

INERTIAL LAW OF SYMPLECTIC FORMS ON MODULES
OVER PLURAL ALGEBRA

MAREK JUKL, Olomouc*

(Received January 31, 1996)

Abstract. In this paper the problem of construction of the canonical matrix belonging to symplectic forms on a module over the so called plural algebra (introduced in [5]) is solved.

Keywords: linear algebra, free module, symplectic form, symplectic basis

MSC 1991: 15A63, 51A50

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Definition. The *plural \mathbf{T} -algebra of order m* is every linear algebra \mathbf{A} on \mathbf{T} having as a vector space over \mathbf{T} a basis

$$\{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^{m-1}\} \text{ with } \eta^m = 0.$$

A plural algebra \mathbf{A} is a local ring the maximal ideal of which is nilpotent. It was proved in [3] that the free finite generated \mathbf{A} -module \mathbf{M} (the so called \mathbf{A} -space in the sense of [6]) has the following properties:

2.1. If one basis of \mathbf{M} consists of n elements then each of its bases consists of the same number of n elements. (This is true in every free module over a commutative ring.)¹

2.2. From every system of generators of \mathbf{M} we may select a basis of \mathbf{M} . (This is valid over every local ring.)²

* Supported by grant No. 201/95/1631 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

¹ See [1]

² See [6]

Moreover, in this case:

2.3. Any linearly independent system may be completed to a basis of \mathbf{M} .

2.4. Every maximal linearly independent system in \mathbf{M} forms a basis of \mathbf{M} .

3. Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ be a linearly independent system of linear forms $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. Then $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Ker } \varphi_i$ is a free $(n - k)$ -dimensional submodule of \mathbf{M} .

4. Let K, L be free submodules of an \mathbf{A} -module \mathbf{M} . Then $K + L$ is a free \mathbf{A} -submodule if and only if $K \cap L$ is a free \mathbf{A} -submodule and the dimensions of \mathbf{A} -submodules $K, L, K \cap L, K + L$ fulfil the relation

$$\dim(K + L) + \dim(K \cap L) = \dim K + \dim L.$$

5. Agreement. Throughout the paper we denote by \mathbf{A} the plural \mathbf{T} -algebra introduced in this section. The capital \mathbf{M} always denotes the free n -dimensional module over the algebra \mathbf{A} .

6. Definition. A bilinear form $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is called a *bilinear form of order* k ($0 \leq k \leq m - 1$) if

- (1) $\forall (\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) \in \mathbf{M}^2; \quad \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) \in \eta^k \mathbf{A},$
- (2) $\exists (\underline{U}, \underline{V}) \in \mathbf{M}^2; \quad \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) \notin \eta^{k+1} \mathbf{A}.$

The following proposition is taken from [4].

7. Proposition. *If Φ is a bilinear form of order k then there exists at least one form Λ of order 0 such that*

$$\Phi = \eta^k \Lambda.$$

II. INERTIAL LAW OF SYMPLECTIC FORMS ON MODULES OVER PLURAL ALGEBRA

Let the dimension n of \mathbf{M} be an even number.

1. Definition. Let $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ be a symplectic form³. If all elements of the basis $\mathcal{U} = \{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_r, \underline{V}_r\}$ of \mathbf{M} fulfil the conditions

- (1) $\Phi(\underline{U}_i, \underline{U}_j) = \Phi(\underline{V}_i, \underline{V}_j) = 0,$
- (2) $\Phi(\underline{U}_i, \underline{V}_i) = \{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^m\},$
- (3) $\Phi(\underline{U}_i, \underline{V}_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j,$

³ A form Φ satisfies $\Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{X}) = 0$ for all $\underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}$.

then \mathcal{U} is called *the symplectic basis of \mathbf{M} with respect to Φ* .⁴

2. Remark. Relative to this basis the matrix of the symplectic form has the form

$$\left\| \begin{array}{cccc|cc|cc} \hline 0 & \varphi_{12} & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\varphi_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_{34} & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\varphi_{34} & 0 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 & \varphi_{n-1,n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & -\varphi_{n-1,n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\|$$

where $\varphi_{ij} \in \{1, \eta, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^m\}$.

3. Theorem. *Let Φ be a symplectic form on the module \mathbf{M} . Then there exists a symplectic basis of \mathbf{M} with respect to Φ .*

Proof. By induction for $r = \frac{1}{2}n$.

1. The proposition is clear for $r = 1$.

2. Let the theorem be true for all $(n - 2)$ -dimensional \mathbf{A} -modules, $n \geq 4$.

(a) Let Φ be a form of order 0, i.e. $\exists(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) \in \mathbf{M}^2: \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V})$ is a unit. Let us suppose—without loss of generality—that $\Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) = 1$.

This implies that $\underline{U}, \underline{V}$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if $\alpha\underline{U} + \beta\underline{V} = \underline{0}$ then

$$0 = \Phi(\alpha\underline{U} + \beta\underline{V}, \underline{V}) = \alpha \cdot \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) + \beta \cdot \Phi(\underline{V}, \underline{V}) = \alpha.$$

Analogously, we obtain $\beta = 0$.

Let us consider linear forms $\varphi_U(\underline{X}) \equiv \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{X})$ and $\varphi_V(\underline{X}) \equiv \Phi(\underline{V}, \underline{X})$. Evidently, they are linearly independent. According to Proposition I.3 $\mathcal{N} = \text{Ker } \varphi_U \cap \text{Ker } \varphi_V$ is a free $(n - 2)$ -dimensional submodule. Due to the induction hypothesis we may construct a symplectic basis $\{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_{r-1}, \underline{V}_{r-1}\}$ of \mathcal{N} with respect to the form $\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}^2}$.

Now, let us show $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$. If $\underline{X} \in [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$ then $\underline{X} = \xi\underline{U} + \zeta\underline{V}$. Consequently,

$$0 = \varphi_U(\underline{X}) = \Phi(\underline{U}, \xi\underline{U} + \zeta\underline{V}) = \xi \cdot \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{U}) + \zeta \cdot \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{V}) = \zeta.$$

In a similar way we get $\xi = 0$. This gives $\underline{X} = \underline{0}$ and therefore $\mathcal{N} \cap [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$ is a 0-dimensional submodule. We have (by Proposition I.4) $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus [\underline{U}, \underline{V}]$.

⁴ For $m = 1$ (i.e. \mathbf{A} is a field) we get the usual definition of a symplectic basis over fields (see [2]).

Since $\underline{U}_j, \underline{V}_j \in \mathcal{N}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}(r-1)$, hence $\Phi(\underline{U}_j, \underline{U}) = \Phi(\underline{V}_j, \underline{U}) = 0$ and $\Phi(\underline{U}_j, \underline{V}) = \Phi(\underline{V}_j, \underline{V}) = 0$. Thus $\{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_{r-1}, \underline{V}_{r-1}, \underline{U}, \underline{V}\}$ forms a symplectic basis of \mathbf{M} with respect to Φ .

(b) Let Φ be a bilinear form of order $k (\neq 0)$. According to Proposition I.7 there exists a bilinear form Ψ of order 0 with $\Phi = \eta^k \Psi$. By (a) we can construct a symplectic basis for the form Ψ , which is also a symplectic basis for the form Φ . \square

4. Definition. Let Φ be a symplectic form $\mathbf{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ and let the basis $\mathcal{U} = \{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_r, \underline{V}_r\}$ be symplectic with respect to Φ . Let us define a system of sets $\mathcal{J}_0, \dots, \mathcal{J}_m$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_k = \{i \in \mathbb{N}(r); \Phi(\underline{U}_i, \underline{V}_i) = \eta^k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

If we denote $\pi_k = 2 \text{card}(\mathcal{J}_k)$, $0 \leq k \leq m$, then

$$\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}) = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m)$$

is called the *characteristic of the symplectic form Φ with respect to the basis \mathcal{U}* .

5. Definition. For any symplectic form $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ let us denote by \mathcal{V}_k^Φ the set

$$\{\underline{Y} \in \mathbf{M}; \eta^k \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) = 0, \forall \underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

The following lemma is evident:

6. Lemma. If \mathcal{U} is a basis of \mathbf{M} and Φ is symplectic form, then

$$\mathcal{V}_k^\Phi = \{\underline{Y} \in \mathbf{M}; \eta^k \Phi(\underline{U}, \underline{Y}) = 0, \forall \underline{U} \in \mathcal{U}\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

7. Proposition. Let Φ be a symplectic form $\mathbf{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ and let \mathcal{U} be symplectic with respect to Φ . Then a submodule \mathcal{V}_k^Φ of \mathbf{M} as an \mathbf{T} -vector subspace has the dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k-1} (k+j)\pi_j + m \sum_{j=m-k}^m \pi_j,$$

where $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m) = \mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U})$.

Proof. \mathcal{V}_k^Φ is clearly a submodule of \mathbf{M} . Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\underline{U}_1, \underline{V}_1, \underline{U}_2, \underline{V}_2, \dots, \underline{U}_r, \underline{V}_r\}$ and let us consider a $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi$, $\underline{X} = \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i \underline{U}_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \zeta_i \underline{V}_i$. Putting $\gamma_j = \Phi(\underline{U}_j, \underline{V}_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}(r)$, we obtain

$$\Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{U}_j) = -\zeta_j \gamma_j \text{ and } \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{V}_j) = \xi_j \gamma_j,$$

which yields $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi \Leftrightarrow \forall i, i \in \mathbb{N}(r); \eta^k \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{U}_i) = \eta^k \Phi(\underline{X}, \underline{V}_i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall i, i \in \mathbb{N}(r); \eta^k \gamma_i \zeta_i = \eta^k \gamma_i \xi_i = 0$. As every $\gamma_i = \eta^{k(i)}$ we get (according to Definition 4) that $\underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi$ if and only if the following conditions are valid:

- (0) $i \in \mathcal{J}_0 \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{A}$
(1) $i \in \mathcal{J}_1 \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta^{m-k-1} \mathbf{A}$
.....
(j) $i \in \mathcal{J}_j \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta^{m-k-j} \mathbf{A}, 0 \leq j \leq m-k-1$
.....
(m-k-1) $i \in \mathcal{J}_{m-k-1} \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \eta \mathbf{A}$
(m-k) $i \in \bigcup_{s=0}^k \mathcal{J}_{m-s} \Rightarrow \xi_i, \zeta_i \in \mathbf{A}$

Let us construct the following system of submodules in \mathcal{V}_k^Φ :

$$\mathcal{V}_{kj}^\Phi = \{ \underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}; \underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi \wedge \underline{X} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_j} \xi_i \underline{U}_i \}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{kj}^\Phi = \{ \underline{X} \in \mathbf{M}; \underline{X} \in \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi \wedge \underline{X} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_j} \zeta_i \underline{V}_i \}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{V}_k^\Phi = \mathcal{V}_{k0}^\Phi \oplus \mathcal{V}_{k1}^\Phi \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{V}_{km}^\Phi \oplus \mathcal{W}_{k0}^\Phi \oplus \mathcal{W}_{k1}^\Phi \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{W}_{km}^\Phi$.

We get [from (0)], that \mathcal{V}_{k0}^Φ or \mathcal{W}_{k0}^Φ , has \mathbf{T} -basis

$$\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{J}_0} \{ \eta^{m-k} \underline{U}_i, \dots, \eta^{m-1} \underline{U}_i \} \text{ or } \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{J}_0} \{ \eta^{m-k} \underline{V}_i, \dots, \eta^{m-1} \underline{V}_i \}, \text{ respectively;}$$

therefore $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k0}^\Phi = \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{W}_{k0}^\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \pi_0 k$. Analogously, conditions (j) imply that $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^\Phi = \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{W}_{kj}^\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \pi_j (k+j)$, and the condition (m-k) implies that $\dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^\Phi = \dim_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{W}_{kj}^\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \pi_j m, m-k \leq j \leq m$.

The relation for the \mathbf{T} -dimension of \mathcal{V}_k^Φ is now evident. □

8. Theorem (inertial law). *Let a symplectic form $\Phi: \mathbf{M}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ be given. If \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} are arbitrary symplectic bases of \mathbf{M} with respect to this form, then*

$$\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}) = \mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{V}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{U}) = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m)$. Then Proposition II.7 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_j(k+j) + \sum_{j=m-k+1}^m \pi_j \cdot m, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^\Phi &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} (\pi_j(k+j) - \pi_j) + \sum_{j=m-k+1}^m \pi_j \cdot m. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi - \dim_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^\Phi = \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_j$. Let $\mathfrak{Ch}(\Phi, \mathcal{V}) = (\nu_0, \dots, \nu_m)$.

Then we obtain $\dim_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{V}_k^\Phi - \dim_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^\Phi = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_h$, i.e. $\sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_j = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_h$. Putting $k = m, m-1, \dots, 0$, we get

$$\pi_0 = \nu_0, \quad \pi_0 + \pi_1 = \nu_0 + \nu_1, \quad \dots, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \pi_j + \pi_m = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \nu_h + \nu_m,$$

which successively yields $\pi_0 = \nu_0, \pi_1 = \nu_1, \dots, \pi_m = \nu_m$. □

References

- [1] *F. W. Anderson, F. K. Fuller: Rings and Categories of Modules.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] *E. Artin: Geometric Algebra.* Nauka, Moskva, 1969. (In Russian.)
- [3] *M. F. Atiyah, I. G. MacDonald: Introduction to Commutative Algebra.* Mir, Moskva, 1972. (In Russian.)
- [4] *M. Jukl: Grassmann formula for certain type of modules.* Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. 34 (1995), 69–74.
- [5] *M. Jukl: Inertial laws of quadratic forms on modules over plural algebra.* Math. Bohem. 120 (1995), 255–263.
- [6] *M. Jukl: Linear forms on free modules over certain local ring.* Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. 32 (1993), 49–62.
- [7] *B. R. McDonald: Geometric Algebra over Local Rings.* Pure and applied mathematics, New York, 1976.

Author's address: Marek Jukl, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: jukl@matnw.upol.cz.