

## ON HADAMARD TYPE POLYNOMIAL CONVOLUTIONS WITH REGULARLY VARYING SEQUENCES

Slavko Simić

**Abstract.** For a sequence of polynomials  $P_n(x) := \sum_{m \leq n} p_m x^m$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the asymptotic equivalence

$$P_n^{(\alpha)}(x) := \sum_{m \leq n} c_m p_m x^m \sim c_n P_n(x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

to hold for each  $x \geq A$  and an arbitrary regularly varying sequence  $\{c_n\}$  of index  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ .

### Introduction

A sequence  $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of non-negative numbers generates a sequence of polynomials  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$  defined by  $P_n(x) := \sum_{m \leq n} p_m x^m$ .

A sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers is regularly varying with index  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  if it can be represented in the form  $c_n = n^\alpha \ell_n$ , where  $\{\ell_n\}$  is a *slowly varying* sequence, i.e. satisfying  $\ell_{[\lambda n]} \sim \ell_n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for each  $\lambda > 0$  ([1], [2]).

Some examples of slowly varying sequences are:

$$\log^a(n+1), \quad a \in \mathbf{R}; \quad \log^b(\log(n+1)), \quad b \in \mathbf{R}; \quad \exp(\log^c(n+1)), \quad 0 < c < 1.$$

Our task here is to investigate asymptotic behavior of Hadamard-type convolutions  $P_n^{(\alpha)}(x) := \sum_{m \leq n} c_m p_m x^m$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (cf. [2]).

In [2] we introduced an operator  $Tf(x)$  in the following way.

DEFINITION. Let  $f \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ . Then

$$Tf(x) := \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}.$$

Under a more general framework, we obtained asymptotic behavior of  $P_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$  supposing

$$T(TP_n(x)) < M, \tag{I}$$

where  $M$  does not depend on  $n$  or  $x$ .

---

*AMS Subject Classification:* 26A12

*Keywords and phrases:* Regular variation, polynomials, asymptotic behavior.

In this paper we find a necessary and sufficient condition for the given asymptotics avoiding the somewhat ambiguous condition (I).

### Results

THEOREM. *Let  $A$  be a positive number. Then the asymptotic relation*

$$P_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \sim n^\alpha \ell_n P_n(x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (1)$$

*holds for each  $x \geq A$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , and an arbitrary slowly varying sequence  $\{\ell_n\}$ , if and only if*

$$TP_n(A) := \frac{AP'_n(A)}{P_n(A)} \sim n \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Denote  $Q_n(x) := \sum_{m \leq n} P_m(x)$ . We can see that the condition (2) is necessary if we put in (1):  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\ell_n = 1$ ,  $x = A$ . That it is also sufficient can be proved using the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Under the condition (2), for each real  $\alpha$  we have*

$$(i) \ n^\alpha Q_n(A) \rightarrow \infty; \quad (ii) \ \sum_{m \leq n} m^\alpha p_m A^m \sim n^\alpha P_n(A) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

LEMMA 2. *We have  $\sup_{m \leq n} (m \ell_m) \sim n \ell_n$ ;  $\inf_{m \leq n} (\ell_m/m) \sim \ell_n/n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).*

LEMMA 3. *The function  $x \mapsto \frac{x P'_n(x)}{P_n(x)}$  is non-decreasing for  $x > 0$ .*

LEMMA 4. (Stoltz's lemma) *If  $\sum_{m \leq n} b_m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $a_n/b_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$\sum_{m \leq n} a_m / \sum_{m \leq n} b_m \rightarrow s \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* By partial summation we get  $\sum_{m \leq n} m p_m A^m = (n+1)P_n(A) - Q_n(A)$ . Hence, the condition (2) is equivalent to

$$nP_n(A)/Q_n(A) \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3)$$

Therefore, for  $n > n_0$  and fixed  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{nP_n(A)}{Q_n(A)} &> |\alpha| + 1; & \frac{Q_n(A) - Q_{n-1}(A)}{Q_n(A)} &> \frac{|\alpha| + 1}{n}; \\ \frac{Q_{n-1}(A)}{Q_n(A)} &< 1 - \frac{|\alpha| + 1}{n} &< \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha| + 1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$Q_n(A) \gg \exp((|\alpha| + 1) \sum_{m \leq n} 1/m) \gg \exp((|\alpha| + 1) \log n),$$

i.e.  $n^\alpha Q_n(A) \gg n^{\alpha + |\alpha| + 1}$  and the part (i) is proved.

Denoting  $\Delta r_n := r_{n+1} - r_n$ , by (3) we get

$$\frac{P_n(A)\Delta n^\alpha}{\Delta(n^{\alpha-1}Q_{n-1}(A))} = \frac{P_n(A)\Delta n^\alpha}{n^{\alpha-1}P_n(A) + Q_n(A)\Delta n^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow \alpha \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Now, applying part (i), Stoltz's lemma and (3), we obtain

$$S_n(A) := \sum_{m \leq n} P_m(A)\Delta m^\alpha \sim \alpha n^{\alpha-1}Q_n(A) = o(n^\alpha P_n(A)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore, by partial summation we get

$$\sum_{m \leq n} m^\alpha p_m A^m = (n+1)^\alpha P_n(A) - S_n(A) = (n+1)^\alpha P_n(A) + o(n^\alpha P_n(A)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and the part (ii) of Lemma 1 is also proved. ■

Lemma 2. is proved in [1, p. 23].

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Indeed, for  $x > 0$  by Cauchy's inequality, we get

$$x \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{xP'_n(x)}{P_n(x)} \right) = \frac{\sum_{m \leq n} m^2 p_m x^m}{\sum_{m \leq n} p_m x^m} - \left( \frac{\sum_{m \leq n} m p_m x^m}{\sum_{m \leq n} p_m x^m} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

Hence  $TP_n(x)$  is monotone non-decreasing for  $x > 0$ . ■

Stoltz's lemma is a classical one and is proved, for example, in [3, p. 30].

Now we can give the proof of the Theorem at the point  $x = A$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$P_n^\alpha(A) = \sum_{m \leq n} m^\alpha \ell_m p_m A^m \leq \sup_{m \leq n} (m \ell_m) \sum_{m \leq n} m^{\alpha-1} p_m A^m \sim n^\alpha \ell_n P_n(A),$$

and

$$\sum_{m \leq n} m^\alpha \ell_m p_m A^m \geq \inf_{m \leq n} (\ell_m/m) \sum_{m \leq n} m^{\alpha+1} p_m A^m \sim n^\alpha \ell_n P_n(A).$$

Hence

$$1 \leq \liminf_n (P_n^{(\alpha)}(A)/n^\alpha \ell_n P_n(A)) \leq \limsup_n (P_n^{(\alpha)}(A)/n^\alpha \ell_n P_n(A)) \leq 1,$$

and the proof is done. ■

For  $x > A$ , by Lemma 3, we obtain

$$n \sim AP'_n(A)/P_n(A) \leq xP'_n(x)/P_n(x) \leq n.$$

Hence  $xP'_n(x)/P_n(x) \sim n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and we can apply the previous proof replacing  $A$  by  $x$ .

COMMENT. As the referee notes, the condition (2) is certainly less opaque than the former condition (I), but it still is opaque in that one has to do a calculation and some asymptotic approximations to decide if a candidate sequence satisfies it.

There is also a problem to determine the least possible  $A$  such that (2) holds.

For instance, if  $p_n = a^n$  for some  $a > 0$  then (2) holds for  $A > 1/a$  and fails for  $A \leq 1/a$ .

Also, if  $p_n = 1/n!$  then (2) never holds; but for  $p_n = n!$  an easy calculation shows that (2) is valid for all  $A > 0$ .

Therefore we shall establish two simple criteria which can help to decide if a given sequence  $\{p_n\}$  satisfies (2) or not.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $A$  lies inside the interval of convergence of  $\sum p_n x^n$  then the condition (2) fails.*

*Proof.* We have, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sum_{m \leq n} p_m A^m \rightarrow P(A)$ , and consequently,

$$\sum_{m \leq n} m p_m A^m \rightarrow A P'(A).$$

Hence  $TP_n(A) \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). ■

But the divergence of  $\sum p_n A^n$  does not imply that (2) is true. This can be seen from the following example.

Let  $p_m = 1$  if  $m$  is in the factorial form and  $p_m = 0$  otherwise. Then

$$P_{(n+1)!-1}(A) = A^{n!} + A^{(n-1)!} + \dots$$

For  $A > 1$ , we have  $P_{(n+1)!-1}(A) \sim A^{n!}$ , and

$$A P'_{(n+1)!-1}(A) = n! A^{n!} + (n-1)! A^{(n-1)!} + \dots \sim n! A^{n!} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore

$$TP_{(n+1)!-1}(A) \sim \frac{n!}{(n+1)!-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If, for some  $A > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{A} \frac{p_n}{p_{n+1}} \right) = +\infty, \quad (4)$$

*then (2) holds.*

*Proof.* Note that the condition (4) implies just a finite number of  $p_n = 0$ . Also, by Raabe's convergence criteria,  $\sum p_n A^n$  diverges.

Now, the condition (4) is equivalent to

$$1 + (n-1) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{A} \frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n} \right) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

i.e.

$$(n p_n A^n - (n-1) p_{n-1} A^{n-1}) / p_n A^n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Applying Lemma 4, we get  $\sum_{m \leq n} p_m A^m / np_n A^n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). It follows that

$$\frac{np_n A^n}{np_n A^n + \sum_{m \leq n-1} p_m A^m} \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e.

$$\frac{np_n A^n}{n \sum_{m \leq n} p_m A^m - (n-1) \sum_{m \leq n-1} p_m A^m} \rightarrow 1.$$

Applying Lemma 4 again, we obtain the condition (2). ■

Now it is not difficult to verify the above examples using Propositions 1 and 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [2] S. Simić, *Orthogonal polynomials and regularly varying sequences*, Publ. Inst. Math. Belgrade (N.S) 70(84) (2001).
- [3] G. Polya, G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.

(received 20.10.2003, in revised form 26.10.2005)

Mathematical Institute, Kneza Mihaila 35/I, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

*E-mail*: `ssimic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.yu`