



GENERALIZED INTEGRAL OPERATOR AND MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

KHALIDA INAYAT NOOR

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
COMSATS INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN.
khalidanoor@hotmail.com

Received 20 February, 2005; accepted 02 March, 2005

Communicated by Th.M. Rassias

ABSTRACT. Let A(p) be the class of functions f : f(z) = z^p + sum_{j=1}^inf a_j z^{p+j} analytic in the open unit disc E. Let, for any integer n > -p, f_{n+p-1}(z) = z^p / (1-z)^{n+p}. We define f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)}(z) by using convolution * as f_{n+p-1}(z) * f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)}(z) = z^p / (1-z)^{n+p}. A function p, analytic in E with p(0) = 1, is in the class P_k(rho) if integral_0^{2pi} |Re p(z) - rho| / (p - rho) d theta <= k pi, where z = r e^{i theta}, k >= 2 and 0 <= rho < p. We use the class P_k(rho) to introduce a new class of multivalent analytic functions and define an integral operator I_{n+p-1}(f) = f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)} * f(z) for f(z) belonging to this class. We derive some interesting properties of this generalized integral operator which include inclusion results and radius problems.

Key words and phrases: Convolution (Hadamard product), Integral operator, Functions with positive real part, Convex functions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A(p) denote the class of functions f given by

f(z) = z^p + sum_{j=1}^inf a_j z^{p+j}, p in N = {1, 2, ...}

which are analytic in the unit disk E = {z : |z| < 1}. The Hadamard product or convolution (f * g) of two functions with

f(z) = z^p + sum_{j=1}^inf a_{j,1} z^{p+j} and g(z) = z^p + sum_{j=1}^inf z^{p+j}

is given by

$$(f \star g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j,1} a_{j,2} z^{p+j}.$$

The integral operator $I_{n+p-1} : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ is defined as follows, see [2].

For any integer n greater than $-p$, let $f_{n+p-1}(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}}$ and let $f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)}(z)$ be defined such that

$$(1.1) \quad f_{n+p-1}(z) \star f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)}(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+1}}.$$

Then

$$(1.2) \quad I_{n+p-1}f(z) = f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)}(z) \star f(z) = \left[\frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} \right]^{(-1)} \star f(z).$$

From (1.1) and (1.2) and a well known identity for the Ruscheweyh derivative [1, 8], it follows that

$$(1.3) \quad z(I_{n+p}f(z))' = (n+p)I_{n+p-1}f(z) - nI_{n+p}f(z).$$

For $p = 1$, the identity (1.3) is given by Noor and Noor [3].

Let $P_k(\rho)$ be the class of functions $p(z)$ analytic in E satisfying the properties $p(0) = 1$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re} p(z) - \rho}{p - \rho} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi,$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \rho < p$. For $p = 1$, this class was introduced in [5] and for $\rho = 0$, see [6]. For $\rho = 0$, $k = 2$, we have the well known class P of functions with positive real part and the class $k = 2$ gives us the class $P(\rho)$ of functions with positive real part greater than ρ . Also from (1.4), we note that $p \in P_k(\rho)$ if and only if there exist $p_1, p_2 \in P_k(\rho)$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) p_2(z).$$

It is known [4] that the class $P_k(\rho)$ is a convex set.

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$. Then $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ if and only if

$$\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{z^p} \right] \in P_k(\rho),$$

for $\alpha \geq 0, n > -p, 0 \leq \rho < p, k \geq 2$ and $z \in E$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. Let $p(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots \in P(\rho)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 2\rho - 1 + \frac{2(1-\rho)}{1+|z|}.$$

This result is well known.

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). If $p(z)$ is analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$ and if λ_1 is a complex number satisfying $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 \geq 0$, ($\lambda_1 \neq 0$), then $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z) + \lambda_1 zp'(z)\} > \beta$ ($0 \leq \beta < p$) implies

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \beta + (1-\beta)(2\gamma_1 - 1),$$

where γ_1 is given by

$$\gamma_1 = \int_0^1 (1 + t^{\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1})^{-1} dt.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([9]). *If $p(z)$ is analytic in E , $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in E$, then for any function F analytic in E , the function $p \star F$ takes values in the convex hull of the image E under F .*

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho_1)$ and $g \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho_2)$, and let $F = f \star g$. Then $F \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho_3)$ where*

$$(3.1) \quad \rho_3 = 1 - 4(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2) \left[1 - \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\left(\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}\right)-1}}{1+u} du \right].$$

This results is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho_1)$, it follows that

$$H(z) = \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{z^p} \right] \in P_k(\rho_1),$$

and so using (1.3), we have

$$(3.2) \quad I_{n+p}f(z) = \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} z^{-\left(\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} H(t) dt.$$

Similarly

$$(3.3) \quad I_{n+p}g(z) = \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} z^{-\left(\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} H^*(t) dt,$$

where $H^* \in P_k(\rho_2)$.

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$(3.4) \quad I_{n+p}F(z) = \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} z^{-\left(\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} Q(t) dt,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(z) &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) q_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) q_2(z) \\ &= \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} z^{-\left(\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} (H \star H^*)(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} H(z) &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) h_2(z) \\ H(z)^* &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) h_1^*(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) h_2^*(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_i \in P(\rho_1)$ and $h_i^* \in P(\rho_2)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Since

$$p_i^*(z) = \frac{h_i^*(z) - \rho_2}{2(1 - \rho_2)} + \frac{1}{2} \in P\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we obtain that $(h_i \star p_i^*)(z) \in P(\rho_1)$, by using the Herglotz formula.

Thus

$$(h_i \star h_i^*)(z) \in P(\rho_3)$$

with

$$(3.7) \quad \rho_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2).$$

Using (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} q_i(z) &= \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} \operatorname{Re}\{(h_i \star h_i^*)(uz)\} du \\ &\geq \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} \left(2\rho_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\rho_3)}{1+u|z|} \right) du \\ &> \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} \left(2\rho_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\rho_3)}{1+u} \right) du \\ &= 1 - 4(1-\rho_1)(1-\rho_2) \left[1 - \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1}}{1+u} du \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude that $F \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho_3)$, where ρ_3 is given by (3.1).

We discuss the sharpness as follows:

We take

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho_1)z}{1 - z} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1 - (1 - 2\rho_1)z}{1 + z}, \\ H^*(z) &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho_2)z}{1 - z} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1 - (1 - 2\rho_2)z}{1 + z}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho_1)z}{1 - z} \right) \star \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho_2)z}{1 - z} \right) = 1 - 4(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2) + \frac{4(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)}{1 - z},$$

it follows from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} q_i(z) &= \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1} \left\{ 1 - 4(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2) + \frac{4(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)}{1 - uz} \right\} du \\ &\longrightarrow 1 - 4(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2) \left\{ 1 - \frac{n+p}{1-\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{n+p}{1-\alpha}-1}}{1+u} du \right\} \quad \text{as } z \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We define $J_c : \mathcal{A}(p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad J_c(f) = \frac{c+p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt,$$

where c is real and $c > -p$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ and $J_c(f)$ be given by (3.8). If

$$(3.9) \quad \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p} f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p} J_c(f)}{z^p} \right] \in P_k(\rho),$$

then

$$\left\{ \frac{I_{n+p} J_c(f)}{z^p} \right\} \in P_k(\gamma), \quad z \in E$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma &= \rho(1 - \rho)(2\sigma - 1) \\ \sigma &= \int_0^1 \left[1 + t^{\operatorname{Re} \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda+p}} \right]^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (3.8), we have

$$(c + p)I_{n+p}f(z) = cI_{n+p}J_c(f) + z(I_{n+p}J_c(f))'.$$

Let

$$(3.11) \quad H_c(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) s_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) s_2(z) = \frac{I_{n+p}J_c(f)}{z^p}.$$

From (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}J_c(f)}{z^p} \right] = \left[H_c(z) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\lambda + p} z H_c'(z) \right]$$

and consequently

$$\left[s_i(z) + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\lambda + p} z s_i'(z) \right] \in P(\rho), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have $\operatorname{Re}\{s_i(z)\} > \gamma$ where γ is given by (3.10). Thus

$$H_c(z) = \frac{I_{n+p}J_c(f)}{z^p} \in P_k(\gamma)$$

and this completes the proof. □

Let

$$(3.12) \quad J_n(f(z)) := J_n(f) = \frac{n + p}{z^p} \int_0^z t^{n-1} f(t) dt.$$

Then

$$I_{n+p-1}J_n(f) = I_{n+p}(f),$$

and we have the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n + 1, \rho)$. Then $J_n(f) \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ for $z \in E$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\phi \in C_p$, where C_p is the class of p -valent convex functions, and let $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$. Then $\phi \star f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ for $z \in E$.*

Proof. Let $G = \phi \star f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p-1}G(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}G(z)}{z^p} &= (1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p-1}(\phi \star f)(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}(\phi \star f)(z)}{z^p} \\ &= \frac{\phi(z)}{z^p} \star \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{z^p} \right] \\ &= \frac{\phi(z)}{z^p} \star H(z), \quad H \in P_k(\rho) \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ (p - \rho) \left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z^p} \star h_1(z) \right) + \rho \right\} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ (p - \rho) \left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z^p} \star h_2(z) \right) + \rho \right\}, \quad h_1, h_2 \in P. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi \in C_p$, $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\phi(z)}{z^p} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}$, $z \in E$ and so using Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $G \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$. □

3.1. Applications.

(1) We can write $J_c(f)$ defined by (3.8) as

$$J_c(f) = \phi_c \star f,$$

where ϕ_c is given by

$$\phi_c(z) = \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} \frac{p+c}{m+c} z^m, \quad (c > -p)$$

and $\phi_c \in C_p$. Therefore, from Theorem 3.4, it follows that $J_c(f) \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$.

(2) Let $J_n(f)$, defined by (3.12), belong to $T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$. Then $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ for $|z| < r_n = \frac{(1+n)}{2+\sqrt{3+n^2}}$. In fact, $J_n(f) = \Psi_n \star f$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(z) &= z^p + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+j-1}{n+1} z^{j+p-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \frac{z^p}{1-z} + \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and $\Psi_n \in C_p$ for

$$|z| < r_n = \frac{1+n}{2+\sqrt{3+n^2}}.$$

Now $I_{n+p-1}J_n(f) = \Psi_n \star I_{n+p-1}f$, and using Theorem 3.4, we obtain the result.

Theorem 3.5. For $0 \leq \alpha_2 < \alpha_1$, $T_k(\alpha_1, p, n, \rho) \subset T_k(\alpha_2, p, n, \rho)$, $z \in E$.

Proof. For $\alpha_2 = 0$, the proof is immediate. Let $\alpha_2 > 0$ and let $f \in T_k(\alpha_1, p, n, \rho)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\alpha_2) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha_2 \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{z^p} \\ + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} - 1 \right) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + (1-\alpha_1) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha_1 \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} \right] \\ = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \right) H_1(z) + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} H_2(z), \quad H_1, H_2 \in P_k(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Since $P_k(\rho)$ is a convex set, we conclude that $f \in T_k(\alpha_2, p, n, \rho)$ for $z \in E$. □

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in T_k(0, p, n, \rho)$. Then $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ for

$$|z| < r_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\alpha + \sqrt{4\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}, \quad \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\alpha(z) &= (1-\alpha) \frac{z^p}{1-z} + \alpha \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^2} \\ &= z^p + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (1+(m-1)\alpha) z^{m+p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\Psi_\alpha \in C_p$ for

$$|z| < r_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\alpha + \sqrt{4\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}} \quad \left(\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \right)$$

We can write

$$\left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{z^p} \right] = \frac{\Psi_\alpha(z)}{z^p} \star \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{z^p}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.4, we see that $f \in T_k(\alpha, p, n, \rho)$ for $|z| < r_\alpha$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. GOEL AND N.S. SOHI, A new criterion for p -valent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1980), 353–357.
- [2] J.L. LIU AND K. INAYAT NOOR, Some properties of Noor integral operator, *J. Natural Geometry*, **21** (2002), 81–90.
- [3] K. INAYAT NOOR AND M.A. NOOR, On integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **238** (1999), 341–352.
- [4] K. INAYAT NOOR, On subclasses of close-to-convex functions of higher order, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **15**(1992), 279–290.
- [5] K.S. PADMANABHAN AND R. PARVATHAM, Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **31** (1975), 311–323.
- [6] B. PINCHUK, Functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Isr. J. Math.*, **10** (1971), 7–16.
- [7] S. PONNUSAMY, Differential subordination and Bazilevic functions, Preprint.
- [8] S. RUSCHEWEYH, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [9] R. SINGH AND S. SINGH, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **106** (1989), 145–152.