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There is a CW complex $\tau(X)$, which gives a rational homotopical classification of almost free toral actions on spaces in the rational homotopy type of $X$ associated with rational toral ranks and also presents certain relations in them. We call it the rational toral rank complex of $X$. It represents a variety of toral actions. In this note, we will give effective 2-dimensional examples of it when $X$ is a finite product of odd spheres. This is a combinatorial approach in rational homotopy theory.

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a simply connected CW complex with $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}(X ; \mathbb{Q})<\infty$ and $r_{0}(X)$ be the rational toral rank of $X$, which is the largest integer $r$ such that an $r$-torus $T^{r}=S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}$ (r-factors) can act continuously on a CW-complex $Y$ in the rational homotopy type of $X$ with all its isotropy subgroups finite (such an action is called almost free) [1]. It is a very interesting rational invariant. For example, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}(X)=r_{0}(X)+r_{0}\left(S^{2 n}\right)<r_{0}\left(X \times S^{2 n}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

can hold for a formal space $X$ and an integer $n>1$ [2]. It must appear as one phenomenon in a variety of almost free toral actions. The example (*) is given due to Halperin by using Sullivan minimal model [3].

Put the Sullivan minimal model $M(X)=(\Lambda V, d)$ of $X$. If an $r$-torus $T^{r}$ acts on $X$ by $\mu: T^{r} \times X \rightarrow X$, there is a minimal KS extension with $\left|t_{i}\right|=2$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right], 0\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right] \otimes \wedge V, D\right) \longrightarrow(\wedge V, d) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D t_{i}=0$ and $D v \equiv d v$ modulo the ideal $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ for $v \in V$ which is induced from the Borel fibration [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \longrightarrow E T^{r} \times_{T^{r}}^{\mu} X \longrightarrow B T^{r} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [1, Proposition 4.2], $r_{0}(X) \geq r$ if and only if there is a KS extension of above satisfying $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right] \otimes \wedge V, D\right)<\infty$. Moreover, then $T^{r}$ acts freely on a finite complex that has the same rational homotopy type as $X$. So we will discuss this note by Sullivan models.

We want to give a classification of rationally almost free toral actions on $X$ associated with rational toral ranks and also present certain relations in them. Recall a finite-based CW complex $\tau(X)$ in [5, Section 5]. Put $\mathcal{X}_{r}=\left\{\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right] \otimes \wedge V, D\right)\right\}$ the set of isomorphism classes of KS extensions of $M(X)=(\Lambda V, d)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right] \otimes \wedge V, D\right)<\infty$. First, the set of 0-cells $\mathcal{Z}_{0}(X)$ is the finite sets $\left\{(s, r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ where the point $P_{s, r}$ of the coordinate $(s, r)$ exists if there is a model $\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right) \in X_{r}$ and $r_{0}\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right)=r_{0}(X)-s-r$. Of course, the model may not be uniquely determined. Note that the base point $P_{0,0}=(0,0)$ always exists by $X$ itself.

Next, 1-skeltons (vertexes) of the 1-skelton $\tau_{1}(X)$ are represented by a KS-extension $(\mathbb{Q}[t], 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{Q}[t] \otimes \Lambda W, D) \rightarrow\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}(\mathbb{Q}[t] \otimes \wedge W, D)<\infty$ for $\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right) \in x_{r}$, where $W=\mathbb{Q}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right) \oplus V$ and $\left.d_{W}\right|_{V}=d$. It is given as

or $\cdots$,
where $P$ exists by $\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right)$, and $Q$ exists by $(\mathbb{Q}[t] \otimes \Lambda W, D)$. The 2 cell is given if there is a (homotopy) commutative diagram of restrictions

which represents (a horizontal deformation of)


Here $P_{a}$ exists by $\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right), P_{b}\left(\right.$ or $\left.P_{d}\right)$ by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{r+1}\right] \otimes \Lambda W, D_{r+1}\right), P_{c}$ by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{r+1}, t_{r+2}\right] \otimes \Lambda W, D\right)$, and $P_{d}\left(\right.$ or $\left.P_{b}\right)$ by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{r+2}\right] \otimes \Lambda W, D_{r+2}\right)$. Then we say that a 2 cell attaches to (the tetragon) $P_{a} P_{b} P_{c} P_{d}$. Thus, we can construct the 2-skelton $\tau_{2}(X)$.

Generally, an $n$-cell is given by an $n$-cube where a vertex of $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{r+1}, \ldots, t_{r+n}\right] \otimes \Lambda W, D\right)$ of height $r+n, n$-vertexes $\left\{\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{r+1}, \ldots, t_{r+i}, \ldots, t_{r+n}\right] \otimes \Lambda W, D_{(i)}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of height $r+n-1, \ldots$, a vertex $\left(\Lambda W, d_{W}\right)$ of height $r$. Here $\vee$ is the symbol which removes the below element, and the differential $D_{(i)}$ is the restriction of $D$.

We will call this connected regular complex $\tau(X)=U_{n \geq 0} \tau_{n}(X)$ the rational toral rank complex (r.t.r.c.) of X. Since $r_{0}(X)<\infty$ in our case, it is a finite complex. For example, when $X=S^{3} \times S^{3}$ and $Y=S^{5}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(X) \vee \tau(Y)=\tau_{1}(X) \vee \tau_{1}(Y)=\tau_{1}(X \times Y)=\tau(X \times Y) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an unusual case. Then, of course, $r_{0}(X)+r_{0}(Y)=r_{0}(X \times Y)$. Recall that $r_{0}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3}\right)+$ $r_{0}\left(S^{7}\right)=r_{0}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7}\right)$ but $\tau_{1}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3}\right) \vee \tau_{1}\left(S^{7}\right) \subsetneq \tau_{1}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7}\right)$ [5, Example 3.5]. In Section 2, we see that r.t.r.c. is not complicated as a CW complex but delicate. We see in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 that the differences between $X=Z \times S^{7}$ and $Y=Z \times S^{9}$ for some products $Z$ of odd spheres make certain different homotopy types of r.t.r.c., respectively. Remark that the above inequality $(*)$ is a property on $\tau_{0}(X)$ or $\tau_{1}(X)$ as the example of Theorem 2.4(1). We see in Theorem 2.4(2) an example that $\tau_{1}(X)=\tau_{1}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)$ but $\tau_{2}(X) \subsetneq \tau_{2}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)$, which is a higher-dimensional phenomenon of (*).

## 2. Examples

In this section, the symbol $P_{i} P_{j} P_{k} P_{l}$ means the tetragon, which is the cycle with vertexes $P_{i}, P_{j}$, $P_{k}, P_{l}$, and edges $P_{i} P_{j}, P_{j} P_{k}, P_{k} P_{l}, P_{l} P_{i}$.

In general, it is difficult to show that a point of $\mathcal{\tau}_{0}(X)$ does not exist on a certain coordinate. So the following lemma is useful for our purpose.

Lemma 2.1. If X has the rational homotopy type of the product of finite odd spheres and finite complex projective spaces, then $(1, r) \notin \tau_{0}(X)$ for any $r$.

Proof. Suppose that $X$ has the rational homotopy type of the product of $n$ odd spheres and $m$ complex projective spaces. Put a minimal model $A=\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] \otimes\right.$ $\left.\Lambda\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right), D\right)$ with $\left|t_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|t_{n-1}\right|=\left|x_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|x_{m}\right|=2$ and $\left|v_{i}\right|,\left|y_{i}\right|$ odd. If $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}(A)<\infty$, then $A$ is pure; that is, $D v_{i}, D y_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ for all $i$. Therefore, from [2, Lemma 2.12], $r_{0}(A)=1$. Thus, we have $\left(1, r_{0}(X)-1\right)=(1, n-1) \notin$ $\tau_{0}(X)$.

Theorem 2.2. Put $X=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7} \times S^{7}$ and $Y=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7} \times S^{9}$. Then $\tau_{1}(X)=\tau_{1}(Y)$. But $\tau(X)$ is contractible and $\tau(Y) \simeq S^{2}$.

Proof. Let $M(X)=(\Lambda V, 0)=\left(\Lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right), 0\right)$ with $\left|v_{1}\right|=\left|v_{2}\right|=\left|v_{3}\right|=3$ and $\left|v_{4}\right|=\left|v_{5}\right|=$ 7. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}(X)=\left\{P_{0,0}, P_{0,1}, P_{0,2}, P_{0,3}, P_{0,4}, P_{0,5}, P_{2,1}, P_{2,2}, P_{2,3}, P_{3,1}, P_{3,2}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, they are given as follows.
(0) $P_{0,0}$ is given by $(\Lambda V, 0)$.
(1) $P_{0,1}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=t_{1}^{2}$ and $D v_{2}=D v_{3}=D v_{4}=D v_{5}=0$.
(2) $P_{0,2}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=t_{1}^{2}, D v_{2}=t_{2}^{2}$, and $D v_{3}=D v_{4}=$ $D v_{5}=0$.
(3) $P_{0,3}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=t_{1}^{2}, D v_{2}=t_{2}^{2}, D v_{3}=t_{3}^{2}$, and $D v_{4}=D v_{5}=0$.
(4) $P_{0,4}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=t_{1}^{2}, D v_{2}=t_{2}^{2}, D v_{3}=t_{3}^{2}$, $D v_{4}=t_{4}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=0$.
(5) $P_{0,5}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=t_{1}^{2}, D v_{2}=t_{2}^{2}, D v_{3}=t_{3}^{2}$, $D v_{4}=t_{4}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=t_{5}^{4}$.
(6) $P_{2,1}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=D v_{5}=0$ and $D v_{4}=$ $v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$
(7) $P_{2,2}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=0, D v_{3}=t_{2}^{2}, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{2}$, and $D v_{5}=0$.
(8) $P_{2,3}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=0, D v_{3}=t_{2}^{2}, D v_{4}=$ $t_{1}^{2}+v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}$, and $D v_{5}=t_{3}^{4}$.
(9) $P_{3,1}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{1}$.
(10) $P_{3,2}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$ and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{1}+t_{2}^{4}$.
(11) $P_{4,1}$, that is, a point of the coordinate $(4,1)$ does not exist. Indeed, if it exists, it must be given by a model $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ whose differential is $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0$ and $D v_{4}, D v_{5} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ by degree reason. But, for any $D$ satisfying such conditions, we have $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, \tilde{D}\right)<\infty$ for a KS extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{2}\right], 0\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, \tilde{D}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $r_{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)>0$. It contradicts the definition of $P_{4,1}$.
$\tau_{1}(X)$ is given as


For example, the edges ( 1 simplexes)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{0,0} P_{0,1}, P_{0,1} P_{0,2}, P_{0,2} P_{0,3}, P_{0,3} P_{0,4}, \ldots, P_{0,0} P_{3,1}, P_{3,1} P_{3,2}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given as follows.
(1) $P_{0,1} P_{3,2}$ is given by the projection $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D_{1}\right)$ where $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{2}+t_{1}^{4}, D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$, and $D_{1} v_{1}=D_{1} v_{2}=$ $D_{1} v_{3}=D_{1} v_{5}=0$ and $D_{1} v_{4}=t_{1}^{4}$.
(2) $P_{2,1} P_{3,2}$ is given by $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$.
(3) $P_{3,1} P_{3,2}$ is given by $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{1}+t_{2}^{4}$.
$\tau_{2}(X)$ is given as follows.
(1) $P_{0,0} P_{2,1} P_{3,2} P_{3,1}$ is attached by a 2 cell from $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2}\left(t_{1}+\right.$ $\left.t_{2}\right)+t_{1}^{4}$ and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$. (Then $P_{2,1}$ is given by $D_{1} v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}, D_{1} v_{5}=0$, and $P_{3,1}$ is given by $D_{2} v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{2}, D_{2} v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$.)
(2) $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{3,1}$ is attached by a 2 cell from $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{2}+t_{1}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$.
(3) $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{2,2} P_{2,1}$ is attached by a 2 cell from $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=t_{1}^{4}$.
(4) $P_{0,1} P_{0,2} P_{2,3} P_{2,2}$ is attached by a 2 cell from $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=0, D v_{3}=t_{3}^{2}, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}$, and $D v_{5}=t_{1}^{4}$.
(5) $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{2,1}$ is not attached by a 2 cell. Indeed, assume that a 2 cell attaches on it. Notice that $P_{3,2}$ is given by $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)$ with $D v_{1}=D v_{2}=D v_{3}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D v_{4}=\alpha\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)+f, \quad D v_{5}=\beta\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)+g \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ and $\{f, g\}$ is a regular sequence in $\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Since $P_{0,1} P_{3,2} \in$ $\tau_{1}(X)$, both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ must be contained in the ideal $\left(t_{i}\right)$ for some $i$. Also they are not in $\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)$ by degree reason. Furthermore, since $P_{2,1} P_{3,2} \in \tau_{1}(X)$, we can put that both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are contained in the monogenetic ideal $\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right)$ for some $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$ without losing generality. Then, $\operatorname{dim} H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, \tilde{D}\right)<\infty$ for a KS extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{3}\right], 0\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, \tilde{D}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by putting $\tilde{D} v_{k}=t_{3}^{2}$ for $k \in\{1,2,3\}$ with $k \neq i, j$ and $\tilde{D} v_{n}=D v_{n}$ for $n \neq k$. Thus, we have $r_{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \otimes \Lambda V, D\right)>0$. It contradicts to the definition of $P_{3,2}$.

Notice there is no 3 cell since it must attach to a 3 cube (in graphs) in general. Thus, we see that $\tau(X)=\tau_{2}(X)$ is contractible.

On the other hand, let $M(Y)=(\Lambda W, 0)=\left(\Lambda\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}\right), 0\right)$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|=\left|w_{2}\right|=$ $\left|w_{3}\right|=3,\left|w_{4}\right|=7$ and $\left|w_{5}\right|=9$. Then we see that $\tau_{1}(X)=\tau_{1}(Y)$ from same arguments. But, in $\tau_{2}(Y), P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{2,1}$ is attached by a 2 cell since we can put $D w_{1}=D w_{2}=D w_{3}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D w_{4}=w_{1} w_{2} t_{2}+t_{2 \prime}^{4}, \quad D w_{5}=w_{1} w_{3} t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{5} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by degree reason. Here $P_{0,1}$ is given by $D_{1} w_{4}=0, D_{1} w_{5}=t_{1}^{5}$, and $P_{2,1}$ is given by $D_{2} w_{4}=$ $w_{1} w_{2} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}, D_{2} w_{5}=0$. Others are same as $\tau_{2}(X)$. Then three 2 cells on $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{2,1}$, $P_{0,0} P_{2,1} P_{3,2} P_{3,1}$, and $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{3,1}$ in $\tau_{2}(Y)$ make the following:

to be homeomorphic to $S^{2}$. Thus $\tau(Y)=\tau_{2}(Y) \simeq S^{2}$.
Theorem 2.3. Put $X=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7} \times S^{7}$ and $Y=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7} \times S^{9}$. Then $\tau_{1}(X)=\tau_{1}(Y)$. But $\tau(X) \simeq S^{2}$ and $\tau(Y) \simeq \vee_{i=1}^{6} S_{i}^{2}$.

Proof. We see as the proof of Theorem 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}(X)=\left\{P_{0,0}, P_{0,1}, P_{0,2}, P_{0,3}, P_{0,4}, P_{0,5}, P_{0,6}, P_{2,1}, P_{2,2}, P_{2,3}, P_{2,4}, P_{3,1}, P_{3,2}, P_{3,3}, P_{4,1}, P_{4,2}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and both $\tau_{1}(X)$ and $\tau_{1}(Y)$ are given as


For all tetragons in $\tau_{1}(X)$ except the following 4 tetragons:
(1) $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{2,1}$, (2) $P_{0,1} P_{0,2} P_{3,3} P_{2,2}$, (3) $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{4,2} P_{2,1}$, and (4) $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{4,2} P_{3,1}, 2$ cells attach in $\tau_{2}(X)$. The proof is similar to it of Theorem 2.2. Thus we see that $\tau_{2}(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to

which is homeomorphic to $S^{2}$. For example, when $M(X)=(\Lambda V, 0)=\left(\Lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.v_{6}\right), 0\right)$ with $\left|v_{1}\right|=\left|v_{2}\right|=\left|v_{3}\right|=\left|v_{4}\right|=3$ and $\left|v_{5}\right|=\left|v_{6}\right|=7,2$ cells attach $P_{0,0} P_{2,1} P_{4,2} P_{3,1}$, $P_{0,0} P_{3,1} P_{4,2} P_{4,1}$ and $P_{0,0} P_{2,1} P_{4,2} P_{4,1}$ from $D v_{1}=\cdots=D v_{4}=0$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}, & D v_{6}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{1}+v_{2} v_{4} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4} \\
D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}, & D v_{6}=v_{1} v_{3}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)+v_{2} v_{4} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}  \tag{2.8}\\
D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}, & D v_{6}=v_{1} v_{3} t_{2}+v_{2} v_{4} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}
\end{array}
$$

respectively.
In $\tau_{2}(Y), 2$ cells attach all tetragons in $\tau_{1}(Y)$ by degree reason. For example, when $M(Y)=(\Lambda W, 0)=\left(\Lambda\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}, w_{6}\right), 0\right)$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|=\left|w_{2}\right|=\left|w_{3}\right|=\left|w_{4}\right|=3,\left|w_{5}\right|=7$ and $\left|w_{6}\right|=9$, put $D w_{1}=D w_{2}=D w_{3}=0$ and
(1) $D w_{4}=0, D w_{5}=w_{1} w_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}, D w_{6}=w_{2} w_{3} t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{5}$,
(2) $D w_{4}=t_{3}^{2}, D w_{5}=w_{1} w_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}, D w_{6}=w_{2} w_{3} t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{5}$,
(3) $D w_{4}=0, D w_{5}=w_{1} w_{2} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}, D w_{6}=w_{3} w_{4} t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{5}$,
(4) $D w_{4}=0, D w_{5}=w_{1} w_{3} t_{2}+t_{2}^{4}, D w_{6}=w_{1} w_{4} t_{2}^{2}+w_{2} w_{3} t_{1} t_{2}+t_{1}^{5}$,
for $(1) \sim(4)$ of above. Then we can check that $\tau(Y) \simeq \vee_{i=1}^{6} S_{i}^{2}(\tau(Y)$ cannot be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ).

Theorem 2.4. Even when $r_{0}(X)=r_{0}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)$ for the $n$-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$, it does not fold that $\tau(X)=\tau\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)$ in general. For example,
(1) When $X=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7}$ and $n=4$, then $\tau_{1}(X) \subsetneq \tau_{1}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$.
(2) When $X=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7} \times S^{7}$ and $n=4$, then $\tau_{1}(X)=\tau_{1}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$ but $\tau_{2}(X) \subsetneq$ $\tau_{2}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$.

Proof. Put $M\left(\mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)=(\Lambda(x, y), d)$ with $d x=0$ and $d y=x^{n+1}$ for $|x|=2$ and $|y|=2 n+1$. Put $\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right] \otimes \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda(x, y), D\right)$ the model of a Borel space $E T^{r} \times_{T^{r}}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{n}\right)$ of $X \times \mathbb{C} P^{n}$.
(1) $\tau_{1}(X)$ and $\tau_{1}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$ are given as

respectively. For $M(X)=(\Lambda V, 0)=\left(\Lambda\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right), 0\right)$ with $\left|v_{1}\right|=\left|v_{2}\right|=\left|v_{3}\right|=\left|v_{4}\right|=3$ and $\left|v_{5}\right|=7$. Here $P_{4,1}$ is given by $D v_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$ and $D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+v_{3} v_{4} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$. It is contained in both $\tau_{0}(X)$ and $\tau_{0}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$. On the other hand, $P_{3,2}$ is given by $D v_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2,3, D v_{4}=t_{2}^{2}, D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}, D x=0$, and $D y=x^{5}+v_{1} v_{3} t_{1}^{2}$. Then $P_{3,1}$ is given by $D v_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2,3,4, D v_{5}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}, D x=0$, and $D y=x^{5}+v_{1} v_{3} t_{1}^{2}$. They are contained only in $\tau_{0}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$.
(2) Both $\tau_{1}(X)$ and $\tau_{1}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$ are same as one in Theorem 2.2. Notice that $P_{0,0} P_{0,1} P_{3,2} P_{2,1}$ is attached by a 2 cell in $\tau_{2}\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)$ from $D v_{i}=0$ for $i=1,2,3, D v_{4}=v_{1} v_{2} t_{1}+t_{1}^{4}$, $D v_{5}=t_{2}^{4}, D x=0$, and $D y=x^{5}+v_{1} v_{3} t_{1} t_{2}$. So $\tau\left(X \times \mathbb{C} P^{4}\right)=\tau(Y)$ for $Y=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{7} \times S^{9}$.

Remark 2.5. The author must mention about the spaces $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ in [5, Examples 3.8 and 3.9] such that $\tau_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)=\tau_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)$. We can check that 2 cells attach on both $P_{0} P_{5} P_{9} P_{8}$ of them (compare [5, page 506]).

Remark 2.6. In [5, Question 1.6], a rigidity problem is proposed. It says that does $\tau_{0}(X)$ with coordinates determine $\tau_{1}(X)$ ? For $\tau(X)$, it is false as we see in above examples. But it seems that there are certain restrictions. For example, is $\tau_{2}(X)$ simply connected?
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