



ON AN OPEN PROBLEM POSED IN THE PAPER “INEQUALITIES OF POWER-EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONS”

LADISLAV MATEJÍČKA

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL ENGINEERING OF MATERIALS
FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGIES IN PÚCHOV
TRENČÍN UNIVERSITY OF ALEXANDER DUBČEK
I. KRASKU 491/30, 02001 PÚCHOV, SLOVAKIA
matejicka@tnuni.sk

Received 08 July, 2008; accepted 18 September, 2008
Communicated by F. Qi

ABSTRACT. In the article, some inequalities of power-exponential functions are obtained. An answer to an open problem proposed by Feng Qi and Lokenath Debnath in the paper [F. Qi and L. Debnath, *Inequalities of power-exponential functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (2000), no. 2, Art. 15. <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=109>] is given.

Key words and phrases: Inequality, Power-exponential function.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D07, 26D20.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [6], the following inequalities for power-exponential functions were proved

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{y^{x^y}}{x^{y^x}} > \frac{y}{x} > \frac{y^x}{x^y}, \quad \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{xy} > \frac{y^y}{x^x},$$

where $0 < x < y < 1$ or $1 < x < y$. At the end of the paper, F. Qi and L. Debnath proposed the following problem.

Problem 1.1. Adopting the following notations:

$$(1.2) \quad f_1(x, y) = x,$$

$$(1.3) \quad f_{k+1}(x, y) = x^{f_k(y, x)},$$

$$(1.4) \quad F_k(x, y) = \frac{f_k(y, x)}{f_k(x, y)}$$

The author is indebted to Professor Feng Qi, Professor Peter Bullen and the anonymous referees for the title of this article and for their helpful comments.

for $0 < x < y < 1$ or $1 < x < y$, and $k \geq 1$, prove or disprove the following inequalities:

$$(1.5) \quad F_{2k-1}(x, y) > F_{2k}(x, y),$$

$$(1.6) \quad F_{2k+4}(x, y) > F_{2k+1}(x, y).$$

That is,

$$(1.7) \quad F_2(x, y) < F_1(x, y) < F_4(x, y) < F_3(x, y) < F_6(x, y) < \dots$$

There is a rich literature on inequalities for power-exponential functions, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. It is well-known that, if $0 < x < y < e$, then

$$(1.8) \quad x^y < y^x.$$

If $e < x < y$, then the inequality (1.8) is reversed. If $0 < x < e$, then

$$(1.9) \quad (e+x)^{e-x} > (e-x)^{e+x}.$$

For details about these inequalities, please refer to [1, p. 82] and [4, p. 365]. In what follows we will continue to use the notations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let $e < x < y$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.1) \quad f_{2n}(y, x) < f_{2n}(x, y) < f_{2n+1}(x, y) < f_{2n+1}(y, x) < f_{2n+2}(y, x).$$

Remark 1. The inequalities (2.1) can be rewritten as follows

$$y^x < x^y < x^{y^x} < y^{x^y} < y^{x^{y^x}} < x^{y^{x^y}} < x^{y^{x^{y^x}}} < y^{x^{y^{x^y}}} < \dots$$

Proof. We prove (2.1) by mathematical induction. It is evident that $f_n(x, y) < f_{n+1}(x, y)$, $f_n(y, x) < f_{n+1}(y, x)$. The inequality $f_2(y, x) = y^x < x^y = f_2(x, y)$, is known and it implies $x^{y^x} < y^{x^y}$ which is $f_3(x, y) < f_3(y, x)$. Suppose that (2.1) holds for $n \leq k$. To prove (2.1) for $n = k + 1$, it is sufficient to show that $f_{2k+2}(y, x) < f_{2k+2}(x, y)$. In fact, if $f_{2k+2}(y, x) < f_{2k+2}(x, y)$, then

$$f_{2k+3}(x, y) = x^{f_{2k+2}(y, x)} < y^{f_{2k+2}(x, y)} = f_{2k+3}(y, x).$$

The inequality $f_{2k+2}(y, x) < f_{2k+2}(x, y)$ is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad f_{2k}(x, y) \ln y - f_{2k}(y, x) \ln x > \ln \ln y - \ln \ln x.$$

We prove

$$(2.3) \quad f_{2k}(x, y) \ln y - f_{2k}(y, x) \ln x > \ln y - \ln x,$$

which gives (2.2), because

$$\ln y - \ln x > \ln \ln y - \ln \ln x.$$

The inequality (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$(f_{2k}(x, y) - 1) \ln y > (f_{2k}(y, x) - 1) \ln x$$

or as

$$\frac{\ln y}{\ln x} > \frac{\int_0^{f_{2k-1}(x, y)} y^t dt \ln y}{\int_0^{f_{2k-1}(y, x)} x^t dt \ln x},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(y, x)} x^t dt - \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(x, y)} y^t dt > 0.$$

Denote by

$$H(x, y) = \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(y,x)} x^t dt - \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(x,y)} y^t dt.$$

The direct computation yields

$$\frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial y} = f_{2k}(x, y) \frac{\partial f_{2k-1}(y, x)}{\partial y} - f_{2k}(y, x) \frac{\partial f_{2k-1}(x, y)}{\partial y} - \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(x,y)} ty^{t-1} dt.$$

Using mathematical induction, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial f_{2k-1}(y, x)}{\partial y} = f_{2k-1}(y, x) f_{2k-2}(x, y) \cdots f_2(x, y) \ln^{k-1} x \ln^{k-1} y \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_{2k-1}(y, x) f_{2k-2}(x, y) \cdots f_{2k-2j}(x, y) \frac{1}{y} \ln^{j-1} x \ln^{j-1} y, \quad \text{for } k > 1.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial f_{2k-1}(x, y)}{\partial y} = f_{2k-1}(x, y) f_{2k-2}(y, x) \cdots f_2(y, x) \frac{x}{y} \ln^{k-1} x \ln^{k-2} y \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} f_{2k-1}(x, y) f_{2k-2}(y, x) \cdots f_{2k-2j-1}(x, y) \frac{1}{y} \ln^j x \ln^{j-1} y, \quad \text{for } k > 1.$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6) we get

$$\frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial y} = f_{2k}(x, y) \cdots f_2(x, y) \ln^{k-1} x \ln^{k-1} y \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_{2k}(x, y) \cdots f_{2k-2j}(x, y) \frac{1}{y} \ln^{j-1} x \ln^{j-1} y \\ - f_{2k}(y, x) \cdots f_2(y, x) \frac{x}{y} \ln^{k-1} x \ln^{k-2} y \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} f_{2k}(y, x) \cdots f_{2k-2j-1}(x, y) \frac{1}{y} \ln^j x \ln^{j-1} y - \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(x,y)} ty^{t-1} dt \\ = h_1(x, y) + h_2(x, y) + h_3(x, y),$$

where

$$h_1(x, y) = \left(f_{2k}(x, y) \cdots f_2(x, y) \ln y - f_{2k}(y, x) \cdots f_2(y, x) \frac{x}{y} \right) \ln^{k-1} x \ln^{k-2} y,$$

$$h_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{y} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} (f_{2k}(x, y) \cdots f_{2k-2j-2}(x, y) \ln y - f_{2k}(y, x) \cdots f_{2k-2j-1}(x, y)) \ln^j x \ln^{j-1} y,$$

$$h_3(x, y) = f_{2k}(x, y) f_{2k-1}(y, x) f_{2k-2}(x, y) \frac{1}{y} - \int_0^{f_{2k-1}(x,y)} ty^{t-1} dt.$$

Since (2.1) holds for $n = 1, \dots, k$ and $\ln y - \frac{x}{y} > 0$, $\ln y > 1$, we obtain that $h_1(x, y) > 0$, $h_2(x, y) > 0$. Next, we get

$$h_3(x, y) = f_{2k}(x, y)f_{2k-1}(y, x)f_{2k-2}(x, y)\frac{1}{y} \\ - f_{2k}(y, x)f_{2k-1}(x, y)\frac{1}{y \ln y} + f_{2k}(y, x)\frac{1}{y \ln^2 y} - \frac{1}{y \ln^2 y} > 0$$

following the same arguments. So we have $\frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial y} > 0$. This implies that (2.4) holds because $H(x, x) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $e < x < y$. The inequalities $F_{2n+2}(x, y) < F_{2n-1}(x, y)$ hold.*

Proof. Put $f_0(x, y) = f_0(y, x) = 1$ and $f_{-1}(x, y) = f_{-1}(y, x) = 0$. The inequality

$$F_{2n+2}(x, y) < F_{2n-1}(x, y)$$

is equivalent to

$$f_{2n+2}(y, x)f_{2n-1}(x, y) < f_{2n-1}(y, x)f_{2n+2}(x, y),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$f_{2n-2}(x, y) \ln y + f_{2n+1}(y, x) \ln x > f_{2n+1}(x, y) \ln y + f_{2n-2}(y, x) \ln x.$$

Rewriting the above inequality we have

$$\frac{f_{2n+1}(y, x) - f_{2n-2}(y, x)}{f_{2n+1}(x, y) - f_{2n-2}(x, y)} > \frac{\ln y}{\ln x},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{f_{2n-3}(x, y)}^{f_{2n}(x, y)} y^t dt - \int_{f_{2n-3}(y, x)}^{f_{2n}(y, x)} x^t dt > 0.$$

The inequality (2.7) holds because

$$x < y, \quad f_{2n-1}(x, y) < f_{2n-1}(y, x), \quad f_{2n}(y, x) < f_{2n}(x, y).$$

\square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $e < x < y$. The following inequalities hold:*

$$(2.8) \quad F_{2n}(x, y) < F_{2n-1}(x, y).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 therefore, we omit it.

The answer to the open problem proposed by Feng Qi and Lokenath Debnath [6] is: for $e < x < y$ the inequalities $F_{2k-1}(x, y) > F_{2k}(x, y)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ hold and the inequalities $F_{2k+4}(x, y) > F_{2k+1}(x, y)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ are reversed.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.S. BULLEN, *A Dictionary of Inequalities*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 97, Addison Wesley Longman Limited, 1998.
- [2] LIU-QING HAN, BAI-NI GUO AND FENG QI, New proofs for inequalities of power-exponential functions, *Mathematics and Informatics Quarterly* **11**(3) (2001), 130–132, *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **4**(1) (2001), Article 2, 9–13. [ONLINE: <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v4n1.asp>].
- [3] G.H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.

- [4] J.-C. KUANG, *Applied Inequalities* (Changyong Budengshi), 2nd edition, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, (Chinese), 1993.
- [5] FENG QI, A method of constructing inequalities about ex , *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, **8** (1997), 16–23.
- [6] FENG QI AND L. DEBNATH, Inequalities of power-exponential functions, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **1**(2) (2000), Art. 15. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=109>].