

Short Communications

MALKHAZ ASHORDIA AND GODERDZI EKHVAIA

ON THE SOLVABILITY OF MULTIPOINT
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SYSTEMS OF
NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH
FIXED POINTS OF IMPULSES ACTIONS

Abstract. The necessary and sufficient conditions and the effective sufficient conditions are given for the solvability of the multipoint boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear impulsive equations.

რეზიუმე. მოყვანილია ფიქსირებული იმპულსური ქმედებების წერტილებიან არაწრფივ დიფერენციალურ განტოლებათა სისტემებისთვის მრავალწერტილოვანი სასაზღვრო ამოცანების ამოხსნადობის აუცილებელი და საკმარისი და ეფექტური საკმარისი პირობები.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K45.

Key words and phrases: Systems of nonlinear impulsive equations, multipoint boundary value problem, solvability, unique solvability, criterion, effective conditions.

For the nonlinear impulsive system with fixed and finite number of impulses points

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \tag{1}$$

$$x(\tau_k+) - x(\tau_k-) = I_k(x(\tau_k)) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0), \tag{2}$$

we consider the multipoint boundary value problem of the Cauchy–Nicoletti’s type

$$x_i(t_i) = \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \tag{3}$$

where $t_i \in [a, b]$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $a < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_{m_0} < b$ (we will assume $\tau_0 = a$ and $\tau_{m_0+1} = b$ if necessary), $f = (f_k)_{k=1}^n \in K([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $I_k = (I_{ki})_{i=1}^n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0$; $i = 1, \dots, n$) are continuous operators, and $\varphi_i : BV_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are continuous functionals which are nonlinear, in general.

In this paper, the necessary and sufficient conditions as well as the effective sufficient conditions are given for the solvability and unique solvability of the boundary value problem (1), (2); (3). Analogous problem on the interval $[-a, a]$ have been considered in [3], when the multipoint problem is

degenerated into the two-point one, i.e., when $t_i = -\sigma_i a$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The general nonlinear boundary value problems for the impulsive system (1), (2) is considered in [4], where the Conti–Opial type existence and uniqueness theorems are prescribed for the problem.

We realize the results for the boundary condition

$$x_i(t_i) = c_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad (4)$$

i.e., when $\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv c_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the constant vectors.

Note, in addition, that analogous results are contained in [5, 6, 8, 9] for the multipoint boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations.

Throughout the paper, the following notation and definitions will be used.

$\mathbb{R} =] - \infty, +\infty[$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$; $[a, b]$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{R}$) is a closed interval.

$\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the space of all real $n \times m$ -matrices $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n,m}$ with the norm

$$\|X\| = \max_{j=1, \dots, m} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ij}|;$$

$\mathbb{R}_+^{n \times m} = \{(x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n,m} : x_{ij} \geq 0 \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m)\}$.

$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is the space of all real column n -vectors $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$; $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times 1}$.

$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$; δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol, i.e., $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$).

θ is the function defined by $\theta(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 0$, and $\theta(t) = -1$ for $t < 0$.

$\overset{b}{\underset{a}{V}}(X)$ is the total variation of the matrix-function $X : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, i.e., the sum of total variations of the latter's components.

$X(t-)$ and $X(t+)$ are the left and the right limit of the matrix-function $X : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ at the point t (we will assume $X(t) = X(a)$ for $t \leq a$ and $X(t) = X(b)$ for $t \geq b$, if necessary);

$d_1 X(t) = X(t) - X(t-)$, $d_2 X(t) = X(t+) - X(t)$;

$\|X\|_s = \sup \{\|X(t)\| : t \in [a, b]\}$.

$\text{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times m})$ is the set of all matrix-functions of bounded variation $X : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ (i.e., such that $\overset{b}{\underset{a}{V}}(X) < +\infty$);

$\text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the normed space ($\text{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_s$);

$\tilde{C}([a, b], D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, is the set of all absolutely continuous matrix-functions $X : [a, b] \rightarrow D$;

$\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^m, D)$ is the set of all matrix-functions $X : [a, b] \rightarrow D$ whose restrictions to an arbitrary closed interval $[c, d]$ from $[a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^m$ belong to $\tilde{C}([c, d], D)$.

If B_1 and B_2 are normed spaces, then an operator $g : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ (nonlinear, in general) is positive homogeneous if

$$g(\lambda x) = \lambda g(x)$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x \in B_1$.

An operator $\varphi : \text{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called nondecreasing if for every $x, y \in \text{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $x(t) \leq y(t)$ for $t \in [a, b]$ the inequality $\varphi(x)(t) \leq \varphi(y)(t)$ holds for $t \in [a, b]$.

If $\alpha \in \text{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ has no more than a finite number of points of discontinuity, and $m \in \{1, 2\}$, then $D_{\alpha m} = \{t_{\alpha m 1}, \dots, t_{\alpha m n_{\alpha m}}\}$ ($t_{\alpha m 1} < \dots < t_{\alpha m n_{\alpha m}}$) is the set of all points from $[a, b]$ for which $d_m \alpha(t) \neq 0$.

$$\mu_{\alpha m} = \max\{d_m \alpha(t) : t \in D_{\alpha m}\} \quad (m = 1, 2).$$

If $\beta \in \text{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, then

$$\nu_{\alpha m \beta j} = \max \left\{ d_j \beta(t_{\alpha m l}) + \sum_{t_{\alpha m l+1-m} < \tau < t_{\alpha m l+2-m}} d_j \beta(\tau) : l = 1, \dots, n_{\alpha m} \right\}$$

($j, m = 1, 2$); here $t_{\alpha 20} = a - 1$, $t_{\alpha 1 n_{\alpha 1} + 1} = b + 1$.

By $\nu(t)$ ($a < t \leq b$) we denote a number of points τ_k ($k = 1, \dots, m_0$) belonging to $[a, t[$.

A matrix-function is said to be continuous, nondecreasing, integrable, etc., if each of its components is such.

$L([a, b], D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, is the set of all measurable and integrable matrix-functions $X : [a, b] \rightarrow D$.

If $D_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, then $K([a, b] \times D_1, D_2)$ is the Carathéodory class, i.e., the set of all mappings $F = (f_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{n,m} : [a, b] \times D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

- a) the function $f_{kj}(\cdot, x) : [a, b] \rightarrow D_2$ is measurable for every $x \in D_1$;
- b) the function $f_{kj}(t, \cdot) : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is continuous for almost every $t \in [a, b]$, and

$$\sup \{ |f_{kj}(\cdot, x)| : x \in D_0 \} \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}; g_{ik})$$

for every compact $D_0 \subset D_1$.

By a solution of the impulsive system (1), (2) we understand a continuous from the left vector-function $x \in \tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying both the system (1) for a.e. $t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}$ and the relation (2) for every $k \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$.

Quite a number of issues on the theory of systems of differential equations with impulsive effect (both linear and nonlinear) have been studied sufficiently well (for a survey of the results on impulsive systems see e.g. [1, 2, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14], and references therein). But the above-mentioned works do not contain the results analogous to those obtained in [5, 6, 8, 9] for ordinary differential equations.

Using the theory of the so-called generalized ordinary differential equations (see e.g. [1, 2, 12] and references therein), we extend these results to the systems of impulsive equations.

To establish the results dealing with the boundary value problems for the impulsive system (1), (2) we use the following concept.

It is easy to show that the vector-function x is a solution of the impulsive system (1), (2) if and only if it is a solution of the following system of generalized ordinary differential equations (see e.g. [8, 9, 13] and references therein):

$$dx(t) = dA(t) \cdot f(t, x(t)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &\equiv \text{diag}(a_{11}(t), \dots, a_{nn}(t)), \\ a_{ii}(t) &= \begin{cases} t & \text{for } a \leq t \leq \tau_1, \\ t + k & \text{for } \tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1} \end{cases} \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n); \\ f(\tau_k, x) &\equiv I_k(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that the matrix-function A is continuous from the left, $d_2A(t) = 0$ if $t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}$ and $d_2A(\tau_k) = 1$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0$).

Definition. Let $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [a, b]$ and $a < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_{m_0} < b$. We say that the triplet $(P, \{H_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \varphi_0)$ consisting of a matrix-function $P = (p_{il})_{i,l=1}^n \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, a finite sequence of constant matrices $H_k = (h_{kil})_{i,l=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0$) and a positive homogeneous nondecreasing continuous operator $\varphi_0 = (\varphi_{0i})_{i=1}^n : \text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ belongs to the set $U(t_1, \dots, t_n; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0})$ if $p_{il}(t) \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$), and the system

$$\begin{aligned} x_i'(t) \text{sgn}(t - t_i) &\leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}(t) x_l(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ (x_i(\tau_k +) - x_i(\tau_k -)) \text{sgn}(\tau_k - t_i) &\leq \sum_{l=1}^n h_{kil} x_l(\tau_k) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0) \end{aligned}$$

has no nontrivial nonnegative solution satisfying the condition

$$x_i(t_i) \leq \varphi_{0i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The set analogous to $U(t_1, \dots, t_n; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0})$ has been introduced by I. Kiguradze for ordinary differential equations (see [5, 6]).

Theorem 1. *The problem (1), (2); (3) is solvable if and only if there exist continuous from the left vector-functions $\alpha_m = (\alpha_{mi})_{i=1}^n \in \tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($m = 1, 2$) such that the conditions*

$$\alpha_1(t) \leq \alpha_2(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^j \left(f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha_{ji}(t), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - \alpha'_{ji}(t) \right) \operatorname{sgn}(t - t_i) \leq 0 \\ & \text{for almost every } t \in [a, b], \quad t \neq t_i, \quad t \notin \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}\}, \\ & \alpha_1(t) \leq (x_l)_{l=1}^n \leq \alpha_2(t) \quad (j = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, \dots, n), \\ & (-1)^m \left(x_i + I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \alpha_{mi}(\tau_k+) \right) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } \tau_k \geq t_i, \\ & \alpha_1(\tau_k) \leq (x_l)_{l=1}^n \leq \alpha_2(\tau_k) \quad (m = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad k = 1, \dots, m_0) \end{aligned}$$

hold, and the inequalities

$$\alpha_{1i}(t_i) \leq \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \alpha_{2i}(t_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

are fulfilled on the set $\{(x_l)_{l=1}^n \in \tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \operatorname{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n), \alpha_1(t) \leq (x_l)_{l=1}^n \leq \alpha_2(t) \text{ for } t \in [a, b]\}$.

Theorem 2. *Let the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sgn}[(t - t_i)x_i] &\leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}(t)|x_l| + q_i(t) \\ \text{for almost every } t \in [a, b], \quad t \neq t_i, \quad t \notin \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}\} &(i = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \theta(\tau_k - t_i) \operatorname{sgn} x_i &\leq \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^n h_{kil}|x_l| + q_i(\tau_k) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , and the inequalities

$$|\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq \varphi_{0i}(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|) + \gamma \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \operatorname{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let, moreover,

$$\left((p_{il})_{i,l=1}^n, \{ (h_{kil})_{i,l=1}^n \}_{k=1}^{m_0}; (\varphi_{0i})_{i=1}^n \right) \in U(t_1, \dots, t_n; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}), \quad (7)$$

where $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the problem (1), (2); (3) is solvable.

Corollary 1. *Let the conditions (5) and (6) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , where $p_{ii} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, $p_{il} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i \neq l; \quad i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = \alpha_{ki} p_{il}(\tau_k)$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $1 \leq \mu \leq +\infty$, $\alpha_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; \quad k = 1, \dots, m_0$). Let, moreover, the inequalities*

$$|\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq \sum_{k=l}^n \left(\gamma_{1il} \|x_l\|_{L^\nu} + \gamma_{2il} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m_0} |x_l(\tau_k)|^\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right) + \gamma$$

be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \operatorname{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and let

$$r(\mathcal{H}_0) < 1, \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma_{1ik}, \gamma_{2ik} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i, k = 1, \dots, n$), $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{2}{\nu} = 1$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and the $2n \times 2n$ -matrix $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\mathcal{H}_{0jm})_{j,m=1}^2$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{011} &= \left((b-a)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \gamma_{1ik} + \left[\frac{2}{\pi} (b-a) \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \|p_{ik}\|_{L^\mu} \right)_{i,k=1}^n, \\ \mathcal{H}_{012} &= \left((b-a)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \gamma_{2ik} + \left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} |p_{ik}(\tau_l)|^\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \right)_{i,k=1}^n, \\ \mathcal{H}_{021} &= \left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \gamma_{1ik} + \left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \|p_{ik}\|_{L^\mu} \right)_{i,k=1}^n, \\ \mathcal{H}_{022} &= \left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \gamma_{2ik} + \left(\frac{1}{4} \mu_i \mu_k \sin^{-2} \frac{\pi}{4n_k+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} |p_{ik}(\tau_l)|^\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \right)_{i,k=1}^n;\end{aligned}$$

here $\mu_i = \max\{\alpha_{li} : l = 1, \dots, m_0\}$, and $n_k = n_{\alpha_k 2}$ is the quantity of nonzero numbers from the sequence $\alpha_{1k}, \dots, \alpha_{m_0k}$. Then the problem (1), (2); (3) is solvable.

Remark 1. The $2n \times 2n$ -matrix \mathcal{H} appearing in Corollary 1 can be replaced by the $n \times n$ -matrix

$$\begin{aligned}& \left(\max \left\{ \left[(b-a)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right] \gamma_{1ik} + \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left(\left[\frac{2}{\pi} (b-a) \right]^{\frac{2}{\nu}} + \left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right) \|p_{ik}\|_{L^\mu}, \left[(b-a)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right] \gamma_{2ik} + \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left(\left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} + \left(\frac{1}{4} \mu_i \mu_k \sin^{-2} \frac{\pi}{4n_k+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} |p_{ik}(\tau_l)|^\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \right\} \right)_{i,k=1}^n.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. In the Corollary 1, as a matrix-function $C = (c_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ we take

$$c_{il}(t) \equiv p_{ilt} + \alpha_{il}(t) \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n).$$

Corollary 2. Let the conditions (5) and (6) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , where $p_{ii} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, $p_{il} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i \neq l$; $i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = \alpha_{ki} p_{il}(\tau_k)$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0$; $i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $1 \leq \mu \leq +\infty$, $\alpha_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $k = 1, \dots, m_0$). Let, moreover, the inequality (8) hold, where the $2n \times 2n$ -matrix $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\mathcal{H}_{0jm})_{j,m=1}^2$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{011} &= \left(\left[\frac{2}{\pi} (b-a) \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \|h_{ik}\|_{L^\mu} \right)_{i,k=1}^n, \\ \mathcal{H}_{012} &= \left(\left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} |h_{ik}(\tau_l)|^\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \right)_{i,k=1}^n, \\ \mathcal{H}_{021} &= \left(\left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \|h_{ik}\|_{L^\mu} \right)_{i,k=1}^n,\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{022} = \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} \mu_i \mu_k \sin^{-2} \frac{\pi}{4n_k + 2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} |h_{ik}(\tau_l)|^\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \right)_{i,k=1}^n;$$

here $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{2}{\nu} = 1$, $\mu_i = \max\{\alpha_{li} : l = 1, \dots, m_0\}$, and $n_k = n_{\alpha_k 2}$ is the quantity of nonzero numbers from the sequence $\alpha_{1k}, \dots, \alpha_{m_0 k}$. Then the problem (1), (2); (4) is solvable.

Remark 3. The $2n \times 2n$ -matrix \mathcal{H}_0 appearing in Corollary 2 can be replaced by the $n \times n$ -matrix

$$\left(\max \left\{ \left[\frac{2}{\pi} (b-a) \right]^{\frac{2}{\nu}} + \left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right\} \|p_{ik}\|_{L^\mu}, \right. \\ \left. \left(\left[(b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{li} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} + \left(\frac{1}{4} \mu_i \mu_k \sin^{-2} \frac{\pi}{4n_k + 2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_0} |p_{lk}(\tau_l)|^\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \right)_{i,k=1}^n.$$

By Remark 3, the Corollary 2 has the following form for $p_{il}(t) \equiv p_{il} = \text{const}$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n$) and $\mu = +\infty$.

Corollary 3. Let the conditions (6) and

$$f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sgn}[(t - t_i)x_i] \leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}|x_l| + q_i(t) \\ \text{for almost every } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (9)$$

be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , where $p_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_{il} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = \alpha_k p_{il}$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $\alpha_k \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$), $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let, moreover, the inequality (8) hold, where $H_0 = \rho_0 H$, $H = (h_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$, and

$$\rho_0 = \left((b-a) \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} \alpha_l \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} (b-a), \frac{1}{2} \mu_\alpha \sin^{-1} \frac{\pi}{4n_\alpha + 2} \right\},$$

$\mu_\alpha = \max\{\alpha_l : l = 1, \dots, m_0\}$, n_α is the quantity of nonzero numbers from the sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m_0}$. Then the problem (1), (2); (4) is solvable.

Corollary 4. Let the conditions (5) and (6) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , the inequalities

$$|\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq \mu_i |x_i(s_i)| + \gamma \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (10)$$

be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let

$$\mu_i \gamma_i(s_i, t_i) < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad (11)$$

where $p_{il}(t) \equiv h_{il} \beta_i(t) + \beta_{il}(t)$, $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = h_{il} \beta_{ki} + \beta_{kil}$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$), $h_{ii} < 0$, $h_{il} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$); $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $s_i \in [a, b]$, $s_i \neq t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$); $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\beta_{ii} \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$); $\beta_{il}, \beta_i \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$) are such that $\beta_{il}(t) \geq 0$ ($i \neq l$) and $\beta_i(t) \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in [a, t_i \cup]t_i, b]$;

$\beta_{kii} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$); $\beta_{kil}, \beta_{ki} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$) are such that $\beta_{kil} \geq 0$ and $\beta_{ki} \geq 0$ if $\tau_k \neq t_i$, $\beta_{ki} \leq 0$ if $\tau_k = t_i$, and $0 \leq \beta_{ki} < |\eta_i|^{-1}$ if $\tau_k > t_i$, $\eta_i < 0$; $\gamma_i(t, t) = 1$, $\gamma_i(t, s) = \gamma_i^{-1}(s, t)$ for $t < s$ and

$$\gamma_i(t, s) = \exp\left(\eta_i \int_s^t \beta_i(\tau) d\tau\right) \prod_{s \leq \tau_k < t} (1 + \eta_i \beta_{ki}) \quad \text{for } t > s \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let, moreover, the condition

$$g_{ii} < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (12)$$

hold and the real part of every characteristic value of the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ be negative, where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{il} &= h_{il}(\delta_{il} + (1 - \delta_{il})h_i) - h_{ii}g_{il} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\ g_{il} &= \mu_i(1 - \mu_i\gamma_i(s_i, t_i))^{-1}\gamma_{il}(\tau_i) + \max\{\gamma_{il}(a), \gamma_{il}(b)\} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\ \gamma_{il}(t) &= |\alpha_{il}(t) - \alpha_{il}(t_i)| \quad \text{for } t \leq t_i, \\ \gamma_{il}(t) &= |\alpha_{il}(t) - \alpha_{il}(t_i)| \quad \text{for } t > t_i \quad \text{if } t_i \notin \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}\}, \\ \gamma_{il}(t) &= |\alpha_{il}(t) - \alpha_{il}(t_i)| - (1 - \delta_{il})\beta_{ki} \\ &\quad \text{for } t > t_i \quad \text{if } t_i \in \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}\} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\ h_i &= 1 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \mu_i \leq 1, \\ h_i &= 1 + (\mu_i - 1)(1 - \mu_i\gamma_i(s_i, t_i))^{-1} \quad \text{if } \mu_i > 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ \alpha_{il}(t) &\equiv \int_a^t \beta_{il}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{a \leq \tau_k < t} \beta_{kil} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the problem (1), (2); (4) is solvable.

Corollary 4 has the following form if we assume therein that $\beta_i(1 \equiv 1, \beta_{il}(t) \equiv 0, \beta_{ki} = 0, h_{kil} = \beta_{kil} (i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0, \text{ and } p_{il}(t) \equiv p_{il} = \text{const}$, where $p_{il} = h_{il} (i, l = 1, \dots, n)$.

Corollary 5. Let the conditions (6) and (9) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , the inequalities (10) be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let the condition (11) hold, where $p_{ii} < 0, p_{il} \geq 0 (i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n); h_{kii} \in \mathbb{R}_+, h_{kil} \in \mathbb{R} (k = 1, \dots, m_0; i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n), q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+) (i = 1, \dots, n), \mu_i$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+, s_i \in [a, b]$ and $s_i \neq t_i (i = 1, \dots, n), \gamma_i(s_i, t_i) = \exp(\eta_i|s_i - t_i|) (i = 1, \dots, n), \eta_i < 0 (i = 1, \dots, n), \text{ and } q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+) (i = 1, \dots, n)$. Let, moreover, the condition (12) hold and the real part of every characteristic value of the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ be negative, where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{il} &= p_{il}(\delta_{il} + (1 - \delta_{il})h_i) - p_{ii}g_{il} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\ g_{il} &= \mu_i(1 - \mu_i\gamma_i(s_i, t_i))^{-1}\gamma_{il}(s_i) + \max\{\gamma_{il}(a), \gamma_{il}(b)\} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{il}(t) &\equiv |\alpha_{il}(t) - \alpha_{il}(t_i)| \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\
h_i &= 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mu_i \leq 1, \\
h_i &= 1 + (\mu_i - 1)(1 - \mu_i \gamma_i(s_i, t_i))^{-1} \text{ for } \mu_i > 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\
\alpha_{il}(a) &= 0, \quad \alpha_{il}(t) = \sum_{a < \tau_k < t} h_{kil} \text{ for } t \in [a, b] \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the problem (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 4 has the following form if we assume therein that $\beta_i(1 \equiv 1, \beta_{il}(t) \equiv 0, \beta_{ki} = 1, \beta_{kil} = 0$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$, and $p_{il}(t) \equiv p_{il} = \text{const}$, where $p_{il} = h_{il}$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n$).

Corollary 6. Let $\tau_k \neq t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$), the conditions (9) and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sgn} [(\tau_k - t_i)x_i] &\leq \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}|x_l| + q_i(\tau_k) \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; i = 1, \dots, n)
\end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , the inequalities (10) be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and let the condition (11) hold, where $p_{ii} < 0, p_{il} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$); μ_i and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $s_i \in [a, b]$ and $s_i \neq t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\gamma_i(s_i, t_i) = (1 + \eta_i)^{\nu(s_i) - \nu(t_i)} \exp(\eta_i |s_i - t_i|)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and $-1 < \eta_i < 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let, moreover, the condition (12) hold and the real part of every characteristic value of the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ be negative, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{il} &= p_{il}(\delta_{il} + (1 - \delta_{il})h_i) - p_{ii}g_{il} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\
g_{il} &= \mu_i(1 - \mu_i \gamma_i(s_i, t_i))^{-1} \gamma_{il}(s_i) + \max\{\gamma_{il}(a), \gamma_{il}(b)\} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\
\gamma_{il}(t) &= 0 \text{ for } t \leq t_i, \quad \gamma_{il}(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > t_i \text{ if } t_i \notin \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}\}, \\
\gamma_{il}(t) &= \delta_{il} - 1 \text{ for } t > t_i \text{ if } t_i \in \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_0}\} \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n), \\
h_i &= 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \mu_i \leq 1, \\
h_i &= 1 + (\mu_i - 1)(1 - \mu_i \gamma_i(s_i, t_i))^{-1} \text{ for } \mu_i > 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the problem (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Theorem 3. Let the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&[f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) - f_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)] \operatorname{sgn}[(t - t_i)(x_i - y_i)] \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il}(t)|x_l - y_l| \text{ for almost every } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - I_{ki}(y_1, \dots, y_n)]\theta(\tau_k - t_i) \operatorname{sgn}(x_i - y_i) \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{l=1}^n h_{kil} |x_l - y_l| \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \varphi_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)| \leq \\ & \leq \varphi_{0i}(|x_1 - y_1|, \dots, |x_n - y_n|) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \operatorname{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$, where p_{il}, h_{kil} and φ_{0i} ($i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$) are such that the condition (7) holds. Then the problem (1), (2), (3) has one and only one solution.

Corollary 7. Let the conditions (13) and (14) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , where $p_{ii} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, $p_{il} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $q_i \in L([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = \alpha_{ki} p_{il}(\tau_k)$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $1 \leq \mu \leq +\infty$, $\alpha_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$). Let, moreover, the conditions (8) and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \varphi_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{k=l}^n \left(\gamma_{1il} \|x_l - y_l\|_{L^\nu} + \gamma_{2il} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m_0} |x_l(\tau_k - y_l)^\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled on the set $\tilde{C}_{loc}([a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0}, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \operatorname{BV}_s([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where $\gamma_{1ik}, \gamma_{2ik} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i, k = 1, \dots, n$), $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{2}{\nu} = 1$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\mathcal{H}_{0jm})_{j,m=1}^2$ is the $2n \times 2n$ -matrix defined in Corollary 1. Then the problem (1), (2), (3) has one and only one solution.

Corollary 8. Let the conditions (13) and (14) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , where $p_{ii} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, $p_{il} \in L^\mu([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = \alpha_{ki} p_{il}(\tau_k)$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $1 \leq \mu \leq +\infty$, $\alpha_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$). Let, moreover, the inequality (8) hold, where $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\mathcal{H}_{0jm})_{j,m=1}^2$ is the $2n \times 2n$ -matrix is defined in Corollary 2. Then the problem (1), (2), (3) has one and only one solution.

Corollary 9. Let the conditions (14) and

$$\begin{aligned} & [f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) - f_i(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)] \operatorname{sgn}[(t - t_i)(x_i - y_i)] \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il} |x_l - y_l| \quad \text{for almost every } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n , where $p_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_{il} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $h_{kil} = \alpha_k p_{il}$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0; i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $\alpha_k \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m_0$). Let, moreover, the inequality (8) hold, where the matrix H_0 is defined as in Corollary 3. Then the problem (1), (2), (4) has one and only one solution.

Corollary 10. Let the conditions (14) and (15) be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n and let the condition (11) hold, where $p_{ii} < 0$, $p_{il} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l$; $i, l = 1, \dots, n$); $h_{kii} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $h_{kil} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($k = 1, \dots, m_0$; $i \neq l$; $i, l = 1, \dots, n$), $s_i \in [a, b]$ and $s_i \neq t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\gamma_i(s_i, t_i) = \exp(\eta_i |s_i - t_i|)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\eta_i < 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let, moreover, the condition (12) hold and the real part of every characteristic value of the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ be negative, where the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ is defined as in Corollary 5. Then the system (1), (2) has one and only one solution under the condition

$$x_i(t_i) = \lambda_i x_i(s_i) + \beta_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

for every $\gamma_i \in [-\mu_i, \mu_i]$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Corollary 11. Let $\tau_k \neq t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $k = 1, \dots, m_0$), the conditions (15) and

$$\begin{aligned} & [I_{ki}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - I_{ki}(y_1, \dots, y_n)] \operatorname{sgn}[(\tau_k - t_i)(x_i - y_i)] \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{l=1}^n p_{il} |x_l - y_l| \quad (k = 1, \dots, m_0; \quad i = 1, \dots, n) \end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled on \mathbb{R}^n and let the condition (11) hold, where $p_{ii} < 0$, $p_{il} \geq 0$ ($i \neq l$; $i, l = 1, \dots, n$); $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $s_i \in [a, b]$ and $s_i \neq t_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\gamma_i(s_i, t_i) = (1 + \eta_i)^{\nu(s_i) - \nu(t_i)} \exp(\eta_i |s_i - t_i|)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and $-1 < \eta_i < 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let, moreover, the condition (12) hold and the real part of every characteristic value of the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ be negative, where the matrix $(\xi_{il})_{i,l=1}^n$ is defined as in Corollary 6. Then the statement of Corollary 10 is true.

Remarks 1–3 given above are true for the uniqueness case, as well.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the Georgian National Science Foundation (Grant No. FR/182/5-101/11).

REFERENCES

1. M. ASHORDIA, On the general and multipoint boundary value problems for linear systems of generalized ordinary differential equations, linear impulse and linear difference systems. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **36** (2005), 1–80.
2. M. ASHORDIA, On the solvability of a multipoint boundary value problem for systems of nonlinear generalized ordinary differential equations. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **43** (2008), 143–152.
3. M. ASHORDIA AND G. EKHVAIA, On the solvability of a multipoint boundary value problem for systems of nonlinear impulsive equations with finite and fixed points of impulses actions. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **43** (2008), 153–158.
4. M. ASHORDIA, G. EKHVAIA, AND N. KEKELIA, On the Conti–Opial type existence and uniqueness theorems for general nonlinear boundary value problems for systems of impulsive equations with finite and fixed points of impulses actions. *Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys.* **58** (2013), 125–134.

5. I. T. KIGURADZE, Boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) Translated in J. Soviet Math. 43 (1988), No. 2, 2259–2339. Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, *Current problems in mathematics. Newest results, Vol. 30 (Russian)*, 3–103, 204, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1987.
6. I. T. KIGURADZE AND B. B. PUŽA, Certain boundary value problems for a system of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Differencial'nye Uravnenija* **12** (1976), No. 12, 2139–2148, 2298.
7. V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, D. D. BAĪNOV, AND P. S. SIMEONOV, Theory of impulsive differential equations. Series in Modern Applied Mathematics, 6. *World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ*, 1989.
8. A. LASOTA AND C. OLECH, An optimal solution of Nicoletti's boundary value problem. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **18** (1966), 131–139.
9. A. I. PEROV AND A. V. KIBENKO, On a certain general method for investigation of boundary value problems. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **30** (1966), 249–264.
10. A. SAMOILENKO, S. BORYSENKO, C. CATTANI, AND V. YASINSKY, Differential models. Construction, representations & applications. Edited and with a preface by Samoilenko. "*Naukova Dumka*", Kiev, 2001.
11. A. M. SAMOILENKO AND N. A. PERESTYUK, Impulsive differential equations. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A: Monographs and Treatises, 14. *World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ*, 1995.
12. Š. SCHWABIK, M. TVRDÝ, AND O. VEJVODA, Differential and integral equations. Boundary value problems and adjoints. *D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht-Boston, Mass.-London*, 1979.
13. S. T. ZAVALISHCHIN AND A. N. SESEKIN, Impulse processes: models and applications. (Russian) "*Nauka*", Moscow, 1991. S. T. ZAVALISHCHIN AND A. N. SESEKIN, Impulse processes: models and applications. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1991.
14. F. ZHANG, ZH. MA, AND J. YAN, Functional boundary value problem for first order impulsive differential equations at variable times. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **34** (2003), No. 5, 733–742.

(Received 12.01.2014)

Authors' addresses:

Malkhaz Ashordia

1. A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, 6 Tamarashvili St., Tbilisi 0177, Georgia.

2. Sokhumi State University, 12 Politkovskaia St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia.
E-mail: ashord@rmi.ge

Goderdzi Ekhvaia

Sokhumi State University, 12 Politkovskaia St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia.
E-mail: goderdzi.ekhvaia@mail.ru