# **RELATIONS BETWEEN SOME TOPOLOGIES**

### T. Hatice Yalvac

**Abstract.** Generalizations of openness, such as semi-open, preopen, semi-pre-open,  $\alpha$ -open, etc. are important in topological spaces and in particular in topological spaces on which ideals are defined.  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies and \*-equivalent topologies with respect to an ideal have some common properties. Relations between these aforementioned notions of openness are investigated within the framework of  $\alpha$ -equivalence and \*-equivalence.

## 1. Introduction

The subject of ideals in general topological spaces was introduced by Kuratowski [8] and Vaidyanathaswamy [18]. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a set X is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies

- (i) If  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subset A$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- (ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

By  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  we will denote a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on X. No separation properties are assumed on X. For a space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  and a subset  $A \subset X$ ,

$$A^*(\tau, \mathcal{I}) = \{ x \in X : U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x) \}$$

(where  $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau : x \in U\}$ ) is called the *local function of* A with respect to  $\mathcal{I}$ and  $\tau$  [8]. Note that  $\operatorname{cl}^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  defines a Kuratowski closure operator for a topology  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  [15] on X. If there is no chance of confusion, we simply write  $A^*$ or  $A^*(\mathcal{I})$  instead of  $A^*(\tau, \mathcal{I})$ , and  $\tau^*$  instead of  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are ideals on X, then  $\mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{J} = \{I \cup J : I \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } J \in \mathcal{J}\}$  is also an ideal on X [6].

In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , for any subset A,  $A^o$ , int A or  $\tau$  int A will stand for the interior of A and  $\overline{A}$ , cl A, or  $\tau$  cl A will stand for the closure of A. A subset Aof a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *semi-open* (*pre-open*,  $\alpha$ -*open*, *semi-pre-open*, *regular open*, nowhere dense, codense) if  $A \subset A^{\overline{o}}$  ( $A \subset A^{2}$ ,  $A \subset A^{2}$ ,  $A \subset A^{\overline{2}}$ ,  $A = A^{2}$ ,  $A^{2} = \emptyset$ ,  $A^{o} = \emptyset$ ), respectively.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary: 54 A 05, 54 A 10; Secondary: 54 C 08, 54 C 10. 85

T. Hatice Yalvac

A point x of X is called a  $\theta$ -interior point of A if there exists an open set U such that  $x \in U \subset \overline{U} \subset A$ . Also,  $\theta$ -int A will stand for the set of  $\theta$ -interior points of A. A is  $\theta$ -open iff  $A \subset \theta$ -int A [10]. The family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is a topology on X which is finer than  $\tau$  and it is denoted by  $\tau^{\alpha}$ . Topologies  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ on X are called  $\alpha$ -equivalent if they have the same  $\alpha$ -open sets [14].

A supratopology  $\mathcal A$  on X is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies

(i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}, X \in \mathcal{A},$ 

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under arbitrary unions [10].

The  $\mathcal{A}$ -interior (shortly,  $\mathcal{A}$ -int) of a subset A of X is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}\text{-}\operatorname{int} A = \bigcup \{ U : U \subset A, U \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

[9]. It is well known that the family of semi-open (pre-open, semi-pre-open) sets of a topological space is a supratopology on this space. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a supratopology on X, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{ A \subset X : A \cap B \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

is a topology and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{A}$  [19].

We will use the following notational conventions:

- $\tau \in Top(X) \iff \tau$  is a topology on X,
- $\mathcal{I} \in Id(X) \iff \mathcal{I}$  is an ideal on X,
- $A \in D(X) \iff A$  is dense in X,
- $A \in CD(X) \iff A^o = \emptyset$  (i.e. A is codense),
- $A \in NO(X) \iff A^{\circ} = \emptyset$  (i.e. A is nowhere dense),
- $A \in SO(X) \iff A \subset A^{\overline{o}},$
- $A \in PO(X) \iff A \subset A^{\circ},$

$$A \in \alpha O(X) \iff A \subset A^{\frac{o}{o}},$$

$$A \in SPO(X) \iff A \subset A^{\stackrel{o}{=}}$$

$$A \in RO(X) \iff A = A^{\circ},$$

- $A \in \theta O(X) \iff A \text{ is } \theta \text{-open},$
- $A \in SC(X) \iff X A$  is semi-open (i.e. A is semi-closed),
- $A \in SR(X) \iff A$  is semi-open and semi-closed (i.e. A is semi-regular),
- $\sigma \in [\tau]^{\alpha} \iff \sigma \in Top(X)$ , and  $\sigma^{\alpha} = \tau^{\alpha}$  (i.e.  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent).

 $I_n$  (or  $I_n(\tau)$ ) and  $I_n(\sigma)$  will stand for the family of nowhere dense sets in X with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively. From now on,  $A^o$  and  $\bar{A}$  will be reserved for the interior and closure of A with respect to topology  $\tau$ , respectively.

In a topological space scl, sint, pcl, spcl, etc. will stand for the operations semi-closure, semi-interior, pre-closure, semi-pre-closure, respectively. Where it is necessary to indicate the topology, we will write, for example,  $\tau$ -scl or  $\sigma$ -scl.

In the following theorem we recall some known results in the literature which will be used in this paper. They appear in [1, 2, 5, 6, 13–18].

THEOREM 1.1. In any topological space  $(X, \tau)$  we have the following results: (1) For any subset A of X,

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{scl} A = A \cup A^{\overline{v}}, & \operatorname{sint} A = A \cap A^{\circ}, \\ & \operatorname{pcl} A = A \cup A^{\overline{v}}, & \operatorname{pint} A = A \cap A^{\overline{z}}, \\ & \operatorname{spcl} A = A \cup A^{\overline{v}}, & \operatorname{spint} A = A \cap A^{\overline{z}}, \\ & \alpha \operatorname{-cl} A = \tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl} A = A \cup A^{\overline{v}}, & \alpha \operatorname{-int} A = \tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{int} A = A \cap A^{\overline{v}} \ [1,2]. \\ & (2) \ \tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{SO(X)} \cap \mathcal{T}_{PO(X)} \cap \mathcal{T}_{SPO(X)} \ [1,2]. \\ & In \ the \ remaining \ results \ given \ below, \ \sigma \in Top \ (X) \ and \ \mathcal{I}, \ \mathcal{J} \in Id(X). \\ & (3) \ If \ \tau \subset \sigma \subset \tau^{\alpha}, \ then \ \sigma \in [\tau]^{\alpha} \ [14]. \\ & (4) \ \tau \subset \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ and \ (\tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}))^{*}(\mathcal{I}) = \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}). \\ & (5) \ A^{*}(\tau, \mathcal{I}) \ is \ \tau \operatorname{-closed} \ and \ A^{*}(\tau, \mathcal{I}) = A^{*}(\tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{I}) \ for \ each \ A \subset X, \\ & (6) \ I \in \mathcal{I} \implies I \ is \ \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ \operatorname{-closed} \ and \ I^{*} = \emptyset. \\ & (7) \ \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J} \implies A^{*}(\tau, \mathcal{J}) \ \subset A^{*}(\tau, \mathcal{I}) \ for \ each \ A \subset X \ and \ \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ \subset \tau^{*}(\mathcal{J}). \\ & (8) \ \tau \cap \sigma \implies A^{*}(\sigma, \mathcal{I}) \ \subset A^{*}(\tau, \mathcal{I}) \ for \ each \ A \subset X \ and \ \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ \operatorname{-cm}^{*}(\mathcal{I}). \\ & (8) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ \iff \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ \iff X = X^{*} \ \iff U \subset U^{*} \ for \ each \ U \in \tau. \\ & (9) \ \mathcal{B}(\tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})) = \{U - I : U \in \tau, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \ is \ a \ base \ for \ the \ topology \ \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}). \\ & (10) \ If \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}, \ then \ for \ each \ U \in \tau \ and \ for \ each \ I \in \mathcal{I}, \ we \ have \ \overline{U} = U^{*}(\mathcal{I})^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ [6]. \\ & (12) \ \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}_{n}) = \tau^{\alpha}, \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I}_{n} = \{\emptyset\}, \ \mathcal{PO}(X, \tau) \cap \mathcal{I}_{n} = \{\emptyset\}. \\ & (13) \ A \subset B \implies A^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \subset B^{*}(\mathcal{I}). \\ & (14) \ (A - I)^{*} = A^{*} \ for \ each \ A \subset X \ and \ each \ I \in \mathcal{I}. \\ & (16) \ If \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}, \ then \ for \ each \ U \in \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ we \ have \ \tau \operatorname{-cl} U = \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})\operatorname{-cl} U. \\ & (16) \ If \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}, \ then \ for \ each \ U \in \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ we \ have \ \tau \operatorname{-cl} U = \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})\operatorname{-cl} U. \\ & (16) \ If \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}, \ then \ for \ each \ U \in \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ we \ have \ \tau \operatorname{-cl} U = \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})\operatorname{-cl} U. \\ & (16) \ If \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}, \ then \ for \ each \ U \in \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}) \ we \ have \ \tau \operatorname{-cl} U = \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})\operatorname{-cl} U. \\ & (16) \ If$$

## 2. Relations between topologies and some special sets

Firstly, some relations between families such as SO(X), PO(X), SPO(X), etc. on a set X with two topologies are investigated. Then, these relations will be carried over to topological spaces on which ideals are defined. Some known results will be obtained by a different method.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\tau, \sigma, \omega \in Top(X)$ . Then we have the following results. (1) If  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ , then: (a)  $SPO(X, \sigma) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ , (b)  $\mathcal{I}_n(\tau) \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\sigma)$ .

(2) If  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset PO(X,\tau)$ , then  $PO(X,\sigma) \subset PO(X,\tau)$ , and the relations (a) and (b) in (1) are valid.

(3) I. If  $\tau \subset \sigma$ , and  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U$  for each  $U \in \tau$ , then

(a) For each  $A \subset X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A) &= \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A) \subset \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} A), \\ \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A) &\subset \sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A), \\ \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A)) &\subset \tau \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} A)) \subset \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} A)), \\ \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A)) &\subset \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A)) = \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A)). \end{aligned}$$

(b) For each  $U \in SO(X, \tau)$ , we have  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U = \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} U) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} U)$ ,

(c)  $SO(X, \tau) \subset SO(X, \sigma)$ , (d)  $PO(X, \sigma) \subset PO(X, \tau)$ ,

- (e)  $SPO(X, \sigma) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ ,
- (f)  $\alpha O(X, \tau) \subset \alpha O(X, \sigma)$ ,

(g)  $\mathcal{I}_n(\tau) \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\sigma)$ ,

(h)  $RO(X, \tau) \subset RO(X, \sigma)$ .

II. If  $\tau \subset \sigma$ , and  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U$  for each  $U \in \sigma$ , then in addition to the results given in (3.1) above, we have  $RO(X, \tau) = RO(X, \sigma)$ ,  $SR(X, \tau) = SR(X, \sigma)$ , and  $\theta O(X, \tau) = \theta O(X, \sigma)$ ,

(4) If  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset SO(X,\tau)$  and  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U$  for each  $U \in \tau$ , then  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U$  for each  $U \in \sigma$ . So, the results in (3) are valid.

(5)  $\tau \subset \omega \subset \sigma$ , and  $\tau clU = \sigma clU$  for each  $U \in \sigma$ , then  $\tau clU = \sigma clU = \omega clU$ for each  $U \in \sigma$  (hence for each  $U \in \omega$ ).

So, results similar to those given in (3) are valid for  $\tau, \omega$  and  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* (1a) Let  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset SPO(X, \tau)$  and  $U \in SPO(X, \sigma)$ . We have:

 $U \subset \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} U)) \subset \tau \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U)) \subset \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U))))) \subset \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U)).$ 

(1b) The proof is clear from Corollary 2.13 below.

2. Let  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset PO(X, \tau)$  and  $U \in PO(X, \sigma)$ . We have:

 $U \subset \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} U) \subset \sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U) \subset \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U))) \subset \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U))$ =  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U).$ 

So,  $U \in PO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $PO(X, \tau) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ , the results in (1) are valid. (3Ib) Let  $U \in SO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $U \subset \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} U)$  and  $\sigma cl U \subset \tau \operatorname{cl} U$ , we have

 $\sigma \operatorname{cl} U \subset \tau \operatorname{cl} U = \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} U) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} U) \subset \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} U) \subset \sigma \operatorname{cl} U.$ 

Hence we have  $\sigma \operatorname{cl} U = \tau \operatorname{cl} U = \tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} U) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} U).$ 

(3Ic-f). These are clear from (3Ia).

(3Ig) This is clear from (1a) since  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ .

(31h) Let  $U \in RO(X, \tau)$ . We have  $U = \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U)$  and  $U \in SO(X, \tau)$ . Now if we use (31b), we obtain that  $\sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} U) = \sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U) = \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} U) = U$ .

88

(3II) Under the hypothesis, since  $RO(X, \tau) = RO(X, \sigma)[11]$ , it is clear that  $SR(X, \tau) = SR(X, \sigma)$  [7], and  $\theta O(X, \tau) = \theta O(X, \sigma)[12]$ .

(4). The proof is clear from (3Ib).  $\blacksquare$ 

COROLLARY 2.2. If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  for  $\tau \in Top(X)$  and  $\mathcal{I} \in Id(X)$ , then

(a) The results (3) in Theorem 2.1. are valid by taking  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  instead of  $\sigma$ . (b) For  $\mathcal{J} \in Id(X)$  and  $\omega \in Top(X)$ , if  $\omega \cap \mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\omega^*(\mathcal{J}) = \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ , then  $(X, \tau) = (X, \omega) (X, \omega^*(\mathcal{J}))$  and  $(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$  have the same PO(X) = SP(X) and

then  $(X, \tau), (X, \omega), (X, \omega^*(\mathcal{J}))$  and  $(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$  have the same RO(X), SR(X) and  $\theta O(X)$  sets.

(c) If  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$  for  $\sigma \in Top(X)$ , then the results (3) in Theorem 2.1. are valid by taking  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$  instead of  $\sigma$ , and then  $(X, \tau), (X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}), (X, \sigma) \text{ and } (X, \sigma^*(\mathcal{I}))$  have the same RO(X), SR(X) and  $\theta O(X)$  sets.

The following theorem and corollaries can be obtained by using Lemma 2.7. below and the results of Andrijević given in [1,2,3]. We note that Corollary 2.6.(1) was given by Rose and Hamlett using a different method [16]. We will obtain these results by using the results given here.

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $\tau, \sigma \in Top(X)$ . If  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset SO(X, \tau)$ , and  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U$  for each  $U \in \sigma$ , then we have the following results.

(1) For each  $A \subset X$ , we have

(a)  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A),$ 

(b)  $\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} A),$ 

(c)  $\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A)) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A)),$ 

(d)  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A)) = \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} A)).$ 

(2)  $(X,\tau)$  and  $(X,\sigma)$  have the same SO(X), PO(X), SPO(X), RO(X), SR(X), NO(X), D(X),  $\alpha O(X)$ , CD(X) and  $\theta O(X)$  sets.

*Proof.* (1a) Let  $A \subset X$ . Then  $\sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A) \subset \sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A)$ . Since  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) \in \sigma$  and  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) \subset A \cup \tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \tau \operatorname{scl} A \subset \sigma \operatorname{cl} A$ , we have  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \sigma \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A)) \subset \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A)$ . Hence  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A)$ .

The remaining proofs are clear.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let  $\tau \in Top(X), \mathcal{I} \in Id(X)$ . If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset SO(X, \tau)$ , then the results of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied by taking  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  instead of  $\sigma$ .

COROLLARY 2.5. Since  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I}_n = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}_n) = \tau^{\alpha} \subset SO(X, \tau)$ , the results of the above theorem are satisfied by taking  $\tau^{\alpha}$  instead of  $\sigma$ .

COROLLARY 2.6. If  $\sigma^{\alpha} = \tau^{\alpha}$  (i.e. if  $\sigma \in [\tau]^{\alpha}$  in the sense of Njåstad [14]), then we have the following results.

(1) For each  $A \subset X$ , we have

(a)  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A) = \tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{int}(\tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl} A) = \sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{int}(\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl} A) = \sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A),$ 

(b)  $\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A) = \tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl}(\tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{int} A) = \sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl}(\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{int} A) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int} A),$ 

(c)  $\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int} A)) = \tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{int}(\tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl}(\tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{int} A)) = \sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{int}(\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl}(\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{int} A)).$ 

### T. Hatice Yalvac

(d)  $\tau \operatorname{cl}(\tau \operatorname{int}(\tau \operatorname{cl} A)) = \tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl}(\tau^{\alpha} \operatorname{int} A) = (\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl} A(\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{int}(\sigma^{\alpha} \operatorname{cl} A)) = \sigma \operatorname{cl}(\sigma \operatorname{int}(\sigma \operatorname{cl} A)).$ 

(2)  $(X, \tau), (X, \tau^{\alpha}), (X, \sigma^{\alpha})$  and  $(X, \sigma)$  have the same  $SO(X), PO(X), SPO(X), NO(X), D(X), CD(X), \alpha O(X), RO(X), SR(X)$  and  $\theta O(X)$  sets [3].

LEMMA 2.7. Let  $\tau, \sigma \in Top(X)$  and  $\tau \subset \sigma$ . Then,  $\sigma \subset SO(X, \tau)$  and  $\tau \operatorname{cl} U = \sigma \operatorname{cl} U$  for each  $U \in \sigma$  iff  $\sigma \in [\tau]^{\alpha}$ .

Njåstad defined  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies and \*-equivalent topologies in [14], [15], respectively. Njåstad showed that if  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset \tau^{\alpha}$  for  $\tau, \sigma \in Top(X)$ , then  $\tau$ and  $\sigma$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent. For  $\tau, \sigma \in Top(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{I} \in Id(X)$ , if  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$ , then we say that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are \* $\mathcal{I}$ -equivalent.

The  $\alpha$ -equivalence or  $*\mathcal{I}$ -equivalence of topologies on a set on which ideals are defined is important.

For any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})^{\alpha}$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent. We know that  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})^{\alpha} = (\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))^*(\mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))) = \tau^*(\mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})))$ . Hence, for each ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})), \tau^*(\mathcal{I}), \tau^*(\mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{J})$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})))$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent.

At the same time, for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , since  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})) = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\tau \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ , we have that that  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})) = \{\emptyset\}$ . Hence for any ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$  we have  $\tau \cap \mathcal{J} = \{\emptyset\}$ . And, if  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then we know that  $\mathcal{I}_n(\tau) \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ . Now, we can give the following result.

THEOREM 2.8. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  any ideal such that  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(a)  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ (b)  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ (c)  $\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ (d)  $\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ (e)  $\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{I}_n \lor \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ (f)  $\tau \cap (\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{J}) = \{\emptyset\}$ (g)  $\tau \cap (\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{J} \lor \mathcal{I}_n) = \{\emptyset\}$ (h)  $\tau \cap (\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{I}_n) = \{\emptyset\}.$ 

*Proof.* (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b) Let  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then I is  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -closed and since  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  we have that  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -int  $I = \emptyset$ . So,  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -int $(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -cl  $I) = \emptyset$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ .

The remaining proofs are clear.  $\blacksquare$ 

We deduce that if  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})) = \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ , and  $(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))^{\alpha} = \tau^*(\mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})))$ .

COROLLARY 2.9. If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then for any ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ we have that  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}), \ \tau^*(\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{J}), \ \tau^*(\mathcal{I} \lor \mathcal{J} \lor \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})))$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})))$  are all  $\alpha$ -equivalent.

Several statements equivalent to  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$  have been given in the literature. Since  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent when  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ , we give some further conditions for  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ , in the following theorem.

90

THEOREM 2.10. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_n$  the ideal of nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the following are equivalent.

 $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n, \\ (2) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ \tau \ and \ \tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \ are \ \alpha\text{-equivalent}, \\ (3) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ \tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \tau^{\alpha}, \\ (4) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ \tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset SO(X, \tau), \\ (5) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I})) \subset SO(X, \tau), \\ (6) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ SO(X, \tau) \subset PO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I})), \\ (7) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ SPO(X, \tau) \subset SPO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I})), \\ (8) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ D(X, \tau) \subset D(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I})), \\ (9) \ A^*(\mathcal{I}_n) \subset A^*(\mathcal{I}) \ for \ each \ A \subset X, \\ (10) \ A^2 \ \subset A^*(\mathcal{I}) \ for \ each \ A \in D(X, \tau), \\ (11) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ A^2 \ \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I})\text{-cl} \ A \ for \ each \ A \subset X, \\ (12) \ \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \ and \ \mathcal{I} \subset SC(X, \tau). \end{array}$ 

*Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2) Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ . We have,  $\tau \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I}_n) = \tau^{\alpha}$ , so  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent from Theorem 1.1.(3).

 $\begin{array}{l} (2) \Longrightarrow (1) \text{ If } \tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \in [\tau]^{\alpha}, \text{ then we have } \mathcal{I}_n(\tau) = \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})). \text{ If } \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}, \\ \text{then } \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})). \text{ Hence, } \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n, \text{ if } \tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\} \text{ and } \tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \in [\tau]^{\alpha}. \end{array}$ 

 $(2) \iff (3) \iff (4)$  Clear.

 $(2) \Longleftrightarrow (5) \Longleftrightarrow (6) \Longleftrightarrow (7) \Longleftrightarrow (8)$  Clear from [3, Theorem 1] and Corollary 2.2

 $(1) \iff (9)$  Known from the literature.

 $(9) \Longrightarrow (10)$  Clear.

(10)  $\Longrightarrow$  (8) Since  $X \in D(X, \tau)$ , we have  $X^{\circ} = X \subset X^{*}(\mathcal{I}), X = X^{*}(\mathcal{I})$  and hence  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . For  $A \in D(X, \tau)$  we deduce  $\overline{A} = X, A^{\circ} = X, A^{*}(\mathcal{I}) = X$  and  $\tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})$ -cl  $A = A \cup A^{*}(\mathcal{I}) = X$ . Hence we have  $D(X, \tau) \subset D(X, \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}))$ .

(4)  $\implies$  (11) We have scl  $A \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -cl A for each  $A \subset X$ . Since scl  $A = A \cup A^2$ , the result is clear.

(11)  $\implies$  (3) Under the hypothesis of (11) we obtain  $A^{\overline{2}} = \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -cl  $A^{2} \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -cl A and  $\tau^{\alpha}$ -cl  $A = A \cup A^{\overline{2}} \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -cl A for each subset A. Hence we have  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \tau^{\alpha}$ .

(4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (12) We know that each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ -closed. Since  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset SO(X, \tau)$ , it follows that each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau$ -semiclosed.

 $(12) \Longrightarrow (4)$  If  $\mathcal{I} \subset SC(X, \tau)$ , then  $U - I \in SO(X, \tau)$  for any  $U \in \tau$  and any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . So,  $SO(X, \tau)$  contains a base of  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  (from Theorem 1.1.(10)). Hence  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset SO(X, \tau)$ .

COROLLARY 2.11. If  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ , then we have the following results. (a)  $\mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})) = \mathcal{I}_n(\tau)$ , (b)  $\sigma \in [\tau]^{\alpha}$  iff  $\sigma \in [\tau^*(\mathcal{I})]^{\alpha}$ , (c) If  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}) = \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ , then  $\tau$ ,  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  and  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$  are all  $\alpha$ -equivalent.

#### T. Hatice Yalvac

Some other statements equivalent to  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$  can be seen from Corollary 2.13. and Corollary 2.15.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a supratopology and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal on X, then it is clear that  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\mathcal{A}$ -int  $I = \emptyset$  for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . In the following theorem, the results are clear and almost all of them are known.

THEOREM 2.12. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then we have the following results for any  $A \subset X$ .

(1)  $A^2 = \emptyset \iff \text{pre-int } A = \emptyset \iff A^{\overline{2}} = \emptyset \iff \text{semi-pre-int } A = \emptyset$ ,

(2)  $A^{\frac{o}{o}} = \emptyset \iff \alpha \operatorname{-int} A = \emptyset \iff A^{o} = \emptyset \iff A^{\overline{o}} = \emptyset \iff \operatorname{semi-int} A = \emptyset$ 

- (3)  $A^{\overline{o}} = X \iff \text{pre-cl} A = X \iff A^{\frac{o}{o}} = X \iff \text{semi-pre-cl} A = X$ ,
- (4)  $A^{\overline{2}} = X \iff \alpha \operatorname{cl} A = X \iff \overline{A} = X \iff A^{2} = X \iff \operatorname{scl} A = X.$

Clearly, in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{I}_n$  iff pre-int  $\{x\} \neq \emptyset$  iff semi-pre-int  $\{x\} \neq \emptyset$  iff  $\{x\}$  is pre-open iff  $\{x\}$  is semi-pre-open.

In the following corollary we assume that the necessary ideals are defined on the topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .

COROLLARY 2.13. We have the following results. (1)  $\mathcal{I}_n = \{A : A^{\circ} = \emptyset\} = \{A : \text{pre-int } A = \emptyset\} = \{A : \text{semi-pre-int } A = \emptyset\} = \{A : \text{semi-pre-int } A = \emptyset\}$ 

 $\{A: A^{\overline{2}} = \emptyset\} = CD(X, \tau) \cap SC(X, \tau).$ 

(2)  $\mathcal{I}_n \cap PO(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_n \cap SPO(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset\}.$ (3)  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$  iff  $\mathcal{I} \cap PO(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset\}$  [4] iff  $\mathcal{I} \cap SPO(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset\}.$ 

(4) For  $\sigma \in Top(X)$ , if  $PO(X, \sigma) \subset PO(X, \tau)$  or  $SPO(X, \sigma) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_n(\tau) \subset \mathcal{I}_n(\sigma)$ .

(5)  $CD(X) = \{A : A^{\circ} = \emptyset\} = \{A : A^{\frac{1}{\circ}} = \emptyset\} = \{A : \alpha \text{-int } A = \emptyset\} = \{A : \alpha \text{-int } A = \emptyset\} = \{A : A^{\overline{\circ}} = \emptyset\}.$  (6) For  $\sigma \in Top(X)$ , if  $SO(X, \tau) \subset SO(X, \sigma)$  or  $\tau^{\alpha} \subset \sigma^{\alpha}$ , then  $D(X, \sigma) \subset D(X, \tau)$  and  $CD(X, \sigma) \subset CD(X, \tau)$ .

(7)(a)  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $SO(X, \tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  [4] iff  $\tau^{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ iff  $SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I})) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})^{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

(b) Let  $\sigma \in Top(X)$  and  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}) = \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ , then we have that  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\sigma \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

(c) Let  $\sigma \in Top(X)$  and  $\sigma \in [\tau^*(\mathcal{I})]^{\alpha}$ , then we have that  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\sigma \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Now, by combining the results given above with the following facts,

(i) If  $\tau \subset \sigma \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$  for  $\sigma \in Top(X)$ , then  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}) = \tau^*(\mathcal{I})$ ,

(ii) If  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \sigma \subset (\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))^{\alpha} = (\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))^*(\mathcal{I}_n(\tau^*(\mathcal{I})))$  then  $\sigma \in [\tau^*(\mathcal{I}))]^{\alpha}$ , we can obtain several conditions equivalent to  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

For a supratopology  $\mathcal{A}$  on X,  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$  will stand for the topology

$$\{U: A \in \mathcal{A} \implies U \cap A \in \mathcal{A}\} \ [19].$$

We know that  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{PO(X,\tau)}, \tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{SO(X,\tau)}, \tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{SPO(X,\tau)}$  and  $\tau \subset \tau^{\alpha} = \mathcal{T}_{\tau^{\alpha}}$  [1–3].

Ø.

THEOREM 2.14. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $\mathcal{I} \in Id(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a supratopology on X. Then we have the following results.

(1) If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $A^o \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A} - \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

(2) If  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then

(a)  $A \subset A^*$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

(b)  $\overline{A} = A^* = \tau^* \operatorname{-cl} A$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(3)(a) If  $A^*(\mathcal{I}) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A} - \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ ,

(b) If  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(4)  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I}_n = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $A^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A} - \{\emptyset\}$ .

(5) If  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I}_n = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ .

(6) If  $PO(X,\tau) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset SPO(X,\tau)$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I}_n = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$  iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(7) If  $\tau \subset \mathcal{A} \subset SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (2a) Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in A$ . If  $x \in U \in \tau$ , then since  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we have  $\emptyset \neq U \cap A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}$ . So, we have  $x \in A^*$ .

(2b) We know that  $A^*$  is  $\tau$ -closed,  $A^* \subset \overline{A}$  and  $\tau^* clA = A \cup A^*$ . Result is clear from (a).

(3a) It is known that  $I^* = \emptyset$  for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now, result is clear.

(3b) Clear from (3a) and (2a)

(4) Clear from Corollary 2.13.

(5) Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . From (3)(b) we have  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I}_n) = A^{\overline{2}}$ . Hence,  $A \in SPO(X, \tau)$ .

(6) Let  $PO(X,\tau) \subset \mathcal{A} \subset SPO(X,\tau)$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  then  $PO(X,\tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ and hence  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ . If  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ , then  $SPO(X,\tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  and hence  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

If  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ , then  $SPO(X, \tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . Now, from Corollary 2.15.(1) below, and since  $\mathcal{A} \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then we have  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in PO(X, \tau)$ . So, from Corollary 2.15(1), we have  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ .

(7) Let  $\tau \subset \mathcal{A} \subset SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then from Corollary 2.13(7) we have  $SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I})) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . So,  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then from Corollary 2.15(2) below we have  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ . So,  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then from Theorem 1.1.(9) we have  $U \subset U^*$  for each  $U \in \tau$ , and  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

COROLLARY 2.15. We have the following results.

(1)  $PO(X,\tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in PO(X,\tau)$  [4] iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in SPO(X,\tau)$ .

(2)  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ . [4] iff  $A \subset A^*(\mathcal{I})$  for

each  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  iff  $A \subset A^{*}(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in SO(X, \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I}))$  iff  $A \subset A^{*}(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $A \in \tau^{*}(\mathcal{I})^{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* The proofs are clear from Theorem 1.1.(2), Theorem 2.14(3b) and Theorem 1.1(5).  $\blacksquare$ 

COROLLARY 2.16. We have the following results.

(1) If  $PO(X,\tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\tau$ -cl  $A = A^* = \tau^*$ -cl A for each  $A \in SPO(X,\tau)$ .

(2) If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\tau$ -cl  $A = A^* = \tau^*$ -cl A for each  $A \in SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ .

LEMMA 2.17. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be topological space,  $\mathcal{A}$  a supratopology on X such that  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$ -int  $B = \emptyset$  for a subset B, then  $(A \cap B)^- \subset (A - B)^-$  and  $\overline{A} = (A - B)^-$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \subset X$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ - int  $B = \emptyset$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in (A \cap B)^-$  and  $x \in U \in \tau$ . Then  $U \cap A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we have  $\emptyset \neq U \cap A \in \mathcal{A}$ . So  $U \cap A \not\subset B$ , and  $(A - B) \cap U = A \cap U - B \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $x \in (A - B)^-$ .

This now gives  $\overline{A} = (A - B)^- \cup (A \cap B)^- = (A - B)^-$ .

COROLLARY 2.18. Let  $\mathcal{I} \in Id(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a supratopology on X. If  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then we have the following results.

(a) If  $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $\overline{A} = (A - I)^{-}$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

(b) If  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{T}_A$ , then  $\overline{A} = (A - I)^-$  and  $\tau^* \text{-cl}A = \tau^* \text{-cl}(A - I)$  for each  $A \in A$  and for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ .

*Proof.* (a) If  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we obtain  $\mathcal{A}$ -int  $I = \emptyset$ . The proof is now clear from Lemma 2.17.

(b) If  $\tau^* \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$  then we obtain  $\tau \subset \tau^* \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . The proof is now clear from Lemma 2.17.  $\blacksquare$ 

COROLLARY 2.19. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{I} \in Id(X)$ . Then we have the following results.

(1) If  $PO(X,\tau) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\overline{A} = (A-I)^- = A^* = \tau^* - clA = (A-I)^*$  for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and for each  $A \in SPO(X,\tau)$ .

(2) If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then  $\overline{A} = (A-I)^- = A^* = \tau^* \operatorname{-cl} A = \tau^* \operatorname{-cl} (A-I) = (A-I)^*$ for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and for each  $A \in SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ .

(3) If  $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ , then we have  $\tau$ -scl  $A = \tau^*$ -scl A for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and for each  $A \in SO(X, \tau^*(\mathcal{I}))$ .

Proof. (1),(2) Clear from Corollory 2.16, Corollary 2.18 and Theorem 1.1.(15). (3) From (2) we will have  $A^{\circ} = \tau \operatorname{-int}(\tau^*\operatorname{-cl} A) = \tau^*\operatorname{-int}(\tau^*\operatorname{-cl} A)$  and  $\tau \operatorname{-scl} A = A \cup A^{\circ} = A \cup \tau^*\operatorname{-int}(\tau^*\operatorname{-cl} A) = \tau^*\operatorname{-scl} A$ .

### REFERENCES

[1] D. Andrijević, Some properties of the topology of  $\alpha$ -sets, Mat. Vesnik, 36 (1984), 1–10.

- [2] D. Andrijević, A note on preopen sets, Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematica di Palermo, Serie II, 18, (1988), 195–201.
- [3] D. Andrijević, A note on  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies, Mat. Vesnik, 45 (1993), 65–69.
- [4] R. Devi, D. Sivaraj and T. Chelvam, Codense and completely codense ideals, Acta Math. Hungar., 108, 3, (2005),197–205.
- [5] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, Math. Ann., 156 (1964), 205–215.
- [6] D. Janković and T.R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97 (1990), 295–310,
- [7] D. Janković and I.L. Reilly, On semi seperation properties, Indian J. Math., 6, (1985), 957– 967.
- [8] K. Kuratowski, Topologie I, Warszawa, 1933.
- [9] P.E. Long and L.L. Herrington, The τ<sub>θ</sub>-topology and faintly continuous functions, Kyungpook Math. J., 22 (1982), 7–14.
- [10] A.S. Mashhour, T.H. Khedr and S.Abd El-Bakkey, On supra-R<sub>o</sub> and supra-R<sub>1</sub> spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 16 (1985), 1300–1306.
- [11] J. Mioduszewkski and L. Rudolf, H-closed and externally disconnected Hausdorff spaces, Dissertationes Math., 66 (1969), 1–55.
- [12] M.N. Mukherjee and C.K. Basu, θ-equivalent spaces- A new approach to RO-equivalent spaces and semi-regular properties, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 22, 9(1991), 745–750.
- [13] L. Newcomb, Topologies which are compact mudulo an ideal, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Cal. at. Santa Barbara (1967).
- [14] O. Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math. 15, 3 (1965), 961-970.
- [15] O. Njåstad, Remarks on topologies defined by local properties, Avh. Norske vid.-Akad. Oslo I(N.S), 8(1966), 1–16.
- [16] D. Rose and T.R. Hamlett, Ideally equivalent topologies and semitopological properties, Math. Choronicle 20 (1991), 149–156.
- [17] P. Samuels, A topology formed from a given topology and ideal, J. London Math. Soc., 2,10 (1975), 409–416.
- [18] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localization theory in set-topology, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20 (1945), 51–61.
- [19] T.H. Yalvac, Relations between new topologies obtained from old ones, Acta Math. Hungar., 64, 3 (1994), 231–235.

(received 06.01.2006, in revised form 03.09.2007)

T.Hatice YALVAC, Hacettepe University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 06532 Beytepe, Ankara-Turkey.