## NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACE

## J. Y. PARK, S. Y. LEE, and M. J. LEE

(Received 7 November 1996 and in revised form 3 November 1997)

ABSTRACT. Let *X* be a Hilbert space and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . We establish the existence and norm estimation of solutions for the parabolic partial functional integro-differential equation in *X* by using the fundamental solution.

Keywords and phrases. Functional integro-differential equation, elliptic differential operators, fundamental solution, Gårding's inequality, successive approximation, norm estimation.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A05, 35J60, 45K05.

**1. Introduction.** Let *X* be a Hilbert space and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . We consider the following parabolic partial functional integro-differential equation.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A}_0 u(t,x) + \mathcal{A}_1 u(t-h,x) + \int_{-h}^0 a(s) \mathcal{A}_2 u(t+s,x) \, ds \\
+ \int_0^t \left\{ k(t,s) G(s, u(s-h), x) + H(t,s, u(s-h,x)) \right\} \, ds \qquad (1.1) \\
+ F(t, u(t-h, x)) + f(t, x), \quad 0 < t \le T, \, x \in \Omega,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2) are elliptic differential operators, f is a forcing function, h > 0 is a delay time, a(s) is a real scalar function on [-h, 0], G, H, and F are nonlinear functions, and k is a kernel. The boundary condition attached to (1.1) is, e.g., given by the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 < t \le T, \tag{1.2}$$

and the initial condition is given by

$$u(\theta, x) = g(\theta, x), \quad \theta \in [-h, 0], \ x \in \Omega.$$
(1.3)

From [4], the above mixed problems (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) can be formulated abstractly as

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = A_0 u(t) + A_1 u(t-h) + \int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_2 u(t+s) ds + \int_{0}^{t} \{k(t,s)G(s,u_s) + H(t,s,u_s)\} ds$$
(1.4)

$$+F(t, u_t) + f(t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
  
$$u(\theta) = g(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-h, 0], \quad (1.5)$$

where the state u(x) of the system (1.5) lies in an appropriate Hilbert space and  $A_i(i = 0, 1, 2)$  are unbounded operators associated with  $\mathcal{A}_i(i = 0, 1, 2)$ , respectively. Next, we explain the notation  $u_t$  in (1.5). Let I = [-h, 0]. If a function u(t) is continuous from  $I \cup [0, T]$  into a Hilbert space X, then  $u_t$  is an element in C = C([-h, 0]; X), which has the point-wise definition

$$u_t(\theta) = u(t+\theta) \quad \text{for } \theta \in I.$$
 (1.6)

Let  $\Delta_T = \{(s,t); 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$ . We assume in (1.5) that  $G : [0,T] \times C \to X$ ,  $H : \Delta_T \times C \to X$ ,  $F : [0,T] \times C \to X$  and the kernel  $k : \Delta_T \to R$  (R denotes the set of real numbers) are continuous,  $f : [0,T] \to V^*$  with some enlarged space  $V^* \supset H$  and  $g : [-h,0] \to V$  with some dense subspace  $V \subset H$ . It is assumed that the inclusions  $V \subset H \subset V^*$  are continuous and  $V^*$  is the dual space of V.

Many authors [2, 8] studied the following delay differential equation:

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = A_0 u(t) + A_1 u(t-h) + \int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_2 u(t+s) \, ds + f(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \ge 0,$$

$$u(\theta) = g(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-h, 0].$$
(1.7)

The fundamental solution is constructed in Tanabe [8]. In this paper, we establish the existence and norm estimation of solutions for the equation (1.5) by using the fundamental solution.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let *H* be a pivot complex Hilbert space and *V* be a complex Hilbert space such that *V* is dense in *H* and the inclusion map  $i: V \to H$  is continuous. The norms of *H*,*V*, and the inner product of *H* are denoted by  $|\cdot|$ ,  $||\cdot||$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , respectively. Identifying the antidual of *H* with *H*, we may consider that  $V \subset H \subset V^*$ . The norm of the dual space  $V^*$  is denoted by  $||\cdot||_*$ . We consider the following linear functional differential equation on the Hilbert space *H*.

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = A_0 u(t) + A_1 u(t-h) + \int_{-h}^{0} a(s) A_2 u(t+s) \, ds + f(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \ge 0,$$

$$u(0) = g^0, \quad u(s) = g^1(s), \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [-h, 0].$$
(2.1)

Let a(u, v) be a bounded sesquilinear form defined in  $V \times V$  satisfying Gårding's inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} a(u, u) \ge c_0 \|u\|^2 - c_1 |u|^2, \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $c_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 \ge 0$  are real constants. Let  $A_0$  be the operator associated with this sesquilinear form

$$\langle v, A_0 u \rangle = -a(u, v), \quad u, v \in V,$$

$$(2.3)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between *V* and *V*<sup>\*</sup>. The operator  $A_0$  is bounded linear from *V* into *V*<sup>\*</sup>. The realization of  $A_0$  in *H*, which is the restriction of  $A_0$  to the domain  $D(A_0) = \{u \in V : A_0 u \in H\}$ , is also denoted by  $A_0$ . It is proved in Tanabe [6] that  $A_0$  generates an analytic semigroup  $e^{tA_0} = T(t)$  both in *H* and *V*<sup>\*</sup> and that T(t) : $V^* \to V$  for each t > 0. Throughout this paper, it is assumed that each  $A_i$  (i = 1, 2) is bounded and linear from *V* to  $V^*$  (i.e.,  $A_i \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$ ) such that  $A_i$  maps  $D(A_0)$  endowed with the graph norm of  $A_0$  to H continuously. The real valued scalar function a(s) is assumed to be Hölder continuous on [-h, 0]. We introduce a Stieltjes measure  $\eta$  given by

$$\eta(s) = -\chi_{(-\infty, -h]}(s)A_1 - \int_s^0 a(\xi) d\xi A_2 : V \longrightarrow V^*, \quad s \in [-h, 0],$$
(2.4)

where  $\chi_{(-\infty,-h]}$  denotes the characteristic function of  $(-\infty,-h]$ . Then the delay term in (2.1) is written simply as  $\int_{-h}^{0} d\eta(s)u(t+s)$ . The fundamental solution W(t) of (2.1) is defined as a unique solution of

$$W(t) = \begin{cases} T(t) + \int_0^t T(t-s) \int_{-h}^0 d\eta(\xi) W(\xi+s) \, ds, & t \ge 0, \\ 0, & t < 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

and W(t) is constructed by Tanabe [7] under the Hölder continuity of a(s).

**THEOREM 2.1** [2]. The fundamental solution W(t) is strongly continuous in V, H, and  $V^*$ , and for each t > 0,  $W(t) : V^* \to V$ . Furthermore, W(t) satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(t) = A_0W(t) + \int_{-h}^{0} d\eta(s)W(t+s), \quad a.e. \ t > 0.$$
(2.6)

For each t > 0, we define the operator valued function  $U_t(\cdot)$  by

$$U_t(s) = \int_{-h}^{s} W(t - s + \xi) \, d\eta(\xi) : V \longrightarrow V, \quad \text{a.e. } s \in [-h, 0].$$
(2.7)

Let T > 0 be fixed. Associated with  $U_t(\cdot)$ , we consider the operator  $\mathfrak{A}: L^2(-h, 0; V) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; V)$  defined by

$$\left(\mathfrak{U}g^{1}\right)(t) = \int_{-h}^{0} U_{t}(s)g^{1}(s)\,ds, \quad t \in [0,T]$$
(2.8)

for  $g^1 \in L^2(-h, 0; V)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2** [8]. Let T > 0 be fixed. Assume that  $f \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$  and  $g = (g^0,g^1) \in H \times L^2(-h,0;V)$ . Then there exists a unique solution u(t) = u(t;f,g) of (2.1) on [0,T] satisfying

$$u \in L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*}) \subset C([0,T];H).$$
(2.9)

Further, for each T > 0, there is a constant  $K_T$  such that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\| u(t) \right\|^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right\|_{*}^{2} dt \leq K_{T} \left( \left\| g^{0} \right\|^{2} + \int_{-h}^{0} \left\| g^{1}(s) \right\|^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{T} \left\| f(t) \right\|_{*}^{2} dt \right).$$
(2.10)

This solution u(t) is represented by

$$u(t;f,g) = W(t)g^{0} + (\mathcal{U}g^{1})(t) + \int_{0}^{t} W(t-s)f(s)\,ds.$$
(2.11)

In what follows, in order to consider the solutions in the state space C = C([-h, 0]; H), we assume that  $g = (g^0, g^1)$  is continuous in H, i.e.,

$$g(0) = g^0, \quad g(\cdot) = g^1(\cdot) \in C([-h, 0]; H).$$
 (2.12)

Let

$$\hat{u}(t;f,g) = \begin{cases} u(t;f,g), & t \in [0,T], \\ g(t), & t \in [-h,0]. \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

Then, by Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\hat{u}(\cdot; f, g) \in C([-h, T]; H)$$
 (2.14)

if (2.12) is satisfied.

**3.** Existence and uniqueness of functional integro-differential equations. Using the fundamental solution W(t) in Section 2, we consider the following abstract functional integral equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(t) &= u(t; f, g) \\
&+ \int_0^t W(t-s) \left[ \int_0^s \left\{ k(s, \tau) G(\tau, v_\tau) \right. \\
&+ H(s, \tau, v_\tau) \right\} d\tau + F(s, v_s) \right] ds, \quad 0 < t \le T, \\
\nu(\theta) &= g(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-h, 0],
\end{aligned}$$
(3.1)

where u(t; f, g) is given by (2.11).

We list the following hypotheses.

(A<sub>1</sub>) The nonlinear functions  $G : [0, T] \times C \to H$ ,  $H : \Delta_T \times C \to H$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times C \to H$ , and the kernel  $k : \Delta_T \to R$  (*R* denotes the set of real numbers) are continuous.

(A<sub>2</sub>) Let  $b_1, b_3 : [0, T] \rightarrow R, b_2 : \Delta_T \rightarrow R^+$  be continuous functions such that

$$|G(t,\phi) - G(t,\overline{\phi})|_{X} \le b_{1}(t) |\phi - \overline{\phi}|_{C};$$

$$|H(t,s,\phi) - H(t,s,\overline{\phi})|_{X} \le b_{2}(t,s) |\phi - \overline{\phi}|_{C};$$

$$|F(t,\phi) - F(t,\overline{\phi})|_{X} \le b_{3}(t) |\phi - \overline{\phi}|_{C}$$

$$(3.2)$$

for  $t, s \in [0, T]$ ,  $\phi, \overline{\phi} \in C$ .

(A<sub>3</sub>) The function k(t, s) is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ , i.e., there exists a positive constant *a* such that

$$|k(t_1,s_1) - k(t_2,s_2)| \le a(|t_1 - t_2|^{\alpha} + |s_1 - s_2|^{\alpha})$$
(3.3)

for  $t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in [0, T], 0 < \alpha \le 1$ .

(A<sub>4</sub>) For all  $0 \le s \le t \le T$ ,

$$G(t,0) = 0,$$
  $H(t,s,0) = 0,$   $F(t,0) = 0.$  (3.4)

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let  $f \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$  and  $g = (g(0),g(\cdot)) \in H \times L^2(-h,0;V)$  satisfy (2.12). Assume that the hypotheses  $(A_1)$ - $(A_4)$  hold. Then there exists a time  $t_1 > 0$  such that the functional integral equation (3.1) admits a unique solution v(t) on  $[0,t_1]$ .

**PROOF.** We prove this theorem by using the method of successive approximations. Set  $v^0(t) = u(t; f, g), t \ge 0$ . Let  $\hat{v}^0(t)$  be the extension of  $v^0(t)$  on [-h, T] by (2.13). Then, by the assumptions on f and g, we have  $\hat{v}^0(t) \in C([-h, T]; H)$ . By hypotheses (A<sub>1</sub>)-(A<sub>4</sub>), we define  $\{\hat{v}^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C([-h, T]; H)$  successively by

$$\hat{v}^{n}(t) = u(t; f, g) 
+ \int_{0}^{t} W(t-s) \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{k(s,\tau)G(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}) + H(s,\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}) \} d\tau + F(s, v_{s}^{n-1}) \right] ds, \quad 0 < t \le T, 
\hat{v}^{n}(\theta) = g(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-h, 0].$$
(3.6)

It is obvious that  $M = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||W(t)||_{L(H)}$  is finite and that

$$\hat{v}^{n+1}(\theta) - \hat{v}^n(\theta) = 0, \quad \theta \in [-h, 0].$$
(3.7)

For  $0 \le t \le T$ , we have, by (A<sub>1</sub>)-(A<sub>4</sub>) and the strong continuity of W(t) on [0, T],  $|\hat{v}^{n+1}(t) - \hat{v}^{n}(t)|$ 

$$= \left| \int_{0}^{t} W(t-s) \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{k(s,\tau)G(\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}) + H(s,\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n})\} d\tau + F(s,\hat{v}_{s}^{n}) \right] ds$$
  

$$- \int_{0}^{t} W(t-s) \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{k(s,\tau)G(\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}) + H(s,\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1})\} d\tau + F(s,\hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}) \right] ds \right|$$
  

$$\leq M \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \int_{0}^{s} |k(s,\tau)| |G(\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}) - G(\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1})| d\tau ds$$
  

$$+ \int_{0}^{s} |H(s,\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n}) - H(s,\tau,\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1})| d\tau \right] + M \int_{0}^{t} |F(s,\hat{v}_{s}^{n}) - F(s,\hat{v}_{s}^{n-1})| ds$$
  

$$\leq M \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{ |k(s,\tau)| |b_{1}(\tau)| ||\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n} - \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}|| + |b_{2}(s,\tau)| ||\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n} - \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}|| \} d\tau \right] ds$$
  

$$+ M \int_{0}^{t} |b_{3}(s)| ||\hat{v}_{s}^{n} - \hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}|| ds$$
  

$$\leq M \int_{0}^{t} [KL_{1} + L_{2}] ||\hat{v}_{\tau}^{n} - \hat{v}_{\tau}^{n-1}|| s ds + M \int_{0}^{t} L_{3} ||\hat{v}_{s}^{n} - \hat{v}_{s}^{n-1}|| ds$$
  

$$\leq [M(KL_{1} + L_{2}) \frac{1}{2} t^{2} + ML_{3} t] ||\hat{v}^{n} - \hat{v}^{n-1}||_{C([-h,T];H)}$$
  

$$= (c_{1} t + c_{2}) t ||\hat{v}^{n} - \hat{v}^{n-1}||_{C([-h,T];H)},$$
(3.8)

where  $c_1 = (1/2)M(KL_1 + L_2)$  and  $c_2 = ML_3$ . We now choose a sufficiently small constant  $t_1 > 0$  such that

$$L = (c_1 t_1 + c_2) t_1 < 1.$$
(3.9)

Then by (3.6), (3.8), and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ||\hat{v}^{n+1} - \hat{v}^{n}||_{C([-h,T];H)} &\leq L \, ||\hat{v}^{n} - \hat{v}^{n-1}||_{C([-h,T];H)} \\ &\cdots \\ &\leq L^{n} ||\hat{v}^{1} - \hat{v}^{0}||_{C([-h,T];H)}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.10)

This implies that  $\{\hat{v}^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to some  $\hat{v} \in C([-h, 0]; H)$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, t_1]} \left\| \hat{v}_t^n - \hat{v}_t \right\|_{C([-h, 0]; H)} = 0.$$
(3.11)

Hence, by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.5), in view of (A<sub>1</sub>)-(A<sub>4</sub>) and (3.11), we get

$$\hat{v}(t) = u(t; f, g)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left[ W(t-s) \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{k(s,\tau) G(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}) + H(s,\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau}) \} d\tau \right] + F(s, \hat{v}_{s}) \right] ds, \quad 0 < t \le t_{1},$$

$$\hat{v}(\theta) = g(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-h, 0].$$
(3.12)

This shows the local existence of a solution  $v(t) = \hat{v}(t)|_{[0,t_1]}$  of (3.1) on  $[0,t_1]$ . Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be the solution of (3.1) on  $[0,t_1]$ . Then it is easy to see, similarly to the above, that

$$||\hat{v}^{1} - \hat{v}^{2}||_{C([-h,t_{1}];H)} \le L ||\hat{v}^{1} - \hat{v}^{2}||_{C([-h,t_{1}];H)},$$
(3.13)

so that by L < 1,  $v_1(t) = v_2(t)$  on  $[0, t_1]$ . This proves the uniqueness.

Since  $k(s,\tau)G(\tau, \hat{v}_{\tau})$ ,  $H(s,\tau, \hat{v}^n)$ ,  $F(s,v_s) \in L^2(0,t_1;H) \subset L^2(0,t_1;V^*)$ , by Theorem 2.1, we see that the solution v(t) of (3.1) satisfies

$$\frac{dv(t)}{dt} = A_0v(t) + A_1v(t-h) + \int_{-h}^{0} a(s)A_2v(t+s)ds 
+ \int_{0}^{t} \{k(t,s)G(s,v_s) + H(t,s,v_s)\}ds 
+ F(t,v_t) + f(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0,t_1], 
v(\theta) = g(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-h,0],$$
(3.14)

and  $v \in L^2(0,t_1;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,t_1;V^*)$ . In this sense, we call this v a mild solution of (1.5) on  $[0,t_1]$ . We give a norm estimation of the mild solution of (1.5) and establish the global existence of solutions with the aid of norm estimations. It is well known (cf. Lions and Magenes [3, Prop. 2.1, Thm. 3.1]) that the inclusion  $L^2(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*) \subset C([0,T];H)$  is continuous, that is, there exists a constant  $c_0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T];H)} \le c_0 \left( \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \left\| \frac{du}{dt} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;V^*)} \right)$$
(3.15)

for all  $u \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*)$ .

**LEMMA 3.1** [5]. Let a(t), b(t), and c(t) be real valued nonnegative continuous functions defined on  $R^+$ , for which the inequality

$$c(t) \le c_0 + \int_0^t a(s)c(s)\,ds + \int_0^t a(s) \left[ \int_0^s b(\tau)c(\tau)\,d\tau \right] ds \tag{3.16}$$

holds for all  $t \in R^+$ , where  $c_0$  is a nonnegative constant. Then

$$c(t) \le c_0 \left( 1 + \int_0^t a(s) \exp\left[ \int_0^s \left( a(\tau) + b(\tau) \right) d\tau \right] ds \right) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(3.17)

**THEOREM 3.2.** Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 hold. Then for any solution v(t) = v(t; f, g) of (3.1) on [-h, T], we have the estimate

$$\|v_t(\cdot;f,g)\|_{C([0,T];H)} \le c \left(\|g(0)\| + \|g\|_{L^2(-h,0;V)} + \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;V^*)}\right) e^{Kt},$$
(3.18)

where c is a positive constant which does not depend on v.

**PROOF.** From hypotheses  $(A_1)$ - $(A_4)$ , we have

$$|v(t+\theta;f,g)| \leq |u(t+\theta;f,g)| + \left| \int_{0}^{t+\theta} W(t+\theta-s) \times \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{k(s,\tau)G(\tau,v_{\tau}) + H(s,\tau,v_{\tau})\} d\tau + F(s,v_{s}) \right] ds \right| \\ \leq ||u(\cdot;f,g)||_{C([0,T];H)} + M \int_{0}^{t+\theta} \left[ \int_{0}^{s} \{K|b_{1}(\tau)| \|v_{\tau}\| + |b_{2}(s,\tau)| \|v_{\tau}\| \} d\tau + |b_{3}(s)| \|v_{s}\| \right] ds.$$
(3.19)

Hence, by (2.10) and (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} ||v_{t}(\cdot;f,g)|| &= \sup_{\theta \in [-h,0]} |v(t+\theta;f,g)| \\ &\leq K_{T}c_{0}\left(|g(0)|+||g||_{L^{2}(-h,0;V)}+||f||_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}\right) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t}c_{1}||v_{s}(\cdot;f,g)||ds + \int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{s}c_{2}||v_{\tau}(\cdot;f,g)||d\tau ds \\ &\leq c'\left(|g(0)|+||g||_{L^{2}(-h,0;V)}+||f||_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}\right) \\ &+ M\left(\int_{0}^{t}||v_{s}(\cdot;f,g)||ds + \int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{s}||v_{\tau}(\cdot;f,g)||d\tau ds\right). \end{aligned}$$
(3.20)

By using Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{t}(\cdot;f,g)\|_{C\left([0,T];H\right)} &\leq c\left(|g(0)| + ||g||_{L^{2}(-h,0;V)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}\right) \\ &\times \left(1 + M \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{s} (M+1) \, d\tau\right) \, ds\right) \\ &\leq c'\left(|g(0)| + ||g||_{L^{2}(-h,0;V)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}\right) \\ &\times [1 + M \exp\left\{(M+1)T\right\}t] \\ &\leq c\left(|g(0)| + ||g||_{L^{2}(-h,0;V)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}\right) e^{Kt} \end{aligned}$$
(3.21)

for some constants *c* and *K*. This completes the proof.

By using Theorems 3.1, 3.2, we get the following theorem:

**THEOREM 3.3.** Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 hold. Then there exists a unique solution v(t) on [0,T] of (3.1) which satisfies the estimate

$$\|v(\cdot;f,g)\|_{C([0,T];H)} \le c\left(\|g(0)\| + \|g\|_{L^2(-h,0;V)} + \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;V^*)}\right)e^{KT}$$
(3.22)

for some constants c and K.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was supported by KOSEF, 1996.

## References

- M. B. Dhakne and B. G. Pachpatte, On some abstract functional integrodifferential equations, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1991), no. 2, 109–134. MR 92e:47127. Zbl 728.45013.
- [2] J. M. Jeong, S. Nakagiri, and H. Tanabe, Structural operators and semigroups associated with functional-differential equations in Hilbert spaces, Osaka J. Math. 30 (1993), no. 3, 365-395. MR 94i:34155. Zbl 818.47039.
- [3] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications, Vol. I, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 181, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, 1972, Translated from the French by P. Kenneth. MR 50 2670. Zbl 223.35039.
- [4] S. Nakagiri, *Existence, uniqueness and norm estimation for partial functional integrodifferential equations*, Preprint.
- B. G. Pachpatte, A note on Gronwall-Bellman inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 44 (1973), 758-762. MR 49 501. Zbl 274.45011.
- [6] H. Tanabe, On fundamental solution of differential equation with time delay in Banach space, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 64 (1988), no. 5, 131–134. MR 89j:34090. Zbl 658.34056.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Structural operators for linear delay-differential equations in Hilbert space, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 64 (1988), no. 8, 265-266. MR 89k:47061. Zbl 668.45013.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Fundamental solutions for linear retarded functional-differential equations in Banach space, Funkcial. Ekvac. 35 (1992), no. 1, 149-177. MR 93f:34136. Zbl 771.34060.

PARK, Y. LEE, AND J. LEE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, PUSAN 609-735, KOREA