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Fritz Carlson's type inequality for fuzzy integrals is studied in a rather general form. The main results of this paper generalize some previous results.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Recently, the study of fuzzy integral inequalities has gained much attention. The most popular method is using the Sugeno integral [1]. The study of inequalities for Sugeno integral was initiated by Román-Flores et al. [2, 3] and then followed by the others [4-11].

Now, we introduce some basic notation and properties. For details, we refer the reader to $[1,12]$.

Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $X$, and let $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be a nonnegative, extended real-valued set function. We say that $\mu$ is a fuzzy measure if it satisfies
(1) $\mu(\emptyset)=0$,
(2) $E, F \in \Sigma$ and $E \subset F$ imply $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$ (monotonicity);
(3) $\left\{E_{n}\right\} \subset \Sigma, E_{1} \subset E_{2} \subset \cdots \operatorname{imply} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(E_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\right)$ (continuity from below),
(4) $\left\{E_{n}\right\} \subset \Sigma, E_{1} \supset E_{2} \supset \cdots, \mu\left(E_{1}\right)<\infty$, imply $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(E_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\right)$ (continuity from above).
If $f$ is a nonnegative real-valued function defined on $X$, we will denote by $L_{\alpha} f=\{x \in$ $X: f(x) \geq \alpha\}=\{f \geq \alpha\}$ the $\alpha$-level of $f$ for $\alpha>0$, and $L_{0} f=\overline{\{x \in \mathbb{B}: f(x)>0\}}=\operatorname{supp} f$ is the support of $f$. Note that if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $\{f \geq \beta\} \subset\{f \geq \alpha\}$.

Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a fuzzy measure space; by $\mathscr{F}_{+}^{\mu}(X)$ we denote the set of all nonnegative $\mu$-measurable functions with respect to $\Sigma$.

Definition 1.1 (see [1]). Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a fuzzy measure space, with $f \in \mathcal{F}_{+}^{\mu}(X)$, and $A \in \Sigma$, then the Sugeno integral (or fuzzy integral) of $f$ on $A$ with respect to the fuzzy measure $\mu$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A} f d \mu=\bigvee_{\alpha \geq 0}[\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap\{f \geq \alpha\})] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vee$ and $\wedge$ denote the operations sup and inf on [0, $\infty$ ), respectively.
It is well known that the Sugeno integral is a type of nonlinear integral; that is, for general cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a f+b g) d \mu=a f f d \mu+b f g d \mu \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not hold.
The following properties of the fuzzy integral are well known and can be found in [12].
Proposition 1.2. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a fuzzy measure space, with $A, B \in \Sigma$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{+}^{\mu}(X)$; then
(1) $f_{A} f d \mu \leq \mu(A)$,
(2) $f_{A} k d \mu=k \wedge \mu(A)$, for $k$ a nonnegative constant,
(3) if $f \leq g$ on $A$ then $f_{A} f d \mu \leq f_{A} g d \mu$,
(4) if $A \subset B$ then $f_{A} f d \mu \leq f_{A} f d \mu$,
(5) $\mu(A \cap\{f \geq \alpha\}) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow f_{A} f d \mu \geq \alpha$,
(6) $\mu(A \cap\{f \geq \alpha\}) \leq \alpha \Rightarrow f_{A} f d \mu \leq \alpha$,
(7) $f_{A} f d \mu<\alpha \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $\gamma<\alpha$ such that $\mu(A \cap\{f \geq \gamma\})<\alpha$,
(8) $f_{A} f d \mu>\alpha \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $\gamma>\alpha$ such that $\mu(A \cap\{f \geq \gamma\})>\alpha$.

Remark 1.3. Let $F$ be the distribution function associated with $f$ on $A$, that is, $F(\alpha)=\mu(A \cap$ $\{f \geq \alpha\}$ ). By (5) and (6) of Proposition 1.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\alpha)=\alpha \Longrightarrow f_{A} f d \mu=\alpha \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from a numerical point of view, the Sugeno integral can be calculated by solving the equation $F(\alpha)=\alpha$.

Fritz Carlson's integral inequality states $[13,14]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) d x \leq \sqrt{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 4} \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2} f^{2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, Caballero and Sadarangani [8] have shown that in general, the Carlson's integral inequality is not valid in the fuzzy context. And they presented a fuzzy version of Fritz Carlson's integral inequality as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \sqrt{2}\left(f_{0}^{1} x^{2} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 4} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 4} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, our purpose is to give a generalization of the above Fritz Carlson's inequality for fuzzy integrals. Moreover, we will give many interesting corollaries of our main results.

## 2. Main Results

This section provides a generalization of Fritz Carlson's type inequality for Sugeno integrals. Before stating our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [11]). Let ( $X, \Sigma, \mu$ ) be a fuzzy measure space, $f \in \mathcal{F}_{+}^{\mu}(X), A \in \Sigma, f_{A} f d \mu \leq 1$, and $s \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A} f^{s} d \mu \geq\left(f_{A} f d \mu\right)^{s} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the fuzzy measure $\mu$ in Lemma 2.1 is the Lebesgue measure, then $f_{0}^{1} f d \mu \leq 1$ is satisfied readily. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, we have the following.

Corollary 2.2 (see [8]). Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a $\mu$-measurable function with $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure and $s \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f^{s}(x) d \mu(x) \geq\left(f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{s} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.3. Two functions $f, g: X \rightarrow R$ are said to be comonotone if for all $(x, y) \in X^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(x)-f(y))(g(x)-g(y)) \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important property of comonotone functions is that for any real numbers $p, q$, either $\{f \geq p\} \subset\{g \geq q\}$ or $\{g \geq q\} \subset\{f \geq p\}$.

Note that two monotone functions (in the same sense) are comonotone.
Theorem 2.4. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a fuzzy measure space, $f, g \in \mathscr{f}_{+}^{\mu}(X)$ and $f$ and $g$ comonotone functions, $A \in \Sigma$ with $f_{A} f d \mu \leq 1$, and $f_{A} g d \mu \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A} f \cdot g d \mu \geq\left(f_{A} f d \mu\right) \cdot\left(f_{A} g d \mu\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f_{A} f d \mu=0$ or $f_{A} g d \mu=0$ then the inequality is obvious. Now choose $\alpha, \beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \geq f_{A} f d \mu>\alpha>0, \quad 1 \geq f_{A} g d \mu>\beta>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (8) of Proposition 1.2, there exist $1>\gamma_{\alpha}>\alpha$ and $1>\gamma_{\beta}>\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(A \cap\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\}\right)>\alpha, \quad \mu\left(A \cap\left\{g \geq \gamma_{\beta}\right\}\right)>\beta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $f$ and $g$ are comonotone functions, then either $\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\} \subset\left\{g \geq \gamma_{\beta}\right\}$ or $\left\{g \geq \gamma_{\beta}\right\} \subset\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\}$. Suppose that $\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\} \subset\left\{g \geq \gamma_{\beta}\right\}$. In this case, we have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(A \cap\left\{f g \geq \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta}\right\}\right) \geq \mu\left(\left(A \cap\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\}\right) \cap\left(A \cap\left\{g \geq \gamma_{\beta}\right\}\right)\right)=\mu\left(A \cap\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\}\right)>\alpha \geq \alpha \beta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by applying (8) of Proposition 1.2 again, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A} f \cdot g d \mu>\alpha \beta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the values of $\alpha, \beta>0$ are arbitrary, we obtain the desired inequality. Similarly, for the case $\left\{g \geq \gamma_{\beta}\right\} \subset\left\{f \geq \gamma_{\alpha}\right\}$ we can get the desired inequality too.

From Theorem 2.4, we get the following.
Corollary 2.5 (see [15]). Let $\mu$ be an arbitrary fuzzy measure on $[0, a]$ and $f, g:[0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two real-valued measurable functions such that $f_{0}^{a} f d \mu \leq 1$ and $f_{0}^{a} g d \mu \leq 1$. If $f$ and $g$ are increasing (or decreasing) functions, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{a} f \cdot g d \mu \geq\left(f_{0}^{a} f d \mu\right) \cdot\left(f_{0}^{a} g d \mu\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
If the fuzzy measure $\mu$ in Corollary 2.5 is the Lebesgue measure and $a=1$, then $f_{0}^{a} f d \mu \leq 1$ and $f_{0}^{a} g d \mu \leq 1$ are satisfied readily. Thus, by Corollary 2.5 , we obtain

Corollary 2.6 (see [2]). Let $f, g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two real-valued functions, and let $\mu$ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. If $f, g$ are both continuous and strictly increasing (decreasing) functions, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f \cdot g d \mu \geq\left(f_{0}^{1} f d \mu\right) \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} g d \mu\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
The following result presents a fuzzy version of generalized Carlson's inequality.

Theorem 2.7. Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a fuzzy measure space, $f, g, h \in \mathscr{F}_{+}^{\mu}(X), f$ and $g$, and $f$ and $h$ are comonotone functions, respectively, $A \in \Sigma$ with $f_{A} f d \mu \leq 1, f_{A} g d \mu \leq 1, \leq f_{A} h d \mu \leq 1, f_{A} f g d \mu \leq$ 1 , and $f_{A} f h d \mu \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{K}\left(f_{A} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{A} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\left(f_{A} g(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{p /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{A} h(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{q /(p+q)}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, for $p, q \geq 1$, we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{A} f(x) \cdot g(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{p} \leq f_{A} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x),  \tag{2.12}\\
& \left(f_{A} f(x) \cdot h(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{q} \leq f_{A} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying these inequalities, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{A} f(x) \cdot g(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{p} \cdot\left(f_{A} f(x) \cdot h(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{q}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \quad \leq\left(f_{A} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right) \cdot\left(f_{A} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{A} f \cdot g d \mu \geq\left(f_{A} f d \mu\right) \cdot\left(f_{A} g d \mu\right), \quad f_{A} f \cdot h d \mu \geq\left(f_{A} f d \mu\right) \cdot\left(f_{A} h d \mu\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitutes (2.14) into (2.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{A} f(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{p+q} \cdot\left(f_{A} g(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{p} \cdot\left(f_{A} h(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{q}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad \leq\left(f_{A} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right) \cdot\left(f_{A} f^{q}(x) \cdot h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality implies that (2.11) holds
By Theorem 2.7, we have the following.
Corollary 2.8. Assume that $p, q \geq 1$. Let $f, g, h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are increasing (or decreasing) functions and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{K}\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\left(f_{0}^{1} g(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{p /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} h(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{q /(p+q)}$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a $\mu$-measurable function with $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure. If $g^{s}(s \geq 1)$ is a convex function such that, $g(0) \neq g(1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} g(x) d \mu(x) \leq \min \left\{\frac{\max \{g(0), g(1)\}}{\left(1+\left|g^{s}(1)-g^{s}(0)\right|\right)^{1 / s}}, 1\right\} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Firstly, we consider the case of $g^{S}(0)<g^{S}(1)$. As $g^{s}$ is a convex function, we have by Theorem 1 of Caballero and Sadarangani [7] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} g^{s}(x) d \mu(x) \leq \min \left\{\frac{g^{s}(1)}{1+g^{s}(1)-g^{s}(0)}, 1\right\} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 2.2 and (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{0}^{1} g(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{s} \leq \min \left\{\frac{g^{s}(1)}{1+g^{s}(1)-g^{s}(0)}, 1\right\} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that (2.17) holds. Similarly, we can obtain (2.17) by of [7, Theorem 2] for the case of $g^{S}(0)>g^{S}(1)$.

From Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 2.8, we have the following.
Theorem 2.10. Assume that $p, q \geq 1$. Let $f, g, h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be increasing (or decreasing) functions and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. If $g^{s}(s \geq 1)$ or $h^{r}(r \geq 1)$ is a convex function such that $g(0) \neq g(1)$ or $h(0) \neq h(1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{M_{1}^{p / p+q} K_{2}^{q / p+q}}\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\max \{g(0), g(1)\}}{\left(1+\left|g^{s}(1)-g^{s}(0)\right|\right)^{1 / s}}, 1\right\}, \quad K_{2}=f_{0}^{1} h(x) d \mu(x) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{K_{1}^{p / p+q} M_{2}^{q / p+q}}\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=f_{0}^{1} g(x) d \mu(x), \quad M_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\max \{h(0), h(1)\}}{\left(1+\left|h^{r}(1)-h^{r}(0)\right|\right)^{1 / r}}, 1\right\} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.11. Assume that $p, q \geq 1$. Let $f, g, h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be increasing (or decreasing) functions and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. If $g^{s}(s \geq 1)$ and $h^{r}(r \geq 1)$ are two convex functions such that $g(0) \neq g(1)$ and $h(0) \neq h(1)$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{M_{1}^{p / p+q} M_{2}^{q / p+q}}\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{p}(x) g^{p}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{q}(x) h^{q}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 /(p+q)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are as in (2.21) and (2.23), respectively.
Straightforward calculus shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} x^{2} d \mu(x)=\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad f_{0}^{1} x d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{2}, \quad f_{0}^{1} 1 d \mu(x)=1 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p=q=2, g(x)=x$ and $h(x)=1, g(x)=x^{2}$ and $h(x)=x, g(x)=x^{2}$, and $h(x)=1$, respectively, then Corollary 2.8 reduces to Theorem 1.4, and the following Corollaries 2.12 and 2.13.

Corollary 2.12. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \sqrt{3+\sqrt{5}}\left(f_{0}^{1} x^{4} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 4} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} x^{2} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.13. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{\sqrt{6+2 \sqrt{5}}}{2}\left(f_{0}^{1} x^{4} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 4} \cdot\left(f_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.14. Corollary 2.8 is a generalization of the main result in [8, Theorem 1].
If $p=q=1, g(x)=h(x)=x^{2}$, then Corollary 2.8 reduces to the following corollary.
Corollary 2.15. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} f_{0}^{1} x^{2} f(x) d \mu(x) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $g(x)=e^{-\sqrt{x+1}}$ on $[0,1]$. This function is nonincreasing $\left(g^{\prime}(x)=\right.$ $\left.-(1 / 2 \sqrt{x+1}) e^{-\sqrt{x+1}}<0\right)$, nonnegative and convex $\left(g^{\prime \prime}(x)=(1 / 4(x+1)) e^{\sqrt{x+1}}(1 / \sqrt{x+1}+1) \geq\right.$ $0)$.

Let $p=q=1, g(x)=h(x)=e^{-\sqrt{x+1}}$, and $s=r=1$. As $g(0)=1 / e>1 / e^{\sqrt{2}}=g(1)$ and $h(0)>h(1)$, we have the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=M_{2}=\frac{e^{\sqrt{2}-1}}{e^{\sqrt{2}}+e^{\sqrt{2}-1}-1} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.11 we can get the following corollary.
Corollary 2.16. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nonincreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}}+e^{\sqrt{2}-1}-1}{e^{\sqrt{2}-1}} f_{0}^{1} e^{-\sqrt{x+1}} f(x) d \mu(x) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $g(x)=x-\ln (x+1)$ and $h(x)=x-\arctan x$ on $[0,1]$. Obviously, $g$ and $h$ are nonnegative, nondecreasing and convex on the interval $[0,1]$. Let $s=r=1$, then, we have the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\max \{g(0), g(1)\}}{\left(1+\left|g^{s}(1)-g^{s}(0)\right|\right)^{1 / s}}, 1\right\}=\frac{1-\ln 2}{2-\ln 2^{\prime}}  \tag{2.31}\\
M_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\max \{h(0), h(1)\}}{\left(1+\left|h^{r}(1)-h^{r}(0)\right|\right)^{1 / r}}, 1\right\}=\frac{4-\pi}{8-\pi} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.11 (set $p=q=1$ ) we can get the following corollary.
Corollary 2.17. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq & \sqrt{\frac{(2-\ln 2)(8-\pi)}{(1-\ln 2)(4-\pi)}}\left(f_{0}^{1}(x-\ln (x+1)) f(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(f_{0}^{1}(x-\arctan (x+1)) f(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $g(x)=\sqrt{x^{2}+x+1 / 8}$ on $[0,1]$. Obviously, this function is nonnegative, nondecreasing $\left(g^{\prime}(x)=((2 x+1) / 2)\left(x^{2}+x+1 / 8\right)^{-1 / 2} \geq 0\right)$, and nonconvex $\left(g^{\prime \prime}(x)=-(1 / 8)\left(x^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.x+1 / 8)^{-3 / 2} \leq 0\right)$. But $g^{2}(x)=x^{2}+x+1 / 8$ is convex. Set $s=2$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{17 / 8}}{(1+\sqrt{17 / 8}-\sqrt{1 / 8})^{2}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{34}}{(\sqrt{8}+\sqrt{17}-1)^{2}} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Theorem $2.10\left(\operatorname{set} g=\sqrt{x^{2}+x+1 / 8}, h(x)=x, s=2, p=1, q=2\right)$ we can get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.18. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function and $\mu$ the Lebesgue measure on R. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu(x) \leq & \left(\frac{\sqrt{34}(\sqrt{8}+\sqrt{17}-1)^{2}}{17}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(f_{0}^{1} \sqrt{x^{2}+x+(1 / 8)} f(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{1 / 3}  \tag{2.34}\\
& \times\left(f_{0}^{1} x^{2} f^{2}(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{2 / 3} .
\end{align*}
$$
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