# PROPERTIES OF SOME FAMILIES OF MEROMORPHIC MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS 

M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa


#### Abstract

We introduce and study two subclasses $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ and $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$ of meromorphic $p$-valent functions defined by certain linear operator involving the generalized hypergeometric function. The main object is to investigate the various important properties and characteristics of these subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions. We extend the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions to these subclasses. We also derive many interesting results for the Hadamard products of functions belonging to the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p} \quad(p \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and $p$-valent in the punctured unit disc $U^{*}=\{z: z \in C$ and $0<|z|<1\}=U \backslash\{0\}$. For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}$ given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in \Sigma_{p}$ given by

$$
g(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k-p} \quad(p \in N)
$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k-p}=(g * f)(z)
$$

For complex parameters $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\left(\beta_{j} \notin Z_{0}^{-}=\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\} ; j=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots, s)$, we now define the generalized hypergeometric function ${ }_{q} F_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}\right.$; $\left.\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)$ by

$$
{ }_{q} F_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k} \ldots\left(\alpha_{q}\right)_{k}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k} \ldots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}
$$
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$\left(q \leq s+1 ; q, s \in N_{0}=N \cup\{0\} ; z \in U\right)$, where $(\theta)_{\nu}$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function $\Gamma$, by

$$
(\theta)_{\nu}=\frac{\Gamma(\theta+\nu)}{\Gamma(\theta)}= \begin{cases}1 & (\nu=0 ; \theta \in C \backslash\{0\}) \\ \theta(\theta+1) \cdots(\theta+\nu-1) & (\nu \in N ; \theta \in C)\end{cases}
$$

Corresponding to the function $h_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)$ defined by

$$
h_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)=z^{-p}{ }_{q} F_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right),
$$

we consider a linear operator $H_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right): \Sigma_{p} \rightarrow \Sigma_{p}$, which is defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$
H_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right) f(z)=h_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right) * f(z)
$$

We observe that, for a function $f(z)$ of the from (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right) f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) a_{k} z^{k-p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{m}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{m} \ldots\left(\alpha_{q}\right)_{m}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{m} \ldots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{m} m!} \quad(m \in N) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, for convenience, we write $H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=H_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\right)$, then one can easily verify from (1.2) that

$$
z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) f(z)-\left(\alpha_{1}+p\right) H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) f(z)
$$

The linear operator $H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ was investigated recently by Liu and Srivastava [19], Aouf [7] and Aouf and Yassen [10]. In particular, for $q=2, s=1$ and $\alpha_{2}=1$, we obtain the linear operator $H_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, 1 ; \beta_{1}\right) f(z)=\ell_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)$ which was introduced and studied by Liu and Srivastava [18]. We also note, for any integer $n>-p$ and for $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}$, that

$$
H_{p}(n+p, 1 ; 1) f(z)=D^{n+p-1} f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z) \quad\left(n>-p ; f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}\right)
$$

where $D^{n+p-1} f(z)$ is the differential operator studied earlier by (among others) Aouf [6] and Aouf and Srivastava [9].

Let

$$
G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)=G_{p, q, s,\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \lambda}=(1-\lambda) H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) f(z)+\frac{\lambda}{p} z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{\prime}
$$

$\left(f \in \Sigma_{p} ; p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}\right)$, so that, obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)=\frac{1-2 \lambda}{z^{p}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) a_{k} z^{k-p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}$ ). From (1.4), it is easily verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)=\alpha_{1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right], \lambda}(z)-\left(\alpha_{1}+p\right) G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed parameters $A, B, p$ and $\lambda$ with $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, p \in N$ and $0 \leq \lambda<$ $\frac{1}{2}$, we say that a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}$ is in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ of meromorphically
$p$-valent functions in $U^{*}$, if the function $G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)$ defined by (1.14) satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+p(1-2 \lambda)}{B z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+A p(1-2 \lambda)}\right|<1 \quad\left(z \in U^{*}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Sigma_{p}^{*}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k} \quad(p \in N) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and $p$-valent in $U^{*}$. Furthermore, we say that a function $f(z) \in$ $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$ whenever $f(z)$ is of the form (1.16) and satisfies (1.6).

We have the following interesting relationships with some of the special function classes which were investigated recently:
(i) For $q=2\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1\right), s=1\left(\beta_{1}=1\right)$ and $\lambda=0$, we have $\Omega_{\left[p, 2,1, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right]}(\alpha A$, $\alpha B, 0)=S_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}(A, B, \alpha)$, and $\Omega_{\left[p, 2,1, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha A, \alpha B, 0)=S_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}^{*}(A, B, \alpha)(\alpha>$ $0,-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq B \leq 0$ and $|B \alpha| \leq 1$ ) (Liu [17]);
(ii) For $q=2\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1\right), s=1\left(\beta_{1}=1\right)$ and $\lambda=0$, we have $\Omega_{\left[p, 2,1, \alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A$, $B, 0)=H^{*}(p ; A, B)(0 \leq B \leq 1 ;-B \leq A<B)($ Mogra [21]);
(iii) For $p=1, q=2\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1\right), s=1\left(\beta_{1}=1\right), A=(1-2 \gamma \alpha) \beta, B=$ $(1-2 \gamma) \beta$ and $\lambda=0$, we have $\Omega_{\left[1,2,1, \alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}((1-2 \gamma \alpha) \beta,(1-2 \gamma) \beta, 0)=\Sigma_{d}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)(0 \leq$ $\alpha<1 ; \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1,0<\beta \leq 1$ ) (Cho et al. [12]);
(iv) For $p=1, q=2\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1\right), s=1\left(\beta_{1}=1\right)$ and $\lambda=0$, we have $\Omega_{\left[1,2,1, \alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, 0)=\Sigma_{d}(A, B)(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq B<0)$ (Cho [11]).

Also we note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}\left(\left(1-2 \gamma \frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \beta,(1-2 \gamma) \beta, \lambda\right)=\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \\
&=\left\{f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}^{*}:\left|\frac{z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+p(1-2 \lambda)}{(2 \gamma-1) z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+(2 \lambda \alpha-p)(1-2 \lambda)}\right|<\beta,\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(z \in U^{*} ; 0 \leq \alpha<p ; p \in N ; \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1 ; 0<\beta \leq 1\right)$.
Meromorphically multivalent functions have been extensively studied by (for example) Mogra ([20] and [21]), Uralegaddi and Ganigi [27], Uralegaddi and Somanatha [28], Aouf ([4], [5] and [6]), Srivastava et al. [26], Owa et al. [22], Joshi and Aouf [15], Joshi and Srivastava [16], Aouf et al. [8], Raina and Srivastava [23] and Yang ([29] and [30]).

In this paper we investigate the various important properties and characteristics of the classes $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ and $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$. Following the recent investigations by Altintas et al. [3, p. 1668], we extend the concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, which was considered earlier by (for example) Goodman [13] and Ruscheweyh [24], to meromorphically multivalent functions belonging to the classes $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ and $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$. We also derive many results for the Hadamard products of functions belonging to the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

## 2. Inclusion properties of the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$

We begin by recalling the following result (Jack's lemma), which we shall apply in proving our first inclusion theorem (Theorem 1 below).

Lemma 1 [14]. Let the (nonconstant) function $w(z)$ be analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_{0} \in U$, then $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\xi w\left(z_{0}\right)$, where $\xi$ is a real number and $\xi \geq 1$.

THEOREM 1. The following inclusion property holds true for the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A$, $B, \lambda), \alpha_{1}>0$ :

$$
\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}(A, B, \lambda) \subset \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)=-p(1-2 \lambda) \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $w(z)$ is either analytic or meromorphic in $U$, with $w(0)=0$. Then by using (1.5) and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)=-p(1-2 \lambda) \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)}-\frac{p(1-2 \lambda)}{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \frac{(A-B) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+B w(z))^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$. Otherwise there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that $\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$. Applying Jack's lemma, we have $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=$ $\xi w\left(z_{0}\right)(\xi \geq 1)$. Writing $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}(0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi)$ and putting $z=z_{0}$ in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{z_{0}^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right], \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+p(1-2 \lambda)}{B z_{0}^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right], \lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+A p(1-2 \lambda)}\right|^{2}-1 \\
& =\frac{\left|\alpha_{1}+\xi+\alpha_{1} B e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}-\left|\alpha_{1}+B\left(\alpha_{1}-\xi\right) e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}}{\left|\alpha_{1}+B\left(\alpha_{1}-\xi\right) e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\xi^{2}\left(1-B^{2}\right)+2 \alpha_{1} \xi\left(1+B^{2}+2 B \cos \theta\right)}{\left|\alpha_{1}+B\left(\alpha_{1}-\xi\right) e^{i \theta}\right|^{2}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which obviously contradicts our hypothesis that $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$. Thus we must have $|w(z)|<1(z \in U)$, and so from (2.3), we conclude that $f(z) \in$ $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$, which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $\mu$ be a complex number such that $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)>0$. If $f(z) \in$ $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)=\frac{\mu}{z^{\mu+p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu+p-1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(t) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also in the same class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$.

Proof. From (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)=\mu G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)-(\mu+p) F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p+1} F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)=-p(1-2 \lambda) \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(z)$ is either analytic or meromorphic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$. Then, by using (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)=-p(1-2 \lambda) \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)}-\frac{p(1-2 \lambda)(A-B)}{\mu} \cdot \frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+B w(z))^{2}}
$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 and so is omitted.

Theorem 3. $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$
F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{z^{\alpha_{1}+p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\alpha_{1}+p-1} f(t) d t \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}(A, B, \lambda)
$$

Proof. In view of the definition of $F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} f(z)=\left(\alpha_{1}+p\right) F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)+z F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (1.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda} f(z) & =\left(\alpha_{1}+p\right) G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda} F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)+z\left(G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda} F_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\alpha_{1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right], \lambda} F_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right], \lambda}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired result follows immediately.

## 3. Properties of the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

In the rest of the paper we assume further that $\alpha_{j}>0(j=1, \ldots, q), \beta_{j}>0$ $(j=1, \ldots, s),-1 \leq A<B \leq 1,-1 \leq B \leq 0,0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}$ and $p \in N$.

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}^{*}$ be given by (1.7). Then $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\Gamma_{m}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ is given by (1.3).
Proof. Let $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$ be given by (1.7). Then, from (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+p(1-2 \lambda)}{B z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+A p(1-2 \lambda)}\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k+p}}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} B k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k+p}}\right| \\
& \ll 1 \quad(z \in U)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq|z|(z \in C)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} B k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|}\right\}<1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose values of $z$ on the real axis so that $z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (3.2) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values we obtain (3.1).

In order to prove the converse, we assume that the inequality (3.1) holds true. Then, if we let $z \in \partial U$, we find from (1.7) and (3.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+p(1-2 \lambda)}{B z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+A p(1-2 \lambda)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} B k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|} \\
& \quad<1 \quad(z \in \partial U=\{z: z \in C \text { and }|z|=1\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Corollary 1. If the function $f(z)$ defined by $(1.7)$ is in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$, then

$$
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \quad(k \geq p ; p \in N)
$$

with equality for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} z^{k} \quad(k \geq p ; p \in N) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $A=\left(1-2 \gamma \frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \beta$ and $B=(1-2 \gamma) \beta\left(0 \leq \alpha<p, 0<\beta \leq 1, \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1\right.$ and $p \in N)$ in Theorem 4, we obtain

Corollary 2. A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.7) is in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda) .
$$

The following property is an easy consequence of Theorem 4.
Theorem 5. Let each of the functions $f_{j}(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left|a_{k, j}\right| z^{k} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, m) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$
h(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j} f_{j}(z) \quad\left(\zeta_{j} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} \zeta_{j}=1\right)
$$

is also in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$.
Next we prove the following growth and distortion properties for the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$.

THEOREM 6. If a function $f(z)$ defined by (1.7) is in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$ and the sequence $\left\{C_{k}\right\}=\left\{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\}\left(k \geq p ; p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is nondecreasing, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda)}{(1-B) C_{p}} \cdot \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} r^{2 p}\right\} r^{-(p+m)} \\
& \leq\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \leq\left\{\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}+\frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda)}{(1-B) C_{p}} \cdot \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} r^{2 p}\right\} r^{-(p+m)} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(0<|z|=r<1 ; 0 \leq \lambda<p ; p \in N ; m \in N_{0}=N \cup\{0\} ; p>m\right)$, where $\Gamma_{m}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ is given by (1.3). The result is sharp for the functions $f(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda)}{(1-B) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} z^{p} \quad(p \in N) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 4, we have

$$
\Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{p}{p!} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k!\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}{(1-B)}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k!\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda) p!}{(1-B) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \quad(p \in N) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by differentiating both sides of (1.7) $m$ times with respect to $z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(m)}(z)=(-1)^{m} \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} z^{-(p+m)}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k-m} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $m \in N_{0} ; p \in N ; p>m$ ) and Theorem 6 follows easily from (3.7) and (3.8).
Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (3.5) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.6).

Next we determine the radii of meromorphically $p$-valent starlikeness of order $\delta$ and meromorphically $p$-valent convexity of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p)$ for functions in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$.

ThEOREM 7. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.7) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$. Then we have:
(i) $f(z)$ is meromorphically $p$-valent starlike of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p, p \in N)$ in the disc $|z|<r_{1}$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\delta \quad\left(|z|<r_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\inf _{k \geq p}\left\{\frac{(p-\delta) k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(k+\delta)(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{k+p}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $f(z)$ is meromorphically p-valent convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p, p \in N)$ in the disc $|z|<r_{2}$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>\delta \quad\left(|z|<r_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\inf _{k \geq p}\left\{\frac{(p-\delta)\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(k+\delta)(A-B)(1-2 \lambda)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{k+p}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each of these results is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).
Proof. (i) From the definition (1.7), we easily get

$$
\left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+p}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p+2 \delta}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}(k+p)\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+p}}{2(p-\delta)-\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}(k-p+2 \delta)\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+p}}
$$

Thus, we have the desired inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+p}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p+2 \delta}\right| \leq 1 \quad(0 \leq \delta<p ; p \in N)
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k+\delta}{p-\delta}\right)\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+p} \leq 1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 4, (3.11) will be true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{k+\delta}{p-\delta}\right)|z|^{k+p} \leq\left\{\frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\right\} \quad(k \geq p ; p \in N) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality (3.12) leads us immediately to the disc $|z|<r_{1}$, where $r_{1}$ is given by (3.9).
(ii) In order to prove the second assertion of Theorem 7, we find from the definition (1.7) that

$$
\left|\frac{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+p}{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p+2 \delta}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k(k+p)\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+p}}{2 p(p-\delta)-\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k(k-p+2 \delta)\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+p}}
$$

Thus we have the desired inequality

$$
\left|\frac{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+p}{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p+2 \delta}\right| \leq 1 \quad(0 \leq \delta<p ; p \in N)
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k(k+\delta)}{p(p-\delta)}\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+p} \leq 1 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 4, (3.13) will be true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k(k+\delta)}{p(p-\delta)}|z|^{k+p} \leq\left\{\frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1-B) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\right\} \quad(k \geq p ; p \in N) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality (3.14) readily yields the disc $|z|<r_{2}$, where $r_{2}$ defined by (3.10), and the proof of Theorem 7 is completed by merely verifying that each assertion is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

## 4. Neighborhoods

Following the earlier works (based upon the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions) by Goodman [13] and Ruscheweyh [24], and (more recently) by Altintas et al. ([1], [2] and [3]), Liu [17], and Liu and Srivastava [18], we begin by introducing here the $\delta$-neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}$ of the form (1.1) by means of the definition given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{\delta}(f)=\left\{g \in \Sigma_{p}: g(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k-p}\right. \text { and } \\
&\left.\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+|B|)(k+p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\left|b_{k}-a_{k}\right| \leq \delta\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2} ; \delta>0\right)$. Making use of the definition (4.1), we now prove Theorem 8 below.

Theorem 8. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z^{-p}}{1+\epsilon} \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda) \quad(\epsilon \in C ;|\epsilon|<\delta ; \delta>0) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\delta}(f) \subset \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easily seen from (1.6) that $g(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ if and only if for any complex number $\sigma$ with $|\sigma|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+p(1-2 \lambda)}{B z^{p+1} G_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right], \lambda}^{\prime}(z)+A p(1-2 \lambda)} \neq \sigma \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(g * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for convenience,

$$
\begin{align*}
h(z) & =z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k-p} \\
& =z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\sigma B)(k-p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(B-A) p(1-2 \lambda) \sigma} z^{k-p} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{k}\right| & =\left|\frac{(1-\sigma B)(k-p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(B-A) p(1-2 \lambda) \sigma}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(1+|B|)(k+p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(k, p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Now, if $f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p} \in \Sigma_{p}$ satisfies the condition (4.2), then (4.5) yields

$$
\left|\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}}\right| \geq \delta \quad(z \in U ; \delta>0)
$$

By letting $g(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k-p} \in N_{\delta}(f)$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{[g(z)-f(z)] * h(z)}{z^{-p}}\right|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) c_{k} z^{k}\right| \\
& \leq|z| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+|B|)(k+p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\left|b_{k}-a_{k}\right|<\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

$(z \in U ; \delta>0)$. Then we have (4.5), and hence also (4.4) for any $\sigma \in C$ such that $|\sigma|=1$, which implies that $g(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$. This evidently proves the assertion (4.3) of Theorem 8.

We now define the $\delta$-neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}^{*}$ of the form (1.7) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\delta}^{+}(f)=\left\{g \in \Sigma_{p}^{*}: g(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right| z^{k} \quad\right. \text { and } \\
& \left(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2} ; \delta>0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.7) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}^{+}(A$, $B, \lambda),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq B \leq 0, p \in N$ and $0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
N_{\delta}^{+}(f) \subset \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda) \quad\left(\delta=\frac{2 p}{\alpha_{1}+2 p}\right)
$$

The result is sharp in the sense that $\delta$ cannot be increased.
Proof. Making use the same method as in the proof of Theorem 8, we can show that [cf. (4.6)]

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z) & =z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k} \\
& =z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\sigma B) k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(B-A) p(1-2 \lambda) \sigma} z^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, under the hypothesis $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,-1 \leq B \leq 0, p \in N$ and $0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}$, if $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$ is given by (1.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}}\right| & =\left|1+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} c_{k}\right| a_{k}\left|z^{k+p}\right| \\
& \geq 1-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}+2 p} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{(1-B) k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\left|a_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, from Theorem 4, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}}\right| \geq 1-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}+2 p}=\frac{2 p}{\alpha_{1}+2 p}=\delta
$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 9 is similar to that of Theorem 8, and we skip the details involved.

To show the sharpness, we consider the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ given by

$$
f(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda)}{(1-B) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)} z^{p} \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}+1\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)
$$

and

$$
g(z)=z^{-p}+\left[\frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda)}{(1-B) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right)}+\frac{(A-B)(1-2 \lambda) \delta^{\prime}}{(1-B) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}\right] z^{p}
$$

where $\delta^{\prime}>\delta=\frac{2 p}{\alpha_{1}+2 p}$. Clearly, the function $g(z)$ belongs to $N_{\delta^{\prime}}^{+}(f)$. On the other hand, we find from Theorem 4 that $g(z)$ is not in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(A, B, \lambda)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 9 is completed.

THEOREM 10. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}$ be given by (1.1) and define the partial sums $s_{1}(z)$ and $s_{n}(z)$ as

$$
s_{1}(z)=z^{-p} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{n}(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{k} z^{k-p} \quad(n \in N \backslash\{1\})
$$

Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1 \quad\left(d_{k}=\frac{(1+|B|)(k+p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:
(i) $f(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$.
(ii) If $\left\{\Gamma_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\}(k \in N)$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\Gamma_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)>\frac{(A-B) p(1-2 \lambda)}{(1+|B|)(1+p)\left[1-\lambda+\lambda\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\right]}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{s_{n}(z)}\right\}>1-\frac{1}{d_{n}} \quad(z \in U ; n \in N) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{s_{n}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\frac{d_{n}}{1+d_{n}} \quad(z \in U ; n \in N) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each of the bounds in (4.8) and (4.9) is the best possible for each $n \in N$.
Proof. (i) It is not difficult to see that $z^{-p} \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)(p \in N)$. Thus, from Theorem 8 and the hypothesis (4.7), we have $N_{1}\left(z^{-p}\right) \subset \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}(A, B, \lambda)$ as asserted by Theorem 8.
(ii) Under the hypothesis in Part (ii) of Theorem 10, we can see from (4.7) that $d_{k+1}>d_{k}>1(k \in N)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|+d_{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using hypothesis (4.7) again. By setting

$$
g_{1}(z)=d_{n}\left[\frac{f(z)}{s_{n}(z)}-\left(1-\frac{1}{d_{n}}\right)\right]=1+\frac{d_{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{k} z^{k}}
$$

and applying (4.10), we find that

$$
\left|\frac{g_{1}(z)-1}{g_{1}(z)+1}\right| \leq \frac{d_{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|}{2-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|-d_{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|} \leq 1 \quad(z \in U)
$$

which readily yields the assertion (4.8). If we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}-\frac{z^{n-p}}{d_{n}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{f(z)}{s_{n}(z)}=1-\frac{z^{n}}{d_{n}} \rightarrow 1-\frac{1}{d_{n}} \quad\left(z \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)
$$

which shows that the bound in (4.8) is the best possible for each $n \in N$.

Similarly, if we put

$$
g_{2}(z)=\left(1+d_{n}\right)\left(\frac{s_{n}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{d_{n}}{1+d_{n}}\right)=1-\frac{\left(1+d_{n}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}}
$$

and making use of (4.10), we can deduce that

$$
\left|\frac{g_{2}(z)-1}{g_{2}(z)+1}\right| \leq \frac{\left(1+d_{n}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|}{2-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|a_{k}\right|+\left(1-d_{n}\right) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|} \leq 1 \quad(z \in U)
$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (4.11).
The bound in (4.9) is sharp for each $n \in N$, with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (4.11). The proof of Theorem 10 is thus completed.

## 5. Convolution properties for the class $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \gamma, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

For the functions $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ defined by (3.4) we denote by $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$, that is,

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left|a_{k, 1}\right|\left|a_{k, 2}\right| z^{k}
$$

Throughout this section, we assume further that the sequence $\left\{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right] \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right\}\left(k \geq p ; p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is nondecreasing.

THEOREM 11. Let the functions $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ defined by (3.4) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\zeta, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, where

$$
\zeta=p-\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}
$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}{(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} z^{p} \quad(j=1,2 ; p \in N) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [25], we need to find the largest $\zeta$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{2 \beta \gamma(p-\zeta)(1-2 \lambda)}\left|a_{k, 1}\right|\left|a_{k, 2}\right| \leq 1
$$

for $f_{j}(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)(j=1,2)$. Since $f_{j}(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)(j=1,2)$, we readily see that

$$
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}\left|a_{k, j}\right| \leq 1 \quad(j=1,2)
$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)} \sqrt{\left|a_{k, 1}\right|\left|a_{k, 2}\right|} \leq 1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that we only need to show that

$$
\frac{1}{(p-\zeta)} \cdot\left|a_{k, 1}\right|\left|a_{k, 2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{(p-\alpha)} \sqrt{\left|a_{k, 1}\right|\left|a_{k, 2}\right|} \quad(k \geq p)
$$

or, equivalently, that $\sqrt{\left|a_{k, 1}\right|\left|a_{k, 2}\right|} \leq \frac{(p-\zeta)}{(p-\alpha)}(k \geq p)$. Hence, by the inequality (5.2), it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \leq \frac{(p-\zeta)}{(p-\alpha)} \quad(k \geq p) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.3) that

$$
\zeta \leq p-\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \quad(k \geq p)
$$

Now, defining the function $\Phi(k)$ by

$$
\Phi(k)=p-\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \quad(k \geq p)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(k+1)-\Phi(k)=\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta)} \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \times \\
& \quad \times\left\{\frac{(k+1)(a+k+p)\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k+1-p}{p}\right)\right]-k(c+k+p)\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right]}{k(k+1)(a+k+p)\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right]\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k+1-p}{p}\right)\right]}\right\}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, that, $\Phi(k)$ is an increasing function of $k(k \geq p)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$
\zeta \leq \Phi(p)=p-\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}
$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 11.
Using arguments similar to these in the proof of Theorem 11, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 12. Let the function $f_{1}(z)$ defined by (3.4) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta$, $\gamma, \lambda)$. Suppose also that the function $f_{2}(z)$ defined by (3.4) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\theta, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Then $\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\tau, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ where

$$
\tau=p-\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(p-\theta)(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}
$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ given by

$$
f_{1}(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} z^{p} \quad(p \in N)
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{2 \beta \gamma(p-\theta)(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} z^{p} \quad(p \in N)
$$

Theorem 13. Let the functions $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ defined by (3.4) be in the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$
h(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{k, 1}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{k, 2}\right|^{2}\right) z^{k}
$$

belongs to the class $\Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(\varphi, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, where

$$
\varphi=p-\frac{4 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}
$$

This result is sharp for the functions $f_{j}(z)(j=1,2)$ defined by (5.1).
Proof. Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}\right)^{2}\left|a_{k, j}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}\left|a_{k, j}\right|\right)^{2} \leq 1 \quad(j=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $f_{j}(z) \in \Omega_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right]}^{+}(p, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)(j=1,2)$, we have

$$
\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{2 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)(1-2 \lambda)}\right]^{2}\left(\left|a_{k, 1}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{k, 2}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 1
$$

Therefore, we have to find the largest $\varphi$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{(p-\varphi)} \leq \frac{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}{4 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)} \quad(k \geq p)
$$

that is, that

$$
\varphi \leq p-\frac{4 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \quad(k \geq p)
$$

Now, defining a function $\Psi(k)$ by

$$
\Psi(k)=p-\frac{4 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{k\left[1+\lambda\left(\frac{k-p}{p}\right)\right](1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{k+p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \quad(k \geq p)
$$

we observe that $\Psi(k)$ is an increasing function of $k(k \geq p)$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
\varphi \leq \Psi(p)=p-\frac{4 \beta \gamma(p-\alpha)^{2}(1-2 \lambda)}{p(1+2 \beta \gamma-\beta) \Gamma_{2 p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 13.
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