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In this paper, which is a companion paper to [W], starting from the Euler integral which appears in a generalization of Jensen's formula, we shall give a closed form for the integral of $\log \Gamma(1 \pm t)$. This enables us to locate the genesis of two new functions $A_{1 / a}$ and $C_{1 / a}$ considered by Srivastava and Choi. We consider the closely related function $A(a)$ and the Hurwitz zeta function, which render the task easier than working with the $A_{1 / a}$ functions themselves. We shall also give a direct proof of Theorem 4.1, which is a consequence of [CKK, Corollary 1.1], though.

## 1. Introduction

If $f(z)$ is analytic in a domain $D$ containing the circle $C:|z|=r$ and has no zero on the circle, then the Gauss mean value theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |f(0)|=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true. In [1, page 207] the case is considered where $f(z)$ has a zero $r e^{i \theta_{0}}$ on the circle, and (1.1) turns out that the Euler integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \log \sin x \mathrm{~d} x=-\frac{\pi}{2} \log 2 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is essential in proving a generalization of Jensen's formula [1, pages 207-208].

Let $G$ denote the Catalan constant defined by the absolutely convergent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2 n-1)^{2}}=L\left(2, \chi_{4}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{4}$ is the nonprincipal Dirichlet character mod 4.
As a next step from (1.2) the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 4} \log \sin t \mathrm{~d} t=-\frac{\pi}{4} \log 2-\frac{1}{2} G \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true. In this connection, in [2] we obtained some results on $G$ viewing it as an intrinsic value to the Barnes $G$-function. The Barnes $G$-function (which is $\Gamma_{2}^{-1}$ in the class of multiple gamma functions) is defined as the solution to the difference equation (cf. (2.3))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log G(z+1)-\log G(z)=\log \Gamma(z) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log G(1)=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the asymptotic formula to be satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
\log G(z+N+2)= & \frac{N+1+z}{2} \log 2 \pi \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2}+2 N+1+B_{2}+z^{2}+2(N+1) z\right) \log N  \tag{1.7}\\
& -\frac{3}{4} N^{2}-N-N z-\log A+\frac{1}{12}+O\left(N^{-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$N \rightarrow \infty$, where $\Gamma(s)$ indicates the Euler gamma function (cf., e.g., [3]).
Invoking the reciprocity relation for the gamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(s) \sin \pi s=\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1-s)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is natural to consider the integrals of $\log \Gamma(\alpha+t)$ or of multiple gamma functions $\Gamma_{r}$ (cf., e.g., $[4,5]$ ). Barnes' theorem [6, page 283] reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(\alpha+t) \mathrm{d} t= & -\log \frac{G(\alpha+a)}{G(\alpha)}-(1-\alpha) \log \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+a)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}  \tag{1.9}\\
& +a \log \Gamma(\alpha+a)-\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi+1-2 \alpha) a
\end{align*}
$$

valid for nonintegral values of $a$.

In this paper, motivated by the above, we proceed in another direction to developing some generalizations of the above integrals considered by Srivastava and Choi [7]. For $q$ analogues of the results, compare the recent book of the same authors [8]. Our main result is Theorem 2.1 which gives a closed form for $\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t$ and locates its genesis. A slight modification of Theorem 2.1 gives the counterpart of Barnes' formula (1.9) which reads.

Corollary 1.1. Except for integral values of a one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(\alpha-t) \mathrm{d} t= & \log \frac{G(\alpha-a)}{G(\alpha)}+(1-\alpha) \log \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-a)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}  \tag{1.10}\\
& +a \log \Gamma(\alpha-a)+\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi+1-2 \alpha) a .
\end{align*}
$$

Srivastava and Choi introduced two functions $\log A_{1 / a}$ and $\log C_{1 / a}$ by (2.9) and (2.9) with formal replacement of $1 / a$ by $-1 / a$, respectively. They state $C_{1 / a}=A_{-1 / a}$, which is rather ambiguous as to how we interpret the meaning because (2.9) is defined for $a>0$ [7, page 347, 1.11]. They use this $C_{1 / a}$ function to express the integral $\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t$, without giving proof. This being the case, it may be of interest to locate the integral of $\log \Gamma(1-t)[7$, (13), page 349], thereby $\log C_{1 / a}$ [7, page 347].

For this purpose we use a more fundamental function $A(a)$ than $A_{1 / a}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A(a)=-\zeta^{\prime}(-1, a)+\frac{1}{12}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta(s, a)$ is the Hurwitz zeta-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s, a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^{s}}, \quad \operatorname{Re} s=\sigma>1 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the first instance. For its theory, compare, for instance, [3], [9, Chapter 3].
We shall prove the following corollary which gives the right interpretation of the function $C_{1 / a}$.

Corollary 1.2. For $0<a<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log C_{1 / a}=\log A(1-a)-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log C_{1 / a}=\log A_{1-1 / a}+\frac{1}{4}(1-a)^{2}+(1-a) \log (1-a)-\frac{1}{4} a^{2} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Barnes Formula

There is a generalization of (1.4) as well as (1.2) in the form [7, equation (28), page 31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \sin \pi t \mathrm{~d} t=a \log \frac{\sin \pi a}{2 \pi}+\log \frac{G(1+a)}{G(1-a)}, \quad a \notin \mathbb{Z} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.1) is Barnes' formula [6, page 279] which is equivalent to Kinkelin's 1860 result [10] [7, equation (26), page 30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z} \pi t \cot \pi t \mathrm{~d} t=\log \frac{G(1-z)}{G(1+z)}+z \log 2 \pi \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z+1)=G(z) \Gamma(z), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \sin \pi t \mathrm{~d} t=a \log \frac{\sin \pi a}{2 \pi}+\log \frac{G(a)}{G(1-a)}+\log \Gamma(a) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $a=1 / 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-1} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \log \sin x \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \log \sin \pi t \mathrm{~d} t=-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi+\log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \log 2, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (1.2).
The counterpart of (2.1) follows from the reciprocity relation (1.8), known as Alexeievsky's Theorem [7, equation (42), page 32].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1+t) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a-\frac{a^{2}}{2}+a \log \Gamma(a+1)-\log G(a+1), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn is a special case of (1.9).
Indeed, in [7, page 207], only (1.9) and the integral of $\log G(t+\alpha)$ are in closed form and the integral of $\log \Gamma_{3}(t+\alpha)$ is not. A general formula is given by Barnes [4] with constants to be worked out. We shall state a concrete form for this integral in Section 3, using the relation [7, equation (455), page 210] between $\log \Gamma_{3}(t+\alpha)$ and the integral of $\psi$ and appealing to a closed form for the latter in [11].

Formula (2.6) is stated in the following form [7, equation (12), page 349]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1+t) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a-\frac{3}{4} a^{2}+\log A-\log A_{1 / a} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\log A$ is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant defined by [7, equation (2), page 25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n \log n-\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2}+N+B_{2}\right) \log N+\frac{1}{4} N^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\log A_{1 / a}$ is defined by [7, equation (9), page 347]

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A_{1 / a}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}( & \sum_{n=1}^{N}(n+a) \log (n+a)  \tag{2.9}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2}+(2 a+1) N+a^{2}+a+B_{2}\right) \log (N+a)+\frac{1}{4} N^{2}+\frac{a}{2} N\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $a>0$.
Comparing (2.6) and (2.7), we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A_{1 / a} & =\log G(a+1)-a \log \Gamma(a+1)+\log A-\frac{a^{2}}{4} \\
& =\log G(a)+(1-a) \log \Gamma(a)+\log a-\frac{a^{2}}{4}-a \log a \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

on using the difference relation $\Gamma(a+1)=a \Gamma(a)$.
Thus, in a sense we have located the genesis of the function $\log A_{1 / a}$. although they prove (2.7) by an elementary method [7, page 348].

Indeed, $A_{1 / a}$ and $A(a)$ are almost the same:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A_{1 / a}=\log A(a)-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}-a \log a \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a proof being given below. However, $\log A(a)$ is more directly connected with $\zeta^{\prime}(-1, a)$ for which we have rich resources of information as given in [9, Chapter 3].

We prove the following theorem which gives a closed form for $\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t$, thereby giving the genesis of the constant $C_{1 / a}$.

Theorem 2.1. For $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t=\log G(1-a)+a \log \Gamma(1-a)+\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $0<a<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t=\log A(1-a)-\log A+\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We evaluate the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1+t) \sin \pi t \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in two ways. First,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=a \log \pi+a \log a-a-\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, noting that $I$ is the sum of (2.1) and (2.7), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & a \log \frac{\sin \pi a}{2 \pi}+\log G(a+1)+\log A-\log G(1-a)  \tag{2.16}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a-\frac{3}{4} a^{2}-\log A_{1 / a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (1.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & a \log \frac{\sin \pi a}{2 \pi}+a \log \Gamma(a)+\log A(a)-\log A_{1 / a} \\
& -\log G(1-a)+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a-\frac{3}{4} a^{2} . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The first two terms on the right of (2.17) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \log \frac{\Gamma(a) \sin \pi a}{2 \pi}=a \log \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1-a)=-a(\log 2+\log \Gamma(1-a)) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the 3 rd and the 4 th terms give, in view of $(2.11),(1 / 4) a^{2}+a \log a$.
Hence, altogether

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=-a \log 2-a \log \Gamma(1-a)-\log G(1-a)+a \log a-\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (2.15) and (2.19) proves (2.12), completing the proof.
Comparing (2.13) and [7, equation (13), page 349]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} \log \Gamma(1-t) \mathrm{d} t=\log A(1-a)-\log A+\frac{3}{4} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1) a \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we prove Corollary 1.2.
Hence the relation between $C_{1 / a}$ and $A_{1 / a}$ is (1.14), that is, one between $C_{1 / a}$ and $A_{1-1 / a}$ rather than $C_{1 / a}=A_{-1 / a}$ as Srivastava and Choi state.

At this point we shall dwell on the underlying integral representation for (the derivative of) the Hurwitz zeta-function, which makes the argument rather simple and lucid as in [12] and gives some consequences.

Proof of (2.11). Consider that

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\prime}(s, a)-\frac{1}{12}= & -\frac{1}{2} a^{2} \log a-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a \log a \\
& -\frac{B_{2}}{2} \log a-\frac{1}{3!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{B}_{3}(t)(t+a)^{-2} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

[9, (3.15), page 59], where the last integral may be also expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{B}_{2}(t)(t+a)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\bar{B}_{k}(t)$ is the $k$ th periodic Bernoulli polynomial. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
-\zeta^{\prime}(-1, a)= & \sum_{0 \leq n \leq x}(n+a) \log (n+a)-\frac{1}{2}(x+a)^{2} \log (x+a)  \tag{2.23}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}(x+a)^{2}+\bar{B}_{1}(x)(x+a)-\frac{1}{2} \bar{B}_{2}(x)(x+a)+O\left(x^{-1} \log x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

whence in particular, we have the generic formula for $\zeta^{\prime}(-1, a)$ and consequently for $\log A(a)$ through (1.11):

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A(a)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}( & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+a) \log (n+a)-\frac{1}{2} \log (N+a)  \tag{2.24}\\
& \left.\quad \times\left((N+a)^{2}+N+a+B_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}(N+a)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This may be slightly modified in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A(a)= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N}(n+a) \log (n+a)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(N^{2}+(2 a+1) N+a^{2}+a+B_{2}\right) \log (N+a)+\frac{1}{4} N^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a N\right)  \tag{2.25}\\
+ & \frac{1}{4} a^{2}+a \log a
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (2.9) and (2.25), we verify (2.11).

The merit of using $A(a)$ is that by way of $\zeta^{\prime}(-1, a)$, we have a closed form for it:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A(a)= & \frac{1}{2} a^{2} \log a-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a \log a+\frac{B_{2}}{2} \log a \\
& +\frac{1}{2!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{B}_{2}(t)(t+a)^{-1} d t \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, via another important relation [7, equation (23), page 94],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log G(a)=-\left(\zeta^{\prime}(-1, a)-\frac{1}{12}\right)-\log A-(1-a) \log \Gamma(a) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.21) gives a closed form for $\log G(a)$, too. We also have from (1.11) and (2.27)

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A(a) & =\log G(a)+(1-a) \log \Gamma(a)+\log A \\
& =\log G(a+1)-a \log \Gamma(a)+\log A . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

There are some known expressions not so handy as given by (2.27). For example, [7, page 25] and [7, equation (440), page 206], one of which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime}}{G}(1+z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{z+n}-1+\frac{z}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\log 2 \pi-1)-(1+\gamma) z \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma$ designating the Euler constant. Equation (2.29) is a basis of (2.2) (cf. proof of [2, Lemma 1]).

Remark 2.2. The Glaisher-Kinkelin constant $A$ is connected with $A(1)$ and $A_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A=\log A(1)=\log A_{1}+\frac{1}{4} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can also be seen from Vardi's formula [7, (31), page 97]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A=-\zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\frac{1}{12}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (1.11) with $a=1$.
We may also give another direct proof of Corollary 1.2.
Proof of Corollary 1.2 (another proof). $\log C_{1 / a}$ is the limit of the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{N}= & \sum_{k=1}^{N}(k-1+\alpha) \log (k-1+\alpha)-\left(\frac{1}{2} N^{2}+\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right) N+\frac{1}{2} B_{2}(\alpha)\right)  \tag{2.32}\\
& \times \log (N-1+\alpha)+\frac{1}{4} N^{2}+\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha=1-a$. Let $N=M+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{N}= & \sum_{k=0}^{M}(k+\alpha) \log (k+\alpha)-\left(\frac{1}{2}(M+1)^{2}+\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)(M+1)+\frac{1}{2} B_{2}(\alpha)\right)  \tag{2.33}\\
& \times \log (M+\alpha)+\frac{1}{4}(M+1)^{2}+\frac{M+1}{2} \alpha-\frac{M+1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, simplifying, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{N}= & \sum_{k=1}^{M}(k+\alpha) \log (k+\alpha)-\left(\frac{1}{2} M^{2}+\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) M+\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha+B_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.34}\\
& \times \log (M+\alpha)+\frac{1}{4} M^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha M+\alpha \log \alpha-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log C_{1 / a}=\log A_{\alpha}+\alpha \log \alpha-\frac{(\alpha-1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (1.14). This completes the proof.
As an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.2 , we prove (2.36) as can be found in [7, pages 350-351].

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 / a}=\left(\frac{\pi a}{\sin \pi a}\right)^{-a} \frac{G(1+a)}{G(1-a)} C_{1 / a}, \quad 0<a<1 \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of (2.36). From (2.28), (1.5), and (1.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A(a)-\log A(1-a)=\log \frac{G(1+a)}{G(1-a)}-a \log \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi a} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.11) and (1.13), we see that the left-hand side of (2.37) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{A_{1 / a}}{C_{1 / a}}+a \log a \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{A_{1 / a}}{C_{1 / a}}=\log \frac{G(1+a)}{G(1-a)}-a \log \frac{\pi a}{\sin \pi a} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

On exponentiating, (2.37) leads to (2.36).

## 3. Polygamma Function of Negative Order

In this section we introduce the function $\tilde{A}_{k}(q)$ [13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}_{k}(q)=k \zeta^{\prime}(1-k, q), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is closely related to the polygamma function of negative order and states some simple applications. We recall some properties of $\widetilde{A}_{k}(q)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{A}_{2}(q+1)=\tilde{A}_{2}(q)+2 q \log q \\
\tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\frac{1}{12} \log 2  \tag{3.2}\\
\tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\frac{G}{2 \pi} \\
\tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (3.3) is [2, equation (2.31)], which is used in proving [2, Theorem 2] and can be read off from the distribution property [9, equation (3.72), page 76] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{4} \zeta\left(s, \frac{a}{4}\right)=4^{s} \zeta(s) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{4} \zeta^{\prime}\left(s, \frac{a}{4}\right)=4^{s}\left((\log 4) \zeta(s)+\zeta^{\prime}(s)\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $s=-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\prime}(-1)+\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{1}{2}\right)+\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{1}{4}\right)+\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{3}{4}\right)=4^{-1}\left((\log 4) \zeta(-1)+\zeta^{\prime}(-1)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we solve in $\zeta^{\prime}(-1,3 / 4)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{3}{4}\right)= & \frac{1}{4}\left((2 \log 2) \zeta(-1)+\zeta^{\prime}(-1)\right) \\
& -\zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.2) and $\zeta(-1)=-B_{2} / 2=-1 / 12$ and simplifying, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{3}{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \zeta^{\prime}(-1)-\zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=2 \zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{3}{4}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{\prime}(-1)-2 \zeta^{\prime}\left(-1, \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence (3.3).
Using these, we deduce from (2.37) the following.

## Example 3.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A_{1 / 4}=\frac{5}{64}+\frac{1}{2} \log 2-\frac{1}{8} \log A-\frac{G}{2 \pi} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.11) and (3.1), for $q>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A(q)=-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}_{2}(q)+\frac{1}{12} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\log A(1 / 4)-\log A(3 / 4)=-1 / 2\left(\tilde{A}_{2}(1 / 4)-\tilde{A}_{2}(3 / 4)\right)$, it follows from (3.3) that the left-hand side of (2.37) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\tilde{A}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \zeta^{\prime}(-1) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \log A\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)-\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\log A-\frac{1}{12}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used (2.31).
The right-hand side of $(2.37), \log (G(5 / 4) / G(3 / 4))-1 / 4 \log (\pi / \sin (\pi / 4))$, becomes $-(G / 2 \pi)$, in view of known values of $G$ [7, page 30$]$.

Hence, altogether, (2.37) with $a=1 / 4$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{G}{2 \pi}=2 \log A\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \log A-\frac{3}{16} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Invoking (2.11), this becomes (3.10).
We note that (3.14) gives a proof of the third equality in (3.2). Both (2.36) and (3.10) are contained in [14, 1999a] and are given as exercises in [7].

## 4. The Triple Gamma Function

For general material, we refer to [7, page 42]. As can been seen on [7, page 207], the important integral $\int_{0}^{z} \log \Gamma_{3}(t+a) \mathrm{d} t$ is not in closed form. Recently, Chakraborty-Kanemitsu-Kuzumaki [5, Corollary 1.1] have given a general expressions for all the integrals in $\log \Gamma_{r}$, by appealing to Barnes' original results.

In this section, we shall give a direct derivation of a closed form by combining [7, (455), page 210] and [11, Corollary 3] (with $\lambda=3$ ). The first reads

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \int_{0}^{z} \log \Gamma_{3}(t+a) \mathrm{d} t= & -\int_{0}^{z} t^{3} \psi(t+a) \mathrm{d} t+2 z \log \Gamma_{3}(z+a) \\
& -2(2 a-3) \frac{\log \Gamma_{3}(z+a)}{\log \Gamma_{3}(a)}+\left(3 a^{2}-9 a+7\right) \frac{\log G(z+a)}{\log G(a)} \\
& -(a-1)^{3} \frac{\log \Gamma(z+a)}{\log \Gamma(a)}+\frac{3}{8} z^{4}+\frac{1}{3}(1-\log 2 \pi) z^{3}  \tag{4.1}\\
& +\left(-\frac{3}{4} a^{2}+\frac{7}{4} a-\frac{9}{8}+\frac{1}{4}(2 a-3) \log 2 \pi+\log A\right) z^{2}, \\
& +\left(a^{2}-\frac{3}{2} a+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}(a-2-3 a+2) \log 2 \pi+2(3-2 a) \log A\right) z
\end{align*}
$$

while the second reads (cf. also [15])

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{z} t^{3} \log \psi(t+a) \mathrm{d} t= & -\sum_{r=0}^{3} C_{3}(r, a) \log \frac{\Gamma_{r+1}(a+z)}{\Gamma_{r+1}(a)}  \tag{4.2}\\
& -\sum_{l=1}^{3}(-1)^{l}\left(\binom{3}{l} \zeta^{\prime}(l-3)+\frac{B_{4-l}(a)}{l(4-l)}\right) z^{l}+\frac{11}{24} z^{4},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{3}(r, a)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3}(r, a)=(-1)^{r} r!\sum_{m=r}^{3}\binom{3}{m}(-1)^{m} S(m, n)(a-1)^{3-m} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $S(m, n)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind [7, page 58]. To express the values of $\zeta^{\prime}(l-3)$, we appeal to [7]
(i) $\zeta^{\prime}(0)=-(1 / 2) \log 2 \pi[7,(20)$, page 92$]$,
(ii) $\zeta^{\prime}(-2)=\log B=\zeta(3) / 4 \pi^{2}$ [7, pages 99-100]
and (2.31). After some elementary but long calculations, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{z} t^{3} \log \psi(t+a) \mathrm{d} t= & -3!\log \frac{\Gamma_{4}(a+z)}{\Gamma_{4}(a)}-6(a-2) \log \frac{\Gamma_{3}(a+z)}{\Gamma_{3}(a)} \\
& -\left(3 a^{2}-9 a+7\right) \log \frac{\Gamma_{2}(a+z)}{\Gamma_{2}(a)}-(a-1)^{3} \log \frac{\Gamma(a+z)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{11}{24} z^{4}  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi+\frac{1}{3} B_{1}(a)\right) z^{3}-\left(\frac{1}{4}-3 \log A+\frac{1}{4} B_{2}(a)\right) z^{2} \\
& +3\left(\log B+\frac{1}{3} B_{3}(a)\right) z
\end{align*}
$$

Combining we have the following.
Theorem 4.1 (see [5, Example 2.3]). Except for the singularities of the multiple gamma function, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{z} \log \Gamma_{3}(t+a) \mathrm{d} t= & 3 \log \frac{\Gamma_{4}(a+z)}{\Gamma_{4}(a)}+z \log \Gamma_{3}(z+a) \\
& +(a-3) \log \frac{\Gamma_{3}(a+z)}{\Gamma_{3}(a)}-\frac{1}{24} z^{4}-\frac{1}{6}\left(a-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \pi\right) z^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(-2 a^{2}+6 a-\frac{10}{3}+(2 a-3) \log 2 \pi-8 \log A\right) z^{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{3}-\frac{5}{2} a^{2}+2 a-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{2}-3 a+2\right) \log 2 \pi\right. \\
& +2(2 a-3) \log A+3 \log B) z .
\end{align*}
$$

This theorem enables us to put many formulas in [7] in closed form including, for instance, [7, (698), page 245]. Compare [5].
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