

Research Article

Existence Results for a Nonlinear Semipositone Telegraph System with Repulsive Weak Singular Forces

Fanglei Wang,¹ Yunhai Wang,² and Yukun An³

¹ College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China

² College of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China

³ Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Fanglei Wang, leizi1123@163.com

Received 10 September 2011; Accepted 9 November 2011

Academic Editor: Sebastian Anita

Copyright © 2011 Fanglei Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Using the fixed point theorem of cone expansion/compression, we consider the existence results of positive solutions for a nonlinear semipositone telegraph system with repulsive weak singular forces.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the nonlinear telegraph system:

$$\begin{aligned}u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_1 u_t + a_1(t, x)u &= f(t, x, v), \\v_{tt} - v_{xx} + c_2 v_t + a_2(t, x)v &= g(t, x, u),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

with doubly periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}u(t + 2\pi, x) &= u(t, x + 2\pi) = u(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\v(t + 2\pi, x) &= v(t, x + 2\pi) = v(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

In particular, the function $f(t, x, v)$ may be singular at $v = 0$ or superlinear at $v = +\infty$, and $g(t, x, u)$ may be singular at $u = 0$ or superlinear at $u = +\infty$.

In the latter years, the periodic problem for the semilinear singular equation

$$x'' + a(t)x = \frac{b(t)}{x^\lambda} + c(t), \quad (1.3)$$

with $a, b, c \in L^1[0, T]$ and $\lambda > 0$, has received the attention of many specialists in differential equations. The main methods to study (1.3) are the following three common techniques:

- (i) the obtainment of a priori bounds for the possible solutions and then the applications of topological degree arguments;
- (ii) the theory of upper and lower solutions;
- (iii) some fixed point theorems in a cone.

We refer the readers to see [1–7] and the references therein.

Equation (1.3) is related to the stationary version of the telegraph equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + cu_t + \lambda u = f(t, x, u), \quad (1.4)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Because of its important physical background, the existence of periodic solutions for a single telegraph equation or telegraph system has been studied by many authors; see [8–16]. Recently, Wang utilize a weak force condition to enable the achievement of new existence criteria for positive doubly periodic solutions of nonlinear telegraph system through a basic application of Schauder's fixed point theorem in [17]. Inspired by these papers, here our interest is in studying the existence of positive doubly periodic solutions for a semipositone nonlinear telegraph system with repulsive weak singular forces by using the fixed point theorem of cone expansion/compression.

Lemma 1.1 (see [18]). *Let E be a Banach space, and let $K \subset E$ be a cone in E . Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of E with $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$, and let $T : K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$ be a completely continuous operator such that either*

- (i) $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- (ii) $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then, T has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, some preliminaries are given; in Section 3, we give the main results.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{T}^2 be the torus defined as

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = \left(\frac{\mathbb{R}}{2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \right) \times \left(\frac{\mathbb{R}}{2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Doubly 2π -periodic functions will be identified to be functions defined on \mathbb{T}^2 . We use

the notations

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}^2), C(\mathbb{T}^2), C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2), D(\mathbb{T}^2) = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2), \dots \quad (2.2)$$

to denote the spaces of doubly periodic functions with the indicated degree of regularity. The space $D'(\mathbb{T}^2)$ denotes the space of distributions on \mathbb{T}^2 .

By a doubly periodic solution of (1.1)-(1.2) we mean that a $(u, v) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \times L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ satisfies (1.1)-(1.2) in the distribution sense; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u(\varphi_{tt} - \varphi_{xx} - c_1\varphi_t + a_1(t, x)\varphi) dt dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(t, x, v)\varphi dt dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} v(\varphi_{tt} - \varphi_{xx} - c_2\varphi_t + a_2(t, x)\varphi) dt dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} g(t, x, u)\varphi dt dx, \end{aligned} \quad \forall \varphi \in D(\mathbb{T}^2). \quad (2.3)$$

First, we consider the linear equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_i u_t - \lambda_i u = h_i(t, x), \quad \text{in } D'(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad (2.4)$$

where $c_i > 0$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h_i(t, x) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$, ($i = 1, 2$).

Let \mathcal{E}_{λ_i} be the differential operator

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda_i} = u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_i u_t - \lambda_i u, \quad (2.5)$$

acting on functions on \mathbb{T}^2 . Following the discussion in [14], we know that if $\lambda_i < 0$, then \mathcal{E}_{λ_i} has the resolvent R_{λ_i} :

$$R_{\lambda_i} : L^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad h_i \longmapsto u_i, \quad (2.6)$$

where u_i is the unique solution of (2.4), and the restriction of R_{λ_i} on $L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) or $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is compact. In particular, $R_{\lambda_i} : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a completely continuous operator.

For $\lambda_i = -c_i^2/4$, the Green function $G_i(t, x)$ of the differential operator \mathcal{E}_{λ_i} is explicitly expressed; see lemma 5.2 in [14]. From the definition of $G_i(t, x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{G}_i &:= \text{ess inf } G_i(t, x) = \frac{e^{-3c_i\pi/2}}{(1 - e^{-c_i\pi})^2}, \\ \overline{G}_i &:= \text{ess sup } G_i(t, x) = \frac{(1 + e^{-c_i\pi})}{2(1 - e^{-c_i\pi})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Let E denote the Banach space $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with the norm $\|u\| = \max_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{T}^2} |u(t, x)|$, then E is an ordered Banach space with cone

$$K_0 = \left\{ u \in E \mid u(t, x) \geq 0, \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

For convenience, we assume that the following condition holds throughout this paper:

(H1) $a_i(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $0 < a_i(t, x) \leq c_i^2/4$ for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$, and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a_i(t, x) dt dx > 0$.

Next, we consider (2.4) when $-\lambda_i$ is replaced by $a_i(t, x)$. In [10], Li has proved the following unique existence and positive estimate result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $h_i(t, x) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$; E is the Banach space $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then; (2.4) has a unique solution $u_i = P_i h_i$; $P_i : L^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a linear bounded operator with the following properties;*

- (i) $P_i : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a completely continuous operator;
- (ii) if $h_i(t, x) > 0$, then a.e. $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$, $P_i[h_i(t, x)]$ has the positive estimate

$$\underline{G}_i \|h_i\|_{L^1} \leq P_i[h_i(t, x)] \leq \frac{\overline{G}_i}{\underline{G}_i \|a_i\|_{L^1}} \|h_i\|_{L^1}. \quad (2.9)$$

3. Main Result

In this section, we establish the existence of positive solutions for the telegraph system

$$\begin{aligned} v_{tt} - v_{xx} + c_1 v_t + a_1(t, x)v &= f(t, x, u), \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + c_2 v_t + a_2(t, x)v &= g(t, x, u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $a_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $f(t, x, v)$ may be singular at $v = 0$. In particular, $f(t, x, v)$ may be negative or superlinear at $v = +\infty$. $g(t, x, u)$ has the similar assumptions. Our interest is in working out what weak force conditions of $f(t, x, v)$ at $v = 0$, $g(t, x, u)$ at $u = 0$ and what superlinear growth conditions of $f(t, x, v)$ at $v = +\infty$, $g(t, x, u)$ at $u = +\infty$ are needed to obtain the existence of positive solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.2).

We assume the following conditions throughout.

(H2) $f, g : \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$f_1(t, x, u) + M \geq 0, \quad f_2(t, x, u) + M \geq 0, \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \text{ and } u, v \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.2)$$

(H3) $F(t, x, v) = f(t, x, v) + M \leq j_1(v) + h_1(v)$ for $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ with $j_1 > 0$ continuous and nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$, $h_1 \geq 0$ continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and h_1/j_1 nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

$G(t, x, u) = g(t, x, u) + M \leq j_2(u) + h_2(u)$ for $(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ with $j_2 > 0$ continuous and nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$, $h_2 \geq 0$ continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and h_2/j_2 nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

(H4) $F(t, x, v) = f(t, x, v) + M \geq j_3(v) + h_3(v)$ for all $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ with $j_3 > 0$ continuous and nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$, $h_3 \geq 0$ continuous on $(0, \infty)$ with h_3/j_3 nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$;

$G(t, x, u) = g(t, x, u) + M \geq j_4(u) + h_4(u)$ for all $(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ with $j_4 > 0$ continuous and nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$, $h_4 \geq 0$ continuous on $(0, \infty)$ with h_4/j_4 nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

(H5) There exists

$$r > \frac{M \|\omega_1\|}{\delta_1}, \quad (3.3)$$

such that

$$r \geq \frac{4\pi^2 \overline{G_1}}{\underline{G_1} \|a_1\|_{L^1}} I_1 \cdot I_2, \quad (3.4)$$

here

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= j_1 \left(\underline{G_2} j_4(r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\| \right), \\ I_2 &= 1 + \frac{h_1 \left(\left(4\pi^2 \overline{G_2} / \underline{G_2} \|a_2\|_{L^1} \right) j_2(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|) \{1 + h_2(r)/j_2(r)\} \right)}{j_1 \left(\left(4\pi^2 \overline{G_2} / \underline{G_2} \|a_2\|_{L^1} \right) j_2(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|) \{1 + h_2(r)/j_2(r)\} \right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\delta_i = (\underline{G_i}^2 \|a_i\|_{L^1} / \overline{G_i}) \in (0, 1)$, and $\omega_i(t, x)$ is the unique solution to problem:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_1 u_t + a_i(t, x)u &= 1, \\ u(t + 2\pi, x) = u(t, x + 2\pi) &= u(t, x), \end{aligned} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.6)$$

(H6) There exists $R > r$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi^2 \underline{G_1} I_3 \cdot I_4 &\geq R, \\ \delta_2 j_4(R) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} &> M, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \underline{G_1} j_3 \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2} \|a_2\|_{L^1}} j_2(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_2(R)}{j_2(R)} \right\} \right), \\ I_4 &= 1 + \frac{h_3 \left(\underline{G_2} j_4(R) \{1 + h_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)/j_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)\} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\| \right)}{j_3 \left(\underline{G_2} j_4(R) \{1 + h_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)/j_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)\} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\| \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that (H1)–(H6) hold. Then, the problem (1.1)–(1.2) has a positive doubly periodic solution (u, v) .*

Proof. To show that (1.1)–(1.2) has a positive solution, we will proof that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_1 u_t + a_1(t, x)u &= F(t, x, v - M\omega_2), \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + c_2 v_t + a_2(t, x)v &= G(t, x, u - M\omega_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

has a solution $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (u + M\omega_1, v + M\omega_2)$ with $\tilde{u} > M\omega_1, \tilde{v} > M\omega_2$ for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. In addition, by Lemma 2.1, it is clear to see that $(u, v) \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \times C^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a solution of (3.9) if and only if $(u, v) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is a solution of the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= P_1(F(t, x, v - M\omega_2)), \\ v &= P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Evidently, (3.10) can be rewritten as the following equation:

$$u = P_1(F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)). \quad (3.11)$$

Define a cone $K \subset E$ as

$$K = \{u \in E : u \geq 0, u \geq \delta_1 \|u\|\}. \quad (3.12)$$

We define an operator $T : E \rightarrow K$ by

$$(Tu)(t, x) = P_1(F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)) \quad (3.13)$$

for $u \in E$ and $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. We have the conclusion that $T : E \rightarrow E$ is completely continuous and $T(K) \subseteq K$. The complete continuity is obvious by Lemma 2.1. Now, we show that $T(K) \subseteq K$.

For any $u \in K$, we have

$$Tu = P_1(F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)). \quad (3.14)$$

From (H1)–(H3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu &= P_1(F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)) \\ &\geq \underline{G}_1 \|F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)\|_{L^1}, \\ \|Tu\| &= \|P(F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2))\| \\ &\leq \frac{\overline{G}_1}{\underline{G}_1 \|a_1\|_{L^1}} \|F(t, x, P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

So, we get

$$Tu \geq \frac{\underline{G}_1^2 \|a_1\|_{L^1}}{\overline{G}_1} \|Tu\| \geq \delta_1 \|Tu\|, \quad (3.16)$$

namely, $T(K) \subseteq K$.

Let

$$\Omega_r = \{u \in E : \|u\| < r\}, \quad \Omega_R = \{u \in E : \|u\| < R\}. \quad (3.17)$$

Since $r \leq \|u\| \leq R$ for any $u \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r)$, we have $0 < \delta_1 r - M\|\omega\| \leq u - M\omega_1 \leq R$.

First, we show

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_r. \quad (3.18)$$

In fact, if $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_r$, then $\|u\| = r$ and $u \geq \delta_1 r > M\|\omega_1\|$ for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. By (H3) and (H4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) &\leq \frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} \|G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} \left\| j_2(u - M\omega_1) \left(1 + \frac{h_2(u - M\omega_1)}{j_2(u - M\omega_1)} \right) \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} j_2(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_2(r)}{j_2(r)} \right\} 4\pi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) &\geq \underline{G_2} \|G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)\|_{L^1} \\ &\geq \underline{G_2} \left\| j_4(u - M\omega_1) \left(1 + \frac{h_4(u - M\omega_1)}{j_4(u - M\omega_1)} \right) \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\geq \underline{G_2} j_4(r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} 4\pi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

In addition, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) &\geq \underline{G_2} j_4(r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} 4\pi^2 \\ &\geq \underline{G_2} j_4(R) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} 4\pi^2 \\ &> \frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} M 4\pi^2 \\ &\geq M\omega_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

by (H5), (H6), and (3.20).

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu &= P_1(F(t, x, v - M\omega_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{\overline{G_1}}{\underline{G_1}\|a_1\|_{L^1}} \|F(t, x, v - M\omega_2)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \frac{\overline{G_1}}{\underline{G_1}\|a_1\|_{L^1}} \left\| j_1(v - M\omega_2) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_1(v - M\omega_2)}{j_1(v - M\omega_2)} \right\} \right\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\overline{G_1}}{\underline{G_1}\|a_1\|_{L^1}} \left\| j_1(P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_1(P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)}{j_1(P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)} \right\} \right\|_{L^1} \\
&\leq \frac{\overline{G_1}}{\underline{G_1}\|a_1\|_{L^1}} j_1 \left(\frac{\overline{G_2} j_4(r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\|}{j_1 \left(\frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}} \|a_2\|_{L^1} \right) j_2(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|) \{1 + h_2(r)/j_2(r)\} 4\pi^2} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left. \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_1 \left(\left(\frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}} \|a_2\|_{L^1} \right) j_2(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|) \{1 + h_2(r)/j_2(r)\} 4\pi^2 \right)}{j_1 \left(\left(\frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}} \|a_2\|_{L^1} \right) j_2(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|) \{1 + h_2(r)/j_2(r)\} 4\pi^2 \right)} \right\} 4\pi^2 \right. \\
&\leq r = \|u\|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for $(t, x) \in T^2$, since $\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\| \leq u - M\omega_1 \leq r$.

This implies that $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$; that is, (3.18) holds.

Next, we show

$$\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_R. \tag{3.23}$$

If $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_R$, then $\|u\| = R$ and $u \geq \delta R > M\|\omega_1\|$ for $(t, x) \in T^2$. From (H4) and (H6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Tu &= P_1(F(t, x, v - M\omega_1)) \\
&\geq \underline{G_1} \left\| j_3(v - M\omega_2) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_3(v - M\omega_2)}{j_3(v - M\omega_2)} \right\} \right\|_{L^1} \\
&\geq \underline{G_1} \left\| j_3(P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2) \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_3(P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)}{j_3(P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) - M\omega_2)} \right\} \right\|_{L^1} \\
&\geq \underline{G_1} \left\| j_3 \left(\frac{\overline{G_2}}{\underline{G_2}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} j_2(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_2(R)}{j_2(R)} \right\} 4\pi^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_3 \left(\frac{\overline{G_2} j_4(R) \{1 + h_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)/j_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)\} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\|}{j_3 \left(\frac{\overline{G_2} j_4(R) \{1 + h_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)/j_4(\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)\} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\| \right)} \right\} \right\|_{L^1} \right. \\
&\geq R = \|u\|
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

for $(t, x) \in T^2$, since $\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\| \leq u - M\omega_1 \leq R$.

This implies that $Tu \geq \|u\|$; that is, (3.23) holds.

Finally, (3.18), (3.23), and Lemma 1.1 guarantee that T has a fixed point $u \in K \cap \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$ with $r \leq \|u\| \leq R$. Clearly, $u > M\omega_1$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_2(G(t, x, u - M\omega_1)) &\geq \underline{G}_2 \|G(t, x, M\omega_1)\|_{L^1} \\
 &\geq \underline{G}_2 \left\| j_4(u - M\omega_1) \left(1 + \frac{h_4(u - M\omega_1)}{j_4(u - M\omega_1)} \right) \right\|_{L^1} \\
 &\geq \underline{G}_2 j_4(R) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)}{j_4(\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)} \right\} 4\pi^2 \quad (3.25) \\
 &> \frac{\overline{G}_2}{\underline{G}_2 \|a_2\|_{L^1}} M 4\pi^2 \\
 &\geq M\omega_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

then we have a doubly periodic solution (u, v) of (3.9) with $u > M\omega_1$, $v > M\omega_2$, namely, $(u - M\omega_1, v - M\omega_2) > (0, 0)$ is a positive solution of (1.1) with (1.2). \square

Similarly, we also obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that (H1)–(H4) hold. In addition, we assume the following.*

(H7) *There exists*

$$r > \frac{M\|\omega_2\|}{\delta_2}, \quad (3.26)$$

such that

$$r \geq \frac{4\pi^2 \overline{G}_2}{\underline{G}_2 \|a_2\|_{L^1}} I_5 \cdot I_6, \quad (3.27)$$

here

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_5 &= j_2 \left(4\pi^2 \underline{G}_1 j_3(r) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_3(\delta_2 r - M\|\omega_2\|)}{j_3(\delta_2 r - M\|\omega_2\|)} \right\} - M\|\omega_1\| \right), \\
 I_6 &= 1 + \frac{h_2 \left(\left(4\pi^2 \overline{G}_1 / \underline{G}_1 \|a_1\|_{L^1} \right) j_1(\delta_2 r - M\|\omega_2\|) \{ 1 + h_1(r) / j_1(r) \} \right)}{j_2 \left(\left(4\pi^2 \overline{G}_1 / \underline{G}_1 \|a_1\|_{L^1} \right) j_1(\delta_2 r - M\|\omega_2\|) \{ 1 + h_1(r) / j_1(r) \} \right)}. \quad (3.28)
 \end{aligned}$$

(H8) *There exists $R > r$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 4\pi^2 \underline{G}_2 I_7 \cdot I_8 &\geq R, \\
 \delta_1 j_3(R) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_3(\delta_2 r - M\|\omega_2\|)}{j_3(\delta_2 r - M\|\omega_2\|)} \right\} &> M, \quad (3.29)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_7 = j_4 \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \overline{G}_1}{\underline{G}_1 \|a_1\|_{L^1}} j_1(\delta_2 R - M\|\omega_2\|) \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_1(R)}{j_1(R)} \right\} \right),$$

$$I_8 = 1 + \frac{h_4 \left(4\pi^2 \overline{G}_1 j_3(R) \{ 1 + h_3(\delta_2 R - M\|\omega_2\|) / j_3(\delta_2 R - M\|\omega_2\|) \} - M\|\omega_1\| \right)}{j_4 \left(4\pi^2 \overline{G}_1 j_3(R) \{ 1 + h_3(\delta_2 R - M\|\omega_2\|) / j_3(\delta_2 R - M\|\omega_2\|) \} - M\|\omega_1\| \right)}. \quad (3.30)$$

Then, problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a positive periodic solution.

4. An Example

Consider the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2u_t + \sin^2(t+x)u &= \mu(v^{-\alpha} + v^\beta + k_1(t,x)), \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + 2v_t + \cos^2(t+x)v &= \lambda(u^{-\tau} + u^\sigma + k_2(t,x)), \\ u(t+2\pi, x) &= u(t, x+2\pi) = u(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ v(t+2\pi, x) &= v(t, x+2\pi) = v(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $c_1 = c_2 = 2$, $\mu, \lambda > 0$, $\alpha, \tau > 0$, $\beta, \sigma > 1$, $a_1(t, x) = \sin^2(t+x)$, $a_2(t, x) = \cos^2(t+x) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $k_i : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. When μ is chosen such that

$$\mu < \sup_{u \in ((M\|\omega_1\|)/\delta_1, \infty)} \frac{\overline{G}\|a_1\|_{L^1} I^1}{\underline{G}4\pi^2 I^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

here we denote

$$\begin{aligned} I^1 &= u \left(\underline{G} \lambda u^{-\tau} \{ 1 + (\delta_1 u - M\|\omega_1\|)^{\sigma+\tau} \} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\| \right)^\alpha, \\ I^2 &= 1 + \left(\frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} \lambda (\delta_1 u - M\|\omega_1\|)^{-\tau} (1 + u^{\sigma+\tau} + 2Hu^\tau) 4\pi^2 \right)^{\beta+\alpha} \\ &\quad + 2H \left(\frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a_2\|_{L^1}} \lambda (\delta_1 u - M\|\omega_1\|)^{-\tau} (1 + u^{\sigma+\tau} + 2Hu^\tau) 4\pi^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $H = \max\{\|k_1\|, \|k_2\|\}$ and the Green function $G_1 = G_2 = G$. Then, problem (4.1) has a positive solution.

To verify the result, we will apply Theorem 3.1 with $M = \max\{\mu H, \lambda H\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} j_1(v) = j_3(v) &= \mu v^{-\alpha}, \quad h_1(v) = \mu(v^\beta + 2H), \quad h_3(v) = \mu v^\beta, \\ j_2(u) = j_4(u) &= \lambda u^{-\tau}, \quad h_2(u) = \mu(u^\sigma + 2H), \quad h_4(u) = \mu u^\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Clearly, (H1)–(H4) are satisfied.

Set

$$T(u) = \frac{\underline{G}\|a_1\|_{L^1}}{\overline{G}4\pi^2} \frac{I^1}{I^2}, \quad u \in \left(\frac{(M\|\omega_1\|)}{\delta_1}, +\infty \right). \tag{4.5}$$

Obviously, $T((M\|\omega_1\|)/\delta_1) = 0$, $T(\infty) = 0$, then there exists $r \in ((M\|\omega_1\|)/\delta_1, +\infty)$ such that

$$T(r) = \sup_{u \in ((M\|\omega_1\|)/\delta_1, \infty)} \frac{\underline{G}\|a_1\|_{L^1}}{\overline{G}4\pi^2} \frac{I^1}{I^2}. \tag{4.6}$$

This implies that there exists

$$r \in \left(\frac{(M\|\omega_1\|)}{\delta_1}, +\infty \right), \tag{4.7}$$

such that

$$\mu < \sup_{u \in ((M\|\omega_1\|)/\delta_1, \infty)} \frac{\underline{G}\|a_1\|_{L^1}}{\overline{G}4\pi^2} \frac{I^1}{I^2}. \tag{4.8}$$

So, (H5) is satisfied.

Finally, since

$$\frac{R \left(\left(\frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}} \|a_2\|_{L^1} \right) \lambda (\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)^{-\tau} (1 + R^{\sigma+\tau} + 2HR^\tau) 4\pi^2 \right)^\alpha}{\mu \underline{G} \left[1 + \left(\underline{G} \lambda R^{-\tau} \{ 1 + (\delta_1 R - M\|\omega_1\|)^{\sigma+\tau} \} 4\pi^2 - M\|\omega_2\| \right)^{\alpha+\beta} \right]} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4.9}$$

this implies that there exists R . In addition, for fixed r, R , choosing λ sufficiently large, we have

$$\delta_2 \lambda R^{-\tau} \{ 1 + (\delta_1 r - M\|\omega_1\|)^{\sigma+\tau} \} > M. \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, (H6) is satisfied. So, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Multiplicity results for singular conjugate, focal, and problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 142–156, 2001.

- [2] J. Chu, P. J. Torres, and M. Zhang, "Periodic solutions of second order non-autonomous singular dynamical systems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 239, no. 1, pp. 196–212, 2007.
- [3] C. De Coster and P. Habets, "Upper and lower solutions in the theory of ODE boundary value problems: classical and recent results," in *Non-linear analysis and boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations (Udine)*, vol. 371 of *CISM Courses and Lectures*, pp. 1–78, Springer, Vienna, 1996.
- [4] L. Xiaoning, L. Xiaoyue, and J. Daqing, "Positive solutions to superlinear semipositone periodic boundary value problems with repulsive weak singular forces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications. An International Journal*, vol. 51, no. 3-4, pp. 507–514, 2006.
- [5] J. Mawhin, "Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations," in *Topological methods for ordinary differential equations (Montecatini Terme, 1991)*, vol. 1537 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pp. 74–142, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] P. J. Torres, "Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 643–662, 2003.
- [7] P. J. Torres, "Weak singularities may help periodic solutions to exist," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 232, no. 1, pp. 277–284, 2007.
- [8] C. Bereanu, "An Ambrosetti-Prodi-type result for periodic solutions of the telegraph equation," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics*, vol. 138, no. 4, pp. 719–724, 2008.
- [9] C. Bereanu, "Periodic solutions of the nonlinear telegraph equations with bounded nonlinearities," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, no. 2, pp. 758–762, 2008.
- [10] Y. Li, "Positive doubly periodic solutions of nonlinear telegraph equations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal. Series A: Theory and Methods*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 245–254, 2003.
- [11] Y. Li, "Maximum principles and the method of upper and lower solutions for time-periodic problems of the telegraph equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 327, no. 2, pp. 997–1009, 2007.
- [12] J. Mawhin, R. Ortega, and A. M. Robles-Pérez, "A maximum principle for bounded solutions of the telegraph equations and applications to nonlinear forcings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 2, pp. 695–709, 2000.
- [13] J. Mawhin, R. Ortega, and A. M. Robles-Pérez, "Maximum principles for bounded solutions of the telegraph equation in space dimensions two and three and applications," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 208, no. 1, pp. 42–63, 2005.
- [14] R. Ortega and A. M. Robles-Pérez, "A maximum principle for periodic solutions of the telegraph equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 221, no. 2, pp. 625–651, 1998.
- [15] F. Wang and Y. An, "Nonnegative doubly periodic solutions for nonlinear telegraph system," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 338, no. 1, pp. 91–100, 2008.
- [16] F. Wang and Y. An, "Existence and multiplicity results of positive doubly periodic solutions for nonlinear telegraph system," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 349, no. 1, pp. 30–42, 2009.
- [17] F. Wang, "Doubly periodic solutions of a coupled nonlinear telegraph system with weak singularities," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 254–261, 2011.
- [18] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

