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Abstract. The object of the present paper is to consider the starlikeness and convexity of partial sums of certain analytic functions in the open unit disk.
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1. Introduction. Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $S^{*}(\alpha)$ be the subclass of $A$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]>\alpha \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. A function $f(z)$ in $S^{*}(\alpha)$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha$ in $U$. Furthermore, let $K(\alpha)$ denote the subclass of $A$ consisting of all functions $f(z)$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right]>\alpha \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. A function $f(z)$ belonging to $K(\alpha)$ is said to be convex of order $\alpha$ in $U$. We note that $f(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha)$ if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z) \in K(\alpha)$ and denote by $S^{*}(0) \equiv S^{*}$ and $K(0) \equiv K$. For $f(z) \in A$, we introduce the partial sum of $f(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{n} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. It is well known that
(i) $f(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k z^{k}$ is the extremal function for the class $S^{*}$. But $f_{2}(z)=z+2 z^{2} \notin S^{*}$.
(ii) $f(z)=z /(1-z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^{k}$ is the extremal function for the class $K$. But $f_{2}(z)=z+z^{2} \notin K$.

For the partial sums $f_{n}(z)$ of $f(z) \in S^{*}$, Szegö [2] showed the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. (i) $f(z) \in S^{*}$ implies that $f_{n}(z) \in S^{*}$ for $|z|<1 / 4$. The result is sharp. (ii) $f(z) \in S^{*}$ implies that $f_{n}(z) \in K$ for $|z|<1 / 8$. The result is sharp.

Further, Padmanabhan [1] proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3. If $f(z)$ is 2 -valently starlike in $U$, then $f_{n}(z)$ is 2 -valently starlike for $|z|<1 / 6$. The result is sharp.
2. Function $F_{n}(z)$. We define the function $F_{n}(z)$ which is the partial sum of $f(z) \in$ $A$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(z)=z+a_{n} z^{n} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. The function $F_{n}(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}{1-\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{F_{n}(z)}\right] \leq \frac{1+n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}{1+\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{1 /\left|a_{n}\right|} \leq 1$. Therefore, $F_{n}(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{(1-\alpha) /(n-\alpha)\left|a_{n}\right|} \leq 1$.
Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{F_{n}(z)}=\frac{z+n a_{n} z^{n}}{z+a_{n} z^{n}}=n-\frac{n-1}{1+a_{n} z^{n-1}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{F_{n}(z)}\right]=n-(n-1) \frac{1+\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \cos \theta}{1+\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2(n-1)}+2\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \cos \theta} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, the right-hand side of (2.4) is increasing for $\cos \theta$ if $\left|a_{n}\right|<1$, we obtain (2.2). Further, we also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}{F_{n}(z)}\right] \geq \frac{1-n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}{1-\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}>\alpha \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{(1-\alpha) /(n-\alpha)\left|a_{n}\right|} \leq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
Next, we derive the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. The function $F_{n}(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}{1-n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right] \leq \frac{1+n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}}{1+n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{1 / n\left|a_{n}\right|} \leq 1$. Therefore, $F_{n}(z) \in K$ for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{(1-\alpha) / n(n-\alpha)\left|a_{n}\right|} \leq 1$.
Proof. Noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}=n-\frac{n-1}{1+n a_{n} z^{n-1}}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z F_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{n}^{\prime}(z)}\right]=n-(n-1) \frac{1+n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \cos \theta}{1+n^{2}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} r^{2(n-1)}+2 n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1} \cos \theta}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which derives (2.6).

By virtue of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following conjecture.
Conjecture 2.3. For the partial sum $f_{n}(z)$ of $f(z)$ belonging to the class $A$,
(i) $f_{n}(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{(1-\alpha) /(n-\alpha)\left|a_{n}\right|} \leq 1$,
(ii) $f_{n}(z) \in K(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq r<\sqrt[n-1]{(1-\alpha) / n(n-\alpha) \mid a_{n}} \leq 1$.
3. The partial sums of certain analytic functions. In this section, we consider the partial sums of functions $f(z)=z /(1-z)$ and $f(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f_{3}(z)=z+z^{2}+z^{3}$ be the partial sum of $f(z)=z /(1-z)$ which is the extremal function of the class $K$. Then $f_{3}(z) \in S^{*}(626 / 961)$ for $0 \leq r<\beta(1 / 7<$ $\beta<1 / 6)$, where $\beta$ is the positive root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{4}-8 x^{3}+9 x^{2}-8 x+1=0 \quad\left(0<x<\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f_{3}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{3}(z)}\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[3-\frac{2+z}{1+z^{2}+z^{3}}\right]>\alpha \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<\beta$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{2+z}{1+z^{2}+z^{3}}\right]=1+\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2}+r \cos \theta\right)}{1-r^{2}+r^{4}+4 r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+2 r\left(1+r^{2}\right) \cos \theta}<3-\alpha, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2}+r \cos \theta\right)}{1-r^{2}+r^{4}+4 r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+2 r\left(1+r^{2}\right) \cos \theta}\right]<2-\alpha . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the function $g(t)$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(1+r^{2}+r t\right)}{1-r^{2}+r^{4}+4 r^{2} t^{2}+2 r\left(1+r^{2}\right) t} \quad(t=\cos \theta) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{r(r+1)(r-1)\left(1+5 r^{2}+r^{4}+4 r^{2} t^{2}+8 r\left(1+r^{2}\right) t\right)}{\left(1-r^{2}+r^{4}+4 r^{2} t^{2}+2 r\left(1+r^{2}\right) t\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=1+5 r^{2}+r^{4}+4 r^{2} t^{2}+8 r\left(1+r^{2}\right) t \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that (i) $h(t)<0 \Rightarrow g^{\prime}(t)>0$, (ii) $h(t)>0 \Rightarrow g^{\prime}(t)<0$, and (iii) $h(t)=0$ for $t=\left(-2\left(1+r^{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{3\left(1+r^{2}+r^{4}\right)}\right) / 2 r$.

If we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\frac{-2\left(1+r^{2}\right)+\sqrt{3\left(1+r^{2}+r^{4}\right)}}{2 r}<0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $0 \leq r \leq \beta$ implies that $t_{1} \leq-1$, so that, $h(t) \geq 0$. This gives us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \leq g(-1)=\frac{1-r+r^{3}-r^{4}}{1-2 r+3 r^{2}-2 r^{3}+r^{4}}=\frac{g_{1}(r)}{g_{2}(r)} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $g_{1}(r)$ is decreasing for $r(0 \leq r<1 / \sqrt{3})$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8-2 \sqrt{3}}{9}=g_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)<g_{1}(r) \leq g_{1}(0)=1 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $g_{2}(r)$ is decreasing for $r(0 \leq r<\beta)$, because $g_{2}^{\prime}(0)=-2<0$ and $g_{2}^{\prime}(1 / 6)=$ $-31 / 27<0$. This gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{961}{1296}=g_{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)<g_{2}(r) \leq 1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \leq g(-1)=\frac{g_{1}(r)}{g_{2}(r)}<\frac{1296}{961}=2-\alpha, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\alpha=626 / 961=0.651 \ldots$ Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f_{3}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{3}(z)}\right]>\alpha \quad\left(\alpha=\frac{626}{961}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq r<\beta$.
Finally, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f_{3}(z)=z+2 z^{2}+3 z^{3}$ be the partial sum of the Koebe function $f(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ which is the extremal function for the class $S^{*}$. Then $f_{3}(z) \in$ $K(3191 / 15876)$ for $0 \leq r<\beta(1 / 14<\beta<113)$, where $\beta$ is the positive root of

$$
\begin{equation*}
81 x^{4}-162 x^{3}+72 x^{2}-18 x+1=0 \quad\left(0 \leq x<\frac{1}{3}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{z f_{3}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{3}^{\prime}(z)}\right]=\operatorname{Re}\left[3-\frac{2(1+2 z)}{1+4 z+9 z^{2}}\right]>\alpha \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1+2 z}{1+4 z+9 z^{2}}\right] & =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{4 r\left(1-9 r^{2}\right) \cos \theta+1-81 r^{4}}{2\left(1-2 r^{2}+81 r^{4}+8 r\left(1+9 r^{2}\right) \cos \theta+36 r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)}  \tag{3.16}\\
& <\frac{3-\alpha}{2},
\end{align*}
$$

we have to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1-9 r^{2}\right)\left(1+9 r^{2}+4 r \cos \theta\right)}{1-2 r^{2}+81 r^{4}+8 r\left(1+9 r^{2}\right) \cos \theta+36 r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}<2-\alpha . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=\frac{\left(1-9 r^{2}\right)\left(1+9 r^{2}+4 r t\right)}{1-2 r^{2}+81 r^{4}+8 r\left(1+9 r^{2}\right) t+36 r^{2} t^{2}}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t) \leq h(-1)=\frac{1-4 r+36 r^{3}-81 r^{4}}{1-8 r+34 r^{2}-72 r^{3}+81 r^{4}} \equiv \frac{g_{1}(r)}{g_{2}(r)} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $0<g_{1}(r)<1$, and $g_{2}(r)>g_{2}(1 / 13)=15876 / 28561$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t) \leq h(-1)<\frac{1}{g_{2}(r)}<\frac{28561}{15876}=2-\alpha \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\alpha=3191 / 15876=0.200 \ldots$.
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