

**Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics**

VOLUME 35, 2005, 55–64

---

**I. Kiguradze and B. Půža**

**ON SOME BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS  
FOR FOURTH ORDER FUNCTIONAL  
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

**Abstract.** Optimal in a sense sufficient conditions are established for the solvability and unique solvability of the boundary value problems of the type

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = g(u)(t),$$

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^2 (\alpha_{ik}u^{(k)}(a) + \beta_{ik}u^{(k)}(b)) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where  $g : C^1([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  is a continuous operator,  $\alpha_{ik}$  and  $\beta_{ik}$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ) are real constants such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (\alpha_{ik}x_k + \beta_{ik}y_k) \right| > 0 \quad \text{for } x_1x_2 < y_1y_2.$$

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 34B15.

**Key words and phrases:** Fourth order nonlinear functional differential equation, two-point boundary value problem, solvability, unique solvability.

**რეზიუმე.** დადგენილია

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = g(u)(t),$$

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^2 (\alpha_{ik}u^{(k)}(a) + \beta_{ik}u^{(k)}(b)) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

სახის სასაზღვრო ამოცანების ამოხსნადობისა და ცალსახად ამოხსნადობის ოპტიმალური საკმარისი პირობები, სადაც  $g : C^1([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  უწყვეტი ოპერატორია, ხოლო  $\alpha_{ik}$  და  $\beta_{ik}$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ) ისეთი ნამდვილი მუდმივებია, რომ

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (\alpha_{ik}x_k + \beta_{ik}y_k) \right| > 0, \quad \text{როცა } x_1x_2 < y_1y_2.$$

Let  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ ,  $C^1$  be the space of continuously differentiable functions  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|u\|_{C^1} = \max\{|u(t)| + |u'(t)| : a \leq t \leq b\}$ ,  $L$  be the space of Lebesgue integrable functions  $v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|v\|_L = \int_a^b |v(t)| dt$ , and let  $g : C^1 \rightarrow L$  be a continuous operator satisfying the condition  $g^*(\rho)(\cdot) \in L$  for  $0 < \rho < +\infty$ , where  $g^*(\rho)(t) = \sup\{|g(u)(t)| : u \in C^1, \|u\|_{C^1} \leq \rho\}$ .

Consider the functional differential equation

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = g(u)(t) \tag{1}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^2 (\alpha_{ik}u^{(k)}(a) + \beta_{ik}u^{(k)}(b)) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2), \tag{2}$$

where the constants  $\alpha_{ik}$  and  $\beta_{ik}$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ) are such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^2 (\alpha_{ik}x_k + \beta_{ik}y_k) \right| > 0 \quad \text{for } x_1x_2 < y_1y_2. \tag{3}$$

The particular cases of (1) are the differential equations

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = f(t, u(\tau_1(t)), u'(\tau_2(t))), \tag{1_1}$$

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)), \tag{1_2}$$

and the particular cases of (2) are the boundary conditions

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0, \quad \alpha_1u'(a) + \alpha_2u''(a) = 0, \quad \beta_1u'(b) + \beta_2u''(b) = 0, \tag{2_1}$$

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0, \quad u'(a) = \alpha u'(b), \quad u''(b) = \alpha u''(a), \tag{2_2}$$

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0, \quad u'(a) = u'(b), \quad u''(a) = u''(b). \tag{2_3}$$

Here  $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying the local Carathéodory conditions,  $\tau_i : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are measurable functions,  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are constants satisfying the inequalities

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 \leq 0, \quad \beta_1\beta_2 \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| > 0, \quad |\beta_1| + |\beta_2| > 0. \tag{3_1}$$

By  $\tilde{C}^3$  we denote the space of functions  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  absolutely continuous along with their first three derivatives, and by  $\tilde{C}_0^3$  we denote the set of all  $u \in \tilde{C}^3$  satisfying the boundary conditions (2). The function  $u \in \tilde{C}_0^3$  is said to be a **solution of the problem (1), (2)** if it almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$  satisfies the equation (1).

**Theorem 1.** *Let there exist  $\ell \in [0, 1[$  and  $\ell_0 \geq 0$ , such that for an arbitrary  $u \in \tilde{C}_0^3$  the inequality*

$$\int_a^b g(u)(t)u(t) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt + \ell_0 \tag{4}$$

*is fulfilled. Then the problem (1), (2) has at least one solution.*

To prove this theorem, we will need the following

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\ell \in [0, 1[$  and  $\ell_0 \geq 0$ , then an arbitrary function  $u \in \tilde{C}_0^3$  satisfying the integral inequality*

$$\int_a^b u^{(iv)}(t)u(t) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt + \ell_0 \quad (5)$$

*admits the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{C^1} \leq r_0, \quad \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt \leq r_0^2, \quad (6)$$

*where*

$$r_0 = (1 + b - a) \left( \frac{\ell_0}{1 - \ell} \right)^{1/2} (b - a)^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* According to the formula of integration by parts, by virtue of the conditions (2) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b u^{(iv)}(t)u(t) dt &= u'''(b)u(b) - u'''(a)u(a) + u'(a)u''(a) - u'(b)u''(b) + \\ &+ \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt = u'(a)u''(a) - u'(b)u''(b) + \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt, \\ u'(a)u''(a) &\geq u'(b)u''(b), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_a^b u^{(iv)}(t)u(t) dt \geq \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt.$$

Therefore from the inequality (5) we find that

$$\int_a^b u''^2(t) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt + \ell_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt \leq \frac{\ell_0}{1 - \ell}.$$

On the other hand, by the condition  $u(a) = u(b) = 0$  there exists  $t_0 \in ]a, b[$  such that  $u'(t_0) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |u'(t)| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t u''(s) ds \right| \leq (b - a)^{1/2} \left( \int_a^b u''^2(s) ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\ell_0}{1 - \ell} \right)^{1/2} (b - a)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \\ |u(t)| &= \left| \int_a^t u'(s) ds \right| \leq \left( \frac{\ell_0}{1 - \ell} \right)^{1/2} (b - a)^{3/2} \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the estimate (6) is valid.  $\square$

By Lemma 1, the differential equation  $u^{(iv)}(t) = 0$  under the boundary conditions (2) has only a trivial solution. Taking this fact into consideration, Corollary 2 of [2] leads to

**Lemma 2.** *Let there exist a positive constant  $r$  such that for every  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$  an arbitrary solution  $u$  of the differential equation*

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = \lambda g(u)(t) \quad (8)$$

satisfying the boundary conditions (2) admits the estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 |u^{(i-1)}(t)| \leq r \text{ for } a \leq t \leq b. \tag{9}$$

Then the problem (1), (2) has at least one solution.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $r_0$  be the number given by the equality (7), and

$$r = r_0 + 4r_0(b-a)^{-3/2} + 6r_0(b-a)^{-1/2} + (1+b-a) \int_a^b g^*(r_0)(s) ds.$$

According to Lemma 2, to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to establish that for every  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$  an arbitrary solution  $u$  of the problem (8), (2) admits the estimate (9).

By virtue of the condition (4), every solution of the problem (8), (2) satisfies the integral inequality (5). This fact by Lemma 1 ensures the validity of the estimates (6). Therefore from (8) we have  $|u^{(iv)}(t)| \leq g^*(r_0)(t)$  for almost all  $t \in [a, b]$ . On the other hand, the existence of the points  $t_1 \in [a, \frac{3a+b}{4}]$ ,  $t_0 \in [\frac{3b+a}{4}, b]$ ,  $t_0 \in ]t_1, t_2[$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u''(t_i)| &\leq 2r_0(b-a)^{-1/2} \quad (i = 1, 2), \\ |u'''(t_0)| &= (t_2 - t_1)^{-1} |u''(t_2) - u''(t_1)| \leq 4r_0(b-a)^{-3/2}, \end{aligned}$$

is obvious. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |u'''(t)| &\leq 4r_0(b-a)^{-3/2} + \int_a^b g^*(r_0)(s) ds \text{ for } a \leq t \leq b, \\ |u''(t)| &\leq 6r_0(b-a)^{-1/2} + (b-a) \int_a^b g^*(r_0)(s) ds \text{ for } a \leq t \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

If along with the above-said we take into account (6), the validity of the estimate (9) becomes clear.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let there exist  $\ell \in [0, 1[$  such that for arbitrary  $u$  and  $v \in \tilde{C}_0^3$  the inequality*

$$\int_a^b (g(u)(t) - g(v)(t))(u(t) - v(t)) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b (u''(t) - v''(t))^2 dt \tag{10}$$

*is fulfilled. Then the problem (1), (2) has one and only one solution.*

*Proof.* For  $v(t) \equiv 0$ , from (10) we obtain the inequality

$$\int_a^b g(u)(t)u(t) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt + \int_a^b g(0)(t)u(t) dt.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of  $u(b) = u(a) = 0$  we have

$$|u(t)| \leq \frac{b-a}{4} \int_a^b |u''(s)| ds \leq \int_a^b \left( \frac{1-\ell}{2\rho} u''^2(s) + \frac{(b-a)^2\rho}{32(1-\ell)} \right) ds,$$

where  $\rho = 1 + \int_a^b |g(0)(t)| dt$ . Therefore

$$\int_a^b g(u)(t)u(t) dt \leq \ell_1 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt + \ell_0,$$

where  $\ell_1 = \frac{1+\ell}{2}$ ,  $\ell_0 = \frac{(b-a)^3 \rho^2}{32(1-\ell)}$ . However, by Theorem 1, the last inequality guarantees the solvability of the problem (1), (2).

It remains for us to prove that the problem (1), (2) has at most one solution. Let  $u$  and  $v$  be arbitrary solutions of that problem, and  $w(t) = u(t) - v(t)$ . Then  $w \in \tilde{C}_0^3$ . On the other hand, by the condition (10) we have

$$\int_a^b w^{(iv)}(t)w(t) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b w''^2(t) dt$$

whence by Lemma 1 it follows that  $w(t) \equiv 0$ , and consequently  $u(t) \equiv v(t)$ .  $\square$

Before we proceed to the problem (1), (2), we will cite one lemma which is a simple corollary of Wirtinger's theorem.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a twice continuously differentiable function such that*

$$u(a) = 0, \quad u(b) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\int_a^b u^2(t) dt \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^4 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt, \quad \int_a^b u'^2(t) dt \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt. \quad (12)$$

If, however, along with (11) the condition

$$u'(a) = u'(b) \quad (13)$$

is fulfilled, then

$$\int_a^b u^2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^4 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt, \quad \int_a^b u'^2(t) dt \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt. \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* Applying along with (11) the formula of integration by parts and the Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\int_a^b u'^2(t) dt = \int_a^b u(t)u''(t) dt \leq \left(\int_a^b u^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_a^b u''^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 256 of [1] we have

$$\int_a^b u^2(t) dt \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt. \quad (15)$$

The last two inequalities result in the inequalities (12).

Assume now that along with (11) the condition (13) is fulfilled. Then by Theorem 258 of [1], along with (15) we have

$$\int_a^b u'^2(t) dt \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt.$$

Consequently, the inequalities (14) are valid.  $\square$

We introduce the sets

$$I_0 = \{t \in [a, b] : \tau_1(t) = t\}, \quad I_1 = [a, b] \setminus I_0$$

and the numbers

$$\delta_i = \left( \int_a^b |\tau_i(t) - t| dt \right)^{1/2} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.** *Let there exist nonnegative constants  $\ell_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and a function  $h \in L$  such that*

$$\left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_1 \right) \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} \right)^3 \ell_1 + \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_2 \right) \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} \right)^2 \ell_2 < 1 \quad (16)$$

and the conditions

$$f(t, x, y) \operatorname{sgn} x \leq \ell_1|x| + \ell_2|y| + h(t) \quad \text{for } t \in I_0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (17)$$

$$|f(t, x, y)| \leq \ell_1|x| + \ell_2|y| + h(t) \quad \text{for } t \in I_1, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (18)$$

are fulfilled. Then the problem (1), (2) has at least one solution.

*Proof.* We choose  $\ell_3 > 0$  in such a way that

$$\ell = \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_1 \right) \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} \right)^3 \ell_1 + \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_2 \right) \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} \right)^2 \ell_2 + \ell_3 < 1. \quad (19)$$

If we put

$$g(u)(t) = f(t, u(\tau_1(t)), u'(\tau_2(t))), \quad (20)$$

then the equation (1<sub>1</sub>) takes the form (1). On the other hand, by the conditions (17) and (18), almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$  the inequality

$$g(u)(t)u(t) \leq \ell_1|u(t)u(\tau_1(t))| + \ell_2|u(t)u'(\tau_2(t))| + h(t)|u(t)|$$

is fulfilled. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b g(u)(t)u(t) dt \\ & \leq \ell_1 \int_a^b |u(t)u(\tau_1(t))| dt + \ell_2 \int_a^b |u(t)u'(\tau_2(t))| dt + \int_a^b h(t)|u(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By Lemma 3, the function  $u$  satisfies the inequalities (12) from which we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b |u(t)u(\tau_1(t))| dt \leq \int_a^b u^2(t) dt + \int_a^b |u(t)| \left| \int_t^{\tau_1(t)} u'(s) ds \right| dt \leq \\ & \leq \int_a^b u^2(t) dt + \left( \int_a^b u^2(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_a^b \left( \int_t^{\tau_1(t)} u'(s) ds \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ & \leq \int_a^b u^2(t) dt + \delta_1 \left( \int_a^b u^2(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_a^b u'^2(s) ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_1\right) \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^3 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt, \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b |u(t)u'(\tau_2(t))| dt &\leq \int_a^b |u(t)u'(t)| dt + \int_a^b |u(t)| \left| \int_t^{\tau_2(t)} u'(s) ds \right| dt \leq \\ &\leq \left(\int_a^b u^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2} \left[ \left(\int_a^b u'^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2} + \delta_2 \left(\int_a^b u''^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2} \right] \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_2\right) \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^2 \ell_2 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b h(t)|u(t)| dt &= \int_a^b h(t) \left| \int_a^t u'(s) ds \right| dt \leq (b-a)^{1/2} \|h\|_L \left(\int_a^b u'^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{(b-a)^{3/2}}{\pi} \|h\|_L \left(\int_a^b u''^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2} \leq \ell_3 \int_a^b u''^2(t) dt + \ell_0, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $\ell_0 = \frac{(b-a)^3}{4\pi^2\ell_3} \|h\|_L^2$ .

With regard for the inequalities (19) and (22)–(24), from (21) we obtain inequality (4), where  $\ell < 1$ . Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled, which guarantees the solvability of the problem (1), (2).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let there exist nonnegative, satisfying inequality (16) constants  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  such that the conditions*

$$[f(t, x, y) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})] \operatorname{sgn}(x - \bar{x}) \leq \ell_1 |x - \bar{x}| + \ell_2 |y - \bar{y}| \quad (25)$$

$$\text{for } t \in I_0, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$|f(t, x, y) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq \ell_1 |x - \bar{x}| + \ell_2 |y - \bar{y}| \quad (26)$$

$$\text{for } t \in I_1, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

are fulfilled. Then the problem (1), (2) has one and only one solution.

*Proof.* Let  $\ell = \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_1\right) \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^3 \ell_1 + \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_2\right) \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^2 \ell_2$ . Then by Theorem 2 and the condition (16), in order to prove Theorem 4 it suffices to establish that the operator  $g$  given by the equality (20) for arbitrary  $u$  and  $v \in \tilde{C}_0^3$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_a^b (g(u+w)(t) - g(u)(t))w(t) dt \leq \ell \int_a^b w''^2(t) dt. \quad (27)$$

By virtue of (20), (25) and (26), we have

$$\int_a^b (g(u+w)(t) - g(u)(t))w(t) dt \leq \ell_1 \int_a^b |w(t)w(\tau_1(t))| dt + \ell_2 \int_a^b |w(t)w'(\tau_2(t))| dt.$$

However, when proving Theorem 3 we have established that an arbitrary function  $w \in \tilde{C}_0^3$  satisfies the condition

$$\ell_1 \int_a^b |w(t)w(\tau_1(t))| dt + \ell_2 \int_a^b |w(t)w'(\tau_1(t))| dt \leq \ell \int_a^b w''^2(t) dt.$$



Consequently, the inequality (27) is valid.  $\square$

If  $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_1, \alpha_{12} = \alpha_2, \beta_{11} = \beta_{12} = 0, \alpha_{21} = \alpha_{22} = 0, \beta_{21} = \beta_1, \beta_{22} = \beta_2$ , then by virtue of (3<sub>1</sub>) the condition (3) is fulfilled. The same condition is obviously fulfilled for  $\alpha_{11} = \beta_{11} = 1, \beta_{22} = \alpha_{22} = \alpha, \alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21} = 0, \beta_{12} = \beta_{21} = 0$ . Therefore from Theorems 3 and 4 we have

**Corollary 1.** *Let there exist nonnegative, satisfying inequality (16) constants  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , such that the conditions (17) and (18) (the conditions (25) and (26)) are fulfilled. Then the problem (1<sub>1</sub>), (2<sub>1</sub>), as well as the problem (1<sub>1</sub>), (2<sub>2</sub>) has at least one solution (one and only one solution).*

For  $\tau_i(t) \equiv t$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), from Theorems 3, 4 and Corollary 1 we obtain

**Theorem 5.** *Let there exist nonnegative constants  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , such that*

$$\left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^4 \ell_1 + \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^3 \ell_2 < 1 \tag{28}$$

and the condition (17) (the condition (25)) is fulfilled, where  $I_0 = [a, b]$ . Then each of the problems (1<sub>2</sub>), (2); (1<sub>2</sub>), (2<sub>1</sub>) and (1<sub>2</sub>), (2<sub>2</sub>) has at least one solution (one and only one solution).

As an example, we consider the linear differential equation

$$u^{(iv)}(t) = p_1(t)u(t) + p_2(t)u'(t) + q(t) \tag{29}$$

with Lebesgue integrable coefficients  $p_1, p_2, q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . From Theorem 5 we get

**Corollary 2.** *Let almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$  the inequalities*

$$p_1(t) \leq \ell_1, \quad |p_2(t)| \leq \ell_2, \tag{30}$$

be fulfilled, where  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  are nonnegative constants satisfying the condition (28). Then the problem (29), (2) and, consequently each of the problems (29), (2<sub>1</sub>) and (29), (2<sub>2</sub>) has one and only one solution.

If  $p_1(t) \equiv \ell_1 = \left(\frac{\pi}{b-a}\right)^4, p_2(t) \equiv \ell_2 = 0$  and  $\alpha = -1$ , then it is obvious that (30) is fulfilled, but instead of (28) we have  $\left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^4 \ell_1 + \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^3 \ell_2 \leq 1$ . Nevertheless, the homogeneous equation  $u^{(iv)}(t) = p_1(t)u(t) + p_2(t)u'(t)$  has the nontrivial solution  $u(t) = \sin \frac{\pi(t-a)}{b-a}$  satisfying the boundary conditions (2<sub>2</sub>). Therefore there exists  $q \in L$  such that the problem (29), (2<sub>2</sub>) has no solution.

The above-constructed example shows that in Theorems 1 and 2 the condition  $\ell < 1$  is optimal, and it cannot be replaced by the condition  $\ell \leq 1$ .

Analogously, in Theorems 3 and 4 and in Corollary 1 (in Theorem 5 and Corollary 2) the strict inequality (16) (the strict inequality (28)) cannot be replaced by the nonstrict inequality.

**Theorem 6.** *Let there exist nonnegative constants  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  such that*

$$\left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} + \delta_1\right) \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^3 \ell_1 + \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} + 2\delta_2\right) \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^2 \ell_2 < 4$$

and the conditions (17) and (18) (the conditions (25) and (26)) are fulfilled. Then the problem (1<sub>1</sub>), (2<sub>3</sub>) has at least one solution (one and only one solution).

This theorem can be proved just in the same way as Theorems 3 and 4. The only difference in the proof is that instead of the inequalities (12) we use the inequalities (14).

For  $\tau_i(t) \equiv t$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), from Theorem 6 we have

**Theorem 7.** *Let there exist nonnegative constants  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , such that*

$$\left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^4 \ell_1 + \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^3 \ell_2 < 4 \quad (31)$$

and the condition (17) (the condition (25)) is fulfilled, where  $I_0 = [a, b]$ . Then the problem (1<sub>2</sub>), (2<sub>3</sub>) has at least one solution (one and only one solution).

**Corollary 3.** *Let almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$  the inequalities (30) be fulfilled, where  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  are nonnegative constants satisfying the condition (31). Then the problem (29), (2<sub>3</sub>) has one and only one solution.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was supported by INTAS (Grant No. 03-51-5007).

#### REFERENCES

1. G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1934.
2. I. KIGURADZE AND B. PŮŽA, On boundary value problems for functional differential equations. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **12**(1997), 106–113.

(Received 21.02.2005)

Authors' addresses:

I. Kiguradze  
A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute  
Georgian Academy of Sciences  
1, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193, Georgia  
E-mail: kig@rmi.acnet.ge

B. Půža  
Masaryk University, Faculty of Science  
Department of Mathematical Analysis  
Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 662 95 Brno, Czech Republic

Mathematical Institute  
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic  
branch in Brno, Žitkova 22, 616 62 Brno, Czech Republic  
E-mail: puza@math.muni.cz