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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid<1\}$ and $\mathscr{H}[a, n]$ be the subclasses of $\mathscr{H}$ consisting of the functions of the form $f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \cdots$. Let $\mathcal{A}(p, n)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ normalized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(p, n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. In particular, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(p, 1):=\mathcal{A}_{p}, \quad \mathcal{A}(1,1):=\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written symbolically as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f<g \quad \text { or } \quad f(z)<g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ which is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z)), z \in \mathbb{D}$.

For two analytic functions $f(z)$ and $F(z)$, we say that $F(z)$ is superordinate to $f(z)$ if $f(z)$ is subordinate to $F(z)$.

For integer $n \geq 1$, let $\Omega(n)$ denote the class of functions $w(z)$ which are regular in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy the conditions $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$, and $w(z)=z^{n} \phi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $\phi(z)$ is regular and analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfies $|\phi(z)|<1$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Also, let $P\{(p, n)$ denote the class of functions $p(z)=p+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k}$ which are regular in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy the conditions $p(0)=p, \operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We note that if $p(z) \in D(p, n)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=p \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}=\frac{1-z^{n} \phi(z)}{1+z^{n} \phi(z)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some functions $w(z) \in \Omega(n)$ and every $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
Definition 1.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p, n)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, \lambda \geq 0, l>0$, one defines the multiplier transformations $\cap_{p}(m, \lambda, l)$ on $\mathcal{A}(p, n)$ by the following infinite series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{p}(m, \lambda, l) f(z):=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+\lambda(k-p)+l}{p+l}\right)^{m} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{p}(0, \lambda, l) f(z)=f(z) \\
(p+l) \partial_{p}(2, \lambda, l) f(z)=(p(1-\lambda)+l) \partial_{p}(1, \lambda, l) f(z)+\lambda z\left(\partial_{p}(1, \lambda, l) f(z)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{1.6}\\
\partial_{p}\left(m_{1}, \lambda, l\right)\left(\partial_{p}\left(m_{2}, \lambda, l\right) f(z)\right)=\partial_{p}\left(m_{2}, \lambda, l\right)\left(\partial_{p}\left(m_{1}, \lambda, l\right) f(z)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for all integers $m_{1}, m_{2}$.
Remark 1.2. This multiplier transformation was introduced by Cătaş [1]. For $p=1, l=0, \lambda \geq 0$, the operator $\mathscr{\Xi}_{\lambda}^{m}:=\varrho_{1}(m, \lambda, 0)$ was introduced by Al-Oboudi [2] which reduces to the Sălăgean differantial operator [3]. For $\lambda=1$, the operator $\partial_{l}^{m}:=\partial_{1}(m, 1, l)$ was studied recently by Cho and Srivastava [4] and Cho and Kim [5]. The operator $\partial_{m}:=\partial_{1}(m, 1,1)$ was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [6] and the operator $\partial_{p}(m, l):=\partial_{p}(m, 1, l)$ was investigated recently by Sivaprasad Kumar et al. [7].

Definition 1.3 (see [1]). Let $\varphi(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi(0)=1$. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p, n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n ; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial_{p}(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z)}{\partial_{p}(m, \lambda, l) f(z)}<\varphi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.4. The radius of starlikeness of the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n, \varphi)$ is defined by the following.
For each $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n ; \varphi)$, let $r(f)$ be the supremum of all numbers $r$ such that $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)$ is starlike with respect to the origin. Then the radius of starlikeness for $\mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n ; \varphi)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{st}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n ; \varphi)\right)=\inf _{f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n, \varphi)} r(f) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.5. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p, n)$ and $\lambda>0$, then $f(z)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n ; x)$ if and only if $F(z)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{p+l}{\lambda z^{(p(1-\lambda)+l) / \lambda}} \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{(p(1-\lambda)+l) / \lambda-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+l}{p+l+(k-p) \lambda}\right) a_{k} z^{k}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}(m+1, \lambda, l, n ; \chi)$.
This theorem was proved by Cătaş [1].

## 2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. The radius of starlikeness of the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}(m, \lambda, l, n, \phi)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{st}}=\left(\frac{p+l}{\lambda(p+n)+\sqrt{\lambda^{2}(p+n)^{2}+(p+l)(p+l-2 \lambda p)}}\right)^{1 / n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This radius is sharp because the extremal function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}(z)=\frac{\lambda}{p+l} \frac{z^{p}\left(c+p+(c-p) z^{n}\right)}{\left(1+z^{n}\right)^{2 p / n+1}}, \quad c=\frac{p(1-\lambda)+l}{\lambda} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If we take $c=(p(1-\lambda)+l) / \lambda$, then the function $F(z)$ in Theorem 1.5 can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{p+l}{\lambda z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{c-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take the logarithmic derivative from (2.3) and after simple calculations, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{z^{c} f(z)-c \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{c-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta}{\int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{c-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F(z)$ is starlike, hence there exists a function $w(z) \in \Omega(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{z^{c} f(z)-c \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{c-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta}{\int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{c-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta}=p \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $f(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{(c+p)+(c-p) w(z)}{(1+w(z)) z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{c-1} f(\zeta) d \zeta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative from (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=p \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}+(b-1) \frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+b w(z))} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b=(c-p) /(c+p)$. To show that $f(z)$ is starlike in $|z|<r_{0}$, we must show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<r_{0}$. This condition is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-b) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+b w(z))}\right) \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(p \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(p \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right)=p \frac{1-|w(z)|^{2}}{|1+w(z)|^{2}} \\
(1-b) \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+b w(z))}\right) \leq \frac{(1-b)\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|}{|1+w(z)||1+b w(z)|^{\prime}}  \tag{2.10}\\
\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{n|z|^{n}}{1-|z|^{2 n}}\left(1-|w(z)|^{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

(Golusin inequality, [8]). Therefore, the inequality (2.9) will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n(1-b)|z|^{n}}{|1+w(z)||1+b w(z)|} \frac{1-|w(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2 n}} \leq p \frac{1-|w(z)|^{2}}{|1+w(z)|^{2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying and writing $|z|=r$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n(1-b) r^{n}}{1-r^{2 n}} \leq p\left|\frac{1+b w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right| . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{n}=r^{n}, p|(1+b w(z)) /(1+w(z))| \geq p\left(\left(1+b r^{n}\right) /\left(1+r^{n}\right)\right)$ so that (2.12) will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n(1-b) r^{n}}{1-r^{2 n}}<p \frac{1+b r^{n}}{1+r^{n}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.13) can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p-(1-b)(p+n) r^{n}-b p r^{2 n}>0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the required root $r_{0}$ of the theorem.
To see that the result is sharp, consider the function $F(z)=z^{p} /\left(1+z^{n}\right)^{2 p / n}$. For this function, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{*}(z) & =\frac{1}{p+l} \frac{z^{p}\left((c+p)+(c-p) z^{n}\right)}{\left(1+z^{n}\right)^{2 p / n+1}}  \tag{2.15}\\
z \frac{f_{*}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{*}(z)} & =\frac{p-(1-b)(p+n) z^{n}-p b z^{2 n}}{\left(1+z^{n}\right)^{2 p / n+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

So that $z\left(f_{*}^{\prime}(z) / f_{*}(z)\right)=0$ for $|z|=r_{0}$. Thus, $f(z)$ is not starlike in any circle $|z|<r$ if $r>r_{0}$.

Remark 2.2. If we give special values to $m, \lambda, l, n$, we obtain the radius of starlikeness for the corresponding integral operators.
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