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#### Abstract

By making use of a generalized differential operator a new class of non-Bazilevič functions is introduced. Differential sandwich-type theorem for the above class is investigated. Relevant connections of the results, which are presented in this paper, with various other known results are also pointed out.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of functions analytic in the open unit disk

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and }|z|<1\}
$$

and $\mathcal{H}[a, n](n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \cdots\})$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots .
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(\subset \mathcal{H})$ be the class of all analytic functions given by the power series

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) .
$$

Recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written as $f \prec g$ in $\mathcal{U}$ or $f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})$, if there exists a function $\omega$, analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying the conditions of the Schwarz lemma (i.e. $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1)$ such that $f(z)=g(\omega(z))(z \in \mathcal{U})$. It follows that

$$
f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in \mathcal{U}) \Longrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U}) .
$$

In particular, if $g$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then the reverse implication also holds (cf. [13]).

[^0]Furthermore, $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$ in the disk $\mathcal{U}_{r}:=\{z: z \in$ $\mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<r\}$, if the function $f_{r}(z)=f(r z)$ is subordinate to $g_{r}(z)=g(r z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\varphi(r, s, t ; z): \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If $p(z)$ and $\varphi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are univalent and if $p(z)$ satisfies the second order superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \varphi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right), \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $p(z)$ is a solution of the differential superordination (1.1). (Note that, if $f$ is subordinate to $F$, then $F$ is superordinate to $f$ ).

An analytic function $q$ is called a subordinant of the differential superordination, or more precisely a subordinant if $q \prec p$, for all $p$ satisfying (1.1). A univalent subordinant $\widetilde{q}$ that satisfies $q \prec \widetilde{q}$, for all subordinants $q$ of (1.1) is said to be the best subordinant. Note that the best subordinant is unique upto a rotation of $\mathcal{U}$. Recently in [10], Miller and Mocanu obtained conditions on $h, q$ and $\varphi$ for which the following implication holds:

$$
h(z) \prec \varphi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) .
$$

Using the results due to Miller and Mocanu [10], Bulboaca [6] considered certain classes of first order differential superordination as well as superordinationpreserving integral operators [5]. More recently using the result of Bulboaca [6], Ali et al. [1] obtained some sufficient conditions for functions to satisfy

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec q_{2}(z), \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

where $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ with $q_{1}(0)=1=q_{2}(0)$.
We now introduce the generalized differential operator $D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[n^{\alpha}+(n-1) n^{\alpha} \lambda\right]^{k}\binom{n+\delta-1}{\delta} a_{n} z^{n} \\
&\left(z \in \mathcal{U} ; \lambda, \delta \geq 0 ; k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the differential operator $D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}$ unifies many operators of $\mathcal{A}$.
In particular:
$D_{0,0}^{k, 1}\left(=D_{1,1}^{k, 0}\right) \equiv$ Sălăgean operator (cf. [12]),
$D_{\lambda, \delta}^{0, \alpha} \equiv$ Ruscheweyh differential operator of order $\delta$ (cf. [11]),
$D_{\lambda, 0}^{k, 0} \equiv \mathrm{Al}$-Oboudi operator(cf. [2]),
$D_{1, \delta}^{k, 0}\left(=D_{0, \delta}^{k, 1}\right) \equiv$ differential operator studied by Al-shaqsi and Darus (cf. [4]).
By using the operator $D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}$, we now define a new subclass of analytic functions as follows:

Definition 1.2. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}(\phi)$ $\left(\lambda, \delta \geq 0 ; k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$ if and only if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ is an analytic function with positive real part on $\mathcal{U}$ with $\phi(0)=$ $1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ which maps the unit disc $\mathcal{U}$ onto a region which is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

Note that for $k=0, \delta=0$ and $\phi=(1+z) /(1-z)$ the class reduces to the class of functions of non-Bazilevič type which is recently introduced and studied by Obradović [3] as follows:

$$
f \in \mathcal{G D}_{\lambda}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \Leftrightarrow f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leq \mu \leq 1)
$$

In the present article we investigate certain subordination and superordination results of the class $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}(\phi)$, together with differential sandwich type theorem as an interesting consequence of the results.

## 2. Preliminaries

To establish our main results, we need the following:
Definition 2.1. ([10, Definition 2, p. 817]; see also [9, Definition 2.2b, p. 21]) Let $Q$ be the set of all functions $f$ that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \backslash E(f)$, where

$$
E(f):=\left\{\zeta: \zeta \in \partial \mathcal{U} \text { and } \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and are such that $f^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{U} \backslash E(f)$.
Lemma 2.2. [9, Theorem 3.4h, p. 132] Let $q$ be univalent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}$ and $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathcal{U})$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathcal{U})$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)$ and suppose that

1. $Q$ is starlike in $\mathcal{U}$, and
2. $\Re\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathcal{U}) \subset D$ and

$$
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))
$$

then $p \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.3. [6] Let $q$ be univalent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}$ and $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathcal{U})$. Suppose that

1. $\Re\left(\frac{z \vartheta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))}\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and
2. $z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $\mathcal{U}$.

If $p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq D$, and $\vartheta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z))$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ and

$$
\vartheta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)), \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

then $q \prec p$ and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Lemma 2.4. [8] If $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, \beta>0$ and the complex number $\gamma$ is constrained by

$$
\Re(\gamma) \geq-\frac{\beta(1-A)}{(1-B)}
$$

then the following differential equation

$$
q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{\beta q(z)+\gamma}=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

has a univalent solution in $\mathcal{U}$ given by

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{z^{\beta+\gamma}(1+B z)^{\beta(A-B) / B}}{\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta+\gamma-1}(1+B t)^{\beta(A-B) / B} d t}-\frac{\gamma}{\beta} ; & B \neq 0  \tag{2.1}\\ \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma} \exp (\beta A z)}{\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta+\gamma-1} \exp (\beta A t) d t}-\frac{\gamma}{\beta} ; & B=0 .\end{cases}
$$

If the function $\phi(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and satisfies

$$
\phi(z)+\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{\beta \phi(z)+\gamma} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}),
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.2).

## 3. Main results

We have the following subordination and superordination results:
THEOREM 3.1. Let the function $q$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $q(z) \neq 0(z \in \mathcal{U})$. Suppose that $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is univalent starlike in $\mathcal{U}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)(z):= & \left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \\
& +\beta\left[\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}+(1+\mu)\left(1-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

If q satisfies

$$
\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)(z) \prec q(z)+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec q(z) \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let the function $p$ be defined by

$$
p(z):=\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; z \neq 0 ; f \in \mathcal{A})
$$

Logarithmic differentiation yields

$$
\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}+(1+\mu)\left(1-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)
$$

Let $\theta(w):=w$ and $\phi(w):=\beta / w$; by letting $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ and $h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=q(z)+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$, we observe that $Q(z)$ is univalent starlike in $\mathcal{U}$ and $\Re\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$. Thus assertion (3.3) of Theorem 3.1 follows by an applications of Lemma 2.2. This completes the proof.

By taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $q(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\tau}, 0<\tau \leq 1$, in Theorem 3.1, we get the following:

Corollary 3.2. Assume that (3.1) holds. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\beta \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)} \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0)
$$

where $\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)$ is defined in (3.2), then

$$
\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1)
$$

and $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best dominant.
Corollary 3.3. Assume that (3.1) holds. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)(z) \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\tau}+\frac{2 \beta \tau z}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)} \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0)
$$

where $\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)$ is defined in (3.2), then

$$
\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\tau} \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1)
$$

and $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\tau}$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 3.4. Let the function $q$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $q(z) \neq 0(z \in \mathcal{U})$. Suppose that $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is univalent starlike in $\mathcal{U}$. Furthermore assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{q(z)}{\beta}\right\}>0 \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, with

$$
0 \neq\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and $\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$
q(z)+\beta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)(z) \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0)
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z) \prec\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the best subordinant and $\Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)$ is given by (3.2).
Proof. By setting $\vartheta(w):=w$ and $\varphi:=\beta / w$, we observe that $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ respectively. $\varphi(w) \neq 0(w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ and $q$ is univalent convex yields

$$
\Re\left(\frac{\vartheta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))}\right)=\Re\left(\frac{q(z)}{\beta}\right)>0 \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0)
$$

Application of Lemma 2.3 gives the assertion (3.5) of Theorem 3,4. This completes the proof.

Now by combining Theorems 3.1 and 3.4, we get the following differential Sandwich-type theorem:

THEOREM 3.5. Let the function $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $q_{1}(z) \neq 0$ and $q_{2}(z) \neq 0(z \in \mathcal{U})$ with $\frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)}$ and $\frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)}$ is univalent starlike. Furthermore assume that $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1) and (3.4), respectively. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, with

$$
0 \neq\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q, \text { and } \Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)
$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$
q_{1}(z)+\beta \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec \Omega(\alpha, k, \lambda, \delta ; f)(z) \prec q_{2}(z)+\beta \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)} \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0),
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z) \prec\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec q_{2}(z) \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are respectively the best subordinant and the dominant.
Taking $k=0, \delta=0 ; \mu \rightarrow 0$ and 1 we have the following:
Corollary 3.6. For $k=0, \delta=0 ; \mu \rightarrow 0$, let the functions $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $q_{1}(z) \neq 0$ and $q_{2}(z) \neq 0(z \in \mathcal{U})$ with $\frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)}$ and $\frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)}$ is univalent starlike. Furthermore assume that $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ satisfy (3.1) and (3.4), respectively. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, with

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right]
$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$
q_{1}(z)+\beta \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{2}(z)+\beta \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)} \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0)
$$

implies

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are respectively the best subordinant and the dominant.
Corollary 3.7. [7] For $k=0, \delta=0 ; \mu \rightarrow 1$, let the functions $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $q_{1}(z) \neq 0$ and $q_{2}(z) \neq 0(z \in \mathcal{U})$ with $\frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)}$ and $\frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)}$ is univalent starlike. Furthermore assume that $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.1) and (3.4), respectively. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, with

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}+\beta\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+2\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right]
$$

is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then

$$
q_{1}(z)+\beta \frac{z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{1}(z)} \prec \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}} \prec q_{2}(z)+\beta \frac{z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{2}(z)} \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{C} ; \beta \neq 0),
$$

implies

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are respectively the best subordinant and the dominant.
Theorem 3.8. For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \\
& +\beta\left[\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}+(1+\mu)\left(1-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)\right] \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(\beta>0) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{\beta z^{1 / \beta}(1+B z)^{(A-B) / \beta B}}{\int_{0}^{z} t^{(1-\beta) / \beta}(1+B t)^{(A-B) / \beta B} d t} ; & (B \neq 0)  \tag{3.9}\\ \frac{\beta z^{1 / \beta} \exp (A z / \beta)}{\int_{0}^{z} t^{(1-\beta) / \beta} \exp (A t / \beta) d t} ; & (B=0)\end{cases}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant of (3.8).
Proof. Write

$$
\phi(z)=\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{\left(D_{\lambda, \delta}^{\alpha, k} f\right)(z)}\right)^{1+\mu} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; z \neq 0 ; f \in \mathcal{A})
$$

Therefore, we observe that $\phi(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. Taking logarithmic differentiation and using (3.7) gives

$$
\phi(z)+\beta \frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}
$$

Application of Lemma 2.4 yields

$$
\phi(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}),
$$

where $q(z)$ is defined by (3.3) is the best dominant. This completes the proof.
Taking $k=0, \delta=0 ; \mu \rightarrow 0$ and 1 , we get the following corollaries, respectively:
Corollary 3.9. For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $\mu \rightarrow 0$, if $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right] \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(\beta>0)
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}),
$$

where $q$ is the best dominant.
Corollary 3.10. For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $\mu \rightarrow 1$, if $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}+\beta\left[\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+2\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right] \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(\beta>0)
$$

then

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

where $q$ is the best dominant.
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