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Motivated by the familiar $q$-hypergeometric functions, we introduce a new family of integral operators and obtain new sufficient conditions of univalence criteria. Several corollaries and consequences of the main results are also pointed out.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}, \quad c_{n} \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathscr{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, and $\mathcal{S}$ the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathscr{U}$.

Let $f, g \in \mathscr{A}$, where $f$ is defined by (1) and $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad b_{n} \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of the functions $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n} b_{n} z^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For complex parameters $a_{i}, b_{j}$, and $q(i=1, \ldots, r, j=$ $\left.1, \ldots, s, b_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\},|q|<1\right)$, we define the $q$ hypergeometric function ${ }_{r} \Phi_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; q, z\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r} \Phi_{s}\left(a_{i} ; b_{j} ; q, z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}, q\right)_{n} \cdots\left(a_{r}, q\right)_{n}}{(q, q)_{n}\left(b_{1}, q\right)_{n} \cdots\left(b_{s}, q\right)_{n}} z^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(r=s+1 ; r, s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} ; z \in \mathscr{U}\right)$, where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of positive integers and $(a, q)_{n}$ is the $q$-shifted factorial defined by

$$
(a, q)_{n}= \begin{cases}1, & n=0  \tag{5}\\ (1-a)(1-a q)\left(1-a q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-a q^{n-1}\right), & n \in \mathbb{N}\end{cases}
$$

By using the ratio test, we should note that, if $|q|<1$, the series (4) converges absolutely for $|z|<1$ if $r=s+1$. For more mathematical background of these functions, one may refer to [1].

Corresponding to the function defined by (4), consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r} \mathscr{G}_{s}\left(a_{i} ; b_{j} ; q, z\right)=z \quad{ }_{r} \Phi_{s}\left(a_{i} ; b_{j} ; q, z\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, the authors [2] defined the linear operator $\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) & ={ }_{r} \mathscr{G}_{s}\left(a_{i} ; b_{j} ; q, z\right) * f(z) \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{n} c_{n} z^{n} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{n}=\frac{\left(a_{1}, q\right)_{n-1} \cdots\left(a_{r}, q\right)_{n-1}}{(q, q)_{n-1}\left(b_{1}, q\right)_{n-1} \cdots\left(b_{s}, q\right)_{n-1}}, \quad(|q|<1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be remarked that the linear operator (7) is a generalization of many operators considered earlier. For $a_{i}=$ $q^{\alpha_{i}}, b_{j}=q^{\beta_{j}}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, \beta_{j} \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots,(i=1, \ldots, r, j=$ $1, \ldots, s)$, and $q \rightarrow 1$, we obtain the Dziok-Srivastava linear operator [3] (for $r=s+1$ ), so that it includes (as its special cases) various other linear operators introduced and studied by Ruscheweyh [4], Carlson and Shaffer [5] and the Bernardi-Libera-Livingston operators [6-8].

The $q$-difference operator is defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{q} h(z)=\frac{h(q z)-h(z)}{(q-1) z}, \quad q \neq 1, z \neq 0  \tag{9}\\
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} d_{q} h(z)=h^{\prime}(z)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h^{\prime}(z)$ is the ordinary derivative. For more properties of $d_{q}$ see $[9,10]$.

Lemma 1 (see [2]). Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$; then
(i) for $r=1, s=0$, and $a_{1}=q$, one has $\mathscr{M}(q,-; q) f(z)=$ $f(z)$.
(ii) For $r=1, s=0$, and $a_{1}=q^{2}$, one has $\mathscr{M}\left(q^{2},-; q\right) f(z)=z d_{q} f(z)$ and $\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}$ $\mathscr{M}\left(q^{2},-; q\right) f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)$, where $d_{q}$ is the $q$-derivative defined by (9).

Definition 2. A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{B}_{s}^{r}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; \mu\right)$ if it is satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2}\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left[\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z)\right]^{2}}-1\right|<1-\mu \quad(z \in \mathscr{U} ; 0 \leq \mu<1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f$ is the operator defined by (7).
Note that $\mathfrak{B}_{0}^{1}(q,-; q ; \mu)=\mathfrak{B}(\mu)$, where the class $\mathfrak{B}(\mu)$ of analytic and univalent functions was introduced and studied by Frasin and Darus [11].

Using the operator $\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f$, we now introduce the following new general integral operator.

For $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$, and $|q|<1$, we define the integral operator $I_{\gamma_{k} \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right)$ : $\mathscr{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\gamma_{k}, \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\delta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta-1} \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(t)}{t}\right)^{1 / \gamma_{k}} d t\right)^{1 / \delta} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{k} \in \mathscr{A}$.
Remark 3. It is interesting to note that the integral operator $I_{\gamma_{k}, \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right)$ generalizes many operators introduced and studied by several authors, for example,
(1) for $r=s+1, a_{i}=q^{\alpha_{i}}, b_{j}=q^{\beta_{j}}, i=1, \ldots, r, j=$ $1, \ldots, s, q \rightarrow 1, \gamma_{k}=1 /(\alpha-1)$, and $\delta=1+m(\alpha-1)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)>0$, we obtain the following integral operator introduced and studied by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [12]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\alpha}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right) \\
& \qquad=\left(1+m(\alpha-1) \int_{0}^{z}\left(H_{s}^{r}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f_{1}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1}\right.  \tag{12}\\
& \left.\quad \cdots\left(H_{s}^{r}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f_{m}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} d t\right)^{1 /(1+m(\alpha-1))},
\end{align*}
$$

where for convenience $H_{s}^{r}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f:=H\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r} ; \beta_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right) f(z)$, and $H_{s}^{r}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{n-1}\right.$ $\left.\cdots\left(\alpha_{r}\right)_{n-1} /\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-1} \cdots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{n-1}(n-1)!\right) a_{n} z^{n}$ is the Dziok-Srivastava operator [3].
(2) For $r=1, s=0, a_{1}=q, \gamma_{k}=1 /(\alpha-1)$, and $\delta=$ $1+m(\alpha-1)$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{m, \alpha}(z)=(1 & +m(\alpha-1) \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{z}\left(f_{1}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} \cdots\left(f_{m}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} d t\right)^{1 /(1+m(\alpha-1))} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

studied recently by Breaz et al. [13].
(3) For $r=1, s=0, a_{1}=q, \gamma_{k}=1 / \alpha_{k}$, and $\delta=1$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{f_{m}(t)}{t}\right)^{\alpha_{m}} d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by D. Breaz and N. Breaz [14].
(4) For $r=1, s=0, a_{1}=q^{2}, \gamma_{k}=1 /(\alpha-1)$, and $\delta=$ $1+m(\alpha-1)$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha}(z)=(1 & +m(\alpha-1) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{z} t^{m(\alpha-1)}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1}  \tag{15}\\
& \left.\cdots\left(f_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} d t\right)^{1 /(1+m(\alpha-1))}
\end{align*}
$$

introduced by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [12].
(5) For $r=1, s=0, a_{1}=q^{2}, \gamma_{k}=1 / \alpha$, and $\delta=1$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \cdots\left(f_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} d t \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

recently introduced and studied by Breaz and Güney [15].
(6) For $r=1, s=0, a_{1}=q, f_{1}=\cdots=f_{m}=f \in \mathscr{A}, \gamma_{k}=$ $1 /(\alpha-1)$, and $\delta=\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)>0$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha}(z)=\left(\alpha \int_{0}^{z}(f(t))^{\alpha-1}\right)^{1 / \alpha} d t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Pescar [16].
In order to derive our main results, we have to recall the following univalence criteria.

Lemma 4 (see [17, 18]). Let $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\delta)>0$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathscr{U}$, then the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\delta}(z)=\left\{\delta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta-1} f^{\prime}(t) d t\right\}^{1 / \delta} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.
Lemma 5 (see [16]). Let $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\delta)>0, c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|c| \leq 1, c \neq-1$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \delta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \delta}\right) \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\delta f^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \leq 1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in \mathscr{U}$ then the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\delta}(z)=\left\{\delta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta-1} f^{\prime}(t) d t\right\}^{1 / \delta} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.
Lemma 6 (Generalized Schwarz Lemma, see [19]). (Generalized Schwarz Lemma) Let the function $f$ be analytic in the disk $\mathcal{U}_{R}=\{z:|z|<R\}$, with $|f(z)|<M$ for fixed $M$. If $f(z)$ has one zero with multiplicity order bigger that $m$ for $z=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^{m}}|z|^{m}, \quad\left(z \in \mathscr{U}_{R}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality can hold only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=e^{i \theta}\left(\frac{M}{R^{m}}\right) z^{m} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is constant.

## 2. Univalence Conditions for $I_{\gamma_{k}, \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right)$

Theorem 7. Let $f_{k} \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m, \gamma_{k} \in \mathscr{C}$, and $M \geq 1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\left[\left(2-\mu_{k}\right) M+1\right]}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|} \leq 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for all $k=1, \ldots, m, f_{k} \in \mathfrak{B}_{s}^{r}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, q, \mu_{k}\right), 0 \leq \mu_{k}<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right| \leq M, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the integral operator $I_{\gamma_{k}, \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right)$ defined by (11) is analytic and univalent in $\mathscr{U}$.

Proof. From the definition of the operator $\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f$ it can be observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z)}{z} \neq 0, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $z=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{1}(z)}{z}\right)^{1 / \gamma_{1}}  \tag{27}\\
& \quad \ldots\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{m}(z)}{z}\right)^{1 / \gamma_{m}}=1
\end{align*}
$$

We define the function $h(z)$ by the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(t)}{t}\right)^{1 / \gamma_{k}} d t \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)}{z}\right)^{1 / \gamma_{k}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating logarithmically and multiplying by $z$ on both sides of (29)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\left(\frac{z\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)}-1\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}\left|\frac{z\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)}-1\right| . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)} \\
& \quad \times\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}\left(\left|\frac{z\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)}\right|+1\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)} \\
& \quad \times\left[\sum _ { k = 1 } ^ { m } \frac { 1 } { | \gamma _ { k } | } \left(\left|\frac{z^{2}\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left[\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right]^{2}}\right|\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left|\frac{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)}{z}\right|+1\right)\right] . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left|\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right| \leq M,(z \in \mathscr{U}, k=1, \ldots, m)$, and $f_{k} \in \mathfrak{B}_{s}^{r}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, q, \mu_{k}\right)$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m$, then from the Schwarz Lemma and (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)} \\
& \quad \times\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}\left|\frac{z^{2}\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left[\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right]^{2}}\right| M\right.\right. \\
& \quad+M+1)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}\left[\left(2-\mu_{k}\right) M+1\right], \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

which, in the light of the hypothesis (24), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\delta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma (1) for the function $h(z)$ we obtain that $I_{\gamma_{k} \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right)$ is univalent.

Taking $\mu_{k}=0$ (for all $\left.k=1, \ldots, m\right), M=1, a_{i}=$ $q^{\alpha_{i}}, b_{j}=q^{\beta_{j}}, q \rightarrow 1$, and $\gamma_{k}=1 /(\alpha-1), \delta=1+m(\alpha-1)$ in Theorem 7, we have the following.

Corollary 8 (see [12]). Let $f_{k} \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha-1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}{3 m} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2}\left(H_{s}^{r}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(H_{s}^{r}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f_{k}(z)\right)^{2}}-1\right|<1, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $k=1, \ldots, m$, then the integral operator $F_{\alpha}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right)$ defined by (12) is analytic and univalent in $\mathscr{U}$.

Taking $\mu_{k}=0$ (for all $k=1, \ldots, m$ ), $M=1, r=1, s=$ $0, a_{1}=q$, and $\gamma_{k}=1 /(\alpha-1), \delta=1+m(\alpha-1)$ in Theorem 7 , we have the following.

Corollary 9. Let $f_{k} \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha-1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}{3 m} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f_{k}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{k}(z)\right)^{2}}-1\right|<1, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $k=1, \ldots, m$, then the integral operator $F_{m, \alpha}(z)$ defined by (13) is analytic and univalent in $\mathscr{U}$.

Theorem 10. Let $f_{k} \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m, \delta, \gamma_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $M \geq 1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c| \leq 1-\frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\left[\left(2-\mu_{k}\right) M+1\right]}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for all $k=1, \ldots, m, f_{k} \in \mathfrak{B}_{s}^{r}\left(a_{i}, b_{j}, q, \mu_{k}\right), 0 \leq \mu_{k}<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right| \leq M, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the integral operator $I_{\gamma_{k}, \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right)$ defined by (11) is analytic and univalent in $\mathscr{U}$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 7, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}}\left(\frac{z\left(\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathscr{M}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q\right) f(z) f_{k}(z)}-1\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \delta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \delta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\delta h^{\prime}(z)}\left|\leq|c|+\left|\left(1-|z|^{2 \delta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\delta h^{\prime}(z)}\right| .\right. \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this result and using the proof of Theorem 7 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \delta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \delta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\delta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leq|c|+\frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}\left[\left(2-\mu_{k}\right) M+1\right] . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|c| \leq 1-(1 / \delta) \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(1 / \gamma_{k}\right)\left[\left(2-\mu_{k}\right) M+1\right]$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \delta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \delta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\delta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \leq 1, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U}) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma (4) for the function $h(z)$ we obtain that $I_{\gamma_{k}, \delta}\left(a_{i}, b_{j} ; q ; z\right)$ is univalent.

Taking $\mu_{k}=0($ for all $k=1, \ldots, m), r=1, s=0, a_{1}=q$, and $\gamma_{k}=1 /(\alpha-1), \delta=1+m(\alpha-1)(\alpha \in \mathbf{R})$ in Theorem 10, we have the following.

Corollary 11. Let $f_{k} \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m ; c \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, and $M \geq 1$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
|c| \leq 1+\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+m(\alpha-1)}\right)(2 M+1) m, \\
\alpha \in\left[1, \frac{2 M m+1}{2 M m}\right] . \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Iffor all $k=1, \ldots, m$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f_{k}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{k}^{2}(z)}-1\right|<1, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U})  \tag{46}\\
\left|f_{k}(z)\right| \leq M, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U} ; k=1, \ldots, m)
\end{gather*}
$$

then the integral operator $F_{m, \alpha}(z)$ defined by (13) is analytic and univalent in $\because$.

Letting $m=1, M=1$, and $f_{1}=f$ in Corollary 11, we have the following.

Corollary 12. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
|c| \leq \frac{3-2 \alpha}{\alpha}, \quad(c \neq-1)  \tag{47}\\
\alpha \in\left[1, \frac{3}{2}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-1\right|<1, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U})  \tag{48}\\
|f(z)| \leq 1, \quad(z \in \mathscr{U})
\end{gather*}
$$

then the integral operator $G_{\alpha}(z)$ defined by (17) is analytic and univalent in $\mathscr{U}$.

Remark 13. Many other interesting corollaries and results can be obtained by specializing the parameters in Theorem 10 ; for example, see [13, 20, 21].
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