

## ELEMENTS IN EXCHANGE RINGS WITH RELATED COMPARABILITY

HUANYIN CHEN

(Received 23 December 1998)

**ABSTRACT.** We show that if  $R$  is an exchange ring, then the following are equivalent: (1)  $R$  satisfies related comparability. (2) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with  $aR + bR = dR$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a + bt = dw$ . (3) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR = bR$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = bw$ . Moreover, we investigate the dual problems for rings which are quasi-injective as right modules.

**Keywords and phrases.** Exchange ring, related comparability, related unit.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16E50, 16L99.

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity. From [6],  $R$  is said to satisfy related comparability provided that for any idempotents  $e, f \in R$  with  $e = 1 + ab$  and  $f = 1 + ba$  for some  $a, b \in R$ , there exists a  $u \in B(R)$  such that  $ueR \lesseqgtr ufR$  and  $(1 - u)fR \lesseqgtr (1 - u)eR$ . The class of rings satisfying related comparability is quite large. It includes regular rings satisfying general comparability [10], one-sided unit regular rings [8] and partially unit-regular rings, while there still exist rings satisfying related comparability, which belong to none of the above classes (cf., [7, Example 10]).

In [4, 5], we studied related comparability over regular rings. In [6, 7], we investigated related comparability over exchange rings. It is shown that every exchange ring satisfying related comparability is separative [1]. Also, we show that related comparability over exchange rings is a Morita invariant.  $R$  is said to be an exchange ring if for every right  $R$ -module  $A$  and any two decompositions  $A = M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $M_R \cong R$  and the index set  $I$  is finite, then there exist submodules  $A'_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $A = M \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} A'_i)$ . Many authors have investigated exchange rings with some kind of comparability properties so as to study problems related partial cancellation properties of modules (see [1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 13]).

In this paper, we investigate related comparability over exchange rings by related units. Recall that  $w \in R$  is said to be a related unit of  $R$  if there exists some  $e \in B(R)$  such that  $w = eu + (1 - e)v$  for some  $u, v \in R$ , where  $eu$  is right invertible in  $eR$  and  $(1 - e)v$  is left invertible in  $(1 - e)R$ .  $w \in R$  is said to be a semi-related unit of  $R$  if  $w \in R$  is a related unit modulo  $J(R)$ . By virtue of semi-related units, we also give some new element-wise properties of rings which are quasi-injective as right modules.

Throughout, all rings are associative with identities.  $B(R)$  denotes the set of all central idempotents of  $R$  and  $r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$  ( $l \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ ) denotes the right (left) annihilator of  $b \in R$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring. Then  $R$  satisfies related comparability if and only if so does the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $R$  is an exchange ring, by virtue of [11, Proposition], so is the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of  $R$ . Assume that  $R$  satisfies related comparability. Given  $a^{\text{op}}, b^{\text{op}} \in R^{\text{op}}$  with  $a^{\text{op}}x^{\text{op}} + b^{\text{op}} = 1^{\text{op}}$ , then we have  $xa + b = 1$  in  $R$ . In view of [6, Theorem 4], there exists a  $y \in R$  such that  $x + by$  is a related unit of  $R$ . Thus, we have some  $e \in B(R)$  such that  $(x + by)e$  is right invertible in  $eR$  and  $(x + by)(1 - e)$  is left invertible in  $(1 - e)R$ . By [5, Lemma 4], we claim that there are  $z_1, z_2 \in R$  such that  $(a + z_1b)e$  is left invertible in  $eR$  and  $(a + z_2b)(1 - e)$  is right invertible in  $(1 - e)R$ . Let  $z = z_1e + z_2(1 - e)$ . Then  $a + zb$  is a related unit of  $R$ . Consequently,  $a^{\text{op}} + b^{\text{op}}z^{\text{op}}$  is a related unit of  $R^{\text{op}}$ . By [6, Theorem 4], we conclude that  $R^{\text{op}}$  satisfies related comparability. The converse is clear from  $R \cong (R^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$ . □

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$R$  satisfies related comparability.*
- (2) *Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with  $aR + bR = dR$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a + bt = dw$ .*
- (3) *Given  $a, b$  with  $aR = bR$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = bw$ .*
- (4) *Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with  $Ra + Rb = Rd$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a + tb = wd$ .*
- (5) *Given  $a, b$  with  $Ra = Rb$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = wb$ .*

**PROOF.** (2) $\implies$ (1). Trivial from [6, Theorem 4].

(1) $\implies$ (2). Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with  $aR + bR = dR$ . Let  $g : dR \rightarrow dR/bR$  be the canonical map,  $f_1 : R \rightarrow aR$  given by  $r \mapsto ar$  for any  $r \in R$ ,  $f_2 : R \rightarrow bR$  given by  $r \mapsto br$  for any  $r \in R$ ,  $f_3 : R \rightarrow dR$  given by  $r \mapsto dr$  for any  $r \in R$ . Since  $aR + bR = dR$ , we know that  $gf_1, gf_3$  are epimorphisms. On the other hand,  $R$  is a projective  $R$ -module. So there is some  $\alpha \in \text{End}_R R$  such that  $gf_1 = gf_3\alpha$ . Since  $gf_1$  is an epimorphism, we also have some  $\psi \in \text{End}_R R$  such that  $gf_3\alpha\psi = gf_3$ . From  $\alpha\psi + (1 - \alpha\psi) = 1$ , there is a  $y \in \text{End}_R R$  such that  $\alpha + (1 - \alpha\psi)y = w$  is a related unit of  $\text{End}_R R$ . Therefore, we see that  $gf_1 = gf_3\alpha = gf_3(\alpha + (1 - \alpha\psi)y) = gf_3w$ , and then  $g(f_1 - f_3w) = 0$ . Thus, we have  $\text{Im}(f_1 - f_3w) \leq \text{Ker } g = bR$ . By the projectivity of right  $R$ -module  $R$ , there exists some  $\beta \in \text{End}_R R$  such that  $f_2\beta = f_1 - f_3w$ . Therefore, we claim that  $a + b\beta(1) = f_1(1) + f_2(1)\beta(1) = f_3(1)w(1) = dw(1)$ . It is easy to verify that  $w(1)$  is a related unit of  $R$ .

(1) $\implies$ (3). Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR = bR$ , there exist  $s, t \in R$  such that  $a = bs$  and  $b = at$ . Thus,  $b = bst$ . Since  $st + (1 - st) = 1$ , by virtue of [6, Theorem 4], there exists some  $z \in R$  such that  $s + (1 - st)z = w$  is a related unit of  $R$ . Hence  $a = bs = b(s + (1 - st)z) = bw$ , as desired.

(3) $\implies$ (1). Given any regular  $a \in R$ . Then there exists some  $b \in R$  such that  $a = aba$ , so  $aR = abR$ . Thus  $a = abw$  for some related unit  $w \in R$ . Since  $ab + (1 - ab) = 1$ , we see that  $a + (1 - ab)w = (ab + (1 - ab))w = w$ . By [5, Lemma 4], there is some  $z \in R$  such that  $b + z(1 - ab) = m$  is a related unit of  $R$ . Hence  $a = aba = a(b + z(1 - ab))a = ama$ . According to [6, Theorem 2], we claim that  $R$  satisfies related comparability.

(1) $\iff$ (4) $\iff$ (5). By [11, Proposition], we see that the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of  $R$  is

exchange. Using Lemma 1, we see that  $R$  satisfies related comparability if and only if so does the opposite ring  $R^{op}$  of  $R$ . Applying (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). To  $R^{op}$ , we easily derive the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies related comparability.
- (2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR + r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = R$ , there exists some  $k \in r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$  such that  $a + k$  is a related unit.
- (3) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $Ra + l \cdot \text{ann}(b) = R$ , there exists some  $k \in l \cdot \text{ann}(b)$  such that  $a + k$  is a related unit.

**PROOF.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR + r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = R$ , then there exist  $x \in R, k \in r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$  such that  $ax + k = 1$ . Since  $R$  satisfies related comparability, by virtue of [6, Theorem 4], we can find a  $y \in R$  such that  $a + ky$  is a related unit of  $R$ . It is easy to check that  $ky \in r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , as required.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR = bR$ , there exist  $s, t \in R$  such that  $a = bs$  and  $b = at$ . Obviously,  $1 - st \in r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ . Since  $st + (1 - st) = 1$ , we have  $sR + r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = R$ . Thus we can find some  $k \in r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$  such that  $s + k = w$  is a related unit of  $R$ , and then  $a = bs = b(s + k) = bw$ , as asserted.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Trivial by the symmetry of related comparability.  $\square$

Recall that  $n$  is in the stable range of  $R$  provided that  $a_1R + \dots + a_{n+1}R = R$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  implies that  $(a_1 + a_{n+1}b_1)R + \dots + (a_n + a_{n+1}b_n)R = R$  for some  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$ . If no such  $n$  exists, we say the stable range of  $R$  is  $\infty$ .  $x \in R$  is said to be related unit-regular if  $x = xwx$  for some related unit  $w \in R$ . Now, we investigate related comparability by related unit-regularity as follows.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring with the finite stable range. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  satisfies related comparability.
- (2) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with  $aR + bR = dR$ , there exist some related unit-regular  $w_1, w_2 \in R$  such that  $aw_1 + bw_2 = d$ .
- (3) Given  $a, b, d \in R$  with  $Ra + Rb = Rd$ , there exist some related unit-regular  $w_1, w_2 \in R$  such that  $w_1a + w_2b = d$ .

**PROOF.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Given  $aR + bR = dR$  with  $a, b, d \in R$ . For right  $R$ -module  $R^2$ , the two sets  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{0, d\}$  generate the same right  $R$ -submodule of  $R^2$ . Thus, we can find  $A, B \in M_2(R)$  such that  $(a, b) = (0, d)A$ ,  $(0, d) = (a, b)B$ . Assume that  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}), I_2 - AB = (c_{ij}) \in M_2(R)$ . Since  $AB + (I_2 - AB) = I_2$ , we have  $(a_{21}, a_{22})(b_{12}, b_{22})^T + c_{22} = 1$ . Since  $R$  is an exchange ring satisfying related comparability, its stable range can only be 1, 2 or  $\infty$  by [7, Theorem 3]. So 2 is in the stable range of  $R$ . Thus, we have some  $(y_1, y_2) \in R^2$  such that  $(a_{21}, a_{22}) + c_{22}(y_1, y_2) \in R^2$  is unimodular. Set  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, we claim that the second row of  $A + (I_2 - AB)Y = U$  is unimodular. Clearly,  $(0, d)U = (0, d)A = (a, b)$ . Since  $u_{21}R + u_{22}R = R$ , we can find orthogonal idempotents  $e_1 \in u_{21}R, e_2 \in u_{22}R$  such that  $e_1 + e_2 = 1$ . Assume that  $e_1 = u_{21}x_1, e_2 = u_{22}x_2$ . Let  $w_1 = x_1e_1, w_2 = x_2e_2$ . Then  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are both regular in  $R$ . Moreover, we have  $u_{21}w_1 + u_{22}w_2 = 1$ . By the related comparability of  $R$ , we claim that both  $w_i$  are related unit-regular, as asserted.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Given any regular  $x \in R$ . Then  $x = x\gamma x$  for a  $\gamma \in R$ . So we have  $xR + (1 - x\gamma)R = R$ , and then  $xw_1 + (1 - x\gamma)w_2 = 1$  for some related unit-regular  $w_1, w_2 \in R$ . We easily check that  $x + (1 - x\gamma)w_2s \in R$  is related unit for some  $s \in R$ . Hence  $\gamma + t(1 - x\gamma) = w$ , i.e., a related unit of  $R$ . Consequently, we show that  $x = x\gamma x = xwx$ , as desired.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Clear from the symmetry of related comparability.  $\square$

Recall that a module  $M$  is quasi-injective if any homomorphism of a submodule of  $M$  into  $M$  extends to an endomorphism of  $M$ . Now, we investigate rings which are quasi-injective as right modules. These extend the corresponding results in [3].

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $R$  be quasi-injective as a right  $R$ -module. Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR + bR = R$ , there exists some  $t \in R$  such that  $a + bt$  is a semi-related unit.*

**PROOF.** Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $aR + bR = R$ , then  $\bar{a}(R/J(R)) + \bar{b}(R/J(R)) = R/J(R)$ . Since  $R$  is quasi-injective as a right  $R$ -module, by virtue of [9, Theorem 1],  $R/J(R)$  is a regular, right self-injective ring. Hence  $R$  is an exchange ring satisfying related comparability. According to Theorem 2, we can find a  $\gamma \in R$  such that  $\bar{a} + \bar{b}\bar{\gamma} = \bar{w}$  is a related unit of  $R/J(R)$ . Therefore  $a + b\gamma = w + r$  for some  $r \in J(R)$ . Clearly,  $w + r$  is a semi-related unit of  $R$ , as desired.  $\square$

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $R$  be quasi-injective as a right  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) = r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = wb$ .*
- (2) *Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) = l \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = bw$ .*

**PROOF.** (1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) = r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ . Since  $R$  is quasi-injective as a right  $R$ -module, by [3, Lemma 3.2], we have  $Ra = Rb$ . Assume that  $a = sb$ ,  $b = ta$  for some  $s, t \in R$ . Then  $b = tsb$ . Consequently, there exists some  $\gamma \in R$  such that  $t + (1 - ts)\gamma$  is a semi-related unit of  $R$  by Lemma 5. Using [5, Lemma 4], we have some  $z \in R$  such that  $s + z(1 - ts) = w$  is a semi-related unit of  $R$ . Therefore, we claim that  $a = sb = (s + z(1 - ts))b = wb$ , as desired.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) = l \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ . Similarly to the consideration above, we have  $aR = bR$ . Assume that  $a = bs$ ,  $b = at$  for some  $s, t \in R$ . Then  $b = bst$ . From  $st + (1 - st) = 1$ , we can find a  $\gamma \in R$  such that  $s + (1 - st)\gamma = w$  is a semi-related unit of  $R$ . Therefore  $a = bs = b(s + (1 - st)\gamma) = bw$ , whence the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $R$  be quasi-injective as a left  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) = r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = wb$ .*
- (2) *Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) = l \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , there exists a semi-related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = bw$ .*

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 6 to the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of  $R$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $R$  be a ring which is quasi-injective as a right  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:*

(1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + tb$  is a semi-related unit.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap l \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + bt$  is a semi-related unit.

**PROOF.** (1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , by virtue of [3, Proposition 3.4], we know that  $Ra + Rb = R$ . Thus,  $(R/J(R))\bar{a} + (R/J(R))\bar{b} = R/J(R)$ . Since  $R$  is a quasi-injective ring, from [9, Theorem 1],  $R/J(R)$  is a regular, right self-injective ring. Moreover, we see that  $R/J(R)$  satisfies related comparability. In view of Theorem 2, there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $\bar{a} + t\bar{b} = \bar{w}$  with  $w$  is a semi-related unit of  $R$ . Thus, there is some  $k \in J(R)$  such that  $a + tb = w + k$ . Clearly,  $w + k$  is also a semi-related unit. Thus, we claim that  $a + tb$  is a semi-related unit of  $R$ .

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap l \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , analogously to [3, Proposition 3.4], we claim that  $aR + bR = R$ . Thus  $\bar{a}(R/J(R)) + \bar{b}(R/J(R)) = R/J(R)$ . Similarly to the consideration above, we show that  $R/J(R)$  satisfies related comparability. In view of Theorem 2, there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + bt = w + k$  with  $w$  is a semi-related unit and  $k \in J(R)$ . Since  $w + k$  is also a semi-related unit, the result follows.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 9.** Let  $R$  be a ring which is quasi-injective as a left  $R$ -module. Then the following hold:

(1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + tb$  is a semi-related unit.

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap l \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + bt$  is a semi-related unit.

**PROOF.** Applying Theorem 8 to the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  of  $R$ , we easily obtain the result.  $\square$

Since every regular, right (left) self-injective ring is a quasi-injective ring with trivial Jacobson. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 6, Corollary 7, Theorem 8, and Corollary 9, we now derive the following.

**COROLLARY 10.** Let  $R$  be a regular, right (left) self-injective ring. Then the following hold:

(1) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) = r \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = wb$ .

(2) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) = l \cdot \text{ann}(b)$ , there exists a related unit  $w \in R$  such that  $a = bw$ .

(3) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $r \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap r \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + tb$  is a related unit.

(4) Given  $a, b \in R$  with  $l \cdot \text{ann}(a) \cap l \cdot \text{ann}(b) = 0$ , there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a + bt$  is a related unit.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ara, K. R. Goodearl, K. C. O'Meara, and E. Pardo, *Separative cancellation for projective modules over exchange rings*, Israel J. Math. **105** (1998), 105-137. MR 99g:16006. Zbl 908.16002.
- [2] V. P. Camillo and H. P. Yu, *Stable range one for rings with many idempotents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 8, 3141-3147. MR 95j:16006. Zbl 848.16008.

- [3] M. J. Canfell, *Completion of diagrams by automorphisms and Bass' first stable range condition*, J. Algebra **176** (1995), no. 2, 480–503. MR 97a:16004. Zbl 839.16007.
- [4] H. Chen, *Related comparability over regular rings*, Algebra Colloq. **3** (1996), no. 3, 277–282. MR 97j:16015. Zbl 857.16011.
- [5] ———, *On related unit-regular elements*, Algebra Colloq. **4** (1997), no. 3, 323–328. CMP 1 681 549. Zbl 887.16009.
- [6] ———, *Exchange rings, related comparability and power-substitution*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 10, 3383–3401. MR 99f:16005. Zbl 914.16001.
- [7] ———, *Related comparability over exchange rings*, Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), no. 9, 4209–4216. CMP 1 705 862.
- [8] G. Ehrlich, *Units and one-sided units in regular rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (1976), 81–90. MR 52#8183. Zbl 315.16008.
- [9] K. R. Goodearl, *Direct sum properties of quasi-injective modules*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), no. 1, 108–110. MR 53#5665. Zbl 321.16016.
- [10] ———, *von Neumann Regular Rings*, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass. London, 1979. MR 80e:16011. Zbl 411.16007.
- [11] W. K. Nicholson, *On exchange rings*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 6, 1917–1918. CMP 1 446 139. Zbl 883.16003.
- [12] E. Pardo, *Comparability, separativity, and exchange rings*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), no. 9, 2915–2929. MR 97k:16007. Zbl 859.16001.
- [13] H. P. Yu, *Stable range one for exchange rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **98** (1995), no. 1, 105–109. MR 96g:16006. Zbl 837.16009.

CHEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410006, CHINA

*E-mail address:* chyzx1@sparc2.hunnu.edu.cn