



Meromorphic Functions
Junfan Chen, Shouhua Shen and
Weichuan Lin

vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 19, 2007

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 1 of 24

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS SHARING THREE VALUES WITH WEIGHTS

JUNFAN CHEN

Department of Applied Mathematics,
South China Agricultural University,
Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China.
EMail: junfanchen@163.com

SHOUHUA SHEN AND WEICHUAN LIN

Department of Mathematics,
Fujian Normal University,
Fuzhou 350007, P. R. China.


Received: 04 July, 2006

Accepted: 04 January, 2007

Communicated by: **H.M. Srivastava**

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 30D35.

Key words: Meromorphic functions, Weighted sharing of values, Uniqueness.





Abstract: Using the idea of weighted sharing of values, we prove some uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions which improve some existing results. Moreover, examples are provided to show that some results in this paper are sharp.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank the referee for his thorough comments. The research of the authors was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10671109) and the Youth Science Technology Foundation of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2003J006).

Meromorphic Functions
Junfan Chen, Shouhua Shen and
Weichuan Lin
vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 19, 2007

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 2 of 24

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Contents

1	Introduction, Definitions and Results	4
2	Lemmas	10
3	Proofs of the Theorems and Corollaries	13
4	Final Remarks	18
5	Applications	20



Meromorphic Functions
Junfan Chen, Shouhua Shen and
Weichuan Lin

vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 19, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 3 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



1. Introduction, Definitions and Results

In this paper, a meromorphic function means meromorphic in the complex plane. We use the usual notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions as explained in [3]. We denote by E (respectively, I) a set of finite (respectively, infinite) linear measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For any non-constant meromorphic function $f(z)$, we denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ except possibly for a set E of r of finite linear measure. Let k be a positive integer. We denote by $N_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the counting function of the zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$, by $\bar{N}_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the counting function of the zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity $\geq k$, and by $\bar{N}_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ and $\bar{N}_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the reduced form of $N_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ and $N_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$, respectively (see [19]).

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. We denote by $T(r)$ the maximum of $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, g)$. For a complex number a , if the zeros of $f - a$ and $g - a$ coincide in locations and multiplicities, we say that f and g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities) and if we do not consider the multiplicities, then f and g are said to share the value a IM (ignoring multiplicities) (see [2]).

Nevanlinna [10], Ozawa [11], Ueda [12, 13], Brosch [1], Yi [14] – [18], Li [9], Zhang [20], Lahiri [4] – [8], and other authors (see [19]) dealt with the problems of uniqueness of meromorphic functions that share three distinct values. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0, 1, \infty$ are the shared values.


In 1976, Ozawa [11] proved the following result.

Theorem A ([11]). *Let f and g be two entire functions of finite order such that f and g share $0, 1$ CM. If $\delta(0, f) > 1/2$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.*

In 1983, removing the order restriction in the above result Ueda [12] proved the following theorem.

Title Page

Contents




Page 4 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 5 of 24

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

Theorem B ([12]). Let f and g be two meromorphic functions sharing 0, 1, and ∞ CM. If

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f) + N(r, 1/f)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

In 1998, Yi [17] proved the following theorem, which is an improvement of Theorems A and B.

Theorem C ([17]). Let f and g be two meromorphic functions sharing 0, 1, and ∞ CM. If

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, f) + \overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$


for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

We now explain the notion of weighted sharing as introduced in [4].

Definition 1.1 ([4]). Let k be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $E_k(a, f)$ the set of all a -points of f where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and $k + 1$ times if $m > k$. If $E_k(a, f) = E_k(a, g)$, we say that f, g share the value a with weight k .

The definition implies that if f, g share a value a with weight k then z_0 is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq k)$ and z_0 is a zero of $f - a$ with multiplicity $m(> k)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g - a$ with multiplicity $n(> k)$ where m is not necessarily equal to n .

We write f, g share (a, k) to mean that f, g share the value a with weight k . Clearly if f, g share (a, k) then f, g share (a, p) for all integers $p, 0 \leq p < k$. Also we note that f, g share a value a IM or CM if and only if f, g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 6 of 24

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

In 2001, Lahiri [4] proved the following theorems.

Theorem D ([4]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, \infty)$. If

$$\bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) < (\lambda + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \lambda < 1/2$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Theorem E ([4]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, (∞, ∞) , and $(1, \infty)$. If

$$\bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}_1(r, f) < (\lambda + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \lambda < 1/2$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

In 2003, improving Theorems D and E, Yi [18] proved the following results.

Theorem F ([18]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, 5)$. If


$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}_1(r, 1/f) + 3\bar{N}(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Theorem G ([18]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, 3)$. If

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}_1(r, 1/f) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.



[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 7 of 24

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

Theorem H ([18]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 2)$, and $(1, 6)$. If

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + \overline{N}_1(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

In this paper, with the aid of the notion of weighted sharing of values, we shall improve the results in Theorems F, G, and H and obtain the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$, where $m (\geq 2)$ is a positive integer or infinity. If

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + \left(\frac{2(m+1)}{m-1}\right) \overline{N}(r, f) - \frac{1}{2}m \left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.


The following example shows that in Theorem 1.1 sharing $(0, 1)$ cannot be relaxed to sharing $(0, 0)$.

Example 1.1. Let $f = (e^z - 1)^2$ and $g = e^z - 1$. Then f and g share $(0, 0)$, (∞, ∞) , and $(1, \infty)$. Also $\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) \equiv \overline{N}(r, f) \equiv 0$ but neither $f \equiv g$ nor $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Corollary 1.2. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$, where $m (\geq 2)$ is a positive integer or infinity. If

$$(1.2) \quad \overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + (2(m+1)/(m-1))\overline{N}(r, f) < (\lambda + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \lambda < 1/2$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.



Theorem 1.3. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$, where k, m are positive integers or infinity satisfying $(m - 1)(km - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. If

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + \overline{N}_1(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g - 1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Example 1.1 shows that in Theorem 1.3 sharing $(0, 1)$ cannot be relaxed to sharing $(0, 0)$, either. Also the following example shows that Theorem 1.3 does not hold when $(m - 1)(km - 1) = (1 + m)^2$.

Example 1.2. Let $f = 4e^z/(1 + e^z)^2$ and $g = 2e^z/(1 + e^z)$, and $m = k = 0$. Then f and g share $(0, \infty)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$. Also $\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) \equiv \overline{N}_1(r, f) \equiv 0$ and $(m - 1)(km - 1) = (1 + m)^2$ but neither $f \equiv g$ nor $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

It is easily seen from the following examples that the condition (1.3) in Theorem 1.3 is the best possible.

Example 1.3. Let $f = e^{-z} + 1$ and $g = e^z + 1$.

Example 1.4. Let $f = e^z/(e^z - 1)$ and $g = 1/(1 - e^z)$.

Corollary 1.4. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$, where k, m are positive integers or infinity satisfying $(m - 1)(km - 1) > (1 + m)^2$. If

$$(1.4) \quad \overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + \overline{N}_1(r, f) < (\lambda + o(1))T(r, f)$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \lambda < 1/2$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Example 1.5. Let $f = 1/(e^z(1 - e^z))$ and $g = e^{2z}/(e^z - 1)$.

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)

[◀◀](#) [▶▶](#)

[◀](#) [▶](#)

Page 8 of 24

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

It is easy to see, from Example 1.5, that the condition (1.4) in Corollary 1.4 is the best possible.


Corollary 1.5. *Theorem 1.3 holds for any one of the following pairs of values of k and m :*

(i) $k = 2, \quad m = 6,$

(ii) $k = 3, \quad m = 4,$

(iii) $k = 4, \quad m = 3,$

(iv) $k = 6, \quad m = 2.$



Meromorphic Functions
Junfan Chen, Shouhua Shen and
Weichuan Lin

vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 19, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 9 of 24


Go Back

Full Screen

Close



journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



Title Page

Contents



Page 10 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Henceforth we shall denote by H the function

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{2f'}{f-1} \right) - \left(\frac{g''}{g'} - \frac{2g'}{g-1} \right).$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 0)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, 0)$. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq 3T(r, g) + S(r, f), \quad T(r, g) \leq 3T(r, f) + S(r, g),$$


$$S(r, f) = S(r, g) := S(r).$$

Proof. Note that f and g share $(0, 0)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, 0)$. By the second fundamental theorem, we can easily obtain the conclusion of Lemma 2.1. ■

Lemma 2.2 ([18]). *Let H be given by (2.1) and $H \not\equiv 0$. If f and g share $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$, where $m (\geq 1)$ is a positive integer or infinity, then*



$$(2.2) \quad \bar{N}_1 \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) \leq \bar{N}_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(m+1)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) \\ + N_0 \left(r, \frac{1}{f'} \right) + N_0 \left(r, \frac{1}{g'} \right) + S(r),$$

where $N_0(r, 1/f')$ denotes the counting function corresponding to the zeros of f' that are not zeros of $f(f-1)$, $N_0(r, 1/g')$ denotes the counting function corresponding to the zeros of g' that are not zeros of $g(g-1)$.



Title Page

Contents



Page 11 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Lemma 2.3 ([18]). Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$, where $m (\geq 2)$ is a positive integer or infinity. Then

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(m+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + S(r),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{N}_{(m+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq \frac{2}{m-1} \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r).$$

Lemma 2.4 ([8]). Let f and g be two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$, where k, m are positive integers or infinities satisfying $(m-1)(km-1) > (1+m)^2$. Then

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, f) = S(r).$$

Lemma 2.5. Let H be given by (2.1) and $H \not\equiv 0$. If f and g share $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$, where k, m are positive integers or infinity satisfying $(m-1)(km-1) > (1+m)^2$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}_{(k+1)}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(m+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r).$$

Proof. From the given condition it is clear that $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Since f and g share $(1, m)$, it follows that a simple 1-point of f is a simple 1-point of g and



conversely. Let z_0 be a simple 1-point of f and g . Then in some neighborhood of z_0 we get $H = (z - z_0)\alpha(z)$, where α is analytic at z_0 . Thus

$$(2.7) \quad \overline{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{H}\right) \leq N(r, H) + S(r).$$

Note that f and g share $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$. We can deduce by (2.1) that

$$(2.8) \quad N(r, H) \leq \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, f) + \overline{N}_{(m+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r).$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain the conclusion of Lemma 2.5. ■

Title Page

Contents




Page 12 of 24

Go Back


Full Screen

Close



Title Page

Contents



Page 13 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

3. Proofs of the Theorems and Corollaries

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that since f and g share $(1, m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.1) \quad & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + (m-1)\bar{N}_{(m+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ & \leq \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \\ & \leq \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + T(r, g) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + O(1).\end{aligned}$$

By the second fundamental theorem, we obtain


$$(3.2) \quad T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r),$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r).$$



Since f and g share $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$, in view of (3.1) – (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(3.4) \quad & T(r, f) \\ & \leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) - (m-1)\bar{N}_{(m+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ & \quad - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r).\end{aligned}$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 14 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Let H be given by (2.1). If $H \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{N}_1 \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) \leq \bar{N}_{(2)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(m+1)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) \\ + N_0 \left(r, \frac{1}{f'} \right) + N_0 \left(r, \frac{1}{g'} \right) + S(r).$$


Substituting (3.5) into (3.4) we derive

$$(3.6) \quad T(r, f) \\ \leq 2\bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + 3\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(2)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) \\ - (2-m)\bar{N}_{(m+1)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) - m \left(r, \frac{1}{g-1} \right) + S(r) \\ \leq 2\bar{N}_1 \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + 3\bar{N}(r, f) + 3\bar{N}_{(2)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) - (2-m)\bar{N}_{(m+1)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) \\ - m \left(r, \frac{1}{g-1} \right) + S(r).$$

Since f and g share $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$, it follows by Lemma 2.3 that



$$(3.7) \quad \bar{N}_{(2)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(m+1)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) + S(r),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{N}_{(m+1)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) \leq \frac{2}{m-1} \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r).$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 15 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6) we have

$$T(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}_1 \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{4(m+1)}{m-1} \bar{N}(r, f) - m \left(r, \frac{1}{g-1} \right) + S(r),$$

which contradicts (1.1). Hence $H \equiv 0$ and so

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{f'}{(f-1)^2} = A \frac{g'}{(g-1)^2},$$

where A is a nonzero constant. Note that f and g share $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$. We know from (3.9) that f and g share $(0, \infty)$, (∞, ∞) , and $(1, \infty)$. Again by Theorem C, we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.1. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let

$$(3.10) \quad T(r, f) = \begin{cases} T(r, f), & \text{for } r \in I_1, \\ T(r, g), & \text{for } r \in I_2, \end{cases}$$


where

$$(3.11) \quad I = I_1 \cup I_2.$$

Note that I is a set of infinite linear measure of $(0, \infty)$. We can see by (3.11) that I_1 is a set of infinite linear measure of $(0, \infty)$ or I_2 is a set of infinite linear measure of $(0, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that I_1 is a set of infinite linear measure of $(0, \infty)$. Then it follows by (1.2) and (3.10) that


$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}_1(r, 1/f) + (2(m+1)/(m-1))\bar{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$. Again by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the conclusion of Corollary 1.2. ■



Title Page

Contents



Page 16 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since f and g share $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$, it follows by Lemma 2.4 that

$$(3.12) \quad \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, f) = S(r).$$

It is easily seen that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \\ \leq \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \\ \leq \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + T(r, g) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Form (3.2), (3.3), (3.12), and (3.13), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} T(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\bar{N}_1(r, f) + \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r). \end{aligned}$$

Let H be given by (2.1). If $H \not\equiv 0$, then by Lemma 2.5 and (3.12) we get in view of $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r).$$

Substituting (3.15) into (3.14) we have


$$T(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\bar{N}_1(r, f) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + S(r),$$

which contradicts (1.3). Hence $H \equiv 0$ and so

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{f'}{(f-1)^2} = B \frac{g'}{(g-1)^2},$$

where B is a nonzero constant. Note that f and g share $(0, 1)$, (∞, k) , and $(1, m)$. We can see by (3.16) that f and g share $(0, \infty)$, (∞, ∞) , and $(1, \infty)$. Again by Theorem C, we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.3. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Using Theorem 1.3 and proceeding as in the proof of Corollary 1.2, we can prove Corollary 1.4. ■



Title Page

Contents




Page 17 of 24

Go Back



Full Screen

Close



Title Page

Contents



Page 18 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

4. Final Remarks

In 2003, Yi [18] proved the following theorem.

Theorem I ([18]). *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 0)$, $(\infty, 1)$, and $(1, 5)$. If*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3\overline{N}(r, 1/f) + \overline{N}_1(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

From Theorem 1.1 we get the following theorem which is an improvement of Theorem I.

Theorem 4.1. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 0)$, $(\infty, 1)$, and $(1, m)$, where $m (\geq 2)$ is a positive integer or infinity. If*

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, f) + \left(\frac{2(m+1)}{m-1}\right)\overline{N}(r, 1/f) - \frac{1}{2}m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$


for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Proof. Let

$$(4.2) \quad F = \frac{1}{f}, \quad G = \frac{1}{g}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(4.3) \quad T(r, f) = T(r, F) + O(1),$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 19 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

$$(4.4) \quad m\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) = m\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + O(1).$$

From (4.1) – (4.4), we get

$$(4.5) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, 1/F) + \left(\frac{2(m+1)}{m-1}\right) \overline{N}(r, F) - \frac{1}{2}m\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)}{T(r, F)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$. Note that f and g share $(0, 0)$, $(\infty, 1)$, and $(1, m)$. From (4.2), we see that F and G share $(0, 1)$, $(\infty, 0)$, and $(1, m)$. By Theorem 1.1, we get $F \equiv G$ or $F \cdot G \equiv 1$. From this, we deduce that Theorem 4.1 holds. ■

In 2003, Yi [18] proved the following result.

Theorem J ([18]). *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, 2)$, $(\infty, 1)$, and $(1, 6)$. If*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + \overline{N}_1(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.

Using Theorem 1.3 and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can prove the following theorem, which is an improvement of Theorem J.

Theorem 4.2. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing $(0, k)$, $(\infty, 1)$, and $(1, m)$, where k, m are positive integers or infinity satisfying $(m-1)(km-1) > (1+m)^2$. If*



$$(4.6) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_1(r, 1/f) + \overline{N}_1(r, f) - (1/2)m(r, 1/(g-1))}{T(r, f)} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in I$, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \cdot g \equiv 1$.



Title Page

Contents



Page 20 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

5. Applications

In this section, f and g are two nonconstant meromorphic functions.

Definition 5.1. For $S \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we define $E_f(S, k)$ as

$$E_f(S, k) = \bigcup_{a \in S} E_k(a, f),$$

where k is a nonnegative integer or infinity.

In 2003, Yi [18] proved the following theorem.

Theorem K ([18]). Let $S_1 = \{a + b, a + b\omega, \dots, a + b\omega^{n-1}\}$, $S_2 = \{a\}$, and $S_3 = \{\infty\}$, where $n (\geq 2)$ is an integer; a and $b (\neq 0)$ are constants, and $\omega = \cos(2\pi/n) + i \sin(2\pi/n)$. If $E_f(S_1, 6) = E_g(S_1, 6)$, $E_f(S_2, 0) = E_g(S_2, 0)$, and $E_f(S_3, 1) = E_g(S_3, 1)$, then $f - a \equiv t(g - a)$, where $t^n = 1$, or $(f - a)(g - a) \equiv s$, where $s^n = b^{2n}$.


From Corollary 1.5 we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 be defined as in Theorem K. If $E_f(S_1, 2) = E_g(S_1, 2)$, $E_f(S_2, 0) = E_g(S_2, 0)$, and $E_f(S_3, 1) = E_g(S_3, 1)$, then $f - a \equiv t(g - a)$, where $t^n = 1$, or $(f - a)(g - a) \equiv s$, where $s^n = b^{2n}$.

The following example shows that the assumption “ $n \geq 2$ ” in Theorem 5.1 is the best possible.


Example 5.1. Let $f = a + b(1 - e^z)^3$ and $g = a + 3b(e^{-z} - e^{-2z})$, and let $S_1 = \{a + b\}$, $S_2 = \{a\}$, and $S_3 = \{\infty\}$, where a and $b (\neq 0)$ are constants.

The following example shows that the condition “ $E_f(S_3, 1) = E_g(S_3, 1)$ ” in Theorem 5.1 is the best possible.



Title Page

Contents



Page 21 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Example 5.2. Let $f = (e^{2z} + 1)^2 / (2e^z(e^{2z} - 1))$ and $g = 2ie^z(e^{2z} + 1) / (e^{2z} - 1)^2$, and let $S_1 = \{-1, 1\}$, $S_2 = \{0\}$, and $S_3 = \{\infty\}$. Then $E_f(S_1, \infty) = E_g(S_1, \infty)$, $E_f(S_2, 0) = E_g(S_2, 0)$, and $E_f(S_3, 0) = E_g(S_3, 0)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $F = ((f - a)/b)^n$ and $G = ((g - a)/b)^n$. Then F and G share $(1, 5)$, $(0, 1)$, and $(\infty, 3)$. Since $\overline{N}_1(r, 1/F) = \overline{N}_1(r, F) = 0$, it follows by (ii) in Corollary 1.5 that $F \equiv G$ or $F \cdot G \equiv 1$. From this, we deduce that Theorem 5.1 holds. ■

Similarly, from Corollary 1.5 we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Let S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 be defined as in Theorem K. If $E_f(S_1, 2) = E_g(S_1, 2)$, $E_f(S_2, 1) = E_g(S_2, 1)$, and $E_f(S_3, 0) = E_g(S_3, 0)$, then $f - a \equiv t(g - a)$, where $t^n = 1$, or $(f - a)(g - a) \equiv s$, where $s^n = b^{2n}$.


It is obvious that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 are improvements of Theorem K.

On the other hand, we can also obtain the following theorems.

Theorem 5.3. Let $S_1 = \{a + b, a + b\omega, \dots, a + b\omega^{n-1}\}$, $S_2 = \{a\}$, and $S_3 = \{\infty\}$, where $n (\geq 3)$ is an integer, a and $b (\neq 0)$ are constants, and $\omega = \cos(2\pi/n) + i \sin(2\pi/n)$. If $E_f(S_1, 2) = E_g(S_1, 2)$, $E_f(S_2, 0) = E_g(S_2, 0)$, and $E_f(S_3, 0) = E_g(S_3, 0)$, then $f - a \equiv t(g - a)$, where $t^n = 1$, or $(f - a)(g - a) \equiv s$, where $s^n = b^{2n}$.

Proof. Let $F = ((f - a)/b)^n$ and $G = ((g - a)/b)^n$. Note that $n \geq 3$. Then F and G share $(1, 8)$, $(0, 2)$, and $(\infty, 2)$. Since $\overline{N}_1(r, 1/F) = \overline{N}_1(r, F) = 0$, it follows by (i) in Corollary 1.5 that $F \equiv G$ or $F \cdot G \equiv 1$. From this, we deduce that Theorem 5.2 holds. ■

Theorem 5.4. Let $S_1 = \{a + b, a + b\omega, \dots, a + b\omega^{n-1}\}$, $S_2 = \{a\}$, and $S_3 = \{\infty\}$, where $n (\geq 3)$ is an integer, a and $b (\neq 0)$ are constants, and $\omega = \cos(2\pi/n) +$



Title Page

Contents



Page 22 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756


$i \sin(2\pi/n)$. If $E_f(S_1, 1) = E_g(S_1, 1)$, $E_f(S_2, 0) = E_g(S_2, 0)$, and $E_f(S_3, 1) = E_g(S_3, 1)$, then $f - a \equiv t(g - a)$, where $t^n = 1$, or $(f - a)(g - a) \equiv s$, where $s^n = b^{2n}$.

Proof. Let $F = ((f - a)/b)^n$ and $G = ((g - a)/b)^n$. Note that $n \geq 3$. Then F and G share $(1, 5)$, $(0, 2)$, and $(\infty, 3)$. Since $\overline{N}_1(r, 1/F) = \overline{N}_1(r, F) = 0$, it follows by (ii) in Corollary 1.5 that $F \equiv G$ or $F \cdot G \equiv 1$. From this, we deduce that Theorem 5.3 holds. ■

It is easy to see that Example 5.2 also shows that the assumption “ $n \geq 3$ ” in Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 is the best possible.

References

- [1] G. BROSCHE, *Eindeutigkeitsätze für meromorphe funktionen*, Thesis, Technical University of Aachen, 1989.
- [2] G.G. GUNDESEN, Meromorphic functions that share three or four values, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **20** (1979), 457-466.
- [3] W.K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [4] I. LAHIRI, Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **161** (2001), 193–206.
- [5] I. LAHIRI, Weighted sharing of three values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *Kodai Math. J.*, **24** (2001), 421–435.
- [6] I. LAHIRI, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, **46** (2001), 241–253.
- [7] I. LAHIRI, On a result of Ozawa concerning uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **271** (2002), 206–216.
- [8] I. LAHIRI, On a result of Ozawa concerning uniqueness of meromorphic functions II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **283** (2003), 66–76.
- [9] P. LI, Meromorphic functions sharing three values or sets CM, *Kodai Math. J.*, **21** (1998), 138–152.
- [10] R. NEVANLINNA, *Le Théorème de Picard-Borel et la Théorie Des Fonctions Méromorphes*, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1929.
- [11] M. OZAWA, Unicity theorems for entire functions, *J. D'Anal. Math.*, **30** (1976), 411–420.





Meromorphic Functions
Junfan Chen, Shouhua Shen and
Weichuan Lin

vol. 8, iss. 1, art. 19, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 23 of 24


Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



- [12] H. UEDA, Unicity theorems for meromorphic or entire functions II, *Kodai Math. J.*, **6** (1983), 26–36.
- [13] H. UEDA, On the zero-one-pole set of a meromorphic function II, *Kodai Math. J.*, **13** (1990), 134–142.
- [14] H.X. YI, Meromorphic functions sharing three values, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **9** (1988), 433–440.
- [15] H.X. YI, Meromorphic functions that share two or three values, *Kodai Math. J.*, **13** (1990), 363–372.
- [16] H.X. YI, Unicity theorems for meromorphic functions that share three values, *Kodai Math. J.*, **18** (1995), 300–314.
- [17] H.X. YI, Meromorphic functions that share three values, *Bull. Hong Kong Math. Soc.*, **2** (1998), 55–64.
- [18] H.X. YI, On some results of Lahiri, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **284** (2003), 481–495.
- [19] H.X. YI AND C.C. YANG, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions* (Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [20] Q.C. ZHANG, Meromorphic functions sharing three values, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **30** (1999), 667–682.

Title Page

Contents



Page 24 of 24

Go Back

Full Screen

Close