

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 12 (2009), Article 09.7.5

Generalized Catalan Numbers: Linear Recursion and Divisibility

B. Sury Stat-Math Unit Indian Statistical Institute 8th Mile Mysore Road Bangalore 560059 India sury@isibang.ac.in

Abstract

We prove a *linear* recursion for the generalized Catalan numbers $C_a(n) := \frac{1}{(a-1)n+1} {an \choose n}$ when $a \ge 2$. As a consequence, we show $p \mid C_p(n)$ if and only if $n \ne \frac{p^k-1}{p-1}$ for all integers $k \ge 0$. This is a generalization of the well-known result that the usual Catalan number $C_2(n)$ is odd if and only if n is a Mersenne number $2^k - 1$. Using certain beautiful results of Kummer and Legendre, we give a second proof of the divisibility result for $C_p(n)$. We also give suitably formulated inductive proofs of Kummer's and Legendre's formulae which are different from the standard proofs.

1 Introduction

The Catalan numbers $\frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ arise in diverse situations like counting lattice paths, counting rooted trees etc. In this note, we consider for each natural number $a \ge 2$, generalized Catalan numbers (referred to henceforth as GCNs) $C_a(n) := \frac{1}{(a-1)n+1} \binom{an}{n}$ and give a *linear* recursion for them. Note that a = 2 corresponds to the Catalan numbers. The linear recursion seems to be a new observation. We prove the recursion by a suitably formulated induction. This new recursion also leads to a divisibility result for $C_p(n)$'s for a prime p and, thus also, to another proof of the well-known parity assertion for the usual Catalan numbers. The latter asserts $C_2(n)$ is odd if and only if n is a Mersenne number; that is, a number of the form $2^k - 1$ for some positive integer k. Using certain beautiful results of Kummer and Legendre, we give a second proof of the divisibility result for $C_p(n)$. We also give suitably formulated inductive proofs of Kummer's and Legendre's formulae mentioned below. This is different from the standard proofs [2] and [3]. In this paper, the letter p always denotes a prime number.

2 Linear recursion for GCNs

Lemma 1. For any $a \ge 2$, the numbers $C_a(n) = \frac{1}{(a-1)n+1} {an \choose n}$ can be defined recursively by

$$C_a(0) = 1$$

$$C_a(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{(a-1)n+1}{a} \rfloor} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{(a-1)(n-k)+1}{k} C_a(n-k) , n \ge 1$$

In particular, the usual Catalan numbers $C_2(n)$ satisfy the linear recursion

$$C_2(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n-k+1}{k} C_2(n-k) , n \ge 1.$$

2.1 A definition and remarks

Before proceeding to prove the lemma, we recall a useful definition. One defines the *forward* difference operator Δ on the set of functions on \mathbb{R} as follows. For any function f, the new function Δf is defined by

$$(\Delta f)(x) := f(x+1) - f(x).$$

Successively, one defines $\Delta^{k+1} f = \Delta(\Delta^k f)$ for each $k \ge 1$. It is easily proved by induction on n (see, for instance [1, pp. 102–103]) that

$$(\Delta^n f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} f(x+n-k).$$

We note that if f is a polynomial of degree d, then Δf is also a polynomial and has degree d-1. In particular, $\Delta^N f \equiv 0$, the zero function, when N > d. Therefore, $(\Delta^N f)(0) = 0$.

Proof of 1. The asserted recursion can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{a(n-k)}{n-1} = 0.$$

One natural way to prove such identities is to try and view the sum as $(\Delta^n f)(0)$ for a polynomial f of degree < n. In our case, we may take $f(x) = ax(ax-1)\cdots(ax-n+2)$ which is a polynomial of degree < n. Then,

$$(\Delta^n f)(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} f(x+n-k) \equiv 0.$$

This gives

$$(\Delta^n f)(0) = \sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{a(n-k)}{n-1} = 0.$$

Thus the asserted recursion follows. \blacksquare

Using this lemma, we have the following:

Theorem 2. The prime $p | C_p(n)$ if and only if $n \neq \frac{p^k - 1}{p-1}$ for all integers $k \geq 0$. In particular, $C_2(n)$ is odd if and only if n is a Mersenne number $2^k - 1$.

Proof. We shall apply induction on n. The result holds for n = 1 since $C_p(1) = 1$. Assume n > 1 and that the result holds for all m < n. Let $p^r \le n \le p^{r+1} - 1$. Let us read the right hand side of

$$C_p(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{(p-1)(n+1)}{p} \rfloor} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{(p-1)(n-k)+1}{k} C_p(n-k)$$

modulo p. We use the induction hypothesis that for m < n, $C_p(m)$ is a multiple of p whenever (p-1)m+1 is not a power of p. Modulo p, the terms in the above sum which are non-zero are those for which n-k is of the form $\frac{p^N-1}{p-1}$. But, since $p^r \le n < p^{r+1}$, the only non-zero term modulo p is the one corresponding to the index k for which $(p-1)(n-k) = p^r - 1$ if $n \le \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$ (respectively, $(p-1)(n-k) = p^{r+1} - 1$ if $n > \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$). This term is, to within sign, $\binom{p^r}{n-\frac{p^r-1}{p-1}}C_p(\frac{p^{r-1}}{p-1})$ if $n \le \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$ (respectively, $\binom{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$) if $n > \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$). As the binomial coefficient $\binom{p^r}{s}$ is a multiple of p if and only if $0 < s < p^r$, the above term is a multiple of p if and only if $0 < n - \frac{p^{r-1}}{p-1} < p^r$ if $n \le \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$ (respectively, $0 < n - \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1} < p^{r+1}$ if $n > \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$). This is equivalent to $p^r < (p-1)n+1 < p^{r+1}$ if $n \le \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$ (respectively, $(p-1)n+1 < p^{r+2}$ if $n > \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}$), which means that (p-1)n+1 is not a power of p. The theorem is proved.

3 Another proof of Theorem using Kummer's algorithm

Kummer proved that, for $r \leq n$, the *p*-adic valuation $v_p\binom{n}{r}$ is simply the number of carries when one adds r and n - r in base-p. We give another proof of Theorem 2 now using Kummer's algorithm.

3.1 Another proof of Theorem 2

Write the base-*p* expansion of (p-1)n+1 as

$$(p-1)n+1 = a_s \cdots a_{r+1} 0 \cdots 0$$

say, where $a_{r+1} \neq 0, s \geq r+1$ and $r \geq 0$. Evidently, $v_p((p-1)n+1) = r$. Thus, unless (p-1)n+1 is a power of p, the base-p expansion of (p-1)n will have the same number of digits as above. It is of the form

$$(p-1)n = * \cdots * (a_{r+1}-1) \underbrace{(p-1)\cdots(p-1)}_{r \text{ times}}$$

where $a_{r+1} - 1$ is between 0 and p - 2. So, the base-*p* expansion of *n* itself looks like

$$n = * \cdots * 1 \cdots 1$$

with r ones at the right end. Also, there are at least r carries coming from the right end while adding the base-p expansions of n and (p-1)n. Moreover, unless (p-1)n + 1 is a power of p, consider the first non-zero digit to the left of the string of (p-1)'s at the end of the expansion of (p-1)n. If it is denoted by u, and the corresponding digit for n is v, then $(p-1)v \equiv u \pmod{p}$; that is, u + v is a non-zero multiple of p (and therefore $\geq p$). Thus, there are at least r + 1 carries coming from adding the base-p expansions of n and (p-1)nunless (p-1)n + 1 is a power of p. This proves Theorem 2 again.

4 Kummer and Legendre's formulae inductively

Legendre observed that $v_p(n!)$ is $\frac{n-s(n)}{p-1}$ where s(n) is the sum of the digits in the basep expansion of n. In [2], Honsberger deduces Kummer's theorem (used in the previous section) from Legendre's result and refers to Ribenboim's book [3] for a proof of the latter. Ribenboim's proof is by verifying that Legendre's base-p formula agrees with the standard formula

$$v_p(n!) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \cdots$$
 (1)

Surprisingly, it is possible to prove Legendre's formula without recourse to the above formula and that the standard formula follows from such a proof. What is more, Kummer's formula also follows without having to use Legendre's result.

4.1 Legendre's formula:

Lemma 3. Let $n = (a_k \cdots a_1 a_0)_p$ and $s(n) = \sum_{r=0}^k a_r$. Then,

$$v_p(n!) = \frac{n - s(n)}{p - 1} \tag{2}$$

Proof. The formulae are evidently valid for n = 1. We shall show that if Legendre's formula $v_p(n!) = \frac{n-s(n)}{p-1}$ holds for n, then it also holds for pn + r for any $0 \le r < p$. Note that the base-p expansion of pn + r is

$$a_k \cdots a_1 a_0 r.$$

Let $f(m) = \frac{m-s(m)}{p-1}$, where $m \ge 1$. Evidently,

$$f(pn+r) = \frac{pn - \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i}{p-1} = n + f(n).$$

On the other hand, it follows by induction on n that

$$v_p((pn+r)!) = n + v_p(n!).$$
 (3)

For, if it holds for all n < m, then

$$v_p((pm+r)!) = v_p(pm) + v_p((pm-p)!)$$

= 1+v_p(m) + m - 1 + v_p((m-1)!) = m + v_p(m!).

Since it is evident that $f(m) = 0 = v_p(m!)$ for all m < p, it follows that $f(n) = v_p(n!)$ for all n. This proves Legendre's formula.

Note also that the formula

$$v_p(n!) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \cdots$$

follows inductively using Legendre's result.

4.2 Kummer's algorithm:

Lemma 4. For $r, s \ge 0$, let g(r, s) be the number of carries when the base-p expansions of r and s are added. Then, for $k \le n$,

$$v_p\left(\binom{n}{k}\right) = g(k, n-k). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Once again, this is clear if n < p, as both sides are then zero. We shall show that if the formula holds for all integers $0 \le j \le n$ (and every $0 \le k \le j$), it does so for pn + r for $0 \le r < p$ (and any $k \le pn + r$). This would prove the result for all natural numbers.

Consider a binomial coefficient of the form $\binom{pn+r}{pm+a}$, where $0 \le a < p$.

First, suppose $a \leq r$.

Write $m = b_k \cdots b_0$ and $n - m = c_k \cdots c_0$ in base-*p*. Then the base-*p* expansions of pm + a and p(n - m) + (r - a) are, respectively,

$$pm + a = b_k \cdots b_0 a$$

$$p(n-m) + (r-a) = c_k \cdots c_0 r - a.$$

Evidently, the corresponding number of carries is

$$g(pm + a, p(n - m) + (r - a)) = g(m, n - m).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $g(m, n-m) = v_p(\binom{n}{m})$. Now $v_p\left(\binom{pn+r}{pm+a}\right)$ is equal to

$$v_p((pn+r)!) - v_p((pm+a)!) - v_p((p(n-m)+r-a)!)$$

= $n + v_p(n!) - m - v_p(m!) - (n-m) - v_p((n-m)!) = v_p\left(\binom{n}{m}\right)$.

Thus, the result is true when $a \leq r$.

Now suppose that r < a. Then $v_p\left(\binom{pn+r}{pm+a}\right)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} v_p((pn+r)!) &- v_p((pm+a)!) - v_p((p(n-m-1)+(p+r-a))!) \\ &= n + v_p(n!) - m - v_p(m!) - (n-m-1) - v_p((n-m-1)!) \\ &= 1 + v_p(n) + v_p((n-1)!) - v_p(m!) - v_p((n-m-1)!) \\ &= 1 + v_p(n) + v_p\left(\binom{n-1}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We need to show that

$$g(pm+a, p(n-m-1) + (p+r-a)) = 1 + v_p(n) + g(m, n-m-1).$$
(5)

Note that m < n. Write $n = a_k \cdots a_0$, $m = b_k \cdots b_0$ and $n - m - 1 = c_k \cdots c_0$ in base-*p*. If $v_p(n) = d$, then $a_i = 0$ for i < d and $a_d \neq 0$. In base-*p*, we have

$$n = a_k \cdots a_d \ 0 \cdots 0$$

and, therefore,

$$n-1 = a_k \cdots a_{d+1}(a_d-1) \ (p-1) \cdots \ (p-1).$$

Now, the addition m + (n - m - 1) = n - 1 gives $b_i + c_i = p - 1$ for i < d (since they must be < 2p - 1). Moreover, $b_d + c_d = a_d - 1$ or $p + a_d - 1$.

Note the base-p expansions

$$pm + a = b_k \cdots b_0 a$$

 $p(n - m - 1) + (p + r - a) = c_k \cdots c_0 (p + r - a).$

We add these using that fact that there is a carry-over in the beginning and that $1+b_i+c_i = p$ for i < d. Since there is a carry-over at the first step as well as at the next d steps, we have

$$pn + r = * * \cdots a_d \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{d \text{ times}} r$$

and

$$g(pm + a, p(n - m - 1) + (p + r - a)) = 1 + d + g(m, n - m - 1).$$

This proves Kummer's assertion also.

5 Acknowledgment

It is a real pleasure to thank the referee who read through the paper carefully and made a number of constructive suggestions and a few corrections as well.

References

- [1] M. Aigner, Combinatorial Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [2] R. Honsberger, *In Polya's Footsteps, Miscellaneous Problems and Essays*, The Dolciani Mathematical Expositions, No. 19, Mathematical Association of America, 1997.
- [3] P.Ribenboim, The New Book of Prime Number Records, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A10; Secondary 11B83. Keywords: generalized Catalan numbers, linear recursion, divisibility.

(Concerned with sequence $\underline{A000108}$.)

Received May 21 2009; revised version received October 28 2009. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, November 4 2009.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.