ON AN INFINITE SERIES FOR $(1+1/x)^x$ AND ITS APPLICATION

HONGWEI CHEN

Received 20 May 2001

An infinite series for $(1+1/x)^x$ is deduced. As an application, a refinement of Carleman's inequality is achieved.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15.

The well-known Carleman's inequality states that if $a_n \ge 0$, n = 1, 2, ..., and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n.$$
 (1)

Recently, Yang and Debnath [4] improved (1) to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \right)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \right) a_n.$$
⁽²⁾

In [3], a further refinement of (2) is presented as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n\right)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1/5}\right)^{-1/2} a_n.$$
(3)

The key step in the establishment of inequalities (2) and (3) is aimed at estimates of $(1+1/x)^x$. In this note, we derive an equality for $(1+1/x)^x$ in terms of an infinite series. As an application, we further strengthen inequality (3). The main results of this note are presented as follows.

THEOREM 1. For any x > 0,

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} = e\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_{n}}{(1+x)^{n}}\right),$$
 (4)

where $b_n > 0$ and satisfies the recurrence relation

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{n-i+2}.$$
 (5)

Carleman's inequality (1) is correspondingly refined as follows.

THEOREM 2. If $a_n \ge 0$, $n = 1, 2, ..., and 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \right)^{1/n} < e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k}{(1+n)^k} \right) a_n, \tag{6}$$

where *m* is any positive integer and $b_k > 0$ is given by (5).

To prove Theorem 1, we now introduce three lemmas.

LEMMA 3. For x > 0, t = 1/(1+x),

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} = e \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n(n+1)}\right).$$
(7)

PROOF. For x > 0, 0 < t = 1/(1+x) < 1, we have

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} = \left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)^{(1-t)/t} = \exp\left(-\frac{1-t}{t}\ln(1-t)\right).$$
(8)

Using the power series

$$\ln(1-t) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{n+1},$$
(9)

which converges for 0 < t < 1, we have

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} = \exp\left(\left(1-t\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{t^{n}}{n+1}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^{n}}{n(n+1)}\right)$$
$$= e\exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^{n}}{n(n+1)}\right).$$
(10)

This proves (7) as desired.

LEMMA 4. *For* 0 < *t* < 1,

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n(n+1)}\right) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n t^n,$$
(11)

where b_n satisfies the recurrence relation (5).

PROOF. Set

$$p(t) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n(n+1)},$$

$$f(t) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n(n+1)}\right) = \exp\left(p(t)\right).$$
(12)

It is clear that the power series of p(t) converges uniformly for 0 < t < 1 and $f(0) = \exp(p(0)) = 1$. Therefore, we can expand f(t) as a power series in the form of (11). To show that the recurrence relation (5) holds, by the chain rule, we have

$$b_1 = -f'(0) = -f(0)p'(0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(13)

Next we have, using the Leibniz rule,

$$f^{(k+1)}(x) = \left(f(x)p'(x)\right)^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} f^{(i)}(x)p^{(k-i+1)}(x), \tag{14}$$

where $f^{(i)}$ indicates the *i*th derivative of f(x) for $i \ge 1$ and $f^{(0)} = f$. By virtue of the facts

$$b_{k+1} = -\frac{f^{(k+1)}(0)}{(k+1)!}, \qquad p^{(i)}(0) = -\frac{i!}{i(i+1)}, \qquad \binom{k}{i} = \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!}, \tag{15}$$

separating the first term in (14) from the summation, we get

$$b_{k+1} = \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{b_i}{k-i+2},$$
(16)

from which the recurrence relation (5) follows. This proves Lemma 4.

To find b_n in (11), starting with $b_1 = 1/2$, and applying the recurrence relation (5) repeatedly, we obtain

$$b_{2} = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4}b_{1} = \frac{1}{24},$$

$$b_{3} = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{9}b_{1} - \frac{1}{6}b_{2} = \frac{1}{48},$$

$$b_{4} = \frac{1}{20} - \frac{1}{16}b_{1} - \frac{1}{12}b_{1} - \frac{1}{8}b_{3} = \frac{73}{5760}.$$
(17)

For $n \ge 5$, the computation of b_n is considerably longer and complicated. Implementing the recurrence relation (5) with Maple, we easily find the next six coefficients as follows:

$$b_{5} = \frac{11}{1280}, \qquad b_{6} = \frac{3625}{580608}, \qquad b_{7} = \frac{5525}{1161216},$$

$$b_{8} = \frac{5233001}{1393459200}, \qquad b_{9} = \frac{1212281}{398131200}, \qquad b_{10} = \frac{927777937}{367873228800}.$$
(18)

Those calculations suggest the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. If b_n satisfies the recurrence relation (5), then $b_n > 0$ for all $n \ge 1$.

PROOF. In view of the recurrence relation (5), we see that $b_{n+1} > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i}{n-i+2} < \frac{1}{n+2}.$$
(19)

We make the inductive hypothesis that (19) is true for all positive integers *n*. This hypothesis is true for n = 1 as $b_1 = 1/2$ and

$$\frac{b_1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{3}.$$
 (20)

Now, by the recurrence relation (5), we have

$$\frac{1}{k+3} - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{b_i}{k-i+3} = \frac{1}{k+3} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{k-i+3} - \frac{b_{k+1}}{2} = \frac{1}{k+3} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{k-i+3} - \frac{1}{2(k+1)} \left(\frac{1}{k+2} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{k-i+2} \right) = \frac{2(k+1)(k+2) - (k+3)}{2(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2(k+1)(k-i+2) - (k-i+3)}{2(k+1)(k-i+3)} \frac{b_i}{k-i+2} = \frac{2k^2 + 5k + 1}{2(k+1)(k+3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{k+2} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{[2(k+1)(k-i+2) - (k-i+3)](k+3)}{(k-i+3)[2(k+1)(k+2) - (k+3)]} \frac{b_i}{k-i+2} \right\} > \frac{2k^2 + 5k + 1}{2(k+1)(k+3)} \left\{ \frac{1}{k+2} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{b_i}{k-i+2} \right\} > 0,$$
(21)

from which (19) holds for n = k + 1. Here we have used the fact

$$\frac{\left[2(k+1)(k-i+2)-(k-i+3)\right](k+3)}{(k-i+3)\left[2(k+1)(k+2)-(k+3)\right]} = \frac{2(k+1)\left((k-i+2)/(k-i+3)\right)-1}{2(k+1)\left((k+2)/(k+3)\right)-1} < 1, \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k$$
(22)

and the inductive hypothesis for n = k. Therefore, the lemma now follows by the principle of mathematical induction.

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By virtue of (7) and (11), taking t = 1/(1+x), we have

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{x} = e\left(1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_{n}}{(1+x)^{n}}\right).$$
(23)

By Lemmas 4 and 5, we have that $b_n > 0$ and satisfies the recurrence relation (5). This proves Theorem 1.

REMARK 6. As an added bonus, taking x = n in (23), we have

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n} = e\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_{k}}{(1+n)^{k}}\right).$$
(24)

Thus, for any positive integer $m \ge 1$, we obtain

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n < e\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k}{(1+n)^k}\right).$$
(25)

On the other hand, noticing that $b_k \leq 1/k(k+1)$ from (5), we have

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n > e\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k(k+1)(1+n)^k}\right).$$
(26)

Combining inequalities (24) and (26), we deduce that

$$e\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)(1+n)^k}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n < e\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k}{(1+n)^k}\right).$$
(27)

This improves Kloosterman's inequality [2, pages 324–325] and [4, inequality (2.7)].

Next, we prove Theorem 2 by modifying the approach used to prove Hardy's inequality [1].

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For any positive sequence $\{c_n\}$, using the arithmeticgeometric average inequality, we have

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} c_k a_k\right)^{1/n} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k a_k.$$
(28)

So that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\prod_{k=1}^n c_k a_k}{\prod_{k=1}^n c_k} \right)^{1/n}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n c_k \right)^{-1/n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k a_k \right).$$
(29)

Exchanging the order of the summation in the last inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k a_k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n c_k\right)^{-1/n}.$$
(30)

Set

$$c_k = \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^k k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (31)

we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} c_k = (1+n)^n,$$
(32)

and hence

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} c_k \right)^{-1/n} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{k}.$$
(33)

Thus, by virtue of (30), we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \right)^{1/n} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} c_k a_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n a_n.$$
(34)

Taking x = n in Theorem 1, we have refined Carleman's inequality (1) as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \le e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{(1+n)^k} \right) a_n$$

$$< e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k}{(1+n)^k} \right) a_n,$$
(35)

where m is any positive integer. This proves Theorem 2 as required.

REMARK 7. It is clear that (2) is the special case of (35) at m = 1. Furthermore, by the binomial series, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1/5}\right)^{-1/2} > 1 - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} - \frac{1}{24(n+1)^2}, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (36)

Therefore, when m = 2, (35) strengthens (3).

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [2] D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic Inequalities, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wisenschaften, vol. 1965, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- P. Yan and G. Sun, A strengthened Carleman's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 240 (1999), no. 1, 290–293.
- B. Yang and L. Debnath, Some inequalities involving the constant e, and an application to Carleman's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 223 (1998), no. 1, 347-353.

Hongwei Chen: Department of Mathematics, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

E-mail address: hchen@pcs.cnu.edu