VOLterra Equations with Fractional Stochastic Integrals

Mahmoud M. El-Borai, Khairia El-Said El-Nadi, Osama L. Mostafa, and Hamdy M. Ahmed

Received 24 December 2003 and in revised form 6 May 2004

Some fractional stochastic systems of integral equations are studied. The fractional stochastic Skorohod integrals are also studied. The existence and uniqueness of the considered stochastic fractional systems are established. An application of the fractional Black-Scholes is considered.

1. Introduction

We assume that a probability space \((\Omega, \eta, P)\) is given, where \(\Omega\) denotes the space \(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^k)\) equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, \(\eta\) the Borel \(\sigma\)-field of \(\Omega\), and \(P\) a probability measure on \(\Omega\).

Let \(\{W_t(\omega) = \omega(t), \ t \geq 0\}\) be a Wiener process. For any \(t \geq 0\), we define \(\eta_t = \sigma\{\omega(s); \ s < t\} \vee Z\), where \(Z\) denotes the class of the elements in \(\eta_t\) which have zero \(P\)-measure.

Pardoux and Protter discussed the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the stochastic integral equation of the form

\[X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t F(t, s, X_s) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_0^t G_i(H_t; t, s, X_s) \, dW^i_s, \tag{1.1}\]

whose solution \(\{X_t\}\) should be \(\mathbb{R}^d\)-valued and \(\eta_t\) adapted process; \(\{H_t\}\) is an \(\mathbb{R}^p\)-valued (see [16]). It is supposed that \(F\) maps \(\{t, s; 0 \leq s < t\} \times \mathbb{R}^d\) into \(\mathbb{R}^d\) and \(G_i\) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)\) maps \(\mathbb{R}^p \times \{t, s; 0 \leq s < t\} \times \mathbb{R}^d\) into \(\mathbb{R}^d\).

In the present work, we study the existence, uniqueness, and continuity of the solution of the fractional stochastic integral equation of the form

\[X_t = X_0 + I^\beta_t F(t, s, X_s) + \sum_{i=1}^k W^i_t G_i(H_t; t, s, X_s), \tag{1.2}\]

where \(0 < \beta < 1\), \(I^\beta_t F(t, s, X_s)\), the fractional integral of \(F(t, s, X_s)\), is defined by (see [22])

\[I^\beta_t F(t, s, X_s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t F(t, s, X_s) \frac{1}{(t-s)^{1-\beta}} \, ds. \tag{1.3}\]
The fractional Wiener process $W_t^\beta G_t(H_t; t, s, X_s)$ of $G_t(H_t; t, s, X_s)$ is defined by (see [7])

$$W_t^\beta G_t(H_t; t, s, X_s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma((\beta + 1)/2)} \int_0^t G_t(H_t; t, s, X_s) (t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2} dW_s. \tag{1.4}$$

Stochastic Volterra equations have been studied in several papers (see [2, 3, 4, 6, 16, 17, 18]). In this work, we will use the Skorohod integral (see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20]) to interpret (1.2) as in [16] since the integrands in the stochastic integrals are not adapted; therefore we cannot use, as usual, the Ito integral to interpret the equation. In Section 2, we state some results concerning the Skorohod integral, which will be used later together with the precise interpretation of (1.2). In Sections 3 and 4, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1.2) in two steps. In Section 5, we establish, under additional assumptions, the existence of almost surely (a.s.) continuous modification of the solution process. In Section 6, we show the continuity of the solution of (1.2).

Equation (1.2) has many important financial applications. These systems arise if we consider the fractional analog of a portfolio (see [1]). The fractional Black-Scholes market consists of a bank account or a bond and a stock. The price process $A_t$ of the bond at time $t$ is given by

$$A_t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t r(s) ds \right\}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $r(s) \geq 0$, $s \in [0, t]$, is the interest rate. A portfolio is a pair $(u_t, v_t)$ of random variables for fixed $t \in [0, T]$. The price $X_t$ of the stock could be governed by a fractional Volterra equation of the form

$$X_t = X_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \mu(s) X_s (t-s)^{1-\beta} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma((\beta + 1)/2)} \int_0^t \sigma(s) X_s (t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2} dW_s. \tag{1.6}$$

Here the drift $\mu \geq 0$ and volatility $\sigma > 0$ are continuous functions on $[0, T]$. The numbers $u_t$ and $v_t$ are the bond and stock units, respectively (held by an investor). Hence, the corresponding value process is

$$V_t = u_t A_t + v_t X_t. \tag{1.7}$$

The process $V_t$ could be governed by the equation

$$V_t = V_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t r(s) A_s u_s (t-s)^{1-\beta} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t \mu(s) X_s v_s (t-s)^{1-\beta} ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma((1-\beta)/2)} \int_0^t \sigma(s) v_s X_s (t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2} dW_s. \tag{1.8}$$
2. The Skorohod integral

We will now define the Skorohod integral. Most of this section is a review of some basic notations and a few results from [4, 16].

Let, again, \( \Omega = C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^k) \), let \( \eta \) be its Borel field, and let \( P \) denote Wiener measure on \( (\Omega, \eta) \),

\[
W_t(\omega) = \omega(t).
\] (2.1)

Let \( \eta_t^0 = \sigma \{ W_s; 0 \leq s < t \} \) and \( \eta_t = \eta_t^0 \vee Z \), where \( Z \) denotes the class of sets which have zero \( P \)-measure of \( \eta \).

For \( h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^k) \), we denote the Wiener integral by

\[
W(h) = \int_0^T (h(t), dW_t).
\] (2.2)

Let \( A \) denote the dense subset of \( L^2(\Omega, \eta, P) \) consisting of those classes of random variables of the form

\[
F = f(W(h_1), \ldots, W(h_n)),
\] (2.3)

where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (\( \mathbb{N} \) denotes the set of nonnegative integers), \( f \in C_\infty^b(\mathbb{R}^n) \), \( h_1, \ldots, h_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^k) \); \( C_\infty^b \) is the set of infinitely differentiable functions on \([0, b]\) whose derivatives of any order are null at \( b \). If \( F \) has the form (2.3), we define its derivative in the direction \( i \) as the process \( \{ D_i F; t \geq 0 \} \) defined by

\[
D_i F = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} (W(h_1), \ldots, W(h_n)) h_k^i(t).
\] (2.4)

\( DF \) will stand for the \( k \)-dimensional process \( \{ D_i F = (D_1^i F, \ldots, D_k^i F); t \geq 0 \} \).

**Proposition 2.1.** The differential operators \( D_i^1, i = 1, \ldots, k \), are unbounded closable operators from \( L^2(\Omega) \) into \( L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+) \).

Let \( D_i^{1,2} \) be the closure of \( A \) with respect to the norm

\[
\| F \|_{i,1,2} = \| F \|_2 + \| \cdot \| D_i F \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|_2,
\] (2.5)

where \( \| F \|_2 = (E(F^2))^{1/2}, E(X) \) is the mathematical expectation of \( X \), and

\[
\| g \|_{2,1}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) = \int_0^\infty g^2(t) dt.
\] (2.6)

Similarly, the domain \( D^{1,2} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k D_i^{1,2} \) is the closure of \( A \) with respect to the norm (see [10])

\[
\| F \|_{1,2} = \| F \|_2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \| \cdot \| D_i F \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|_2.
\] (2.7)
Volterra equations with fractional stochastic integrals

We identify $D^i$ and $D$ with their closed extensions ($D^{1,2}$ is the domain of $D : L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^k)$).

We denote by $D_{i,\text{loc}}^{1,2}$ the set of measurable $F$’s which are such that there exists a sequence $\{(\Omega_n, F_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \eta \times D_{i,\text{loc}}^{1,2}$ with the two properties

(i) $\Omega_n \uparrow \Omega$ a.s., $n \to \infty$,
(ii) $F_n|\Omega_n = F|\Omega_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $F \in D_{i,\text{loc}}^{1,2}$, we define without ambiguity $D^i_tF = D^i_tF_n$ on $\Omega_n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; $D_{i,\text{loc}}^{1,2}$ is defined similarly.

For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we define $\delta_i$, the Skorohod integral with respect to $W^i_t$, as the adjoint of $D^i$, that is, $\text{Dom} \delta_i$ (the set of adapted processes for the Skorohod integral) is the set of $u \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ which are such that there exists a constant $c$ with

$$E \left| \int_0^\infty D^i_tF u_t dt \right| \leq c\|F\|_2, \quad \forall F \in A. \quad (2.8)$$

If $u \in \text{Dom} \delta_i$, $\delta_i(u)$ is defined as the unique element of $L^2(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$E(\delta_i(u)F) = E \int_0^\infty D^i_tF u_t dt, \quad \forall F \in A. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $L_i^{1,2} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; D_i^{1,2})$. We have that $L_i^{1,2} \subset \text{Dom} \delta_i$, and, for $u \in L_i^{1,2}$,

$$E[\delta_i(u)^2] = E \int_0^\infty u_t^2 dt + E \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty D^i_t u_t D^j_s u_s ds dt. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that if $u \in L_{i,\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; D_i^{1,2})$, then $u1_{[0,T]} \in L_i^{1,2}$ for any $T > 0$ and we can write

$$\int_0^T u_t dW^j_t = \delta_i(u1_{[0,T]}). \quad (2.11)$$

The Skorohod integral is a local operation on $L_{i,\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; D_i^{1,2})$ in the sense that if $u, v \in L_{i,\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; D_i^{1,2})$, then $\int_0^t u_s dW^j_s = \int_0^t v_s dW^j_s$ a.s. on $\{\omega; u_s(\omega) = v_s(\omega), \text{ for almost all } s < t\}$.

Let $L_{i,\text{loc}}^{1,2}$ denote the set of measurable processes $u$ which are such that, for any $T > 0$, there exists a sequence

$$\{(\Omega_n^T, u_n^T); n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \eta \times L_i^{1,2} \quad (2.12)$$

such that

(i) $\Omega_n^T \uparrow \Omega$ a.s., as $n \to \infty$,
(ii) $u = u_n^T dP \times dt$ a.e. on $\Omega_n^T \times [0,T], n \in \mathbb{N}$.
For \( u \in L^{1,2}_{i,\text{loc}} \), we can define its Skorohod integral with respect to \( W^i_t \) by

\[
\int_0^t u_s dW^i_s = \int_0^T u^T_{ns} dW^i_s \quad \text{on } \Omega^T_n \times [0, T].
\]  

(2.13)

Finally, \( L^{1,2} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k L^{1,2}_i \), and \( L^{1,2}_{i,\text{loc}} \) is defined similarly as \( L^{1,2}_{i,\text{loc}} \).

We now introduce the particular class of integrands which we will use below.

Let \( u : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfy the following.

(i) For all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^p \), \( (t, \omega) \to (t, \omega, x) \) is \( \eta_t \) progressively measurable.

(ii) For all \( (t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \), \( u(t, \omega, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^p) \).

(iii) For some increasing function \( \phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \), \(|u(t, \omega, x)| + |u'(t, \omega, x)| \leq \phi(|x|)\) for all \((t, \omega, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^p \), where \( u'(t, x) \) stands for the gradient \( (\partial u/\partial x)(t, x) \).

Let \( \theta \) be a \( p \)-dimensional random vector such that

(iv) \( \theta_j \in D^{1,2}_I \cap L^\infty(\Omega), j = 1, \ldots, p \).

We fix \( T > 0 \) and consider

\[
I^i(x) = \int_0^T \frac{u(t,x)}{(T-t)^{1-\beta/2}} dW^i_t, \quad 0 < \beta < 1.
\]  

(2.14)

Define, moreover, \( v_t = u(t, \theta) \).

Under conditions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv), the following proposition holds.

It is proved in [10] that

\[
\int_0^T u(t, \theta) dW^i_t = \int_0^T u(t, x) dW^i_t|_{x=\theta} - \int_0^T u'(t, \theta) D^i_t \theta dt.
\]  

(2.15)

The same relation is proved in [16] under slightly different conditions. Equation (2.15) is used to define the Skorohod integral \( \int_0^T u(t, \theta) dW^i_t \).

**Proposition 2.2.** The random field \( \{I^i(x); x \in \mathbb{R}^p\} \) defined above possesses an a.s. continuous modification so that the random variable \( I^i(\theta) \) can be defined, \( v \in \text{Dom} \delta_i \) (see [10, 16]).

Condition (iv) can be replaced by (iv'): \( \theta_j \in D^{1,2}_I, j = 1, \ldots, p \).

Under conditions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv'), \( v \in (\text{Dom} \delta_i)_{\text{loc}} \) in the sense that there exists a sequence \( \{\Omega_n, v_n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \eta \times \text{Dom} \delta_i \) such that \( \Omega_n \uparrow \Omega \) a.s. and \( v_n|\Omega_n = v|\Omega_n \).

Indeed, let \( \{\Omega_n, \theta_n\} \) be a localizing sequence for \( \theta \) in \( D^{1,2}_I \), and let \( \{v_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^p) \) satisfy \( v_n(x) = x \) whenever \( |x| \leq n \).

Define \( v_n(t) = u(t, v_n(\theta_n)), \Omega_n = \Omega_n \cap \{|\theta| \leq n\}; \) then \( \{\Omega_n, v_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) satisfies the above conditions.

It is then natural to define, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), the Skorohod integral \( \int_0^{T-\epsilon} v_n/(T-t)^{(1-\beta)/2} \) \( dW^i_t \) by formula (2.15) and the latter coincides with \( \int_0^{T-\epsilon} v_n(t)/(T-t)^{(1-\beta)/2} dW^i_t \) on \( \Omega_n \).

It is clear that \( \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^{T-\epsilon} v_n/(T-t)^{(1-\beta)/2} dW^i_t \) exists in the mean by using the norm \( \| \cdot \|_2 \).
where the solution each (which satisfies and the stochastic integrals are now the usual Itô integrals.

In other words, we can rewrite (3.1) as

\[ X_t = X_0 + a \int_0^t \frac{F(t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{1-\beta}} ds + b \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_0^t \frac{G_i(H_t; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{1-\beta/2}} dW^i_s, \]

\[ 0 < \beta < 1, \quad a = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}, \quad b = \frac{1}{\Gamma((\beta + 1)/2)}. \]

We define \( D = \{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0 \leq s < t\} \).

The coefficients \( F \) and \( G \) are given as follows: \( F : D \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is measurable and, for each \((s,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, F(\cdot,s,x) \) is \( \eta_t \) progressively measurable on \( \Omega \times [s,\infty) \).

For \( i = 1, \ldots, k, G_i : \mathbb{R}^p \times D \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) is measurable, for each \((h,t,x), G_i(h; t, \cdot, x) \) is \( \eta_s \) progressively measurable on \( \Omega \times [0,t] \), and for each \((\omega, t, s, x), G_i(\cdot; t, s, x) \) is of class \( C^1 \).

\( \{H_t\} \) is a given progressively measurable \( p \)-dimensional process. It will follow from these hypotheses that we will be able to construct a progressively measurable solution \( \{X_t\} \).

Therefore, for each \( t \), the process \( \{G_i(h; t, s, X_s); s \in [0, T]\} \) is of the form \( v_s = u(s, \theta) \) with \( u(s, h) = G_i(h; t, s, X_s) \) and \( \theta = H_t \). We will impose below conditions on \( G, \{H_t\} \), and the solution \( \{X_t\} \) so as to satisfy requirements (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of Section 2.

In particular, we will consider only nonanticipating solutions. Therefore, the stochastic integrals in (3.1) will be interpreted according to (2.15), that is,

\[ \int_0^t \frac{G_i(H_t; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2}} dW^i_s = \int_0^t \frac{G_i(h; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2}} dW^i_s \big|_{h=H_t} - \int_0^t \frac{G_i'(H_t; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2}} D^i_t H_t ds. \]

In other words, we can rewrite (3.1) as

\[ X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{F}(t,s,X_s) ds + b \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_0^t \frac{G_i(h; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2}} dW^i_s \big|_{h=H_t}, \]

where

\[ \tilde{F}(t,s,x) = a \frac{F(t,s,x)}{(t-s)^{1-\beta}} - b \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{G_i'(H_t; t,s,x) D^i_t H_t}{(t-s)^{(1-\beta)/2}}, \]

and the stochastic integrals are now the usual Itô integrals.

We will show below that (3.3) makes sense for any progressively measurable process \( X \) which satisfies \( X \in \cap_{T>0} L^q(0,T) \) a.s., for some \( q > p \). We will find such a solution to (3.3); it will then follow from (3.2) that it is a solution to (3.1). Similarly, uniqueness for (3.1) in the above class will follow from uniqueness for (3.3) in that class.

4. Existence and uniqueness under strong hypotheses

We formulate a set of further hypotheses (those stated in Section 3 are assumed to hold throughout the paper) under which we will establish a first result of the existence and uniqueness of a solution of (1.2).
Let $B$ be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^p$, $K > 0$, and $q > p$ such that

(H1) $X_0 \in L^q(\Omega, \mu_0; \mathbb{P})$,

(H2) $P(H_t \in B, \forall t \geq 0) = 1$,

(H3) $H \in (L^{1,2})^p$, $|D_t H_t| \leq K$ a.s., $0 < s < t$,

(H4) $|F(t,s,x)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G_i(h,t,s,x)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G'_i(h,t,s,x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)$, for any $0 \leq s < t$, $h \in B, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

(H5) $|F(t,s,x) - F(t,s,y)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G_i(h,t,s,x) - G_i(h,t,s,y)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G'_i(h,t,s,x) - G'_i(h,t,s,y)| \leq K|x - y|$, for any $0 \leq s < t$, $h \in B$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a.s.

Note that $q$ will be a fixed real number such that $q > p$, and $L^p_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times (0,t))$ will stand for the space $L^q(\Omega \times (0,t), \xi_t, P \times \lambda)$, where $\xi_t$ denotes the $\sigma$-algebra of progressively measurable subsets of $\Omega \times (0,t)$ and $\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $(0,t)$, and set $1 - \beta = a/q$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $X \in \bigcap_{t>0} L^q_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times (0,t))$, where $q > p$, and suppose that (H.4) is in force. Then for any $T \geq t > 0$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, the random field

$$
\int_0^t \frac{G_i(h; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{a/2q}} dW_s^i; \ h \in B
$$

possesses an a.s. continuous modification.

**Proof.** Using Burkholder-Gundy and Hölder’s inequalities together with (H.4), we obtain

$$
E\left(\left| \int_0^t \frac{G_i(h; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{a/2q}} dW_s^i - \int_0^t \frac{G_i(k; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{a/2q}} dW_s^i \right|^q \right) \\
\leq c_1 E \int_0^t \frac{|G_i(h; t,s,X_s) - G_i(k; t,s,X_s)|^q}{(t-s)^{a/2}} ds \\
\leq c_1 K^q |h-k|^q \int_0^t E\left(1 + |X_s|^q\right)^{q/(t-s)^{a/2}} ds \\
\leq c_2 K^q |h-k|^q \int_0^t (t-s)^{-a/2} ds \\
\leq c_3 |h-k|^q t^{1-a/2},
$$

where $c_1$, $c_2$, and $c_3$ are positive constants. The result now follows from the multidimensional generalization of Kolmogorov’s lemma (see [1, 8]).

We can now assume that, for fixed $t$, the random field (4.1) is a.s. continuous in $h$, provided $X \in L^q_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times (0,t))$.

From $X \in \bigcap_{t>0} L^q_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times (0,t))$, define

$$
I_t(X,h) = b \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_0^t \frac{G_i(h; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{a/2q}} dW_s^i, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^p, t > 0,
$$

$$
J_t(X) = \int_0^t \tilde{F}(t,s,X_s) ds + I_t(X,H_t).
$$

□
Lemma 4.2. For any \( t > 0 \), there is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that

\[
E(|I_t(X)|^q) \leq c \left( \int_0^t E(1 + |X_s|^q) \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^a} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{a/2}} \right\} ds \right), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.
\]  

(4.4)

Proof.

\[
\left| \int_0^t \tilde{F}(t,s,X_s) \right|^q \leq c \int_0^t \frac{|F(t,s,X_s)|^q}{(t-s)^a} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{|G'_i(h,t,s,X_s)|_{h=H_t}^q}{(t-s)^{a/2}} ds
\]

\[
\leq \tilde{c} \int_0^t (1 + |X_s|^q) \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^a} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{a/2}} \right\} ds,
\]

where we have used (H.2), (H.3), and (H.4). (\( c, \tilde{c} \) are positive constants).

It is easy to show, using, in particular, (H4) and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, that the mapping

\[ h \rightarrow I_t(X,h) \]  

(4.7)

from \( \mathbb{R}^p \) into \( L^q(\Omega) \) is differentiable and that

\[
\frac{\partial I_t(X,h)}{\partial h_j} = b \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial h_j} \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{G_i(h,t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{a/2}} \right) dW^i_s.
\]  

(4.8)

Since \( q > p \), we can infer from Sobolev’s embedding theorem (see [13]) that

\[
E \left( \sup_{h \in B} |I_t(X,h)|^q \right) \leq c E \int_B \left( |I_t(X,h)|^q + \sum_{j=1}^p \left| \frac{\partial I_t}{\partial h_j} (X,h) \right|^q \right) dh.
\]  

(4.9)

It then follows from the Burkholder-Gundy inequality that

\[
E \left( \sup_{h \in B} |I_t(X,h)|^q \right) \leq c E \int_B \frac{1}{t \int_0^t \left( \frac{1 + |X_s|^q}{(t-s)^{a/2}} \right) ds} dh
\]

\[
\leq c \left( \int_B dh \right) \left( E \int_0^t \frac{1 + |X_s|^q}{(t-s)^{a/2}} ds \right)
\]

\[
\leq \tilde{c} \left\{ \int_0^t E(1 + |X_s|^q) \frac{1}{(t-s)^{a/2}} ds \right\},
\]

(4.10)

where we have used (H4) and \( B \) is bounded. From (4.5) and (4.10), the proof is complete. \( \square \)

A similar argument, using (H5) instead of (H4), yields the following lemma.
Lemma 4.3. For any $0 < t \leq T$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$E(|J_t(X) - J_t(Y)|^q) \leq c \left\{ \int_0^t E(|X_s - Y_s|^q \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^a} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{a/2}} \right\} ds \right\}. \quad (4.11)$$

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. Under conditions (H.1), (H.2), (H.3), (H.4), and (H.5), there exists a unique element $X \in \bigcap_{t>0} \mathbb{L}^p_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times (0,t))$, which solves (3.1). Moreover, if $\tau$ is a stopping time, uniqueness holds on the random interval $[0, \tau]$.

Proof. Equation (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$X_t = X_0 + J_t(X), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Uniqueness. Let $X, Y \in \bigcap_{t>0} \mathbb{L}^p_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times (0,t))$ and let $\tau$ be a stopping time such that

$$X_t = X_0 + J_t(X), \quad Y_t = X_0 + J_t(Y), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau. \quad (4.13)$$

From Lemma 4.3,

$$E(|X_t - Y_t|^q) = E(|J_t(X) - J_t(Y)|^q) \leq c \int_0^t E(|X_s - Y_s|^q \left\{ \frac{1}{(t-s)^a} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{a/2}} \right\} ds. \quad (4.14)$$

Set $(E|X_t - Y_t|^q) = \gamma_t$. It is easy to see that

$$\gamma_t \leq 2c\eta(t) \int_0^t \frac{\gamma_s}{(t-s)^a} ds, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\eta(t) = \text{Max}(1, t^a)$ and $c$ is a positive constant. Thus, (see [5, 21])

$$\gamma_t \leq \frac{M^2(\Gamma(t-a))^2}{\Gamma(2(1-a))} \int_0^t \frac{\gamma_s}{(t-s)^{2a-1}} ds, \quad (4.16)$$

and, by a classical argument, we get

$$\gamma_t \leq \frac{M^n(\Gamma(t-a))^n}{\Gamma(n(1-a))} \int_0^t \frac{\gamma_s}{(t-s)^{n(1-a)-1}} ds, \quad (4.17)$$

where $M = 2c\eta(T)$. Thus for sufficiently large $n$, $n > 1/(1-a)$, we get, from (4.17),

$$\gamma_t \leq \frac{K^n}{\Gamma(n(1-a))} \int_0^t \gamma_s ds, \quad (4.18)$$

where $K$ is a positive constant.

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we find that (4.18) leads to $\gamma_t = 0$.

To prove the existence, we define a sequence $\{X^n_t, 0 \leq t \leq T, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ as follows:

$$X^n_0 = X_0, \quad X^n_t = X_0 + J_t(X^n), \quad T \leq t \leq 0. \quad (4.19)$$
Using Lemma 4.2, we can see that
\[ X^n \in \bigcap_{t>0} L^q_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times [0, T]). \] (4.20)

It then follows from Lemma 4.3 that
\[ g_{n+1}(t) \leq 2c_\eta(t) \int_0^t g_n(s) (t-s)^{\alpha} ds, \] (4.21)

where
\[ g_{n+1}(t) = E(\left| X_{t+n} - X_t \right|^q). \] (4.22)

By using a similar argument, we can write
\[ g_n \leq Kn \frac{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha))}{\Gamma(n+1)} \int_0^t g_0(s) ds. \] (4.23)

The last estimation implies that \( X^n \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( L^q_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times [0, T]) \). Then there exists \( X \) such that \( X^n \to X \) in \( \bigcap_{t>0} L^q_{\text{prog}}(\Omega \times [0, T]) \), and again using Lemma 4.3, we can pass to the limit in (4.20), yielding that \( X \) solves (4.12). □

5. An existence and uniqueness result under weaker assumptions

We formulate a new set of weaker hypotheses.

(H1’) \( X_0 \) is \( \eta_0 \) measurable.

(H2’ \( \eta\)) \( H \in (L^{1,2}_{\text{loc}})^p \), \( \{H_t\} \) is a progressively measurable process which can be localized in \( (L^{1,2})^p \) by a progressively measurable sequence.

We assume that there exists an increasing progressively measurable process \( \{U_t, t \geq 0\} \) with values in \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that

(H3’ \( \eta\)) \( |H_t| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |D_i H_t| \leq U_t \ a.s., \ 0 \leq s < t. \)

Finally, we suppose that for any \( N > 0, \) there exists an increasing progressively measurable process \( \{V_t^N, t \geq 0\} \) with values in \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that

(H4’ \( \eta\)) \( |F(t,s,x)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G_i(h;t,s,x)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G'_i(h,t,s,x)| \leq V_t^N(1+|x|), \) for all \( |h| \leq N, \ 0 \leq s < t, \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \);

(H5’ \( \eta\)) \( |F(t,s,x) - F(t,s,y)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G_i(h;t,s,x) - G_i(h;t,s,y)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |G'_i(h;t,s,x) - G'_i(h;t,s,y)| \leq V_t^N|x-y|, \) for all \( |h| \leq N, \ 0 \leq s < t, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

Let, again, \( q \) be a fixed real number, with \( q > p, \) and set \( 1 - \beta = \alpha/q, \ 0 < \alpha < 1. \) We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Equation (3.1) has a unique solution in the class of progressively measurable processes which satisfy
\[ X \in \bigcap_{t>0} L^q(0,t) \ a.s. \] (5.1)
Proof. (a) We first see how (3.1) makes sense if $X \in \cap_{t>0} L^q(0, t)$ a.s.
That is, we have to show that for fixed $t > 0$,
$$
\left\{ \int_0^t G_i(h; t, s, X_s) \frac{dW_i^j}{(t-s)^{a/2q}}, h \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}
$$
(5.2)
is a well-defined random field which possesses an a.s. continuous version.
For that sake, we define
$$
t_n = \inf \left\{ t ; \int_0^t |X_s|^q \frac{dW}{(t-s)^a} \geq n \text{ or } V_i^N \geq n \right\}.
$$
(5.3)
The argument of Lemma 4.1 can be used to show that
$$
|H_t^n(\omega)| \leq n, \quad \forall (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega
$$
(5.5)
Note that $H_t^n(\omega) = H_t^n(\omega)$ a.s. on $\Omega_n^T$, for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $\cup_n \{ \tau_n \geq t \} = \Omega$ a.s., the result follows.

(b) Existence: we want to show existence on an arbitrary interval $[0, T]$ ($T$ will be fixed
below).
Let $\{H^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ denote a progressively measurable localizing sequence for $H$ in $(L^{1,2})^p$ on $[0, T]$. Since, from (H.3), $\sup_{t \leq T} |H_t|$ is a.s. finite, we can and do assume, without loss of
generality, that
$$
\left| X_0^0(\omega) \right| < n
$$
(5.7)
It is easy to see that theorem (4.15) applies to (5.6).
Define
$$
\breve{S}_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} S_n(\omega) \cap \inf \left\{ t \leq T; \int_0^t \left| H_t^0(\omega) - H_t^n(\omega) \right| ds > 0 \right\} & \text{if } |X_0(\omega)| < n, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$
(5.8)
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\( \hat{S}_n \) is a stopping time, and it follows from the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.4 that if \( m > n \),

\[ X_t^m = X_t^n \quad \text{on} \ [0, \hat{S}_n] \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (5.9) \]

Since, moreover, \( \{ \hat{S}_n = T \} \uparrow \Omega \) a.s., we can define the process \( \{ X_t \} \) on \([0, T]\) by \( X_t = X_t^n \) on \([0, \hat{S}_n]\), for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Clearly, \( X \in L^q(0, T) \) a.s. and solves (3.1) on \([0, T]\). Since \( T \) is arbitrary, the existence is proved.

(c) Uniqueness: it suffices to prove uniqueness on an arbitrary interval \([0, T]\). Let \( \{ \tilde{X}_t, t \in [0, T] \} \) be a progressively measurable process such that \( \tilde{X} \in L^q(0, T) \) a.s. and \( \tilde{X} \) solves (3.1). It suffices to show that \( \tilde{X} \) coincides with the solution we have just constructed.

Let

\[ \tilde{S}_n(\omega) = \hat{S}(\omega) \wedge \inf \left\{ t \leq T; \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{X}_s(\omega)|^q}{(t-s)\alpha} \, ds > n \right\}, \]

\[ \tilde{X}_t^n = \tilde{X}_{t \wedge \tilde{S}_n}; \]

\( \tilde{S}_n \in L^q(\Omega \times [0, T]) \) and it solves (5.6) with \( S_n \) replaced by \( \tilde{S}_n \).

Then

\[ \tilde{X}_t^n(\omega) = X_t(\omega)\ell \times P \quad \text{a.e. on} \ [0, \tilde{S}_n], \]

where \( \ell \) is the Lebesgue measure on the real line.

The result follows from the fact that \( \{ \tilde{S}_n \geq T \} \uparrow \Omega \) a.s.

Note that the above solution satisfies, in fact, \( X \in \bigcap_{q > 1} \bigcap_{t > 0} L^q(0, t) \) a.s. \( \square \)

6. Continuity of the solution

We want to give additional conditions under which the solution of (3.1) is an a.s. continuous process.

(H6) For all \( (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \), \( t \to F(t, s, x)/(t-s)^{\alpha/q} \) is a.s. continuous on \((s, +\infty)\).

(H7) \( \{ H_t; t \geq 0 \} \) is a.s. continuous.

(H8) For all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( t \to D_i^s H_t \) is a.s. continuous on \((s, +\infty)\).

(H9) For all \( (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \), \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, (t, h) \to G_i^s(h; t, s, x)/(t-s)^{\alpha/2q} \) is a.s. continuous on \((s, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \).

We also suppose that there exist \( \delta > 0, \ell > 0 \) such that for all \( N > 0 \), \( |h| \leq N \), \( 0 \leq s < t \wedge r \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), \( |t-r| \leq 1 \).

(H10) There exists an increasing process \( \{ V_t^n; t \geq 0 \} \) such that

\[ |G_i(h; t, s, x) - G_i(h; r, s, x)| \leq V_t^n |t-r|^{\delta} (1 + |x|^\ell). \]

\[ (6.1) \]

**Theorem 6.1.** Under conditions (H1’), (H2’), (H3’), (H4’), (H5’), (H6), (H7), (H8), (H9), (H10), the unique solution of (3.1) (which is progressively measurable and belongs a.s. to \( \bigcap_{q > 1} \bigcap_{t > 0} L^q(0, t) \)) has a.s. continuous modification.
Proof. We need to show only that whenever \(X \in \cap_{q>1} \cap_{t>0} L^q(0,t)\) a.s., \(\{J_t(X); t>0\}\) has an a.s. continuous modification.

(a) We first show that \(t \rightarrow \int_0^t F(t,s,X_s)ds\) is a.s. continuous.

Note that (H.6), (H.7), (H.8), and (H.9) imply that for all \((s,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\), \(t \rightarrow F(t,s,x)\) is a.s. continuous on \((s, +\infty)\).

Moreover, from (H.2'), (H.3'), (H.4'), and the fact that \(X \in \cap_{q>1} \cap_{t>0} L^q(0,t)\) a.s. for any \(T > 0\), there exists a process \(\{Z^T_s; s \in [0, T]\}\) such that

\[
|F(t,s,X_s)| \leq Z^T_s, \quad |G^r_t(H_t; t,s,X_s)D^r_tH_t| \leq Z^T_s, \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T \quad \text{a.s.,}
\]

(6.2)

Let first \(\{t_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}\) be a sequence such that \(t_n < t\) for any \(n\) and \(t_n \to t\) as \(n \to \infty\); then

\[
\int_0^t F(t,s,X_s)ds - \int_0^{t_n} F(t_n,s,X_s)ds = \int_0^t [F(t,s,X_s) - F(t_n,s,X_s)]ds + \int_0^{t_n} F(t_n,s,X_s)ds,
\]

(6.3)

and the latter tends a.s. to 0 as \(n \to \infty\).

\[
\int_0^{t_n} [F(t,s,X_s) - F(t_n,s,X_s)]ds \leq \int_0^t |F(t,s,X_s) - F(t_n,s,X_s)|ds
\]

(6.4)

which tends to 0 as \(n \to \infty\). A similar argument gives the same result when \(t_n > t\), \(t_n \to t\).

(b) We next show that \(t \rightarrow I_t(X,H_t)\) possesses an a.s. continuous modification. This follows from (H.7) and

\[
(t,h) \longrightarrow I_t(X,h)
\]

(6.5)

has an a.s. continuous modification.

By localization, it suffices to prove (6.5) under assumptions (H.2), (H.3), (H.4), (H.5) and (H.6), (H.7), (H.8), (H.9), (H.10), with \(V^N_t(\omega)\) in (H.10) replaced by a constant \(K\), and in case \(X_0 \in \cap_{q>1} L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)\).

It then suffices to show that under the above hypotheses, there exists \(C, q > 0\) such that for any \(h, k \in \mathbb{R}^d\), the numbers \(t, r, s\) will be positive. Suppose, to fix the ideas, that \(0 \leq r < t\); then

\[
I_t(X,h) - I_r(X,k) = \int_r^t \frac{G_t(h; t,s,X_s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha/2q}}dW^r_s + \int_0^r \left[ \frac{G_t(h; s,r,X_s)}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2q}} - \frac{G_t(h; r,s,X_s)}{(s-r)^{\alpha/2q}} \right]dW^r_s - \int_0^r \left[ \frac{G_t(h; s,r,X_s)}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2q}} - \frac{G_t(k; r,s,X_s)}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2q}} \right]dW^r_s.
\]

(6.6)
It follows from the Burkholder-Gundy inequality that

\[
E\left( \left| \int_r^t \frac{G_i(h; t, s, X_s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha/2}} dW_s^i \right|^q \right) \leq c_q \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ E\left( \left| \int_r^t \frac{|G_i(h; t, s, X_s)|^2}{(t-s)^{\alpha/2}} ds \right|^{q/2} \right) \right]^{q/2}
\leq c_q (t-r)^{(q-2)/2} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_r^t \frac{|G_i(h; t, s, X_s)|^q}{(t-s)^{\alpha/2}} ds
\leq c_q (t-r)^{(q-2)/2} \int_r^t E\left( 1 + \left| X_s \right|^q \right) ds
\leq C_q (t-r)^{(q-2)/2} (t-r)^{1-\alpha/2}.
\] (6.7)

From (H4), for \( G_i \), we deduce, as in Lemma 4.1, that

\[
E\left( \left| \int_0^r \left[ \frac{G_i(h; r, s, X_s)}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2}} \right] dW_s^i \right|^q \right) \leq c_q (h-k)^q \int_0^r E\left( 1 + \left| X_s \right|^q \right) ds \leq C_q (h-k)^q r^{1-\alpha/2}.
\] (6.8)

From (H.10) and the fact that

\[
X \in \bigcap_{q>1} \bigcap_{t>0} L^q(\Omega \times (0,t)),
\]

\[
E\left( \left| \int_0^r \left[ \frac{G_i(h; t, s, X_s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha/2}} - \frac{G_i(h; r, s, X_s)}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2}} \right] dW_s^i \right|^q \right)
= E\left( \left| \int_0^r \left( \frac{1}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2}} \right) [G_i(h; t, s, X_s) - G_i(h; r, s, X_s)]
\right. \left. + G_i(h; t, s, X_s) \left[ \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\alpha/2}} - \frac{1}{(r-s)^{\alpha/2}} \right] \right) dW_s^i \right|^q \]
\leq E\left( c_q \int_0^r \left( \left| \frac{G_i(h; t, s, X_s) - G_i(h; r, s, X_s)}{(r-s)^\alpha} \right|^q
\right. \left. + \left| G_i(h; t, s, X_s) \right|^q ((r-s)^{-\alpha/2} - (t-s)^{-\alpha/2})^q \right) ds \right)
\leq c_q K^q \left( \int_0^r \left( \frac{|t-r|^{\delta q}}{(r-s)^{\alpha}} E\left( 1 + \left| X_s \right|^{q^e} \right) \right) ds
\right.
\left. + \int_0^r E\left( 1 + \left| X_s \right|^q \right) ((r-s)^{-\alpha/2} - (t-s)^{-\alpha/2}) ds \right)
\leq \tilde{c}_q \left[ |t-r|^{\delta q} \int_0^r (r-s)^{-\alpha/2} ds + \int_0^r ((r-s)^{-\alpha/2} - (t-s)^{-\alpha/2}) ds \right]
\leq C_q \left( |t-r|^{\delta q} r^{1-\alpha/2} + (r^{1-\alpha/2} + (t-r)^{1-\alpha/2} - t^{1-\alpha/2}) \right).
\] (6.9)
which from the above estimate yields

\[
E\left(\left| I_t(X,h) - I_r(X,k) \right|^q \right) \\
\leq C_q \left[ |t - r|^{1 - \alpha/2} + |t - r|^{(q - \alpha)/2} \\
+ r^{1 - \alpha/2} (|t - r|^q + |h - k|^q) + (r^{1 - \alpha/2} - t^{1 - \alpha/2}) \right];
\]

(6.10)

this completes the proof. □
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