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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Denote by $U$ the unit disc of the complex plane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}, \quad \dot{U}=U-\{0\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{H}(U)$ be the space of holomorphic function in $U$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{H}(U), f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots, z \in U\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{1}=A$.
For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}[a, n]=\left\{f \in \mathscr{H}(U), f(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots, z \in U\right\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{f \in A, \operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1>0, z \in U\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the class of normalized convex functions in $U$.

If $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions in $U$, then we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f<g$, if there is a function $w$ analytic in $U$, with $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$, for all $z \in U$ such that $f(z)=g[w(z)]$ for $z \in U$. If $g$ is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(U) \subseteq g(U)$.

A function $f$, analytic in $U$, is said to be convex if it is univalent and $f(U)$ is convex.
Let $\psi: \mathbb{C}^{3} \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let $h$ be univalent in $U$. If $p$ is analytic in $U$ and satisfies the (second-order) differential subordination,
(i) $\psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z), z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)<h(z), z \in U$, then $p$ is called a solution of the differential subordination.

The univalent function $q$ is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination, or more simply a dominant, if $p<q$ for all $p$ satisfying (i).

A dominant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $\tilde{q}<q$ for all dominants $q$ of (i) is said to be the best dominant of (i). (Note that the best dominant is unique up to a rotation of $U$.) In order to prove the original results, we use the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 (see [1, Theorem 3.1.6, page 71, and the references therein]). Let $h$ be a convex function with $h(0)=a$, and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be a complex number with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathscr{H}[a, n]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{1}{r} z p^{\prime}(z)<h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)<q(z)<h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{\gamma}{n z^{\gamma / n}} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) t^{\gamma / n-1} d t, \quad z \in U \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $q$ is convex and the best dominant.
Lemma 1.2 (see [2, Lemma 13.5.1, page 375, and the references therein]). Let $g$ be a convex function in $U$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=g(z)+n \alpha z g^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$, and $n$ is a positive integer.
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=g(0)+p_{n} z^{n}+p_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots, \quad z \in U \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is holomorphic in $U$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)<h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec g(z), \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this result is sharp.

Lemma 1.3 (see [1, Corollary 2.6.g.2, page 66]). Let $f \in A$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{2}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(t) d t, \quad z \in U . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)>-\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \in K . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.4 (see [3, Lemma 1.5]). Let $\operatorname{Re} c>0$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{k^{2}+|c|^{2}-\left|k^{2}-c^{2}\right|}{4 k \operatorname{Re} c} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h$ be an analytic function in $U$ with $h(0)=1$, and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)>-w, \quad z \in U . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p(z)=1+p_{k} z^{k}+\cdots(k \geq 1$ integer $)$ is analytic in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+\frac{1}{c} z p^{\prime}(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)<q(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the solution of the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{k}{c} z q^{\prime}(z)=h(z), \quad q(z)=1 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{c}{k z^{c / k}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c / k-1} h(t) d t . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $q$ is the best dominant.
Definition 1.5 (see [4]). For $f \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \cup\{0\}$, the operator $S^{n} f$ is defined by $S^{n}: A \rightarrow A$

$$
\begin{gather*}
S^{0} f(z)=f(z), \\
S^{1} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z),  \tag{1.21}\\
\ldots \\
S^{n+1} f\left(z=z\left[S^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}, \quad z \in U .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1.6. If $f \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n} a_{j} z^{j}, \quad z \in U \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.7 (see [5]). For $f \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \cup\{0\}$, the operator $R^{n} f$ is defined by $R^{n}: A \rightarrow A$

$$
\begin{gather*}
R^{0} f(z)=f(z), \\
R^{1} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z),  \tag{1.24}\\
\ldots \\
(n+1) R^{n+1} f(z)=z\left[R^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+n R^{n} f(z), \quad z \in U .
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1.8. If $f \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{n+j-1}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}, \quad z \in U \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \cup\{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $D_{\lambda}^{n} f$ denote the operator defined by $D_{\lambda}^{n}: A \rightarrow A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=(1-\lambda) S^{n} f(z)+\lambda R^{n} f(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $S^{n} f$ and $R^{n} f$ are given by Definitions 1.5 and 1.7, respectively.
Remark 2.2. We observe that $D_{\lambda}^{n}$ is a linear operator and for

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[(1-\lambda) j^{n}+\lambda C_{n+j-1}^{n}\right] a_{j} z^{j} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it is easy to observe that if we consider $\lambda=1$ in Definition 2.1, we obtain the Ruscheweyh differential operator, and if we consider $\lambda=0$ in Definition 2.1, we obtain the Sălăgean differential operator.

Remark 2.3. For $n=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{0} f(z)=(1-\lambda) S^{0} f(z)+\lambda R^{0} f(z)=f(z)=S^{0} f(z)=R^{0} f(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $n=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{1} f(z)=(1-\lambda) S^{1} f(z)+\lambda R^{1} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)=S^{1} f(z)=R^{1} f(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.4. If $f \in \Sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{2} f(z)=z+a_{0} z^{2}+a_{1} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad z \in U \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.5. If $0 \leq \alpha<1, \lambda \geq 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, n+1)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \Sigma$ which satisfy the inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda z n\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{n+1}\right\}>\alpha \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g$ is given by Definition 2.1, $g$ is given by (2.7), and $R^{n} g$ is given by Definition 1.7.
Theorem 2.6. If $0 \leq \alpha<1, \lambda \geq 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, n+1) \subset \Sigma(\delta, \lambda, n+1) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\delta(\alpha)=2 \alpha-1+2(1-\alpha) \ln 2 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, n+1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{2} f(z)=z+a_{0} z^{2}+a_{1} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad g \in A \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, n+1)$ by using Definition 2.5, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda n z\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{n+1}\right\}>\alpha, \quad z \in U \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda n z\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{n+1} \prec \frac{1+(2 \alpha-1) z}{1+z}=h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the properties of the operators $D_{\lambda}^{n} g, S^{n} g$, and $R^{n} g$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(1-\lambda) S^{n+1} g(z)+\lambda R^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda n z\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{n+1}} \\
& \quad=(1-\lambda)\left[z\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{\left[z\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+n R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime}}{n+1}+\frac{\lambda n z\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{n+1} \\
& \quad=(1-\lambda)\left[\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+z\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]+\lambda \frac{\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+z\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}+n\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime}}{n+1}+\frac{\lambda n z\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{n+1} \\
& \quad=(1-\lambda)\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+z\left[(1-\lambda)\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right], \quad z \in U . \tag{2.1.}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.14) in (2.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+z\left[(1-\lambda)\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]<\frac{1+(2 \alpha-1) z}{1+z}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
p(z) & =\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime} \\
& =(1-\lambda)\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =(1-\lambda)\left(z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n} a_{j} z^{j}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda\left(z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{n+j-1}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =(1-\lambda)\left(1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{n+1} a_{j} z^{j-1}\right)+\lambda\left(1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j C_{n+j-1}^{n} a_{j} z^{j-1}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& =1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[(1-\lambda) j^{n+1}+\lambda j C_{n+j-1}^{n}\right] a_{j} z^{j-1} \\
& =1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \quad z \in U .
\end{align*}
$$

We have that $p \in \mathscr{H}[1,1]$. From (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}(z)=(1-\lambda)\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\lambda\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) in (2.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)<\frac{1+(2 \alpha-1) z}{1+z}=h(z), \quad z \in U . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)<q(z)<h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+(2 \alpha-1) t}{1+t} d t=2 \alpha-1+2(1-\alpha) \frac{\ln (1+z)}{z}, \quad z \in U \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $q$ is convex and best dominant.
Since $q$ is convex and $q(U)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} p(z)>\operatorname{Re} q(1)=\delta=\delta(\alpha)=2 \alpha-1+2(1-\alpha) \ln 2 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we deduce that $\Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, n+1) \subset \Sigma(\delta, \lambda, n+1)$.
Example 2.7. If $n=0, \alpha=1 / 2, \lambda \geq 0$, then $\delta(1 / 2)=\ln 2$, and we deduce for $f \in \Sigma$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[4 z f(z)+5 z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+z^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]>\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[2 z f(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)\right]>\ln 2, \quad z \in U \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.8. Let $r$ be a convex function, $r(0)=1$, and let $h$ be a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=r(z)+z r^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma, g$ is given by (2.7), and the following differential subordination holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda n z\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{n+1} \prec h(z)=r(z)+z r^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime} \prec r(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this result is sharp.
Proof. By using the properties of the operator $D_{\lambda}^{n} g$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)=(1-\lambda) S^{n+1} g(z)+\lambda R^{n+1} g(z) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the properties of operators $S^{n} g(z), R^{n} g(z)$, and by differentiating (2.27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime} } & =\left[(1-\lambda) S^{n+1} g(z)+\lambda R^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime} \\
& =(1-\lambda)\left[\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+z\left(S^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]+\lambda \frac{(n+1)\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime}+z\left(R^{n} g(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{n+1} \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.28) in (2.25) and relations (2.16) and (2.17), after a simple calculation, Subordination (2.25) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)<r(z)+z r^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec r(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime}<r(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.9. If $n=0, \lambda \geq 0, r(z)=(1+z) /(1-z)$, from Theorem 2.8, we deduce that if $f \in \Sigma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z f(z)+5 z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+z^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(z)<\frac{1+2 z-z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in U, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z f(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)<\frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.10. Let $r$ be a convex function, $r(0)=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=r(z)+z r^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma, g$ is given by (2.7), and the following differential subordination holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime}<h(z)=r(z)+z r^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)}{z} \prec r(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this result is sharp.
Proof. We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)}{z}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (2.37), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime}=p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.38), Subordination (2.35) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)<r(z)+z r^{\prime}(z)=h(z), \quad z \in U . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec r(z), \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)}{z} \prec r(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this result is sharp.

Example 2.11. If we let $r(z)=1 /(1-z), n=1, \lambda \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Theorem 2.10, we deduce that if $f \in \Sigma$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z f(z)+5 z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+z^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in U, \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)<\frac{1}{1-z}, \quad z \in U \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this result is sharp.
Theorem 2.12. Let $h \in \mathscr{H}(U)$, with $h(0)=1, h^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ which verifies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma, g$ is given by (2.7) and the following differential subordination holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime}<h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)}{z}<q(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t, \quad z \in U \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Function $q$ is convex and the best dominant.
Proof. In order to prove Theorem 2.12, we will use Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4. We deduce the value of $w$ from Lemma 1.4 by using the conditions of Theorem 2.12. From (2.37), Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p(z) & =\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)}{z} \\
& =\frac{z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[(1-\lambda) j^{n}+\lambda C_{n+j-1}^{n}\right] a_{j} z^{j}}{z}  \tag{2.49}\\
& =1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[(1-\lambda) j^{n}+\lambda C_{n+j-1}^{n}\right] a_{j} z^{j-1} \\
& =1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z+\cdots, \quad z \in U .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 1.4, we deduce from (2.49) that $k=1$. Using (2.38) in Subordination (2.46), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)<h(z), \quad z \in U . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Subordination (2.50), by using Lemma 1.4, we deduce that $c=1$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{k^{2}+c^{2}-\left|k^{2}-c^{2}\right|}{4 k \operatorname{Re} c}=\frac{1+1-|1-1|}{4}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.4, from Subordination (2.50), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)<q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t, \quad z \in U \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)}{z} \prec q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t, \quad z \in U \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the best dominant.
Since the function $h$ verifies the relation (2.45), from Lemma 1.3, we deduce that $q$ is a convex function.

Example 2.13. If $n=0, \lambda \geq 0, h(z)=e^{(3 / 2) z}-1$, from Theorem 2.12, we deduce for $f \in \Sigma$ that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z f(z)+5 z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+z^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec e^{(3 / 2) z}-1, \quad z \in U \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{2}{3 z} e^{(3 / 2) z}-\frac{2}{3 z}-1, \quad z \in U \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.14. Let $h \in \mathscr{H}(U)$, with $h(0)=1, h^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$ which verifies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma, g$ is given by (2.7), and the following differential subordination holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n+1} g(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda n z\left[R^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{n+1}<h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

is convex and the best dominant.

Proof. In order to prove Theorem 2.14, we will use Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4. The value of $w$ is obtained using the conditions of Theorem 2.14.

Using (2.16) and (2.17), Subordination (2.49) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)<h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Subordination (2.60), by using Lemma 1.4, we deduce that $c=1$; and from the relation (2.16), Definition 2.1, and Remark 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
p(z) & =\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime} \\
& =\left[z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[(1-\lambda) j^{n}+\lambda C_{n+j-1}^{n}\right] a_{j} z^{j}\right]^{\prime}  \tag{2.61}\\
& =1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[(1-\lambda) j^{n}+\lambda C_{n+j-1}^{n}\right] \cdot j \cdot a_{j} \cdot z^{j-1} \\
& =1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \quad z \in U .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.61), by using Lemma 1.4, we deduce that $k=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{k^{2}+|c|^{2}-|k-c|^{2}}{4 k \operatorname{Re} c}=\frac{1+1-|1-1|^{2}}{4}=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.4, from Subordination (2.60), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t, \quad z \in U \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{\curlywedge}^{n} g(z)\right]^{\prime} \prec q(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(t) d t, \quad z \in U \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the best dominant.
Since the function $h$ verifies the inequality (2.45), from Lemma 1.3, we deduce that $q$ is a convex function.

Example 2.15. If $n=0, \lambda \geq 0, f \in \Sigma, h(z)=\left(2 z+z^{2}\right) /(1+z)^{2}$, from Theorem 2.14, we deduce that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 z f(z)+5 z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+z^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{2 z+z^{2}}{2(1+z)^{2}}, \quad z \in U \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)<\frac{1}{2} z+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+z}+1, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$
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