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ABSTRACT. $s_{p}(\alpha)$ is a Banach space of sequences $x$ with
$\|x\|=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}+\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. For $1<p<\infty, p \neq 2,0<\alpha<\infty, \alpha \neq 1$, there are no nontrivial surjective isometries in $s_{p}(\alpha)$. It has been conjectured that there are no nontrivial isometries. This note gives two distinct counterexamples to this conjecture and a partial affirmative answer for the case of isometries with finite codimension.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

Banach spaces provide a natural setting for a variety of pure and applied problems in functional analysis. The geometry of a Banach space is much more complex then that of the simpler Hilbert spaces. The geometry of a Banach space is closely related to the types of linear isometries that the Banach space admits [1].

There are examples of Banach spaces for which the only isometries from the space into itself are the trivial ones, $\pm \mathrm{I}$ [2]. These examples are constructed by placing extreme points on the unit ball in an asymmetrical pattern. While these examples are of interest it is also helpful to have examples which closely resemble the Banach spaces commonly encountered.

As one step in this direction, Jamison and Fleming [3] studied a discrete analogue of the classical Sobolov spaces, which they denoted as $s_{p}(\alpha)$.

For $1 \leq p<\infty, p \neq 2, \alpha \geq 0$, let $s_{p}(\alpha)$ denote the linear space of all real or complex sequences $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}+\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s_{p}(0)=\ell_{p}$. If $\alpha>0$, then $s_{p}(\alpha)$ is isomorphic but not isometric to $\ell_{p} \cdot s_{p}(\alpha)$ is isometric to a subspace of $\ell_{p}$.

In [3], it is shown that for $1<p<\infty, \alpha>0, \alpha \neq 1$, the only surjective isometries in $s_{p}(\alpha)$ are scalar multiples of the identity. In [3], it is conjectured that all isometries in $s_{p}(\alpha)$ must be surjective and hence scalar multiples of the identity.

In this note we exhibit non-surjective isometries for all $\alpha>0$. We also show that there are essentially two types of isometries in $s_{p}(\alpha)$ and that if $\alpha>0$, then one kind cannot have finite codimension.

It is always assumed that $p \neq 2$. Unless stated otherwise, we allow $\alpha=1$, $\alpha=0$, or $p=1$.

## 2. EXAMPLES AND TERMINOLOGY.

The simplest type of isometry on $s_{p}(\alpha)$ is one that preserves both sums in (1.1). Such an isometry is an isometry independent of $\alpha$ and is an isometry on $\ell_{p}$. Thus, it has the structure developed in [4]. We shall call such an isometry a Lamperti isometry.

EXAMPLE 1. For $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}(\alpha), 1 \leq \mathrm{p}<\infty$, define T by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x=3^{\left.-1 / p_{\left\{x_{0}\right.}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots\right\} .} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T$ is a Lamperti isometry.
Note, that if $T$ is an isometry on $s_{p}(\alpha)$ for two distinct values of $\alpha$, then $T$ is a Lamperti isometry.

EXAMPLE 2. Suppose that $\alpha>0$ and $m>0, n>0$ are integers. For $x \in s_{p}(\alpha)$, define $T$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x=\left\{0, \beta x_{0}, \ldots, \beta x_{0}, 0, \gamma\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right), \ldots, \gamma\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right), 0, \beta x_{1}, \ldots, \beta x_{1}, 0, \ldots\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each string of $\beta x_{i}$ is repeated $m$ times and each string of $\gamma\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)$ is repeated $n$ times. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{Tx}\|^{\mathrm{p}} & =\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{p}} \sum\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{n} \gamma \sum\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{p}}+2 \alpha \beta^{\mathrm{p}} \sum\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{p}}+2 \gamma^{\mathrm{p}} \alpha \sum\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{i}\right|^{\mathrm{p}} \\
& =(\mathrm{m}+2 \alpha) \beta^{\mathrm{p}} \sum\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{p}}+(\mathrm{n}+2 \alpha) \gamma^{\mathrm{p}} \sum\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{p}} . \\
\text { Define } \mathrm{S} & =\beta^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}+2 \alpha)^{-1 / p_{T}} \text {. Then } \mathrm{S} \text { is an isometry on } \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}(\alpha) \text { if and only if }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{n}+2 \alpha}{\mathrm{~m}+2 \alpha}\left(\left.\frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right|^{\mathrm{p}}=\alpha .\right. \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But then for any $\alpha>0$, any $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$, $S$ will be an isometry on $s_{p}(\alpha)$ provided $\gamma / \beta$ satisfies (2.3). Since (2.3) is inconsistent for $\alpha=0$, we see that this $S$ is not a Lamperti isometry. Thus each $s_{p}(\alpha)$ has isometries which are not isometries for any other value of $\alpha$.

## 3. FINITE CODIMENSION.

The isometry in both Example 1 and Example 2 has a range of infinite codimension. This suggests that perhaps there are no isometries on $s_{p}(\alpha)$ which have a range with finite codimension. This section will show that there are no Lamperti isometries of finite codimension that are no surjective. The key will be the following fact from [3]. For $x, y \in s_{p}(\alpha)$, let $x y$ be the sequence $(x y)_{i}=x_{i} y_{i}$. Let $V x=\left\{0, x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots\right\}$. Then, for any isometry $T$ on $s_{p}(\alpha)$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x y & =0  \tag{3.1}\\
x(V y) & =0 \\
(V x) y & =0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { implies that } \quad\left\{\begin{aligned}
(T x)(T y) & =0 \\
(T x)(V T y) & =0 \\
(V T x)(T y) & =0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Let $e_{i}$ be the standard basis for $\ell_{p}$. Suppose that $T$ is an isometry of finite codimension. Let $E_{i}$ be the support of $\mathrm{Te}_{i}$, that is, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left\{\mathrm{k} \mid\left(\mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{k}} \neq 0\right\}$. By (3.1) $E_{i} \cap E_{i+k}=\emptyset$ if $i \geq 0, k \geq 2$. Note in Example 2 that $E_{i} \cap E_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$. We shall say $E_{i}, E_{j}$ adjoin if there exists $i_{1} \in E_{i}, j_{1} \in E_{j}$ such that $\left|i_{1}-j_{1}\right|=1$. For any isometry $T$, the following hold:
(i) $E_{i}$ and $E_{i+1}$ either adjoin or intersect
(ii) $E_{i}$ and $E_{i+k}, k \geq 2$ neither adjoin nor intersect.
(ii) follows immediately from (3.1). To see (i), suppose that (i) does not hold. If $\mathrm{i} \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right\|^{\mathrm{p}}=|\beta|^{\mathrm{p}}+1+\alpha\left(|\beta|^{\mathrm{p}}+|1-\beta|^{\mathrm{p}}+1\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta \mathrm{Te}_{i}+\mathrm{Te}{ }_{i+1}\right\|^{\mathrm{P}}=|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}\left|\mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{i}}\left\|^{\mathrm{P}}+\right\| \mathrm{T} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \|^{\mathrm{P}}=|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}(1+2 \alpha)+1+2 \alpha\right. \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\left\|\beta e_{i}+e_{i+1}\right\|=\left\|T\left(\beta e_{i}+e_{i+1}\right)\right\|$, so that (3.2) and (3.3) are equal. Since (3.2) depends on $\beta$, whereas (3.3) depends on $|\beta|$, we have a contradiction if $\alpha>0$. A similar proof works if $i=0$.

THEOREM 1. If $T$ is a Lamperti Isometry of finite codimension in $s_{p}(\alpha)$, then $T$ is surjective.

PROOF. Since $T$ is a Lamperti Isometry, all but a finite number of the $E_{i}$ are singletons. What's more, since $E_{i}$ must adjoin, but not intersect $E_{i-1}, E_{i+1}$, after some index, the sets are listed in order. Let $E_{j}$ be the last set which is not a singleton. Let $\left(h_{o}, \ldots, h_{k}, 0, \ldots\right)=T_{j}$, where $h_{k} \neq 0$ and $E_{j+1}=\{k+1\}$. We allow some $h_{r}=0$ if $r<k$. Now, if $j \geq 1,\left\|\beta e_{j}+e_{j+1}\right\|^{p}=\left\|\beta T e_{j}+T e_{j+1}\right\|^{p}$, or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\beta|^{p}+1+\alpha\left(|\beta|^{p}+|1-\beta|^{p}+1\right)=1+\sum_{i=0}^{k}|\beta|^{p}\left|h_{i}\right|^{p} \\
& +\alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}|\beta|^{p}\left|h_{i+1}-h_{i}\right|^{p}+\left|\beta h_{k}-1\right|^{p}+1\right) \\
& \left.=1+\sum_{i=0}^{k}|\beta|^{p}\left|h_{i}\right|^{p}+\left.\alpha\left|\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\right| \beta\right|^{p}\left|h_{i+1}-h_{i}\right|^{p}+|\beta|^{p}\left|h_{k}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& -\alpha|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}\left|\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+\alpha\left|\beta \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}-1\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+\alpha \\
& =1+|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}\left\|\mathrm{~T} e_{j}\right\|^{\mathrm{P}}-\alpha|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}\left|\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+\alpha\left|\beta \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}-1\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+\alpha \\
& =1+|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}(1+2 \alpha)-\alpha|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}\left|\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+\alpha\left|\beta \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}-1\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Working with the first and last terms gives $\alpha|1-\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}=\alpha|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}-\alpha|\beta|^{\mathrm{P}}\left|\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{\mathrm{P}}+$ $\alpha\left|\beta h_{k}-1\right|^{p}$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
|1-\beta|^{p}=|\beta|^{p}-|\beta|^{p}\left|h_{k}\right|^{p}+\left|\beta h_{k}-1\right|^{p} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.4) holds for all $\beta$, and both sides of (3.4) are differentiable with respect to $\beta$, except at $\beta=0,1,1 / h_{k}$. Differentiating with respect to $\beta$ gives for $p>1$, and $\beta>0, \beta$ small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-p|1-\beta|^{p-1}=p|\beta|^{p-1}-p|\beta|^{p-1}\left|h_{k}\right|^{p}-p h_{k}\left|\beta h_{k}-1\right|^{p-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (3.5) holds in an interval of the form $0<\beta<\varepsilon$. Hence, it holds for $\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Thus $-\mathrm{p}=-\mathrm{ph} h_{k}$ or $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}=1$. But T is also an isometry in $\ell_{\mathrm{p}}$ so that $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{k}}\left|\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{\mathrm{p}}=1$. Hence, $E_{j}=\{k\}$, which is a contradiction. The proof for $j=0$ is similar.

We conjecture that Theorem 1 is true also for non-Lamperti isometries on $s_{p}(\alpha)$, but we have been unable to prove it. With minor modifications, one can proceed exactly as in Theorem 1 (to get the $E_{i}$, for $i$ greater than some $k$, singletons is not too hard). The difficulty is that $h_{k}=1$ no longer provides any contradiction that we can see. On the other hand, numerical counterexamples seem quite messy.
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