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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a Hilbert space and let $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We establish the existence and norm estimation of solutions for the parabolic partial functional integro-differential equation in $X$ by using the fundamental solution.
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1. Introduction. Let $X$ be a Hilbert space and let $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We consider the following parabolic partial functional integrodifferential equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}= & \mathscr{A}_{0} u(t, x)+\mathscr{A}_{1} u(t-h, x)+\int_{-h}^{0} a(s) \mathscr{A}_{2} u(t+s, x) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\{k(t, s) G(s, u(s-h), x)+H(t, s, u(s-h, x))\} d s  \tag{1.1}\\
& +F(t, u(t-h, x))+f(t, x), \quad 0<t \leq T, x \in \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{A}_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ are elliptic differential operators, $f$ is a forcing function, $h>0$ is a delay time, $a(s)$ is a real scalar function on $[-h, 0], G, H$, and $F$ are nonlinear functions, and $k$ is a kernel. The boundary condition attached to (1.1) is, e.g., given by the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad 0<t \leq T, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\theta, x)=g(\theta, x), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0], x \in \Omega . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [4], the above mixed problems (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) can be formulated abstractly as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}= & A_{0} u(t)+A_{1} u(t-h)+\int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_{2} u(t+s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\{k(t, s) G\left(s, u_{s}\right)+H\left(t, s, u_{s}\right)\right\} d s  \tag{1.4}\\
& +F\left(t, u_{t}\right)+f(t), \quad 0<t \leq T, \\
& u(\theta)=g(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0], \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the state $u(x)$ of the system (1.5) lies in an appropriate Hilbert space and $A_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ are unbounded operators associated with $\mathscr{A}_{i}(i=0,1,2)$, respectively. Next, we explain the notation $u_{t}$ in (1.5). Let $I=[-h, 0]$. If a function $u(t)$ is continuous from $I \cup[0, T]$ into a Hilbert space $X$, then $u_{t}$ is an element in $C=C([-h, 0] ; X)$, which has the point-wise definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}(\theta)=u(t+\theta) \quad \text { for } \theta \in I . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta_{T}=\{(s, t) ; 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$. We assume in (1.5) that $G:[0, T] \times C \rightarrow X, H: \Delta_{T} \times C \rightarrow$ $X, F:[0, T] \times C \rightarrow X$ and the kernel $k: \Delta_{T} \rightarrow R$ ( $R$ denotes the set of real numbers) are continuous, $f:[0, T] \rightarrow V^{*}$ with some enlarged space $V^{*} \supset H$ and $g:[-h, 0] \rightarrow V$ with some dense subspace $V \subset H$. It is assumed that the inclusions $V \subset H \subset V^{*}$ are continuous and $V^{*}$ is the dual space of $V$.

Many authors [2, 8] studied the following delay differential equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}=A_{0} u(t)+A_{1} u(t-h)+\int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_{2} u(t+s) d s+f(t), \quad \text { a.e. } t \geq 0,  \tag{1.7}\\
u(\theta)=g(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0] .
\end{gather*}
$$

The fundamental solution is constructed in Tanabe [8]. In this paper, we establish the existence and norm estimation of solutions for the equation (1.5) by using the fundamental solution.
2. Preliminaries. Let $H$ be a pivot complex Hilbert space and $V$ be a complex Hilbert space such that $V$ is dense in $H$ and the inclusion map $i: V \rightarrow H$ is continuous. The norms of $H, V$, and the inner product of $H$ are denoted by $|\cdot|,\|\cdot\|$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, respectively. Identifying the antidual of $H$ with $H$, we may consider that $V \subset H \subset V^{*}$. The norm of the dual space $V^{*}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{*}$. We consider the following linear functional differential equation on the Hilbert space $H$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}=A_{0} u(t)+A_{1} u(t-h)+\int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_{2} u(t+s) d s+f(t), \quad \text { a.e. } t \geq 0,  \tag{2.1}\\
u(0)=g^{0}, \quad u(s)=g^{1}(s), \quad \text { a.e. } s \in[-h, 0] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $a(u, v)$ be a bounded sesquilinear form defined in $V \times V$ satisfying Gårding's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} a(u, u) \geq c_{0}\|u\|^{2}-c_{1}|u|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}>0$ and $c_{1} \geq 0$ are real constants. Let $A_{0}$ be the operator associated with this sesquilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v, A_{0} u\right\rangle=-a(u, v), \quad u, v \in V \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $V$ and $V^{*}$. The operator $A_{0}$ is bounded linear from $V$ into $V^{*}$. The realization of $A_{0}$ in $H$, which is the restriction of $A_{0}$ to the domain $D\left(A_{0}\right)=\left\{u \in V: A_{0} u \in H\right\}$, is also denoted by $A_{0}$. It is proved in Tanabe [6] that $A_{0}$ generates an analytic semigroup $e^{t A_{0}}=T(t)$ both in $H$ and $V^{*}$ and that $T(t)$ : $V^{*} \rightarrow V$ for each $t>0$. Throughout this paper, it is assumed that each $A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is bounded and linear from $V$ to $V^{*}$ (i.e., $A_{i} \in \mathscr{L}\left(V, V^{*}\right)$ ) such that $A_{i}$ maps $D\left(A_{0}\right)$
endowed with the graph norm of $A_{0}$ to $H$ continuously. The real valued scalar function $a(s)$ is assumed to be Hölder continuous on $[-h, 0]$. We introduce a Stieltjes measure $\eta$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(s)=-\chi_{(-\infty,-h]}(s) A_{1}-\int_{s}^{0} a(\xi) d \xi A_{2}: V \rightarrow V^{*}, \quad s \in[-h, 0] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{(-\infty,-h]}$ denotes the characteristic function of $(-\infty,-h]$. Then the delay term in (2.1) is written simply as $\int_{-h}^{0} d \eta(s) u(t+s)$. The fundamental solution $W(t)$ of (2.1) is defined as a unique solution of

$$
W(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T(t)+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-s) \int_{-h}^{0} d \eta(\xi) W(\xi+s) d s, \quad t \geq 0  \tag{2.5}\\
0, \quad t<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $W(t)$ is constructed by Tanabe [7] under the Hölder continuity of $a(s)$.
Theorem 2.1 [2]. The fundamental solution $W(t)$ is strongly continuous in $V, H$, and $V^{*}$, and for each $t>0, W(t): V^{*} \rightarrow V$. Furthermore, $W(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} W(t)=A_{0} W(t)+\int_{-h}^{0} d \eta(s) W(t+s), \text { a.e. } t>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $t>0$, we define the operator valued function $U_{t}(\cdot)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}(s)=\int_{-h}^{s} W(t-s+\xi) d \eta(\xi): V \rightarrow V, \quad \text { a.e. } s \in[-h, 0] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T>0$ be fixed. Associated with $U_{t}(\cdot)$, we consider the operator $U: L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V) \rightarrow$ $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(ひ g^{1}\right)(t)=\int_{-h}^{0} U_{t}(s) g^{1}(s) d s, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g^{1} \in L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)$.
Theorem 2.2 [8]. Let $T>0$ be fixed. Assume that $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $g=\left(g^{0}, g^{1}\right) \in$ $H \times L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)$. Then there exists a unique solution $u(t)=u(t ; f, g)$ of $(2.1)$ on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right) \subset C([0, T] ; H) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for each $T>0$, there is a constant $K_{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\frac{d u(t)}{d t}\right\|_{*}^{2} d t \leq K_{T}\left(\left|g^{0}\right|^{2}+\int_{-h}^{0}\left\|g^{1}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{T}\|f(t)\|_{*}^{2} d t\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution $u(t)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t ; f, g)=W(t) g^{0}+\left(u g^{1}\right)(t)+\int_{0}^{t} W(t-s) f(s) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, in order to consider the solutions in the state space $C=C([-h, 0] ; H)$, we assume that $g=\left(g^{0}, g^{1}\right)$ is continuous in $H$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)=g^{0}, \quad g(\cdot)=g^{1}(\cdot) \in C([-h, 0] ; H) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\hat{u}(t ; f, g)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(t ; f, g), \quad t \in[0, T],  \tag{2.13}\\
g(t), \quad t \in[-h, 0] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(\cdot ; f, g) \in C([-h, T] ; H) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if (2.12) is satisfied.
3. Existence and uniqueness of functional integro-differential equations. Using the fundamental solution $W(t)$ in Section 2, we consider the following abstract functional integral equation.

$$
\begin{align*}
v(t)= & u(t ; f, g) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} W(t-s)\left[\int _ { 0 } ^ { s } \left\{k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, v_{\tau}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left.\left.+H\left(s, \tau, v_{\tau}\right)\right\} d \tau+F\left(s, v_{s}\right)\right] d s, \quad 0<t \leq T, \\
v(\theta)= & g(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0],
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(t ; f, g)$ is given by (2.11).
We list the following hypotheses.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ The nonlinear functions $G:[0, T] \times C \rightarrow H, H: \Delta_{T} \times C \rightarrow H, F:[0, T] \times C \rightarrow H$, and the kernel $k: \Delta_{T} \rightarrow R$ ( $R$ denotes the set of real numbers) are continuous.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ Let $b_{1}, b_{3}:[0, T] \rightarrow R, b_{2}: \Delta_{T} \rightarrow R^{+}$be continuous functions such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
|G(t, \phi)-G(t, \bar{\phi})|_{X} \leq b_{1}(t)|\phi-\bar{\phi}|_{C} ; \\
|H(t, s, \phi)-H(t, s, \bar{\phi})|_{X} \leq b_{2}(t, s)|\phi-\bar{\phi}|_{C} ;  \tag{3.2}\\
|F(t, \phi)-F(t, \bar{\phi})|_{X} \leq b_{3}(t)|\phi-\bar{\phi}|_{C}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $t, s \in[0, T], \phi, \bar{\phi} \in C$.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ The function $k(t, s)$ is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$, i.e., there exists a positive constant $a$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k\left(t_{1}, s_{1}\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s_{2}\right)\right| \leq a\left(\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\alpha}+\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|^{\alpha}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{1}, t_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2} \in[0, T], 0<\alpha \leq 1$.
( $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ ) For all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, 0)=0, \quad H(t, s, 0)=0, \quad F(t, 0)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$ and $g=(g(0), g(\cdot)) \in H \times L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)$ satisfy (2.12). Assume that the hypotheses $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$ hold. Then there exists a time $t_{1}>0$ such that the functional integral equation (3.1) admits a unique solution $v(t)$ on $\left[0, t_{1}\right]$.

Proof. We prove this theorem by using the method of successive approximations.
Set $v^{0}(t)=u(t ; f, g), t \geq 0$. Let $\hat{v}^{0}(t)$ be the extension of $v^{0}(t)$ on $[-h, T]$ by (2.13). Then, by the assumptions on $f$ and $g$, we have $\hat{v}^{0}(t) \in C([-h, T] ; H)$. By hypotheses ( $\left.\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$, we define $\left\{\hat{v}^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C([-h, T] ; H)$ successively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{v}^{n}(t)=u(t ; f, g) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} W(t-s)\left[\int _ { 0 } ^ { s } \left\{k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left.\left.+H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}_{T}^{n-1}\right)\right\} d \tau+F\left(s, v_{s}^{n-1}\right)\right] d s, \quad 0<t \leq T, \\
& \hat{v}^{n}(\theta)=g(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0] . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that $M=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\|W(t)\|_{L(H)}$ is finite and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}^{n+1}(\theta)-\hat{v}^{n}(\theta)=0, \quad \theta \in[-h, 0] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have, by $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ and the strong continuity of $W(t)$ on $[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \hat{v}^{n+1}(t)- \hat{v}^{n}(t) \mid \\
&= \mid \int_{0}^{t} W(t-s)\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left\{k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}\right)+H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}\right)\right\} d \tau+F\left(s, \hat{v}_{s}^{n}\right)\right] d s \\
&- \int_{0}^{t} W(t-s)\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left\{k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}\right)+H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}\right)\right\} d \tau+F\left(s, \hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}\right)\right] d s \mid \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{s}|k(s, \tau)|\left|G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}\right)-G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}\right)\right| d \tau d s\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\int_{0}^{s}\left|H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}\right)-H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}\right)\right| d \tau\right]+M \int_{0}^{t}\left|F\left(s, \hat{v}_{s}^{n}\right)-F\left(s, \hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left\{|k(s, \tau)|\left|b_{1}(\tau)\right|\left\|\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}-\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}| |+\left|b_{2}(s, \tau)\right|\right\| \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}-\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1} \|\right\} d \tau\right] d s \\
&+ M \int_{0}^{t}\left|b_{3}(s)\right|\left\|\hat{v}_{s}^{n}-\hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}\right\| d s \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{t}\left[K L_{1}+L_{2}\right]\left\|\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}-\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}\right\| s d s+M \int_{0}^{t} L_{3}\left\|\hat{v}_{s}^{n}-\hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}\right\| d s \\
& \leq {\left[M\left(K L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} t^{2}+M L_{3} t\right]\left\|\hat{v}^{n}-\hat{v}^{n-1}\right\|_{C([-h, T] ; H)} } \\
&=\left(c_{1} t+c_{2}\right) t\left\|\hat{v}^{n}-\hat{v}^{n-1}\right\|_{C([-h, T] ; H)}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}=(1 / 2) M\left(K L_{1}+L_{2}\right)$ and $c_{2}=M L_{3}$. We now choose a sufficiently small constant $t_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\left(c_{1} t_{1}+c_{2}\right) t_{1}<1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.6), (3.8), and (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\hat{v}^{n+1}-\hat{v}^{n}\right\|_{C([-h, T] ; H)} & \leq L\left\|\hat{v}^{n}-\hat{v}^{n-1}\right\|_{C([-h, T] ; H)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& \leq L^{n}\left\|\hat{v}^{1}-\hat{v}^{0}\right\|_{C([-h, T] ; H)} .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\left\{\hat{v}^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to some $\hat{v} \in C([-h, 0] ; H)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]}\left\|\hat{v}_{t}^{n}-\hat{v}_{t}\right\|_{C([-h, 0] ; H)}=0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5), in view of $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ and (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{v}(t)= & u(t ; f, g) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[W ( t - s ) \left[\int _ { 0 } ^ { s } \left\{k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}\right)\right.\right.\right.  \tag{3.12}\\
& \left.\left.\left.+H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}\right)\right\} d \tau\right]+F\left(s, \hat{v}_{s}\right)\right] d s, \quad 0<t \leq t_{1}, \\
\hat{v}(\theta)= & g(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0] .
\end{align*}
$$

This shows the local existence of a solution $v(t)=\left.\hat{v}(t)\right|_{\left[0, t_{1}\right]}$ of (3.1) on [0, $\left.t_{1}\right]$. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the solution of (3.1) on $\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. Then it is easy to see, similarly to the above, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{v}^{1}-\hat{v}^{2}\right\|_{C\left(\left[-h, t_{1}\right] ; H\right)} \leq L\left\|\hat{v}^{1}-\hat{v}^{2}\right\|_{C\left(\left[-h, t_{1}\right] ; H\right)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that by $L<1, v_{1}(t)=v_{2}(t)$ on $\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. This proves the uniqueness.
Since $k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}\right), H\left(s, \tau, \hat{v}^{n}\right), F\left(s, v_{s}\right) \in L^{2}\left(0, t_{1} ; H\right) \subset L^{2}\left(0, t_{1} ; V^{*}\right)$, by Theorem 2.1, we see that the solution $v(t)$ of (3.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d v(t)}{d t}= & A_{0} v(t)+A_{1} v(t-h)+\int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_{2} v(t+s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\{k(t, s) G\left(s, v_{s}\right)+H\left(t, s, v_{s}\right)\right\} d s  \tag{3.14}\\
& +F\left(t, v_{t}\right)+f(t), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], \\
v(\theta)= & g(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-h, 0],
\end{align*}
$$

and $v \in L^{2}\left(0, t_{1} ; V\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0, t_{1} ; V^{*}\right)$. In this sense, we call this $v$ a mild solution of (1.5) on $\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. We give a norm estimation of the mild solution of (1.5) and establish the global existence of solutions with the aid of norm estimations. It is well known (cf. Lions and Magenes [3, Prop. 2.1, Thm. 3.1]) that the inclusion $L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap$ $W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right) \subset C([0, T] ; H)$ is continuous, that is, there exists a constant $c_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C([0, T] ; H)} \leq c_{0}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}+\left\|\frac{d u}{d t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1 [5]. Let $a(t), b(t)$, and $c(t)$ be real valued nonnegative continuous functions defined on $R^{+}$, for which the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t) \leq c_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} a(s) c(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} a(s)\left[\int_{0}^{s} b(\tau) c(\tau) d \tau\right] d s \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $t \in R^{+}$, where $c_{0}$ is a nonnegative constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t) \leq c_{0}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} a(s) \exp \left[\int_{0}^{s}(a(\tau)+b(\tau)) d \tau\right] d s\right) \quad \text { for all } t \in R^{+} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 hold. Then for any solution $v(t)=v(t ; f, g)$ of (3.1) on $[-h, T]$, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{t}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\|_{C([0, T] ; H)} \leq c\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) e^{K t} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant which does not depend on $v$.
Proof. From hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& |v(t+\theta ; f, g)| \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\leq|u(t+\theta ; f, g)|+\mid \int_{0}^{t+\theta} W(t+\theta-s) \\
\quad \times\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left\{k(s, \tau) G\left(\tau, v_{\tau}\right)+H\left(s, \tau, v_{\tau}\right)\right\} d \tau+F\left(s, v_{s}\right)\right] d s \mid \\
\leq\|u(\cdot ; f, g)\|_{C([0, T] ; H)} \\
\quad+M \int_{0}^{t+\theta}\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left\{K\left|b_{1}(\tau)\right|\left\|v_{\tau}\right\|+\left|b_{2}(s, \tau)\right|\left\|v_{\tau}\right\|\right\} d \tau+\left|b_{3}(s)\right|\left\|v_{s}\right\|\right] d s .
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (2.10) and (3.15),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{t}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\|= & \sup _{\theta \in[-h, 0]}|v(t+\theta ; f, g)| \\
\leq & K_{T} c_{0}\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} c_{1}\left\|v_{s}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\| d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} c_{2}\left\|v_{\tau}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\| d \tau d s  \tag{3.20}\\
\leq & c^{\prime}\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) \\
& +M\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{s}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\| d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left\|v_{\tau}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\| d \tau d s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{t}(\cdot ; f, g)\right\|_{C([0, T] ; H)} \leq & c\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(1+M \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{s}(M+1) d \tau\right) d s\right) \\
\leq & c^{\prime}\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right)  \tag{3.21}\\
& \times[1+M \exp \{(M+1) T\} t] \\
\leq & c\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) e^{K t}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constants $c$ and $K$. This completes the proof.
By using Theorems 3.1, 3.2, we get the following theorem:
Theorem 3.3. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 hold. Then there exists a unique solution $v(t)$ on $[0, T]$ of (3.1) which satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(\cdot ; f, g)\|_{C([0, T] ; H)} \leq c\left(|g(0)|+\|g\|_{L^{2}(-h, 0 ; V)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{*}\right)}\right) e^{K T} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $c$ and $K$.
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