

Short Communications

TARIEL KIGURADZE

ON SOLVABILITY AND WELL-POSEDNESS OF INITIAL–BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

**Abstract.** The sufficient conditions for unique local solvability, global solvability and of well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher order nonlinear hyperbolic equations are studied.

**რეზიუმე.** მადიდ რიგის არაწრფივი მინიმალური განტოლებებისათვის დადგენილია საწყის-საზღვრო ამოცანების დაკადური ამონხნადაზის, უკონადაური ამონხნადაზისა და კონტრუქციონის საკმარისი პირობები.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35L35, 35B10.

**Key words and phrases:** Initial–boundary, well–posed, higher order, nonlinear hyperbolic equation.

Let  $b > 0$ ,  $I$  be a compact interval containing zero,  $\Omega = I \times [0, b]$ ,  $m$  and  $n$  be natural numbers and  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. In the rectangle  $\Omega$  consider the nonlinear hyperbolic equation

$$u^{(m,n)} = f(x, y, u^{(m,0)}, \dots, u^{(m,n-1)}, u^{(0,n)}, \dots, u^{(m-1,n)}, \mathcal{D}^{m-1,n-1}[u] \quad (1)$$

with the initial–boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(j,0)}(0, y) &= \varphi_j(y) \quad (j = 0, \dots, m - 1), \\ h_k(u^{(m,0)}(x, \cdot))(x) &= \psi_k(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here for any  $j$  and  $k$

$$u^{(j,k)}(x, y) = \frac{\partial^{j+k} u(x, y)}{\partial x^j \partial y^k}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{m-1,n-1}[u](x, y) = \left( u^{(j-1,k-1)}(x, y) \right)_{1,1}^{m,n},$$

$\varphi_j \in C^m([0, b])$ ,  $\psi_k \in C(I)$  and  $h_k : C^{n-1}([0, b]) \rightarrow C(I)$  is a linear bounded operator.

---

Reported on the Tbilisi Seminar on Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations on July 16, 2007.

The linear case of problem (1),(2), i.e., the linear hyperbolic equation

$$u^{(m,n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{mk}(x, y)u^{(m,k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{jk}(x, y)u^{(j,k)} + q(x, y) \quad (3)$$

with conditions (2) is studied in [3] and [4]. In [3] necessary and sufficient conditions of well-posedness and so-called  $\mu$ -well-posedness of problem (3),(2) are established. In [4] a complete description of problem (3),(2) in the ill-posed case is given.

For the history of the matter see [2–5] and the references quoted therein.

The general initial-boundary value problem (1),(2) has been little investigated. Namely this problem is investigated in the present paper.

Throughout the paper we will use the following notations.

$\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers;  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is the space of real  $m \times n$  matrices

$$Z = (z_{ij})_{1,1}^{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & \dots & z_{1n} \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ z_{m1} & \dots & z_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the norm  $\|Z\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |z_{ij}|$ .

$C(I)$  and  $C(\Omega)$ , respectively, are the Banach spaces of continuous functions  $z : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with the norms

$$\|z\|_{C(I)} = \max\{|z(x)| : x \in I\}, \quad \|u\|_{C(\Omega)} = \max\{|u(x, y)| : (x, y) \in \Omega\}.$$

$C(I; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$  is the Banach space of continuous matrix functions  $Z : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with the norm  $\|z\|_{C(I; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})} = \max\{\|Z(x)\| : x \in I\}$ .

$C^k(I)$  is the Banach space of  $k$ -times continuously differentiable functions  $z : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with the norm

$$\|z\|_{C^k(I)} = \sum_{i=0}^k \|z^{(i)}\|_{C(I)}.$$

$C^{m,n}(\Omega)$  is the Banach space of functions  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , having continuous partial derivatives  $u^{(j,k)}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, m; k = 0, \dots, n$ ), with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C^{m,n}(\Omega)} = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \|u^{(j,k)}\|_{C(\Omega)}.$$

$\tilde{C}^{m,n}(\Omega)$  is the Banach space of functions  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , having continuous partial derivatives  $u^{(j,k)}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, m; k = 0, \dots, n; j + k < m + n$ ), with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{C}^{m,n}(\Omega)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|u^{(m,k)}\|_{C(\Omega)} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|u^{(j,k)}\|_{C(\Omega)}.$$

If  $u \in \tilde{C}^{m,n}(\Omega)$  and  $r_0 > 0$ , then  $\tilde{B}^{m,n}(z; \Omega, r_0) = \{\zeta \in \tilde{C}^{m,n}(\Omega) : \|\zeta - z\|_{\tilde{C}^{m,n}} \leq r_0\}$ .

It will be assumed that  $(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}, Z) \rightarrow f(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}, Z)$  is continuous in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and *continuously differentiable* with respect to  $z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}$ .

Let  $I_0 \subset I$  be an arbitrary (not necessarily compact) set containing zero. By a *solution of problem (1),(2) in the rectangle*  $\Omega_0 = I_0 \times [0, b]$  we understand a classical solution, i.e., a function  $u : \Omega_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  having the continuous partial derivatives  $u^{(i,k)}$  ( $i = 0, \dots, m; k = 0, \dots, n$ ) and satisfying (1) and (2) at every point of  $\Omega_0$ .

**Definition 1.** A solution  $u$  of problem (1),(2) defined on  $\Omega_0 = I_0 \times [0, b]$  is called *continuable to the right (to the left)*, if there exists an interval  $I_1 \supset I_0$  and a solution  $u_1$  of this problem in  $\Omega_1 = I_1 \times [0, b]$  such that  $\sup I_1 > \sup I_0$  ( $\inf I_1 < \inf I_0$ ) and

$$u_1(x, y) = u(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \Omega_0.$$

$u$  is called *non-continuable* if it is non-continuable both to the right and to the left.

**Definition 2.** A solution  $u$  of problem (1),(2) defined on  $I_0 \times [0, b]$  is called *global solution (local solution)* if  $I_0 = I$  ( $I_0 \neq I$  is a compact interval such that  $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \cap I \subset I_0$  for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ). Problem (1),(2) is called *globally solvable (locally solvable)*, if it has a global (local) solution.

Along with (1),(2) consider the perturbed problem

$$v^{(m,n)} = f(x, y, v^{(m,0)}, \dots, v^{(m,n-1)}, v^{(0,n)}, \dots, v^{(m-1,n)}, \mathcal{D}^{m-1,n-1}[v]) + q(x, y), \quad (4)$$

$$v^{(j,0)}(0, y) = \varphi_j(y) + \tilde{\varphi}_j(y) \quad (j = 0, \dots, m-1), \quad (5)$$

$$h_k(v^{(m,0)}(x, \cdot))(x) = \psi_k(x) + \tilde{\psi}_k(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let  $I_0 \subset I$  be a compact interval containing zero,  $u$  be a solution of problem (1),(2) in  $\Omega_0 = I_0 \times [0, b]$ , and let  $r_0$  be a positive constant. Introduce the following

**Definition 3.** Problem (1),(2) is called  $(u; r_0)$  *well-posed* if there exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $r$  such that for any  $\tilde{\varphi}_j \in C^n([0, b])$  ( $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ ),  $\tilde{\psi}_k \in C(I)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), and  $q \in C(\Omega_0)$  satisfying the inequality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\tilde{\varphi}_j\|_{C^n([0,b])} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\tilde{\psi}_k\|_{C(I_0)} + \|q\|_{C(\Omega_0)} \leq \delta, \quad (6)$$

problem (4),(5) in the ball  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{m,n}(u; \Omega_0, r_0)$  has a unique solution  $v$  and the inequality

$$\|u - v\|_{\tilde{C}^{m,n}(J \times [0,b])} \leq r \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\tilde{\varphi}_j\|_{C^n([0,b])} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\tilde{\psi}_k\|_{C(J)} + \|q\|_{C(J \times [0,b])} \right) \quad (7)$$

holds for every compact subinterval  $J \subset I_0$  containing zero.

**Definition 4.** Problem (1),(2) is called *well-posed* if there exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $r$  such that for any  $\tilde{\varphi}_j \in C^n([0, b])$  ( $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ ),  $\tilde{\psi}_k \in C(I_0)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), and  $q \in C(\Omega_0)$  satisfying (6) problem (4),(5) has a unique solution  $v$  in  $\Omega$  and estimate (7) is valid for every compact subset  $J \subset I$  containing zero.

The proposed method of investigation of problem (1),(2) is based on the theory of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations (see, e.g. [1]). For the boundary value problem

$$z^{(n)} = p(y, z, \dots, z^{(n-1)}); \quad l_k(z) = c_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, n), \quad (8)$$

where  $l_k : C^{n-1}([0, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) are linear bounded functionals and  $p : [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function having continuous partial derivatives

$$p_k(y, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{\partial p(y, z_1, \dots, z_n)}{\partial z_k} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

we introduce a definition of a strongly isolated solution, which is a modification of the definition from [1].

**Definition 5.** A solution  $z$  of problem (8) is called *strongly isolated* if the problem

$$\zeta^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j(y, z(y), \dots, z^{(n-1)}(y)) \zeta^{(j-1)}; \quad l_k(\zeta) = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n)$$

has only a trivial solution.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(y) &= (\varphi_{j-1}^{(k-1)}(y))_{1,1}^{m,n}, \\ p_0(y, z_1, \dots, z_n) &= f(0, y, z_1, \dots, z_n, \varphi_0^{(n)}(y), \dots, \varphi_{m-1}^{(n)}(y), \Phi(y)), \\ p[u](x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n) &= f(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n, u^{(0,n)}(x, y), \dots, u^{(m-1,n)}(x, y), \mathcal{D}^{m-1, n-1}[u](x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $z_0$  be a strongly isolated solution of the problem

$$z^{(n)} = p_0(y, z, \dots, z^{(n-1)}), \quad h_k(z)(0) = \psi_k(0) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n). \quad (9)$$

Then problem (1),(2) has a local solution  $u$  satisfying the condition

$$u^{(m,0)}(0, y) = z_0(y) \quad \text{for } y \in [0, b].$$

Furthermore, if  $f(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}, Z)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $Z$ , then problem (1),(2) is  $(u; r_0)$ -well-posed for some sufficiently small  $r_0 > 0$ .

*Remark 1.* In Theorem 1 the requirement of strong isolation of a solution  $z$  to problem (9) is essential and it cannot be replaced by the requirement of uniqueness of a solution. Indeed, consider the problem

$$u^{(1,1)} = (u^{(1,0)})^2 + x^2; \quad u(0, y) = 0, \quad u^{(1,0)}(x, 0) = u^{(1,0)}(x, b), \quad (10)$$

for which problem (9) has the form

$$z' = z^2; \quad z(0) = z(b). \quad (11)$$

It is clear that problem (10) has no solution. On the other hand problem (11) has only a trivial solution which is not strongly isolated.

*Remark 2.* Under the conditions of Theorem 1 problem (1),(2) may have an infinite set of solutions even for smooth  $f$ . Indeed, consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1,1)} &= \sin(u^{(1,0)}) + x f_0(x, y, u^{(1,0)}, u^{(0,1)}, u), \\ u(0, y) &= 0, \quad u^{(1,0)}(x, 0) = u^{(1,0)}(x, b), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $f_0 : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function. For (12) problem (9) has the form

$$z' = \sin z; \quad z(0) = z(b).$$

The latter problem has a countable set of strongly isolated solutions  $z_k = k\pi$  ( $k = 0, \pm 1, \dots$ ). By Theorem 1, for every integer  $k$  there exists positive  $\varepsilon_k$  such that in  $\Omega_k = I_k \times [0, b]$ , where  $I_k = [-\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_k] \cap I$ , problem (12) has a unique solution  $u_k$  satisfying the condition

$$u_k^{(1,0)}(0, y) = k\pi \quad \text{for } y \in [0, b].$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $u$  be a non-continuable solution of problem (1), (2) defined in  $\Omega_0 = I_0 \times [0, b]$ . Furthermore, let for any  $x_0 \in I_0$  the function  $z(y) = u^{(m,0)}(x_0, y)$  be a strongly isolated solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(n)} &= p[u](x_0, y, z, z', \dots, z^{(n-1)}), \\ h_k(z)(x_0) &= \psi_k(x_0) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Then  $I_0$  is an open set in  $I$ . Moreover, if  $a^* = \sup I_0 \notin I_0$ , then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow a^*} \left( \|u^{(m,0)}(x, \cdot)\|_{C^{n-1}([0,b])} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|u^{(j,0)}(x, \cdot)\|_{C^n([0,b])} \right) = +\infty, \quad (14)$$

and if  $a_* = \inf I_0 \notin I_0$ , then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow a_*} \left( \|u^{(m,0)}(x, \cdot)\|_{C^{n-1}([0,b])} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|u^{(j,0)}(x, \cdot)\|_{C^n([0,b])} \right) = +\infty. \quad (15)$$

*Remark 3.* In Theorem 2 the requirement of strong isolation of the solution  $z(y) = u^{(m,0)}(x_0, y)$  of problem (13) for every  $x_0 \in I_0$  is essential and it cannot be weakened. As an example in the rectangle  $[-2, 2] \times [0, b]$  consider the problem

$$u^{(1,1)} = |u|u^{(1,0)} + u, \quad u(0, y) = 1, \quad u^{(1,0)}(x, 0) = u^{(1,0)}(x, b).$$

This problem has a non-continuable solution  $u(x, y) = 1 - x$  defined on the set  $[-2, 1] \times [0, b]$ . Indeed, supposing that  $u$  can be continued to the right, by continuity of  $u$  and  $u^{(1,0)}$  we will have

$$u^{(1,0)}(x, y) < 0, \quad u(x, y) < 0 \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in (1, 1 + \delta] \times [0, b]$$

for some sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Consequently

$$u^{(1,1)}(x, y) = |u(x, y)|u^{(1,0)}(x, y) + u(x, y) < 0 \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in (1, 1 + \delta] \times [0, b].$$

But the latter inequality contradicts to the periodicity of  $u^{(1,0)}$  with respect to the second argument. Consequently (14) does not hold for  $u$ . The reason for this is that problem (13) has the form

$$z' = |1 - x_0|z + 1 - x_0, \quad v(0) = v(b),$$

and  $z(y) = -1$  is a strongly isolated solution of this problem for every  $x_0 < 1$ , but not for  $x_0 = 1$ .

**Definition 6.** We say that the function  $f$  belongs to the set  $S_{h_1, \dots, h_n}$  if there exist functions  $p_{ik} \in C(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2; k = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that:

(i)

$$p_{1i}(x, y) \leq f_{z_i}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}, Z) \leq p_{2i}(x, y) \\ \text{for } (x, y) \in \Omega \quad (i = 1, \dots, n);$$

(ii) for any  $x \in I$  and measurable functions  $p_i : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfying inequalities  $p_{1i}(x, y) \leq p_i(y) \leq p_{2i}(x, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) the problem

$$\zeta^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(y)\zeta^{(j-1)}; \quad h_k(\zeta)(x) = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n)$$

has only a trivial solution.

**Theorem 3.** *Let there exist a positive constant  $l_0$  such that*

$$f \in S_{h_1, \dots, h_n}, \tag{16}$$

$$|f(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}, Z)| \leq l_0 \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} |z_k| + \|Z\| \right). \tag{17}$$

*Then problem (1), (2) is globally solvable. Furthermore, if  $f(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{n+m}, Z)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $Z$ , then problem (1), (2) is well-posed.*

**Remark 4.** In Theorem 3 condition (16) is optimal and it cannot be weakened. Indeed, in the rectangle  $[-\pi, \pi] \times [0, b]$  consider the problem

$$u^{(1,1)} = \arctan(u^{(1,0)}) - \arctan(1 + u^2); \\ u(0, y) = 0, \quad u^{(1,0)}(x, 0) = u^{(1,0)}(x, b), \tag{18}$$

for which condition (17) holds but condition (16) is violated. As a result problem (18) has a unique solution  $u(x, y) \equiv \tan(x)$ , which cannot be continued outside the rectangle  $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \times [0, b]$ .

Below separately consider the case, where the righthand side of equation (1.1) does not contain the derivatives  $u^{(m,k)}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ ), i.e., where equation (1.1) has the form

$$u^{(m,n)} = g(x, y, u^{(m,0)}, u^{(0,n)}, \dots, u^{(m-1,n)}, \mathcal{D}^{m-1, n-1}[u]), \quad (19)$$

where  $(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z) \rightarrow g(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z)$  is continuous in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and *continuously differentiable* with respect to  $z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}$ . We also assume that the function  $g$  is *sublinear*, i.e., for some constant  $l_0 > 0$   $g$  satisfies the inequality

$$|g(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z)| \leq l_0 \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |z_k| + \|Z\| \right)$$

in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

Corollaries 1–3 concern the case, where (2) is either the initial–Dirichlet

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(j,0)}(0, y) &= \varphi_j(y) \quad (j = 0, \dots, m-1), \\ u^{(m,i-1)}(x, y_1(x)) &= \psi_{1i}(x) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n^*), \\ u^{(m,k-1)}(x, y_2(x)) &= \psi_{2k}(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-n^*), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

or the initial–periodic conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(j,0)}(0, y) &= \varphi_j(y) \quad (j = 0, \dots, m-1), \\ u^{(m,k-1)}(x, y_1(x)) &= u^{(m,k-1)}(x, y_2(x)) + \psi_k(x) \quad (k = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where  $n^*$  is the integer part of  $n/2$ ,  $\varphi_j \in C^n([0, b])$ ,  $\psi_k \in C(I)$ ,  $\psi_{1k}, \psi_{2k} \in C(I)$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in C(I)$ ,  $0 \leq y_1(x) < y_2(x) \leq b$  for  $x \in I$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let there exist a nonnegative function  $p_0 \in C(\Omega)$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} -p_0(x, y) &\leq (-1)^{n-n^*} (y - y_1(x))^{n-2n^*} g_{z_1}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_n - \varepsilon}{4} \left( \frac{2\pi}{y_2(x) - y_1(x)} \right)^{2n^*} \end{aligned}$$

*holds in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , where  $\alpha_n = 1$  for  $n = 2n^*$ , and  $\alpha_n = n/2$  for  $n = 2n^* + 1$ . Then problem (19), (20) is globally solvable. Furthermore, if  $f(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $Z$ , then problem (19), (20) is well-posed.*

**Corollary 2.** *Let there exist nonnegative functions  $p_i \in C(\Omega)$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) such that*

$$\int_{y_1(x)}^{y_2(x)} p_1(x, y) dy > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in I,$$

and the condition

$$-p_0(x, y) \leq \sigma g_{z_1}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z) \leq -p_1(x, y),$$

holds in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , where

$$\sigma = (-1)^{n^*} \text{ for } n = 2n^*, \text{ and } \sigma \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ for } n = 2n^* + 1.$$

Then problem (19), (21) is globally solvable. Furthermore, if  $g(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $Z$ , then problem (19), (21) is well-posed.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $n = 2n^*$ , and let there exist a positive number  $\varepsilon$  and a nonnegative function  $p_1 \in C(\Omega)$  satisfying inequality (1.41) such the condition

$$p_1(x, y) \leq (-1)^{n^*} g_{z_1}(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z) \leq \left( \frac{2\pi - \varepsilon}{y_2(x) - y_1(x)} \right)^n,$$

holds in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Then problem (19), (21) is globally solvable. Furthermore, if  $g(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, Z)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $Z$ , then problem (19), (21) is well-posed.

#### REFERENCES

1. I. T. KIGURADZE, Boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Itoji Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat. Noveishie Dostizh.* **30** (1987), 3–103; English transl.: *J. Sov.Math.* **43** (1988), No. 2, 2259–2339.
2. T. KIGURADZE, Some boundary value problems for systems of linear partial differential equations of hyperbolic type. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **1** (1994), 1–144.
3. T. KIGURADZE AND T. KUSANO, On well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher order linear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **39** (2003), No. 4, 516–526.
4. T. KIGURADZE AND T. KUSANO, On ill-posed initial-boundary value problems for higher order linear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **39** (2003), No. 10, 1379–1394.
5. T. KIGURADZE AND T. KUSANO, On bounded and periodic in a strip solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems with two independent variables. *Comput. and Math.* **49** (2005), 335–364.

(Received 12.06.2007)

Author's address:

Florida Institute of Technology  
 Department of Mathematical Sciences  
 150 W. University Blvd.  
 Melbourne, FL 32901  
 USA  
 E-mail: tkigurad@fit.edu