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This paper deals with anisotropic obstacle problem for the $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i}(x, D u(x))\right)=0$. An integrability result is given under suitable assumptions, which show higher integrability of the boundary datum, and the obstacle force solutions $u$ have higher integrability as well.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of Result

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathrm{R}^{n}$. For $p_{i}>1, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we denote $p_{m}=\max _{i=1,2, \ldots, n} p_{i}$ and $\bar{p}$ is the harmonic mean of $p_{i}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\bar{p}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The anisotropic Sobolev space $W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in W^{1,1}(\Omega): D_{i} v \in L^{p_{i}}(\Omega) \text { for every } i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider solutions $u \in W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ of the following $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i}(x, D u(x))\right)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)$ is the gradient operator, and the Carathéodory functions $a_{i}(x, \xi)$ : $\Omega \times R^{n} \rightarrow R, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i}(x, z)\right| \leq c_{2}\left(h(x)+\left|z_{i}\right|\right)^{p_{i}-1} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $z \in R^{n}$, and for any $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and there exists $\tilde{\mathcal{v}} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}-\tilde{z}_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}(x, z)-a_{i}(x, \widetilde{z})\right)\left(z_{i}-\tilde{z}_{i}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for any $z, \tilde{z} \in R^{n}$. The integrability condition for $h(x) \geq 0$ in (1.4) will be given later.

Let $\psi$ be any function in $\Omega$ with values in $R \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$ and $\theta \in W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$, and we introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in W^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega): v \geq \psi, \text { a.e. and } v-\theta \in W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)\right\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1,\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega): D_{i} v \in L^{p_{i}}(\Omega) \text { for every } i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\psi$ is an obstacle and $\theta$ determines the boundary values.
Definition 1.1. A solution to the $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}$-obstacle problem is a function $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x, D u(x))\left(D_{i} v(x)-D_{i} u(x)\right) d x \geq 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $v \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$.
Higher integrability property is important among the regularity theories of nonlinear elliptic PDEs and systems, see the monograph [1] by Bensoussan and Frehse. Meyers and Elcrat [2] first considered the higher integrability for weak solutions of (1.3) in 1975. Iwaniec and Sbordone [3] obtained a regularity result for very weak solutions of the $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic equation (1.3) by using the celebrated Gehring's Lemma. Global integrability for anisotropic equation is contained in [4]. As far as higher integrability of $\nabla u$ is concerned, in problems with nonstandard growth a delicate interplay between the regularity with respect to $x$ and the growth with respect to $\xi$ appears: see [5]. For a global boundedness result of anisotropic variational problems, see [6]. For other related works, see [7]. We refer the readers to the classical books by Ladyženskaya and Ural'ceva [8], Morrey [9], Gilbarg and Trudinger [10] and Giaquinta [11] for some details of isotropic cases.

In the present paper, we consider integrability for solutions of anisotropic obstacle problems of the $\mathcal{A}$-harmonic equation (1.3), which show higher integrability of the boundary
datum, and the obstacle force solutions $u$, have higher integrability as well. The idea of this paper comes from [4], and the result can be considered as a generalization of [4, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 1.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ be a solution to the $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}$ obstacle problem and $\theta \in W^{1,\left(q_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$, $q_{i} \in\left(p_{i},+\infty\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n, 0 \leq h \in L^{q_{m}}(\Omega)$ with $q_{m}=\max _{i=1, \ldots, n} q_{i}, \psi \in[-\infty,+\infty]$ is such that $\theta_{*}=\max \{\psi, \theta\} \in \theta+W_{0}^{1,\left(q_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\bar{p}<n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \theta_{*}+L_{\text {weak }}^{t}(\Omega) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{\bar{p}^{*}}{1-\left(b \bar{p}^{*} / \bar{p}\right)\left(p_{m} / p_{m}-1\right)}>\bar{p}^{*} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $b$ is any number verifying

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<b \leq \min _{j=1, \ldots, n}\left(1-\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{j}}\right),  \tag{1.11}\\
b<\frac{p_{m}-1}{p_{m}} \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{p}^{*}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1.3. Take the obstacle function $\psi$ to be minus infinity in Theorem 1.2, and the condition (1.4) replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i}(x, z)\right| \leq c_{2}\left(1+\left|z_{i}\right|\right)^{p_{i}-1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $z \in R^{n}$, and for any $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then we arrive at Theorem 2.1 in [4].

## 2. Proof of the Main Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{X}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$ be a solution to the $\mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}$-obstacle problem. Take $\theta_{*}=$ $\max \{\psi, \theta\} \in \theta+W_{0}^{1,\left(q_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$. Let us consider $L \in(0,+\infty)$ and

$$
v= \begin{cases}\theta_{*}-L, & \text { for } u-\theta_{*}<-L  \tag{2.1}\\ u, & \text { for }-L \leq u-\theta_{*} \leq L \\ \theta_{*}+L, & \text { for } u-\theta_{*}>L\end{cases}
$$

Then $v \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi, \theta}^{\left(p_{i}\right)}(\Omega)$. Indeed, for the second and the third cases of the above definition for $v$, we obviously have $v \geq \psi$, and for the first case, $u-\theta_{*}<-L$, we have $\theta_{*}>u+L \geq \psi+L$; this
implies $v=\theta_{*}-L \geq \psi$. Since $u=\theta_{*}=\theta$ on $\partial \Omega$, then $v=u$ on $\partial \Omega$, this implies $v=\theta$ on $\partial \Omega$. By Definition 1.1, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x, D u(x))\left(D_{i} v(x)-D_{i} u(x)\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x, D u(x))\left(D_{i} \theta_{*}(x)-D_{i} u(x)\right) d x . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Monotonicity (1.5) allows us to write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{v} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left|D_{i} u(x)-D_{i} \theta_{*}(x)\right|^{p_{i}} d x  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}(x, D u(x))-a_{i}\left(x, D \theta_{*}(x)\right)\right)\left(D_{i} u(x)-D_{i} \theta_{*}(x)\right) d x,
\end{align*}
$$

which together with (2.2) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathcal{v}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left|D_{i} u(x)-D_{i} \theta_{*}(x)\right|^{p_{i}} d x  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad \leq-\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x, D \theta_{*}\right)\left(D_{i} u(x)-D_{i} \theta_{*}(x)\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

We now use anisotropic growth (1.4) and the Hölder inequality in (2.4), obtaining that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{v} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left|D_{i} u-D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|^{p_{i}} d x \\
& \quad \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}} a_{i}\left(x, D \theta_{*}\right)\left(D_{i} u-D_{i} \theta_{*}\right) d x \\
& \quad \leq c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(h+\left|D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{p_{i}-1}\left|D_{i} u-D_{i} \theta_{*}\right| d x  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad \leq c_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(h+\left|D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\left(p_{i}-1\right) / p_{i}}\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left|D_{i} u-D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $t_{i}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}<t_{i} \leq q_{i} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=1, \ldots, n ; t_{i}$ will be chosen later. We use the Hölder inequality as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(h+\left|D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\left(p_{i}-1\right) / p_{i}}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(h+\left|D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{t_{i}} d x\right)^{\left(p_{i}-1\right) / t_{i}}\left(\left|\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}\right|\right)^{\left(t_{i}-p_{i}\right)\left(p_{i}-1\right) / t_{i} p_{i}}
\end{align*}
$$

The following proof is similar to that of [4, Theorem 2.1]; we only list the necessary changes: instead of [4, (3.14)] by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(h+\left|D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{\left(p_{i}-1\right) / p_{i}} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(h+\left|D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{t_{i}} d x\right)^{\left(p_{i}-1\right) / t_{i}}\left|\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}\right|^{b}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad \leq M\left|\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}\right|^{b},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\max _{j=1, \ldots, n}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(h+\left|D_{j} \theta_{*}\right|\right)^{t_{j}} d x\right)^{\left(p_{j}-1\right) / t_{j}}<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and instead of $[4,(3.19)]$ we use anisotropic Sobolev Embedding Theorem for $v-u$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}|v-u|^{\bar{p}^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / \bar{p}^{*}} \\
& \quad \leq c_{*}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|D_{i}(v-u)\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}}\right]^{1 / n}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad \leq c_{*}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left|D_{i} u-D_{i} \theta_{*}\right|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}}\right]^{1 / n} .
\end{align*}
$$

By $|v-u|=\left(\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|-L\right) 1_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|>L\right\}}\left(\left|u-\theta_{*}\right|-L\right)^{\bar{p}^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / \bar{p}^{*}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|v-u|^{\bar{p}^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / \bar{p}^{*}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the idea of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [4], we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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