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We relate a deterministic Kalman filter on semi-infinite interval to linear-quadratic tracking control model with unfixed initial condition.

## 1. Introduction

In [1], Sontag considered the deterministic analogue of Kalman filtering problem on finite interval. The deterministic model allows a natural extension to semi-infinite interval. It is of a special interest because for the standard linear-quadratic stochastic control problem extension to semi-infinite interval leads to complications with the standard quadratic objective function (see, e.g., [2]). According to [1], the model which we are going to consider has the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[u^{T} R u+(C x-\bar{y})^{T} Q(C x-\bar{y})\right] d t,  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{x}=A x+B u,  \tag{1.2}\\
x(0)=x_{0} . \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we assume that the pair $(x, u) \in a\left(x_{0}\right)+Z$, where $Z$ is a vector subspace of the Hilbert space $L_{2}^{n}[0,+\infty) \times L_{2}^{m}[0,+\infty)$ (with $L_{2}^{n}[0,+\infty)$ a Hilbert space of $R^{n}$-value square integrable
functions) is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z}= & \left\{(x, u) \in L_{2}^{n}[0,+\infty) \times L_{2}^{m}[0,+\infty): x\right. \text { is absolutely continuous, }  \tag{1.4}\\
& \left.\dot{x} \in L_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}[0,+\infty), \dot{x}=A x+B u, x(0)=0\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $A$ is an $n$ by $n$ matrix; $B$ is an $n$ by $m$ matrix; $R=R^{T}$ is an $n$ by $n$ and positive definite; $Q=$ $Q^{T}$ is an $r$ by $r$ and positive definite; $C$ is an $r$ by $n$ matrix; $\bar{y} \in L_{2}^{r}[0,+\infty)$. Notice that in (1.1)(1.3) $x_{0}$ is not fixed and we minimize over all triple $\left(x, u, x_{0}\right) \in L_{2}^{n}[0,+\infty) \times L_{2}^{m}[0,+\infty) \times R^{n}$ satisfying our assumption.

Notice also that we interpret (1.1)-(1.3) as an estimation problem of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}=A x+B u, \\
\bar{y}=C x+v, \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we try to estimate $x$ with the help of observation $\bar{y}$ by minimizing perturbations $u$, $v$ and choosing an appropriate initial condition $x_{0}$.

## 2. Solution of the Deterministic Problem

Consider the algebraic Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K A+A^{T} K+K L K-C^{T} Q C=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=B R^{-1} B^{T}$. Assuming that the pair $(A, B)$ is stabilizable and the pair $(C, A)$ is detectable, there exists a negative definite symmetric solution $K_{s t}$ to (2.1) such that the matrix $A+L K_{\text {st }}$ is stable (see, e.g., Theorem 12.3 in [3]). According to [4], we have described a complete solution of the linear-quadratic control problem on a semi-infinite interval with the linear term in the objective function. The major motivation for this extension comes from [5] where we consider applications of primal-dual interior-point algorithms to the computational analysis of multicriteria linear-quadratic control problems in mini-max form. To compute a primal-dual direction it is required to solve linear-quadratic control problems with the same quadratic and different linear parts on each iteration. Using the results in [5], we can describe the optimal solution to (1.1)-(1.3) with fixed $x_{0}$ as follows.

There exists a unique solution $\rho_{0} \in L_{2}^{n}[0, \infty)$ satisfying the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\rho}=-\left(A+L K_{s t}\right)^{T} \rho-C^{T} Q \bar{y} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\rho_{0}$ can be explicitly described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}(t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \exp \left[\left(A+L K_{s t}\right)^{T} \tau\right] C^{T} Q \bar{y}(t+\tau) d \tau \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimal solution $(x, u)$ to (1.1)-(1.3) has the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}=\left(A+L K_{s t}\right) x+L \rho_{0}, \quad x(0)=x_{0}  \tag{2.4}\\
u=R^{-1} B^{T}\left(K_{s t} x+\rho_{0}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

For details see [5].
Notice that $\rho_{0}$ does not depend on $x_{0}$. To solve the original problem (1.1)-(1.3) we need to express the minimal value of the functional (1.1) in term of $x_{0}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(x, u)$ be an optimal solution of (1.1)-(1.3) with fixed $x_{0}$ given by (2.2)-(2.5). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\&-x_{0}^{T} K_{s t} x_{0}-2 \rho_{0}(0)^{T} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\bar{y}^{T} Q \bar{y}-\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}\right] d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. Notice that $J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)$ is a strictly convex function of $x_{0}$ and hence minimum of $J$ as a function of $x_{0}$ is attained at

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{\mathrm{opt}}=-K_{s t}^{-1} \rho_{0}(0) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (2.2)-(2.5) gives a complete solution of the original problem (1.1)-(1.3).
Proof. Let $(y, w) \in a\left(x_{0}\right)+Z$ be feasible solution to (1.1)-(1.3), where $x_{0}$ is fixed. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(y, w)=\left[w-R^{-1} B^{T}\left(K_{s t} y+\rho_{0}\right)\right]^{T} \cdot R \cdot\left[w-R^{-1} B^{T}\left(K_{s t} y+\rho_{0}\right)\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we suppressed an explicit dependence on time. Notice that by (2.5)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta(x, u) \equiv 0  \tag{2.9}\\
\Delta(y, w) \equiv 0
\end{gather*}
$$

for any feasible solution $(y, w)$ implies that $(y, w)=(x, u)$. Furthermore, let $\Delta(y, w)=\Delta_{1}+$ $\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{1}=w^{T} R w \\
\Delta_{2}=-2\left(K_{s t} y+\rho_{0}\right)^{T} B w  \tag{2.10}\\
\Delta_{3}=\left(K_{s t} y+\rho_{0}\right)^{T} L\left(K_{s t} y+\rho_{0}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Now $B w=\dot{y}-A y$, and consequently

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{2}=-2\left(y^{T} K_{s t}+\rho_{0}^{T}\right)(\dot{y}-A y)=y^{T}\left(K_{s t} A+A^{T} K_{s t}\right) y-2 y^{T} K_{s t} \dot{y}-2 \rho_{0}^{T} \dot{y}+2 \rho^{T} A y, \\
\Delta_{3}=y^{T} K_{s t} L K_{s t} y+\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}+2 \rho_{0}^{T} L K_{s t} y . \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(y, w)= & w^{T} R w+\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}+y^{T}\left(K_{s t} L K_{s t}+K_{s t} A+A^{T} K_{s t}\right) y-\frac{d}{d t}\left(y^{T} K_{s t} y\right)-2 \frac{d}{d t}\left(\rho_{0}^{T} y\right) \\
& +2 \rho_{0}^{\dot{T}} y+2 \rho_{0}^{T} L K_{s t} y+2 \rho_{0}^{T} A y . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(y, w) & =w^{T} R w+\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}+y^{T} C^{T} Q C y-2 \frac{d}{d t}\left(\rho_{0}^{T} y\right)-\frac{d}{d t}\left(y^{T} K_{s t} y\right)-2\left(C^{T} Q \bar{y}\right)^{T} y \\
& =w^{T} R w+\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}-2 \frac{d}{d t}\left(\rho_{0}^{T} y\right)-\frac{d}{d t}\left(y^{T} K_{s t} y\right)+(\bar{y}-C y)^{T} Q(\bar{y}-C y)-\bar{y}^{T} Q \bar{y} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, taking into account that $\rho_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0, y(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow+\infty$ (see, for details [5]), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \Delta(y, w) d t= & \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[w^{T} R w+(\bar{y}-C y)^{T} Q(\bar{y}-C y)\right] d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}-\bar{y}^{T} Q \bar{y}\right] d t+2 \rho_{0}(0)^{T} x_{0}+x_{0} K_{s t} x_{0}  \tag{2.14}\\
= & J\left(y, w, x_{0}\right)+2 \rho_{0}(0)^{T} x_{0}+x_{0} K_{s t} x_{0}+c
\end{align*}
$$

where $c=\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\rho_{0}^{T} L \rho_{0}-\bar{y}^{T} Q \bar{y}\right] d t$.
Notice, that $\Delta(y, w) \geq 0$ and $\Delta(x, u) \equiv 0$. This shows that, indeed, $(x, u)$ is an optimal solution to (1.1)-(1.3) (with fixed $x_{0}$ ) and proves (2.6).

Remark 2.3. By (2.14) and $\Delta(x, u) \equiv 0$, we have $J\left(y, w, x_{0}\right) \geq J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)$ and the equality occurs if and only if $(y, w) \equiv(x, u)$ (see also (2.9)). Hence $(x, u)$ is a unique solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.3). Similary reasoning works in discrete time case.

## 3. Steady-State Deterministic Kalman Filtering

In light of (2.7), it is natural to consider the process

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=-K_{s t}^{-1} \rho_{0}(t), \quad t \in[0,+\infty) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a natural estimate for the optimal solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3). Let us find the differential equation for $z$.

Proposition 3.1. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}=A z+K_{s t}^{-1} C^{T} Q(\bar{y}-C z) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Notice that $K_{s t}^{-1}$ is a solution to the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L-P C^{T} Q C P+A P+P A^{T}=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the differential equation (3.2) is a precise deterministic analogue for the stochastic differential equation describing the optimal (steady-state) estimation in Kalman filtering problem. See, for example, [2].

Proof. Using (2.2) and (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{z} & =K_{s t}^{-1}\left(A+L K_{s t}\right)^{T} \rho_{0}+K_{s t}^{-1} C^{T} Q \bar{y} \\
& =-\left(K_{s t}^{-1} A^{T}+L\right)\left(K_{s t} z\right)+K_{s t}^{-1} C^{T} Q \bar{y} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $K_{\text {st }}$ is a solution to (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-K_{s t}^{-1} A^{T} K_{s t}-L K_{s t}=A-K_{s t}^{-1} C^{T} Q C \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}=A z-K_{s t}^{-1} C^{T} Q C z+K_{s t}^{-1} C^{T} Q \bar{y} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain (3.2).
Remark 3.3. Notice that due to (3.1) $\Delta(z, 0) \equiv 0$ and consequently $(z, 0)$ would be an optimal solution to (1.1)-(1.3) if it were feasible for this problem.

## 4. The Solution of the Discrete Deterministic Problem

It is natural to consider the discrete version for the problem (1.1)-(1.3). In this case, the problem can be reformulated as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[u_{k}^{T} R u_{k}+\left(C x_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)^{T} Q\left(C x_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)\right] \rightarrow \min  \tag{4.1}\\
x_{k+1}=A x_{k}+B u_{k}  \tag{4.2}\\
x_{0}=x_{o} \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we let $x$ denote a sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $k=0, \ldots, \infty$. We say that $x \in l_{2}^{n}(\mathbb{N})$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}<\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm induced by an inner product $\langle$,$\rangle in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $(x, u) \in$ $l_{2}^{n}(\mathbb{N}) \times l_{2}^{m}(\mathbb{N})$.

Like in the continuous case, we assume that the pair $(x, u) \in a\left(x_{0}\right)+Z$, where $Z$ is a vector subspace of the Hilbert space $l_{2}^{n}(\mathbb{N}) \times l_{2}^{m}(\mathbb{N})$.

Observe now the inner product in $H$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle(x, y),(u, v)\rangle_{H}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left\langle x_{k}, u_{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle y_{k}, v_{k}\right\rangle\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector subspace $Z$ now takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left\{(x, u) \in H: \quad x_{k+1}=A x_{k}+B u_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, \quad x_{0}=0\right\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A$ is an $n$ by $n$ matrix. $B$ is an $n$ by $m$ matrix. $R=R^{T}$ is an $n$ by $n$ and positive definite. $Q=Q^{T}$ is an $r$ by $r$ and positive definite. $C$ is an $r$ by $n$ matrix and $\bar{y} \in l_{2}^{r}(\mathbb{N})$.

As in the continuous case, we interpret (4.1)-(4.3) as an estimation problem of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{k+1}=A x_{k}+B u_{k},  \tag{4.6}\\
\bar{y}_{k}=C x_{k}+v_{k},
\end{gather*}
$$

where we try to estimate $x$ with the help of observation $\bar{y}$ by minimizing perturbations $u$, $v$ and choosing an appropriate initial condition $x_{0}$.

According to [4], a general cost function for a discrete linear-quadratic control problem with linear term on the cost function has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}\left[x_{k}^{T} Q x_{k}+u_{k}^{T} R u_{k}\right]+x_{k}^{T} \psi_{k}+u_{k}^{T} \phi_{k} \longrightarrow \min \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi \in l_{2}^{n}(\mathbb{N})$ and $\phi \in l_{2}^{m}(\mathbb{N})$. The solution to the particular class of problems can be completely described by solving several system of recurrence relations and the following discrete algebraic Riccati equation (DARE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=A^{T} K A-\left(A^{T} K B\right)\left(R+B^{T} K B\right)^{-1}\left(A^{T} K B\right)^{T}+Q \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that this equation has a positive definite stabilizing solution $K_{s t}$. For sufficient conditions, see [6].

In our situation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[u_{k}^{T} R u_{k}+\left(C x_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)^{T} Q\left(C x_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)\right] \rightarrow \min \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\psi_{k}=-C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}$ and $\phi_{k}=0, k=0,1, \ldots$ By [4], there is a unique solution $\bar{\rho}=\left\{\bar{\rho}_{k}\right\} \in l_{2}^{n}(\mathbb{N})$ of the following recurrence relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}=\left[A^{T}-\left(\mathrm{A}^{T} K_{s t} B\right)\left(R+B^{T} K_{s t} B\right)^{-1} B^{T}\right] \rho_{k+1}+C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For details on an explicit solution of the above recurrence relation, see [4]. For simplicity, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}=\left(R+B^{T} K_{s t} B\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we also let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=B \bar{R}^{-1} B^{T} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

So our recurrence relation for $\rho$ now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}=\left[A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right] \rho_{k+1}+C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the corresponding DARE

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=A^{T} K A-\left(A^{T} K B\right) \bar{R}^{-1}\left(A^{T} K B\right)^{T}+C^{T} Q C  \tag{4.14}\\
K=A^{T} K A-A^{T} K L K A+C^{T} Q C .
\end{gather*}
$$

The optimal solution to (4.1)-(4.3) has the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{k+1}=\left(A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right)^{T} x_{k}+L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}  \tag{4.15}\\
& u_{k}=-\bar{R}^{-1} B^{T} K_{s t} A x_{k}+\bar{R}^{-1} B^{T} \bar{\rho}_{k+1} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

For details, see [4]. To solve the original problem (4.1)-(4.3) we need to express the minimal value of the functional (4.1) in terms of $x_{0}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(x, u)$ be an optimal solution of (4.1)-(4.3) with fixed $x_{0}$ given by (4.15)-(4.16). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{0}^{T} K_{s t} x_{0}-\bar{\rho}_{0}^{T} x_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[2 \bar{y}_{k}^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right] \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we use $K$ for $K_{s t}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(y_{k}, w_{k}\right) & =\left[w_{k}+\bar{R}^{-1} B^{T}\left(K A y_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right)\right]^{T} \cdot \bar{R} \cdot\left[w_{k}+\bar{R}^{-1} B^{T}\left(K A y_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right)\right]  \tag{4.18}\\
& =\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}+\Delta_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{1} & =w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k} \\
\Delta_{2} & =2\left(K A y_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right)^{T} B w_{k} \\
& =2\left(K A y_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right)^{T}\left(y_{k+1}-A y_{k}\right)  \tag{4.19}\\
& =2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1}-2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K A y_{k}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1}+2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} A y_{k}, \\
\Delta_{3} & =\left(K A y_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right)^{T} L\left(K A y_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right) \\
& =y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L K A y_{k}+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} \bar{\rho}_{k+1}-2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L \bar{\rho}_{k+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that $(y, w) \in a\left(x_{0}\right)+Z$. Since $A^{T} K A-A^{T} K L K A=K-C^{T} Q C$ and $\left[A^{T}-\right.$ $\left.A^{T} K L\right] \bar{\rho}_{k+1}=\bar{\rho}_{k}-C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(y_{k}, w_{k}\right)= & w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T}\left[A^{T} K A-A^{T} K L K A\right] y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L K A y_{k} \\
& -2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1}+2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} A y_{k}+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}-2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1} \\
= & w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T}\left[K-C^{T} Q C\right] y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L K A y_{k}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1} \\
& +2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}-2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1} \\
= & w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T}\left[K-C^{T} Q C\right] y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L K A y_{k}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1} \\
& +2 y_{k}^{T}\left[A^{T}-A^{T} K L\right] \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T}\left[K-C^{T} Q C\right] y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L K A y_{k}-2 \rho_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1} \\
& +2 y_{k}^{T}\left[\bar{\rho}_{k}-C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}\right]+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1} \\
= & w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T}\left[K-C^{T} Q C\right] y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K L K A y_{k}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1} \\
& +2 y_{k}^{T} \bar{\rho}_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T} C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1} . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

By recalling now the definition of $\bar{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{k}^{T} \bar{R} w_{k} & =w_{k}^{T}\left(R+B^{T} K B\right) w_{k} \\
& =w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}+w_{k}^{T} B^{T} K B w_{k}  \tag{4.21}\\
& =w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}+\left(y_{k+1}-A y_{k}\right)^{T} K\left(y_{k+1}-A y_{k}\right) \\
& =w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}+y_{k+1}^{T} K y_{k+1}+y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K A y_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T} A^{T} K y_{k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(y_{k}, w_{k}\right)= & w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}-y_{k}^{T} K y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} C^{T} Q C y_{k}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1}+2 y_{k}^{T} \bar{\rho}_{k}  \tag{4.22}\\
& -2 y_{k}^{T} C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}+\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+y_{k+1}^{T} K y_{k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

We then rearrange the terms and complete the square to obtain a useful expression for $\Delta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(y_{k}, \mathrm{w}_{k}\right)= & w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}-y_{k}^{T} K y_{k}+y_{k+1}^{T} K y_{k+1}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1}+2 \bar{\rho}_{k}^{T} y_{k} \\
& +\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+y_{k}^{T} C^{T} Q C y_{k}-2 y_{k}^{T} C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}  \tag{4.23}\\
= & w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}-y_{k}^{T} K y_{k}+y_{k+1}^{T} K y_{k+1}-2 \bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} y_{k+1}+2 \bar{\rho}_{k}^{T} y_{k} \\
& +\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}+\left(C y_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)^{T} Q\left(C y_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)-2 \bar{y}_{k}^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice, since we fixed $x_{0}$, we let $y_{0}=x_{0}$ and take summation of both sides:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta\left(y_{k}, w_{k}\right)= & -x_{0}^{T} K x_{0}+2 \bar{\rho}_{0}^{T} x_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[w_{k}^{T} R w_{k}+\left(C y_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)^{T} Q\left(C y_{k}-\bar{y}_{k}\right)\right]  \tag{4.24}\\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}-2 \bar{y}_{k}^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of $\Delta\left(y_{k}, w_{k}\right), \Delta\left(x_{k}, u_{k}\right)=0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-x_{0}^{T} K x_{0}+2 \bar{\rho}_{0}^{T} x_{0}+2 J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}-2 \bar{y}_{k}^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}\right] \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{0}^{T} K x_{0}-\bar{\rho}_{0}^{T} x_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[2 \bar{y}_{k}^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k}-\bar{\rho}_{k+1}^{T} L \bar{\rho}_{k+1}\right] . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the proof is completed.
As in continuous case, for the discrete case, $J\left(x, u, x_{0}\right)$ is a strictly convex function of $x_{0}$ and hence minimum of $J$ as a function of $x_{0}$ is attained at

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{\mathrm{opt}}=K_{s t}^{-1} \bar{\rho}_{0}, \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ is the unique $l_{2}$ solution to (4.13).
Since we have (4.27), it is natural to consider the process

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}=K_{s t}^{-1} \bar{\rho}_{k} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an estimate for the optimal solution to problem (4.1)-(4.3). Let us find the recurrence relation for $z_{k}$.

Proposition 4.2. Assuming that the closed loop matrix $A-L K A$ is invertible, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k+1}=A z_{k}-K_{s t}^{-1}\left[A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right]^{-1}\left(\bar{y}_{k}-C z_{k}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can rewrite (4.13) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s t} z_{k}=\left[A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right] K_{s t} z_{k+1}+C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the algebraic Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s t}=A^{T} K_{s t} A-A^{T} K_{s t} L K_{s t} A+C^{T} Q C, \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can rewrite (4.30) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{T} Q C z_{k}+\left(A^{T} K_{s t}-A^{T} K_{s t} L K_{s t}\right) A z_{k}=\left(A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right) K_{s t} z_{k+1}+C^{T} Q \bar{y}_{k} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right) K_{s t} z_{k+1}=\left(A^{T}-A^{T} K_{s t} L\right) K_{s t} A z_{k}-C^{T} Q\left(\bar{y}_{k}-C z_{k}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result follows.
Remark 4.3. Notice that (4.29) is the analogue of the "limiting" discrete Kalman filter [6, Page 384, (17.6.1)].

## 5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we relate a deterministic Kalman filter on semi-infinite interval to linear-quadratic tracking control model with unfixed initial condition. Solutions of the deterministic problems both continuous and discrete cases are described. This extends the result of Sontag to semi-infinite interval.
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