



# Rankin-Cohen Brackets and Invariant Theory

Y. CHOIE\*

*Department of Math. POSTECH, Pohang, Korea*

yjc@vision.postech.ac.kr

B. MOURRAIN

*INRIA Sophia Route des Lucioles, 06 903 Sophia-Antipolis, France*

mourrain@sophia.inria.fr

P. SOLÉ

*CNRS-I3S, ESSI, BP 145, Route des Colles, 06 903 Sophia-Antipolis, France*

ps@essi.fr

*Received March 24, 1999; Revised March 10, 2000*

**Abstract.** Using maps due to Ozeki and Broué-Enguehard between graded spaces of invariants for certain finite groups and the algebra of modular forms of even weight we equip these invariants spaces with a differential operator which gives them the structure of a Rankin-Cohen algebra. A direct interpretation of the Rankin-Cohen bracket in terms of transvectant for the group  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  is given.

**Keywords:** Rankin-Cohen brackets, Ozeki and Broué-Enguehard maps, invariants, codes

## 1. Introduction

Classically, there are many interesting connections between differential operators and the theory of elliptic modular forms and many interesting results with generalizations have been explored (see [3, 11, 15]). For instance, in 1975 H. Cohen constructed certain covariant bilinear operators which he used to obtain modular forms with interesting Fourier coefficients [4]. Later, these operators were called Rankin-Cohen operators by D. Zagier who studied the algebraic relations they satisfy [15]. Furthermore, Rankin-Cohen operators appear as the various terms in the expansion of the composition of two symbols in a certain symbolic calculus associated with  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  [14]. On the other hand, there are well-known maps [2, 1, 10] between algebras of homogeneous invariants of certain finite groups graded by the degree and the algebra of modular forms for the full modular group graded by the weight; this is related to coding constructions of lattices (see [5, 6, 12]), a binary (resp. ternary) code of length  $n$  yielding a modular form of weight  $n/2$  (resp.  $n$ ). In that context it was natural to look for a differential operator acting on polynomials which would attach to a pair of invariant polynomials of respective degree  $m, n$  an invariant polynomial of degree  $m + n + 4v$ , in the binary codes case or  $m + n + 2v$  in the ternary codes case.

This program is achieved in the present article by using an analogue of the derivation of order 2 in [15, Eq. (33)]. In each algebra of invariants considered we introduce a differential

\*Partially supported by Com2Mac and POSTECH research fund.

operator which sends invariants of degree  $n$  on invariants of degree  $n + 4$ , or  $n + 2$  in the ternary case.

Independently of these considerations an explanation of the analogy between Rankin-Cohen brackets and transvectants of invariant theory already noticed in [15] is given. A deep analogy—different from the BE maps—between modular forms and homogeneous polynomials is emphasized. Homogeneous polynomials in two variables transform under the action of  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  like modular forms of weight  $-n$

## 2. Invariants and modular forms

First we recall some basic facts and notations on modular forms. Denote  $E_2(\tau)$ ,  $E_4(\tau)$ ,  $E_6(\tau)$  the Eisenstein series of order 2, 4, 6 and the cusp form  $\Delta(\tau)$  of weight 12 as

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(\tau) &= 1 - 24 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(m)q^m, \\ E_4(\tau) &= 1 + 240 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(m)q^m \\ E_6(\tau) &= 1 - 504 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(m)q^m, \\ \Delta(\tau) &= q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24} \end{aligned}$$

where, as usual  $q = \exp(2\pi i \tau)$ , and the sum of  $r^{\text{th}}$  power of divisor function is  $\sigma_r(m) := \sum_{d|m} d^r$ . As is well-known  $E_4$ ,  $E_6$  are modular forms of weight 4 and 6 but  $E_2$  is not. The following result is due to Hecke.

**Theorem 1** *The algebra of modular forms of weight multiple of 4 is  $\mathbf{C}[E_4, \Delta]$ . The algebra of modular forms of even weight is  $\mathbf{C}[E_4, E_6]$ .*

Next, we define the invariant counterpart of the preceding situation. If a finite group  $G$  acts by linear substitution on  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$  then we shall denote by  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]^G$  the algebra of invariant homogeneous polynomials in the variables  $x, y$ , and by  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]_k^G$  the degree  $k$  part of the preceding. Let  $M_2, N_2$  denote the following 2 by 2 matrices

$$M_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

These two matrices generate a matrix group  $H_2$  of order 192. There is a subgroup  $G_2 \leq H_2$  of index 2 defined as the kernel of the following linear character defined on the generators of  $H_2$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(M_2) &= -1 \\ \chi(N_2) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Define the following invariants for the group  $\langle M_2, N_2 \rangle$  of degree 8 and 24, respectively:

$$\psi_8 = x^8 + 14x^4y^4 + y^8,$$

and

$$v_{24} = x^4y^4(x^4 - y^4)^4.$$

It is well-known and easy to check by computer that

$$\mathbf{C}[x, y]^{H_2} = \mathbf{C}[\psi_8, v_{24}].$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathbf{C}[x, y]^{G_2} = \mathbf{C}[\psi_8, k_{12}],$$

where  $k_{12}$  is an invariant introduced by Klein [6]

$$k_{12} := x^{12} - 33(x^8y^4 + x^4y^8) + y^{12}.$$

The following result is due to Broué-Enguehard [2].

**Theorem 2** *The map*

$$\phi_1 : \mathbf{C}[\psi_8, v_{24}] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[E_4, \Delta]$$

*defined by*

$$\phi_1(h(\psi_8, v_{24})) = h(E_4, \Delta)$$

*is an algebra isomorphism.*

The range of this map was extended recently by Ozeki [10] to the ring of even weight modular forms.

**Theorem 3** *The map*

$$\phi_2 : \mathbf{C}[\psi_8, k_{12}] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[E_4, E_6]$$

defined by

$$\phi_2(h(\psi_8, k_{12})) = h(E_4, E_6)$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

A similar result also due to Broué-Enguehard [2] uses the group  $G_3 := \langle M_3, N_3 \rangle$  where

$$M_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $j$  a complex cubic root of unity. It turns out that  $G_3$  is abstractly isomorphic to  $SL_2(\mathbf{F}_3)$  [6]. Primary invariants for  $G_3$  are

$$\psi_4 := x^4 + 8xy^3,$$

as well as

$$k_6 := x^6 - 20x^3y^3 - 8y^6.$$

It is known that

$$\mathbf{C}[x, y]^{G_3} = \mathbf{C}[\psi_4, k_6].$$

**Theorem 4** *The map*

$$\phi_3 : \mathbf{C}[\psi_4, k_6] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[E_4, E_6]$$

given by

$$\phi_3(h(\psi_4, k_6)) = h(E_4, E_6)$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

### 3. Rankin-Cohen brackets

We begin by recalling the setting of [15]. Let  $f(\tau)$  (resp.  $g(\tau)$ ) denote a modular form of weight  $k$  (resp.  $l$ ). Let  $D$  be the differential operator  $q \frac{d}{dq}$ . Consider, following [15, (21) p. 63] the homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  in two variables  $X, Y$

$$H_\nu(k, l; X, Y) := \sum_{r+s=\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu+k-1}{s} \binom{\nu+l-1}{r} X^r Y^s.$$

The Rankin-Cohen bracket of index  $\nu$  can then be expressed as

$$[f, g]_\nu := H_\nu(k, l; D_{\tau_1}, D_{\tau_2})(f(\tau_1)g(\tau_2)) |_{\tau_1=\tau_2=\tau}$$

In view of the maps defined in the preceding section it is natural, from a categorical standpoint, to define the Rankin-Cohen bracket of two polynomials as the preimage of the RC bracket of their respective images. Specifically, two invariants  $K, L$  of degree  $2k$  and  $2l$  being given we define their RC bracket as

$$\langle K, L \rangle_\nu := \phi_j^{-1}([\phi_j(K), \phi_j(L)]_\nu),$$

for  $j = 2, 3$ . In order to treat the two groups  $G_2, G_3$  in a unified manner we view the respective invariants as polynomials in two variables  $Q, R$  say which will be the two generators of the invariant algebra namely the pair  $(\psi_8, k_{12}), (\psi_4, k_6)$ .

First note that, by the modularity of the Rankin-Cohen bracket if  $f, g$  are modular forms of even weight then so is  $[f, g]_n$  for all integers  $n$ . Therefore  $[f, g]_n$  will be in  $\mathbf{C}[E_4, E_6]$ . It is known that on that space the derivation  $D$  acts by the formula [15, (32)]

$$Df = \frac{k}{12}E_2f + \delta(f)$$

where  $k$  is the weight of  $f$  and  $\delta(f)$  is a derivation of order 2 on the algebra  $\mathbf{C}[E_4, E_6]$ . Define  $\mathcal{D}$  the invariant analogue of the derivation  $\delta$  as

$$\mathcal{D} := -\frac{R}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q} - \frac{Q^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R}$$

With this notation indeed we have for  $h \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]_n^{G_j}$  the relation

$$\delta(\phi_j(h)) = \phi_j(\mathcal{D}(h))$$

for  $j = 1, 2$ . We are now in a position to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5** *The Rankin-Cohen bracket for  $G_2$ -invariants  $K, L$  of respective degrees  $2k, 2l$  (or  $G_3$  invariants of degree  $k, l$ ) is given by*

$$\langle K, L \rangle_\nu = \sum_{r+s=\nu} \binom{\nu+k-1}{s} \binom{\nu+l-1}{r} f_r g_s$$

where  $f_r$  and  $g_s$  are defined recursively by the recurrences

$$f_{r+1} = \mathcal{D}(f_r) - \frac{Q}{144} r(r+k-1) f_{r-1}$$

and

$$g_{s+1} = \mathcal{D}(g_s) - \frac{Q}{144}s(s+l-1)g_{s-1}$$

with initial conditions  $f_0 = K$ ,  $g_0 = L$ .

**Proof:** Follows by the preceding discussion from [15, Prop. 1] with  $\phi = E_2/12$ ,  $\Phi = -E_4/144$ .  $\square$

This somewhat abstract formulation can be made more explicit in each of the two cases at hand by going back to variables  $x, y$ . We will give detailed calculations for  $G_2$  and a sketch for  $G_3$ .

**Corollary 1** *The Rankin-Cohen bracket of order  $v$  for  $K \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]_{2k}^{G_2}$ , and  $L \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]_{2l}^{G_2}$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]_{2k+2l+4v}^{G_2}$ , given by the expression in Theorem 5 where*

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{24} \left( x(5y^4 - x^4) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y(5x^4 - y^4) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

**Proof:** Let  $h(x, y)$  be an arbitrary invariant in  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]^{G_2}$ . To express  $\mathcal{D}$  in variables  $x, y$  we write  $h(x, y) = \hat{h}(Q, R)$  and differentiate on both sides to get

$$\partial h / \partial x dx + \partial h / \partial y dy = \partial \hat{h} / \partial Q dQ + \partial \hat{h} / \partial R dR.$$

After expressing  $dx, dy$  as a function of  $dQ, dR$  and identifying coefficients of  $dQ, dR$  on both sides we get

$$\begin{aligned} J \partial \hat{h} / \partial Q &= \partial h / \partial x \partial R / \partial y - \partial h / \partial y \partial R / \partial x \\ J \partial \hat{h} / \partial R &= \partial h / \partial y \partial Q / \partial x - \partial h / \partial x \partial Q / \partial y \end{aligned}$$

where  $J := \partial Q / \partial x \partial R / \partial y - \partial Q / \partial y \partial R / \partial x$ , the determinant of the Jacobian matrix for the change of variables. For convenience set  $F := Q^3 - R^2$ . Then it can be shown, after plugging the preceding expressions into its definition that the derivation operator can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{D} = (\partial F / \partial y \partial / \partial x - \partial F / \partial x \partial / \partial y) / 6J$$

Clearly this part of the calculation does not depend on the special BE map under consideration. Now we specialize to  $Q = \psi_8$ ,  $R = k_{12}$  to get

$$J = -1728x^3y^3(x^4 - y^4)^3,$$

and

$$F = 108x^4y^4(x^4 - y^4)^4.$$

Therefrom we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial F / \partial x &= 432x^3y^4(5x^4 - y^4)(x^4 - y^4)^3 \\ \partial F / \partial y &= 432x^4y^3(x^4 - 5y^4)(x^4 - y^4)^3.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

For instance this yields  $\mathcal{D}(\psi_8) = -k_{12}/3$  and  $\mathcal{D}(k_{12}) = -\frac{\psi_8^2}{2}$ . The analogue of the preceding for  $G_3$  is:

**Corollary 2** *The Rankin-Cohen bracket of order  $\nu$  for  $K \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]_k^{G_3}$ , and  $L \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]_l^{G_3}$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]_{k+l+2\nu}^{G_3}$ , given by the expression in Theorem 5 where*

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{12} \left( (-x^3 + 4y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 3x^2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

**Proof:** We specialize the preceding to  $Q = \psi_4$  and  $R = k_6$  to get

$$J = -384y^2(x^3 - y^3)^2,$$

and

$$F = 64y^3(x^3 - y^3)^3.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial F / \partial x &= 576x^2y^3(x^3 - y^3)^2 \\ \partial F / \partial y &= 192y^2(x^3 - 4y^3)(x^3 - y^3)^2.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

For instance this yields  $\mathcal{D}(\psi_4) = -k_6/3$ .

#### 4. Transvectants

The relationship between transvectants and Rankin Cohen brackets is stated as an open problem in [15, §7] and as immediate in [7, p. 102]. In this section we make this connection explicit. Recall from [7, p. 99] Cayley's so-called  $\Omega$  process:

$$\Omega := \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} & \partial_{y_1} \\ \partial_{x_2} & \partial_{y_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

a second order differential operator in 4 variables  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2$ . To quote [13] "this operator plays the role for  $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$  of the Reynolds operator for finite groups" (in our case  $n = 2$ ). The  $r^{\text{th}}$  transvectant of two functions  $U$  and  $V$  is defined in [7, (3.99), p. 99] as

$$(U, V)_r := \Omega^r (U(x_1, y_1)V(x_2, y_2)) \big|_{x_i=x, y_i=y}$$

According to [15, p. 63] the polynomials  $H_n(k, l; X, Y)$  admit the alternative expression

$$H_n(k, l; X, Y) := \frac{1}{n!} \left( \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\xi & X \\ \partial_\eta & Y \end{pmatrix} \right)^n (\xi^{n+k-1} \eta^{n+l-1}) \Big|_{\xi=\eta=1}$$

Plugging these two expressions into the definition of the Rankin-Cohen brackets we obtain

$$[f, g]_n = \frac{1}{n!} (U, V)_n \Big|_{x=\tau, y=1},$$

where  $U, V$  are defined as a function of  $f, g$  as

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, y) &:= y^{n+k-1} f(x) \\ V(x, y) &:= y^{n+l-1} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

With these notations in mind we obtain a new proof of the modularity of the Rankin-Cohen bracket.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $f, g$  be modular forms of weight  $k, l$  for some group  $\Gamma \subseteq SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ . Then for any integer  $n \geq 0$  we have that  $[f, g]_n$  is a modular form of weight  $k + l + 2n$  for  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof:** The relative invariance by  $\Gamma$  follows by noticing like in [7, Proof of Thm 3.45] the equivariance of  $\Omega$  under linear change of variables. The computation of weight of the forms comes from the fact that the operator  $D$  increases the weight by 2.  $\square$

The fact that the weight of the Rankin-Cohen bracket of two forms is  $k + l + 2n$  instead of  $k + l - 2n$  for the transvectant of order  $n$  of two homogeneous polynomials of degrees  $k, l$  is simply explained if one compares the functional equation of modular forms and the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  on an homogeneous polynomial  $H$  of degree  $n$  in variables  $x, y$  given by [7, Eq. 3.9]

$$H((ap + b)/(cp + d)) = (cp + d)^{-n} H(p),$$

with  $p = x/y$ . Formally this is the transformation law of a modular form of weight  $-n$ . The weight of a RC bracket is therefore  $-k - l - 2r = -(k + l + 2r)$  as it should. This suggests an alternative formula for the Rankin-Cohen bracket: the projective formula for transvectant as in [7, Thm 3.46].

**Theorem 7** *Let  $f, g$  be modular forms of weights  $-m, -n$ . Then the Rankin-Cohen bracket of order  $r$  is*

$$[f, g]_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \frac{(m-k)! (n-r+k)!}{(m-r)! (n-r)!} D^{r-k} f D^k g,$$

where for an integer  $N$  we set  $(-N)! = (-1)^N N!$ .



**Proof:** The proof follows after some algebra from [15, (1)]:

$$[f, g]_v = \sum_{r+s=v} (-1)^r \binom{v+k-1}{s} \binom{v+l-1}{r} D^s f D^r g,$$

by letting  $n = r$ ,  $r = k$ ,  $s = r - k$ ,  $k = -m$ ,  $l = -n$ . □

## 5. Conclusion

Since the times of Klein [6] the analogies between invariant theory and modular forms have emerged. We explore these similarities for Rankin-Cohen brackets. Klein's approach was developed in modern times by Broué and Enguehard [2] in relation with coding theory. This aspect is reflected in the first part of the paper. In §4 we develop another approach where a polynomial of degree  $d$  transforms under the action of  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  like a modular form of weight  $-d$ . This explains the similarity between Rankin-Cohen brackets and transvectants as observed in [15].

## Acknowledgment

The last author is indebted to Peter Olver for helpful discussions.

## References

1. E. Bannai and M. Ozeki, "Construction of Jacobi forms from certain combinatorial polynomials," *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **72** (1996), 12–15.
2. M. Broué and M. Enguehard, "Polynômes des poids de certains codes et fonctions theta de certains réseaux," *Ann. Sc. ENS.* **5** (1972), 157–181.
3. Y. Choie and W. Eholzer, "Rankin-Cohen operators of Jacobi and Siegel forms," *Journal of Number Theory* **68**(2) (1998), 160–177.
4. H. Cohen, "Sums involving the values at negative integers of L-functions of quadratic characters," *Math. Ann.* **217** (1975), 271–285.
5. J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed., Springer Verlag, New York, 1999.
6. W. Ebeling, *Lattices and Codes*, Vieweg & John, Braunschweig, 1994.
7. P.J. Olver, *Equivalence, Invariants and Symmetry*, Cambridge, 1995.
8. P.J. Olver, *Classical Invariant Theory*, Cambridge, 1999.
9. P.J. Olver and J.A. Sanders, Transvectants, Modular forms and the Heisenberg Algebra, Preprint, 1999.
10. M. Ozeki, "On the notion of Jacobi polynomials for codes," *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **121** (1997), 15–30.
11. R.A. Rankin, "The construction of automorphic forms from the derivatives of given forms," *Michigan Math. Jour.* **4** (1957), 181–186.
12. P. Solé, "Codes and modular forms," *proceeding of KIAS number theory conference*, submitted.
13. B. Sturmfels, *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*, Springer, 1993.
14. A. Unterberger and J. Unterberger, "Algebras of symbols and modular forms," *Jour. d'Analyse Math.* **68** (1996), 121–143.
15. D. Zagier, "Modular forms and differential operators," *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **104**(1) (1994), 57–75.