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#### Abstract

In the present paper, the authors introduce a new subclass $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$ of close-toconvex functions. The subordination and inclusion relationship, and some coefficient inequalities for this class are provided. The results presented here would provide extensions of those given in earlier works.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n},
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ denote the usual subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ whose members are univalent, starlike and close-to-convex in $\mathcal{U}$, respectively. Also let $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ denote the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1$.

Sakaguchi [4] introduced a class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ of functions starlike with respect to symmetric points, consisting of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) .
$$

In a later paper, Gao and Zhou [1] discussed a class $\mathcal{K}_{s}$ of analytic functions related to the starlike functions, that is the subclass of $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying the following inequality

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}\right\}<0 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}),
$$

where $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

[^0]More recently, Wang, Gao and Yuan [6] discussed a subclass $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ of the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}$, that is the subclass of $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying the following inequality

$$
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}+1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}-1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,0<\beta \leq 1$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Note that $\mathcal{K}_{s}(1,1)=\mathcal{K}_{s}$, so $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a generalization of $\mathcal{K}_{s}$.

Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $F(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$, if there exists an analytic function $\omega(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $|\omega(z)| \leq|z|$ and $f(z)=F(\omega(z))$, denoted by $f \prec F$ or $f(z) \prec F(z)$. If $F(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then the subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=F(0)$ and $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset F(\mathcal{U})($ see $[3])$.

In the present paper, we introduce and investigate the following class of analytic functions, and obtain some interesting results.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the class of functions in $\mathcal{S}$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}-1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}+1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,0<\beta \leq 1, g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right), k \geq 1$ is a fixed positive integer and $g_{k}(z)$ is defined by the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}(z)=\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu} g\left(\varepsilon^{\nu} z\right) \quad\left(\varepsilon^{k}=1\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(2)}(\alpha, \beta)=\mathcal{K}_{s}(\alpha, \beta)$, so $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a generalization of $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\alpha, \beta)$.
In the present paper, we shall provide the subordination and inclusion relationships, and some coefficient inequalities for the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$. The results presented here would provide extensions of those given in earlier works.

## 2. Coefficient estimate

We first give two meaningful conclusions about the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$. The proof of Theorem 1 below is much akin to that of Theorem 1 in [6], here we omit the details.

THEOREM 1. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\beta z}{1-\alpha \beta z} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. From Theorem 1, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z) / z^{k-1}}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of $\Re\left\{\frac{1+\beta z}{1-\alpha \beta z}\right\}>0(z \in \mathcal{U})$.

In order to prove our next theorem, we shall require the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $\psi_{i}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, where $0 \leq \alpha_{i}<1(i=0,1, \ldots, k-1)$. Then for $k-1 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}<k$, we have

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \psi_{i}(z)}{z^{k-1}} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}-(k-1)\right)
$$

Proof. Since $\psi_{i}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)(i=0,1, \ldots, k-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z \psi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{0}(z)}\right\}>\alpha_{0}, \Re\left\{\frac{z \psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{1}(z)}\right\}>\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \Re\left\{\frac{z \psi_{k-1}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{k-1}(z)}\right\}>\alpha_{k-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{\psi_{0}(z) \psi_{1}(z) \cdots \psi_{k-1}(z)}{z^{k-1}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.4) logarithmically, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{z \psi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{0}(z)}+\frac{z \psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{1}(z)}+\cdots+\frac{z \psi_{k-1}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{k-1}(z)}-(k-1) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (2.5) together with (2.3), we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Re\left\{\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right\} & =\Re\left\{\frac{z \psi_{0}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{0}(z)}\right\}+\Re\left\{\frac{z \psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{1}(z)}\right\}+\cdots+\Re\left\{\frac{z \psi_{k-1}^{\prime}(z)}{\psi_{k-1}(z)}\right\}-(k-1) \\
& >\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}-(k-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}-(k-1)<1$, we know that

$$
F(z)=\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \psi_{i}(z)}{z^{k-1}} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}-(k-1)\right)
$$

Theorem 2. Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)$, then $g_{k}(z) / z^{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}$.
Proof. From (1.2), we know

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}} & =\frac{\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu} g\left(\varepsilon^{\nu} z\right)}{z^{k-1}}=\frac{\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu}\left[\varepsilon^{\nu} z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{\nu} z\right)^{n}\right]}{z^{k-1}} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1}\left[z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} \varepsilon^{(n-1) \nu} z^{n}\right]}{z^{k-1}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, suppose that

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) .
$$

Then, by Lemma 1 and equality (2.6), we can get the assertion of Theorem 2 easily.

Remark 2. From Theorem 2 and inequality (2.2), we know that if $f(z) \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$, then $f(z)$ is a close-to-convex function. So $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a subclass of the class of close-to-convex functions.

In order to give the coefficient estimate of $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$, we shall require the following lemma.

LEMMA 2 [5] Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}, g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}$, and satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,0<\beta \leq 1$. Then for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n a_{n}-b_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 2\left(1+\alpha \beta^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right|\left|b_{k}\right| \quad\left(\left|a_{1}\right|=\left|b_{1}\right|=1\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now give the following theorem.
THEOREM 3. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}, g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}$, and satisfy the inequality (1.1). Then for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n a_{n}-B_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 2\left(1+\alpha \beta^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|a_{k}\right|\left|B_{k}\right| \quad\left(\left|a_{1}\right|=\left|B_{1}\right|=1\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is given by (2.11).
Proof. Note that inequality (1.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z) / z^{k-1}}-1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z) / z^{k-1}}+1\right| \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, we know that equality (2.6) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, suppose that $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}$ and satisfy (2.9). So $f(z)$ and $g_{k}(z) / z^{k-1}$ satisfy the condition of Lemma 2. Thus, from (2.7), we can get (2.8) easily.

## 3. Inclusion relationship

In order to give the inclusion relationship for the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, we shall require the following lemma.

Lemma 3. [2] Let $-1 \leq B_{2} \leq B_{1}<A_{1} \leq A_{2} \leq 1$. Then

$$
\frac{1+A_{1} z}{1+B_{1} z} \prec \frac{1+A_{2} z}{1+B_{2} z}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $0 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 1$ and $0<\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$. By Theorem 1 we have

$$
\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\beta_{1} z}{1-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} z}
$$

Since $0 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 1$ and $0<\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq 1$, we have

$$
-1 \leq-\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \leq-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}<\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq 1
$$

Thus, by Lemma 3, we have

$$
\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\beta_{2} z}{1-\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} z}
$$

that is $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$. This means that $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$. Hence the proof is complete.

Taking $k=2$ in Theorem 4, we have
Corollary 1. Let $0 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 1$ and $0<\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2} \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{K}_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_{s}\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)
$$

## 4. Sufficient condition

At last, we give the sufficient condition for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$.

THEOREM 5. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. If for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,0<\beta \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+\alpha \beta)\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\beta)\left|B_{n}\right| \leq(1+\alpha) \beta \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is given by (2.10), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Proof. Suppose that $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$. In view of (2.9) and (2.10), let $M$ be denoted by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\left|z f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right|-\beta\left|\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right| \\
& =\left|z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n}-z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}\right|-\beta\left|\alpha z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \alpha a_{n} z^{n}+z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|B_{n}\right| r^{n}-\beta\left[(1+\alpha) r-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \alpha\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|B_{n}\right| r^{n}\right] \\
& <\left[-(1+\alpha) \beta+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+\alpha \beta)\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\beta)\left|B_{n}\right|\right] r .
\end{aligned}
$$

From inequality (4.1), we know that $M<0$. Thus, we have

$$
\left|\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}-1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}+1\right| \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

that is $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{s}^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5. ■
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