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## 1. Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$, and let $\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{U})$ be the set of all functions analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{p}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{H}(\mathbb{U}): f(z)=z^{p}+a_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ with $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}$.
For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{p}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{H}(\mathbb{U}): f(z)=p+b_{p} z^{p}+\cdots\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathscr{H}_{1}=\mathscr{H}$.
A function $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ is said to be $p$-valently starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, that is, $f \in S^{*}(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0, \quad \Re \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \alpha<p, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, a function $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ is said to be $p$-valently convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, that is, $f \in \notin(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{R e}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \alpha<p, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
We denote by $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ to be the family of functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right\}>\alpha \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leq \alpha<p, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{C S}^{*}(\alpha)$ to be the family of functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right\}>\alpha \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leq \alpha<p, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
We note that the classes $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C S}^{*}(\alpha)$ are special classes of the class of $p$-valently close-to-convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$, the class of $p$-valently close-to-starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<p)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, respectively.

In particular, the classes $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{S}^{*}, \notin \mathcal{K}(0)=\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}(0)=\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{S}^{*}$ are the familiar classes of univalent, starlike, convex, close-to-convex, and close-to-starlike functions in $\mathbb{U}$, respectively. Also, we note that
(i) $f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$;
(ii) $\nless(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{C}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\lambda, f ; z) \equiv(1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda$ real number and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$.
The class of $\lambda$-convex functions are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}: \mathfrak{R e} J(\lambda, f ; z)>0\right\} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\beta} \subset \mathcal{M}_{0}=\mathcal{S}^{*}$ for $0 \leq \lambda / \beta \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1} \subset \mathcal{K}$ for $\lambda \geq 1$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}(\mu, f ; z)=(1-\mu) \frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}+\mu \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$ real number and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$. We note that $I_{1}(\mu, f ; z)=I(\mu, f ; z)$.
The class of functions is defined by $I_{p}(\mu, f ; z)$ as above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mu}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}: \mathfrak{R e} I_{p}(\mu, f ; z)>0\right\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A class defined by $J(\lambda, f ; z)$ was studied by Dinggong [1], and also, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the general case of $\tau_{\mu}$ was studied by Özkan and Altıntaş [2]. Given two functions $f$ and $g$, which are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, the function $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$, written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f<g, \quad f(z)<g(z), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(0)=0, \quad|\omega(z)|<1, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(\omega(z)), \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f<g \quad \text { iff } f(0)=g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The main results

In proving our main results, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu.
Lemma 2.1 (see [3, page 132]). Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $\oplus$ containing $q(\mathbb{U})$, with $\phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)], \quad h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q(z), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose that either
(i) $Q$ is starlike, or
(ii) $h$ is convex.

In addition, assume that
(iii) $\mathfrak{R e}\left(z h^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right)=\mathfrak{R e}\left[\theta^{\prime}[q(z)] / \phi[q(z)]+z Q^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right]>0$.

If $P$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with $P(0)=q(0), P(\mathbb{U}) \subset \Phi$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta[P(z)]+z P^{\prime}(z) \cdot \phi[P(z)]<\theta[q(z)]+z q^{\prime}(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)]=h(z), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P<q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.2. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{p}$ be univalent, $q(z) \neq 0$ and satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike;
(ii) $\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{q(z)}{\lambda}+1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z^{\prime} q(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0$
for $\lambda \neq 0$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. For $P \in \mathscr{H}_{p}$ with $P(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)+\lambda \frac{z P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}<q(z)+\lambda \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P<q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the functions $\theta$ and $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(w):=w, \quad \phi(w):=\frac{\lambda}{w}, \quad \mathscr{D}=\{w: w \neq 0\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Lemma 2.1. Then, the functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)]=\lambda \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \\
h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q(z)=q(z)+\lambda \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (2.3), we obtain that $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathfrak{R e}\left\{z h^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right\}>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Since it satisfies preconditions of Lemma 2.1 and using (2.4), it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $P \prec q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.3. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{p}$ be univalent, $q(z) \neq 0$ and satisfies the conditions (2.3) in Lemma 2.2. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\lambda, f ; z)<q(z)+\lambda \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<q(z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z):=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(0)=p$. Then, we obtain easily the following result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)+\lambda \frac{z P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}=J(\lambda, f ; z) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.1 and (2.7), we can obtain the result (2.8).
Lemma 2.4. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ be univalent and satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $q(z)$ is convex;
(ii) $\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+p\right)+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad(p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})$
for $\mu \neq 0$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. For $P \in \mathscr{L}_{1}$ in $\mathbb{U}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\mu+\mu p) P(z)+\mu z P^{\prime}(z)<(1-\mu+\mu p) q(z)+\mu z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P<q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.

Proof. For $\mu \neq 0$ real number, we define the functions $\theta$ and $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(w):=(1-\mu+\mu p) w, \quad \phi(w):=\mu, \quad \mathscr{=}=\{w: w \neq 0\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Lemma 2.1. Then, the functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)]=\mu z q^{\prime}(z)  \tag{2.14}\\
h(z)=\theta[q(z)]+Q(z)=(1-\mu+\mu p) q(z)+\mu z q^{\prime}(z)
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (2.11), we obtain that $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathfrak{R e}\left\{z h^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right\}>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Since it satisfies preconditions of Lemma 2.1 and using (2.12), it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $P \prec q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.5. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ be univalent and satisfies the conditions (2.11) in Lemma 2.4. For $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}(\mu, f ; z)<(1-\mu+\mu p) q(z)+\mu z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec q(z) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z):=\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(0)=1$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\mu+\mu p) P(z)+\mu z P^{\prime}(z)=I_{p}(\mu, f ; z) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using (2.15) and Lemma 2.4, we can obtain the result (2.16).
Corollary 2.6. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ be univalent and satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $q(z)$ is convex;
(ii) $\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+1\right)+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad(p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})$
for $\mu \neq 0$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. For $P \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ in $\mathbb{U}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)+\mu z P^{\prime}(z)<q(z)+\mu z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P \prec q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.

Proof. By putting $p=1$ in Lemma 2.4, we obtain Corollary 2.6.
Corollary 2.7. Suppose $q \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies the conditions (2.19) in Corollary 2.6. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\mu, f ; z) \prec q(z)+\mu z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z}<q(z) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. By putting $p=1$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain Corollary 2.7.
Corollary 2.8. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ be univalent; $q(z)$ is convex for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. For $P \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ in $\mathbb{U}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)+z P^{\prime}(z)<q(z)+z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P<q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Corollary 2.6, we take $\mu=1$.
Corollary 2.9. Let $q \in S$ be convex. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z) \prec q(z)+z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec q(z) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Corollary 2.7, we take $\mu=1$.
Corollary 2.10. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ be univalent, $q(z)$ is convex for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. For $P \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ in $\mathbb{U}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
p P(z)+z P^{\prime}(z)<p q(z)+z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $P<q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Lemma 2.4, we take $\mu=1$.
Corollary 2.11. Let $q \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ be univalent, $q(z)$ is convex, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}<p q(z)+z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec q(z) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.

Proof. In Theorem 2.3, we take $\mu=1$.
Corollary 2.12. Let $q \in S$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}(\mu, f ; z)<\frac{(1-\mu+\mu p)+2[\mu-\alpha-\alpha \mu p] z-(1-2 \alpha)(1-\mu+\mu p) z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}, \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \in \mathcal{C S}^{*}(\alpha) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Theorem 2.5, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.13. Let $q \in S$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}<\frac{p+2[1-\alpha-\alpha p] z-(1-2 \alpha) p z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \in \mathcal{C S}^{*}(\alpha), \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Corollary 2.12, we take $\mu=1$.
Corollary 2.14. Let $q \in S$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}<\frac{p+2 z-p z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{C S} S^{*} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Corollary 2.13, we take $\alpha=0$.
Corollary 2.15. Let $q \in S$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)<\frac{1+2 z-z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{C} S^{*}, \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. In Corollary 2.14, we take $p=1$.
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