0, 1)$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have  $M(x, x, 0, z, y) = h \max\{x, y\}$ . So, if  $y \leq M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \leq 2x + y$ , then  $y \leq h x$  or  $y \leq h y$ . Therefore,  $y \leq h x$ . Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = h \max\{y, 0\} = h y$ , then y = 0 since h < 1/2. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = h \max\{x_2, x_5\} \le h \max\{y_2 + z_2, y_5 + z_5\}$$
  
$$\le h \max\{y_2, y_5\} + h \max\{z_2, z_5\} = M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$$

Moreover, if  $h \in [0, 1/2)$ , then 2h < 1 and  $M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = h \max\{0, 2y\} = 2h y$  where 2h < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the usual S-metric space as in Example 1.7 and let Tx = x/3 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$\begin{split} S(Tx, Tx, Ty) &= S(x/3, x/3, y/3) = |x/3 - y/3| + |x/3 - y/3| = 2/3|x - y| \\ S(Tx, Tx, x) &= S(x/3, x/3, x) = |x/3 - x| + |x/3 - x| = 4/3|x| \\ S(Ty, Ty, y) &= S(y/3, y/3, y) = |y/3 - y| + |y/3 - y| = 4/3|y| \\ S(Tx, Tx, x) + S(Ty, Ty, y) &= 4/3(|x| + |y|) \\ \max\{S(Tx, Tx, x), S(Ty, Ty, y)\} = 4/3 \max\{|x|, |y|\}. \end{split}$$

It implies that S(T1, T1, T0) = 2/3, S(T1, T1, 1) + S(T0, T0, 0) = 4/3. This proves that T does not satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.8. We also have that T satisfies the condition of Corollary 2.10 with h = 3/4 and T has a unique fixed point x = 0.

Sh. Sedghi, N.V. Dung

The following corollary is an analogue of S. Reich's result in [12].

COROLLARY 2.12. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le a S(x, x, y) + b S(Tx, Tx, x) + c S(Ty, Ty, y)$$

for some  $a, b, c \ge 0$ , a + b + c < 1, and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, if c < 1/2, then T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with M(x, y, z, s, t) = ax + by + ct for some  $a, b, c \ge 0$ , a + b + c < 1 and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have M(x, x, 0, z, y) = ax + bx + cy. So, if  $y \le M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \le 2x + y$ , then  $y \le (a + b)/(1 - c)x$  with (a + b)/(1 - c) < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = ay$ , then y = 0 since a < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_5$$
  

$$\leq a(y_1 + z_1) + b(y_2 + z_2) + c(y_5 + z_5)$$
  

$$= (ay_1 + by_2 + cy_5) + (az_1 + bz_2 + cz_5)$$
  

$$= M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$$

Moreover M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = 2cy where 2c < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

EXAMPLE 2.13. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the usual S-metric space as in Example 1.7 and let Tx = x/2 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) = |x/2 - y/2| + |x/2 - y/2| = |x - y|$$
  

$$S(x, x, y) = |x - y| + |x - y| = 2|x - y|$$
  

$$S(Tx, Tx, x) = |x/2 - x| + |x/2 - x| = |x|.$$

Then S(Tx, Tx, T0) = |x|, max $\{S(Tx, Tx, x), S(T0, T0, 0)\} = |x|$ . This proves that T does not satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.10. We also have

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le 1/2S(x, x, y) + 1/3S(Tx, Tx, x) + 1/3S(Ty, Ty, y).$$

Then T satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.12. It is clear that T has a unique fixed point x = 0.

The following corollary is an analogue of S. K. Chatterjee's result in [4].

COROLLARY 2.14. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le h \max\{S(Tx, Tx, y), S(Ty, Ty, x)\}$$

for some  $h \in [0, 1/3)$  and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with  $M(x, y, z, s, t) = h \max\{z, s\}$  for some  $h \in [0, 1/3)$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have  $M(x, x, 0, z, y) = h \max\{0, z\}$ . So, if  $y \leq M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \leq 2x + y$ , then  $y \leq 2hx + hy$ . So  $y \leq 2h/(1 - h)x$  with 2h/(1 - h) < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = hy$ , then y = 0 since h < 1/3. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = h \max\{x_3, x_4\} \le h \max\{y_3 + z_3, y_4 + z_4\}$$
  
$$\le h \max\{y_3, y_4\} + h \max\{z_3, z_4\} = M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$$

Moreover

$$M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = h \max\{0, y\} = hy$$

where h < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

COROLLARY 2.15. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le a.(S(Tx, Tx, y) + S(Ty, Ty, x))$$

for some  $a \in [0, 1/3)$  and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with M(x, y, z, s, t) = a(z+s) for some  $a \in [0, 1/3)$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have M(x, x, 0, z, y) = a(0+z) = az. So, if  $y \leq M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \leq 2x + y$ , then  $y \leq 2ax + ay$ . So  $y \leq 2a/(1-a)x$  with 2a/(1-a) < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y,0,y,y,0) = a(y+y) = 2ay$  then y = 0 since 2a < 2/3. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = a(x_3 + x_4) \le a(y_3 + z_3 + y_4 + z_4)$$
  
=  $a(y_3 + y_4) + a(z_3 + z_4) = M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$ 

Moreover M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = a(0 + y) = ay where a < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

EXAMPLE 2.16. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the usual S-metric space as in Example 1.7 and let Tx = x/3 for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Then we have S(Tx,Tx,Ty) = 2|x/3 - y/3| = 2/3|x - y|, S(Tx,Tx,y) = 2|x/3 - y|, S(Ty,Ty,x) = 2|y/3 - x|. It implies that S(T1,T1,T0) = 2/3, S(T1,T1,0) = 2/3, S(T0,T0,1) = 2. This proves that T does not satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.14. We also have

$$S(Tx, Tx, y) + S(Ty, Ty, x) = 2|x/3 - y| + 2|y/3 - x| \ge 8/3|x - y|.$$

Therefore, T satisfies the condition of Corollary 2.15. It is clear that T has a unique fixed point x = 0.

COROLLARY 2.17. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \le aS(x, x, y) + bS(Tx, Tx, y) + cS(Ty, Ty, x)$$

for some  $a, b, c \ge 0$ , a + b + c < 1, a + 3c < 1 and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with M(x, y, z, s, t) = ax+bz+cs for some  $a, b, c \ge 0, a+b+c < 1, a+3c < 1$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have M(x, x, 0, z, y) = ax+cz. So, if  $y \le M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \le 2x+y$ , then  $y \le ax+2cx+cy$ . So  $y \le (a+2c)/(1-c) x$  with (a+2c)/(1-c) < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = ay + by + cy = (a + b + c)y$  then y = 0 since a + b + c < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = ax_1 + bx_3 + cx_4 \le a(y_1 + z_1) + b(y_3 + z_3) + c(y_4 + z_4)$$
  
=  $(ay_1 + by_3 + cy_4) + (az_1 + bz_3 + cz_4)$   
=  $M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$ 

Moreover M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = cy where c < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

EXAMPLE 2.18. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the usual S-metric space as in Example 1.7 and let Tx = 3/4(1-x) for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Then we have S(Tx,Tx,Ty) = 3/2|x-y|, S(Tx,Tx,y) = 2|3/4(1-x)-y|. It implies that S(T1,T1,T0) = 3/2,  $\max\{S(T1,T1,0), S(T0,T0,1)\} = \max\{0,1/2\} = 1/2$ . This proves that T does not satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.14. We also have

 $4/5S(x, x, y) + 0 \cdot S(Tx, Tx, y) + 0 \cdot S(Ty, Ty, x) = (8/5)|x - y| \ge S(Tx, Tx, Ty).$ 

Therefore, T satisfies the condition of Corollary 2.17. It is clear that T has a unique fixed point x = 3/7.

The following corollary is an analogue of G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers' result in [6].

COROLLARY 2.19. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$\begin{split} S(Tx,Tx,Ty) &\leq a_1 S(x,x,y) + a_2 S(Tx,Tx,x) + a_3 S(Tx,Tx,y) \\ &\quad + a_4 S(Ty,Ty,x) + a_5 S(Ty,Ty,y) \end{split}$$

for some  $a_1, \ldots, a_5 \ge 0$  such that  $\max\{a_1 + a_2 + 3a_4 + a_5, a_1 + a_3 + a_4, a_4 + 2a_5\} < 1$ and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with  $M(x, y, z, s, t) = a_1x + a_2y + a_3z + a_4s + a_5t$  for some  $a_1, \ldots, a_5 \ge 0$  such that  $\max\{a_1 + a_2 + 3a_4 + a_5, a_1 + a_3 + a_4, a_4 + 2a_5\} < 1$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First,

we have  $M(x, x, 0, z, y) = a_1 x + a_2 x + a_4 z + a_5 y$ . So, if  $y \le M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \le 2x + y$ , then

$$y \le a_1 x + a_2 x + a_4 z + a_5 y \le a_1 x + a_2 x + a_4 (2x + y) + a_5 y$$

Then  $y \leq (a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)/(1 - a_4 - a_5) x$  with  $(a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4)/(1 - a_4 - a_5) < 1$ . Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \le M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = a_1y + a_3y + a_4y = (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)y$  then y = 0 since  $a_1 + a_3 + a_4 < 1$ . Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_5) = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_5 x_5$$
  

$$\leq a_1(y_1 + z_1) + \dots + a_5(y_5 + z_5)$$
  

$$= (a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_5 y_5) + (a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_5 z_5)$$
  

$$= M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5).$$

Moreover  $M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = a_4y + 2a_5y = (a_4 + 2a_5)y$  where  $a_4 + 2a_5 < 1$ . Therefore, *T* satisfies the condition (C3).

EXAMPLE 2.20. Let T be the map in Example 2.16. Then we have

$$S(T1, T1, T1/2) = 1,$$
  
$$aS(1, 1, 1/2) + bS(T1, T1, 1/2) + cS(T1/2, T1/2, 1) = a + 2c.$$

This proves that T does not satisfy the condition of Corollary 2.17. We also have  $0 \cdot S(x, x, y) + (3/4)S(Tx, Tx, x) + (3/4)S(Tx, Tx, y) + 0 \cdot S(Ty, Ty, x) + 0 \cdot S(Ty, Ty, y) = (3/4)S(Tx, Tx, x) + (3/4)S(Tx, Tx, y) \ge S(Tx, Tx, Ty).$ 

Therefore, T satisfies the condition of Corollary 2.19. It is clear that T has a unique fixed point x = 0.

The following corollary is an analogue of L. B. Ćirić's result in [5].

COROLLARY 2.21. Let T be a self-map on a complete S-metric space (X, S) and

$$\begin{split} S(Tx,Tx,Ty) &\leq h \max \left\{ S(x,x,y), S(Tx,Tx,x), S(Tx,Tx,y), \right. \\ & \left. S(Ty,Ty,x), S(Ty,Ty,y) \right\} \end{split}$$

for some  $h \in [0, 1/3)$  and all  $x, y \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point in X. Moreover, T is continuous at the fixed point.

*Proof.* The assertion follows using Theorem 2.6 with  $M(x, y, z, s, t) = h \max\{x, y, z, s, t\}$  for some  $h \in [0, 1/3)$  and all  $x, y, z, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Indeed, M is continuous. First, we have  $M(x, x, 0, z, y) = h \max\{x, x, 0, z, y\}$ . So, if  $y \leq M(x, x, 0, z, y)$  with  $z \leq 2x + y$ , then  $y \leq hx$  or  $y \leq hz \leq h(2x + y)$ . Then  $y \leq kx$  with  $k = \max\{h, 2h/(1-h)\} < 1$ . Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C1).

Next, if  $y \leq M(y, 0, y, y, 0) = h.y$ , then y = 0 since h < 1/3. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C2).

Finally, if  $x_i \leq y_i + z_i$  for  $i \leq 5$ , then

$$\begin{split} M(x_1, \dots, x_5) &= h \max\{x_1, \dots, x_5\} \le h \max\{y_1 + z_1, \dots, y_5 + z_5\} \\ &\le h \max\{y_1, \dots, y_5\} + h \max\{z_1, \dots, z_5\} \\ &= M(y_1, \dots, y_5) + M(z_1, \dots, z_5). \end{split}$$

Moreover M(0, 0, 0, y, 2y) = 2hy where 2h < 1. Therefore, T satisfies the condition (C3).

#### REFERENCES

- R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, Func. An., Ulianowsk, Gos. Ped. Ins. 30 (1989), 26–37.
- [3] R.M.T. Bianchini, Su un problema di S. Reich aguardante la teoria dei punti fissi, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 5 (1972), 103–108.
- [4] S.K. Chatterjee, Fixed point theorems, Rend. Acad. Bulgare. Sci. 25 (1972), 727–730.
- [5] L.B. Cirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 267–273.
- [6] G.E. Hardy, T.D. Rogers, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (1973), 201–206.
- M. Jovanović, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), 1–15.
- [8] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points II, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 405–408.
- [9] M.A. Khamsi, Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2010 (2010), 1–7.
- [10] M.A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, KKM mappings in metric type spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 7 (2010), 3123–3129.
- [11] Z. Mustafa, B.1 Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006), 289–297.
- [12] S. Reich, Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, Canad. Math. Bull 14 (1971), 121–124.
- [13] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorem in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 64 (2012), 258–266.
- [14] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, H. Zhou, A common fixed point theorem in D<sup>\*</sup>-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2007 (2007), 1–13.

(received 08.06.2012; in revised form 27.08.2012; available online 01.11.2012)

Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran *E-mail*: sedghi\_gh@yahoo.com, sedghi.gh@qaemshahriau.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics, Dong Thap University, Cao Lanh City, Dong Thap Province, Vietnam

E-mail: nvdung@dthu.edu.vn, nguyendungtc@yahoo.com