Research Article

Elliptic Equations in Weighted Sobolev Spaces on Unbounded Domains

Serena Boccia, Sara Monsurrò, and Maria Transirico

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Salerno, via Ponte don Melillo, 84084 Fisciano, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Maria Transirico, mtransirico@unisa.it

Received 10 May 2008; Accepted 20 August 2008

Recommended by Manfred H. Moller

We study in this paper a class of second-order linear elliptic equations in weighted Sobolev spaces on unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 3$. We obtain an a priori bound, and a regularity result from which we deduce a uniqueness theorem.

Copyright © 2008 Serena Boccia et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 3$. Assign in Ω the uniformly elliptic second-order linear differential operator

$$L = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a, \qquad (1.1)$$

with coefficients $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, i, j = 1, ..., n, and consider the associate Dirichlet problem:

$$u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

$$Lu = f, \quad f \in L^{p}(\Omega),$$
(1.2)

where $p \in]1, +\infty[$.

It is well known that if Ω is a bounded and sufficiently regular set, the above problem has been widely investigated by several authors under various hypotheses of discontinuity on the leading coefficients, in the case p = 2 or p sufficiently close to 2. In particular, some $W^{2,p}$ -bounds for the solutions of the problem (1.2) and related existence and uniqueness results have been obtained. Among the other results on this subject, we quote here those proved in [1], where the author assumed that a_{ij} 's belong to $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$ (and considered the case p = 2) and in [2–4] (where the coefficients belong to some classes wider than $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$). More recently, a relevant contribution has been given in [5–8], where the coefficients a_{ij} are assumed to be in the class VMO and $p \in]1, +\infty[$; observe here that VMO contains the space $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$.

If the set Ω is unbounded and regular enough, under assumptions similar to those required in [1], problem (1.2) has for instance been studied in [9–11] with p = 2, and in [12] with $p \in]1, +\infty[$. Instead, in [13, 14] the leading coefficients satisfy restrictions similar to those in [5, 6].

In this paper, we extend some results of [13, 14] to a weighted case. More precisely, we denote by ρ a weight function belonging to a suitable class such that

$$\inf_{\Omega} \rho > 0, \qquad \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \rho(x) = +\infty, \tag{1.3}$$

and consider the Dirichlet problem:

$$u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

$$Lu = f, \quad f \in L_s^p(\Omega),$$
(1.4)

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $\overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and $L_s^p(\Omega)$ are some weighted Sobolev spaces and the weight functions are a suitable power of ρ . We obtain an a priori bound for the solutions of (1.4). Moreover, we state a regularity result that allows us to deduce a uniqueness theorem for the problem (1.4). A similar weighted case was studied in [15] with the leading coefficients satisfying hypotheses of Miranda's type and when p = 2.

2. Weight functions and weighted spaces

Let *G* be any Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $\Sigma(G)$ be the collection of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of *G*. If $F \in \Sigma(G)$, denote by |F| the Lebesgue measure of *F*, by χ_F the characteristic function of *F*, by F(x, r) the intersection $F \cap B(x, r)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$)—where B(x, r) is the open ball of radius *r* centered at *x*—and by $\mathfrak{D}(F)$ the class of restrictions to *F* of functions $\zeta \in C^{\infty}_{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\overline{F} \cap \text{supp } \zeta \subseteq F$. Moreover, if X(F) is a space of functions defined on *F*, we denote by $X_{\text{loc}}(F)$ the class of all functions $g : F \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\zeta g \in X(F)$ for any $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}(F)$.

We introduce a class of weight functions defined on an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n . Denote by $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable functions $\rho : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$\gamma^{-1}\rho(y) \le \rho(x) \le \gamma\rho(y) \quad \forall y \in \Omega, \ \forall x \in \Omega(y,\rho(y)),$$
(2.1)

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is independent of x and y. Examples of functions in $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ are the function

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow 1 + a|x|, \quad a \in]0, 1[, \tag{2.2}$$

and, if $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ and *S* is a nonempty subset of $\partial \Omega$, the function

$$x \in \Omega \longrightarrow a \operatorname{dist}(x, S), \quad a \in]0, 1[.$$
 (2.3)

For $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, we put

$$S_{\rho} = \left\{ z \in \partial \Omega : \lim_{x \to z} \rho(x) = 0 \right\}.$$
(2.4)

It is known that

$$\rho \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}), \qquad \rho^{-1} \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_{\rho})$$
(2.5)

(see [16, 17]).

We assign an unbounded open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n . Let ρ_1 be a function, such that $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\inf_{\Omega} \rho_1 > 0, \qquad \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \rho_1(x) = +\infty.$$
(2.6)

We put

$$\rho = \rho_{1|\Omega}.\tag{2.7}$$

For any $a \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$I_a(x) = \Omega(x, a\rho_1(x)). \tag{2.8}$$

If $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \le p < +\infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, consider the space $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ of distributions u on Ω , such that $\rho^s \partial^{\alpha} u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \le k$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}_s(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \left\| \rho^s \partial^\alpha u \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$
(2.9)

Moreover, denote by $W_s^{\circ,k,p}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_o^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and put $W_s^{0,p}(\Omega) = L_s^p(\Omega)$. A more detailed account of properties of the above defined spaces can be found, for instance, in [18].

From [15, Lemmas 1.1 and 2.1], we deduce the following two lemmas, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. For any $p \in [1, +\infty[, s \in \mathbb{R}, and a \in]0, 1]$, $g \in L_s^p(\Omega)$ if and only if $g \in L_{loc}^p(\overline{\Omega})$ and the function $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \to \rho_1^{s-n/p}(x)||g||_{L^p(I_a(x))}$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$c_{1}\|g\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{sp-n}(x)\|g\|_{L^{p}(I_{a}(x))}^{p} dx \leq c_{2}\|g\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)}^{p} \quad \forall g \in L^{p}_{s}(\Omega),$$
(2.10)

where c_1 and c_2 depend on $n, p, s, a, and \rho$.

Lemma 2.2. If Ω has the segment property, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \in [1, +\infty[$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$W_s^{k,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{loc}^{k,p}(\overline{\Omega}) = \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{k,p}(\Omega).$$
(2.11)

3. Some embedding lemmas

We now recall the definitions of the function spaces in which the coefficients of the operator will be chosen. If Ω has the property

$$|\Omega(x,r)| \ge Ar^n \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \ \forall r \in]0,1], \tag{3.1}$$

where *A* is a positive constant independent of *x* and *r*, it is possible to consider the space BMO(Ω, τ) ($\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$) of functions $g \in L^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$[g]_{\text{BMO}(\Omega,\tau)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0,\tau]}} \oint_{\Omega(x,r)} \left| g - \oint_{\Omega(x,r)} g \right| < +\infty,$$
(3.2)

where

$$\oint_{\Omega(x,r)} g = \left| \Omega(x,r) \right|^{-1} \int_{\Omega(x,r)} g.$$
(3.3)

If $g \in BMO(\Omega) = BMO(\Omega, \tau_A)$, where

$$\tau_{A} = \sup\left\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{+} : \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, \tau]}} \frac{r^{n}}{|\Omega(x, r)|} \le \frac{1}{A}\right\},$$
(3.4)

we will say that $g \in \text{VMO}(\Omega)$ if $[g]_{\text{BMO}(\Omega,\tau)} \to 0$ for $\tau \to 0^+$. A function $\eta[g] :]0,1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a *modulus of continuity* of g in VMO(Ω) if

$$[g]_{\text{BMO}\ (\Omega,\tau)} \le \eta[g](\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in]0,1], \ \lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \eta[g](\tau) = 0.$$
(3.5)

For $t \in [1, +\infty[$ and $\lambda \in [0, n[$, we denote by $M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ the set of all functions g in $L^t_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$\|g\|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{\substack{r \in [0,1]\\ x \in \Omega}} r^{-\lambda/t} \|g\|_{L^{t}(\Omega(x,r))} < +\infty,$$
(3.6)

endowed with the norm defined by (3.6). Then, we define $M^{t,\lambda}_{\circ}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C^{\infty}_{\circ}(\Omega)$ in $M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$. In particular, we put $M^t(\Omega) = M^{t,0}(\Omega)$, and $M^t_{\circ}(\Omega) = M^{t,0}_{\circ}(\Omega)$. In order to define the modulus of continuity of a function g in $M^{t,\lambda}_{\circ}(\Omega)$, recall first that for a function $g \in M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ the following characterization holds:

$$g \in M^{t,\lambda}_{\circ}(\Omega) \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \left(p_g(\tau) + \| (1 - \zeta_{1/\tau}) g \|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)} \right) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$p_{g}(\tau) = \sup_{\substack{E \in \Sigma(\Omega) \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} | E(x,1) | \le \tau}} \| \chi_{E} g \|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}_{+},$$
(3.8)

and ζ_r , $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is a function in $C^{\infty}_{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$0 \le \zeta_r \le 1, \qquad \zeta_{r|B_r} = 1, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \zeta_r \subset B_{2r}, \tag{3.9}$$

with the position $B_r = B(0, r)$. Thus, the *modulus of continuity* of $g \in M^{t,\lambda}_{\circ}(\Omega)$ is a function

$$\sigma_{\circ}[g]:]0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, \tag{3.10}$$

such that

$$p_{g}(\tau) + \left\| \left(1 - \zeta_{1/\tau} \right) g \right\|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)} \le \sigma_{\circ}[g](\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in]0,1], \ \lim_{\tau \to 0^{+}} \sigma_{\circ}[g](\tau) = 0.$$
(3.11)

A more detailed account of properties of the above defined function spaces can be found in [9, 19, 20].

We consider the following condition:

(h_0) Ω has the cone property, $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, k, h, t are numbers such that

$$k \in \mathbb{N}, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}, t \ge p, t > p \text{ if } p = \frac{n}{k-h}, g \in M^t(\Omega).$$
 (3.12)

From [21, Theorem 3.1] we have the following.

.. . ..

Lemma 3.1. If the assumption (h_0) holds, then for any $u \in W^{k,p}_s(\Omega)$ one has $g\partial^h u \in L^p_s(\Omega)$ and

$$\|g\partial^{h}u\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)} \leq c\|g\|_{M^{t}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{W^{k,p}_{s}(\Omega)},$$
(3.13)

with *c* dependent only on Ω , *n*, *k*, *h*, *p*, and *t*.

From [21, Theorem 3.2] it follows Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.2. If the assumption (h_0) is satisfied and in addition $g \in M^t_{\circ}(\Omega)$, then for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist a constant $c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open set $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$\left\|g\partial^{h}u\right\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{k,p}_{s}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \quad \forall u \in W^{k,p}_{s}(\Omega),$$
(3.14)

where $c(\varepsilon)$, Ω_{ε} depend on ε , Ω , n, k, h, p, t, ρ , s, and $\sigma_{\circ}[g]$.

4. An a priori bound

Assume that Ω is an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 3$, with the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property, and let ρ be the function defined by (2.7). Moreover, let $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider in Ω the differential operator:

$$L = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a, \qquad (4.1)$$

with the following conditions on the coefficients:

 (h_1)

 (h_2)

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap \text{VMO}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}), \quad i, \ j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\exists \nu > 0 \ : \ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \ge \nu |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$(4.2)$$

there exist functions e_{ij} , i, j = 1, ..., n, g and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$e_{ij} = e_{ji} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \qquad (e_{ij})_{x_h} \in M_0^{t,n-t}(\Omega), \quad \text{with } t \in]2, n], \ i, j, h = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \ge \mu |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \qquad g_0 = \operatorname{essinf}_{\Omega} g > 0,$$

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|e_{ij} - ga_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus B_r)} = 0,$$

$$(4.3)$$

 (h_3)

$$a_{i} \in M_{\circ}^{t_{1}}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

$$a = a' + a'', \quad a' \in M_{\circ}^{t_{2}}(\Omega), \ a'' \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \ \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} a'' = a_{0}'' > 0,$$

(4.4)

where

$$t_1 \ge n \quad \text{if } p < n, \qquad t_1 > n \quad \text{if } p = n, \qquad t_1 = p \quad \text{if } p > n,$$

 $t_2 \ge n/2 \quad \text{if } p < n/2, \qquad t_2 > n/2 \quad \text{if } p = n/2, \qquad t_2 = p \quad \text{if } p > n/2.$ (4.5)

Observe that under the assumptions $(h_1)-(h_3)$, it follows that the operator $L : W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \to L_s^p(\Omega)$ is bounded from Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *If the hypotheses* (h_1) , (h_2) , and (h_3) are verified, then there exist a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_0 \subset \subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}_{s}(\Omega)} \le c \Big(\|Lu\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega_{0})} \Big), \quad \forall u \in W^{2,p}_{s}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}_{s}(\Omega),$$
(4.6)

with c and Ω_0 depending on n, p, ρ , s, Ω , ν , μ , g_0 , a''_0 , t, t_1 , t_2 , $\|a_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|e_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|a''\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\eta[\zeta_{2r_0}a_{ij}]$, $\sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x]$, $\sigma_{\circ}[a_i]$, $\sigma_{\circ}[a']$, where $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on n, p, Ω , μ , g_0 , a''_0 , t, $\|e_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|a''\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x]$.

Proof. We consider a function $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$\begin{split} \phi_{|B_{1/2}} &= 1, \quad \operatorname{supp} \phi \in B_1, \\ \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \phi \right| &\leq c_{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \end{split}$$

$$(4.7)$$

where $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on α , fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and put

$$\psi = \psi_y : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \phi\left(\frac{x - y}{\rho_1(y)}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Clearly we have

$$\psi_{|B(y,(1/2)\rho_{1}(y))} = 1, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \psi \in B(y,\rho_{1}(y)),$$

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \psi \right| \leq c_{\alpha} \rho_{1}^{-|\alpha|}(y) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}.$$
(4.9)

Now, we put

$$L_0 = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$
(4.10)

and fix $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $\psi u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, from [14, Theorem 3.3], it follows that there exist $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_1 \subset \subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$\|\psi u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \le c_1 (\|(L_0 + a'')(\psi u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\psi u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}),$$
(4.11)

with c_1 and Ω_1 depending on $n, p, \Omega, \nu, \mu, g_0, a_0'', t, ||a_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||e_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||a''||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0}a_{ij}], \sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x]$, where $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on $n, p, \Omega, \mu, g_0, a_0'', t, ||e_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||a''||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x]$. Since

$$L_0(\psi u) = \psi L_0 u - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \psi_{x_i} u_{x_j} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \psi_{x_i x_j} u, \qquad (4.12)$$

from (4.11) and (4.12), we have

 $\|\psi u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}$

$$\leq c_{2} \left(\left\| \psi \left(L_{0} + a'' \right) u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| \psi_{x_{i}} u_{x_{j}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| \psi_{x_{i}x_{j}} u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \left\| \psi u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega_{1})} \right),$$

$$(4.13)$$

with c_2 dependent on the same parameters of c_1 .

On the other hand, since $\rho \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$, we have that

$$\|\psi u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega_{1})} \leq c_{3}\rho_{1}^{-2}(y)\|u\|_{L^{p}(I_{1}(y))},$$
(4.14)

where $c_3 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on ρ .

Therefore, by (4.13) and (4.14), we deduce the bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{1/2}(y))} &\leq \|\varphi u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c_4 \big(\|L_0 u + a'' u\|_{L^p(I_1(y))} + \rho_1^{-1}(y) \|u_x\|_{L^p(I_1(y))} + \rho_1^{-2}(y) \|u\|_{L^p(I_1(y))} \big), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.15)$$

where $c_4 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on the same parameters of c_2 and on ρ .

From (4.15) it follows

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{ps-n}(y) \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{1/2}(y))}^{p} dy \\ &\leq c_{5} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{ps-n}(y) \|L_{0}u + a''u\|_{L^{p}(I_{1}(y))}^{p} dy \\ &\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{ps-n-p}(y) \|u_{x}\|_{L^{p}(I_{1}(y))}^{p} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{ps-n-2p}(y) \|u\|_{L^{p}(I_{1}(y))}^{p} dy \bigg), \end{split}$$

$$(4.16)$$

where $c_5 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on the same parameters of c_4 .

Since

$$L^p_s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p_{s-1}(\Omega), \qquad L^p_s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p_{s-2}(\Omega),$$
(4.17)

from (4.16) and from Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}_{s}(\Omega)} \le c_{6}(\|L_{0}u + a''u\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)} + \|u_{x}\|_{L^{p}_{s-1}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{p}_{s-2}(\Omega)}),$$
(4.18)

with $c_6 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ dependent on the same parameters of c_5 and also on *s*.

Moreover, from Lemma 3.2 it follows that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exist $c'(\varepsilon)$, $c''(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and two bounded open sets Ω'_{ε} , $\Omega''_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$, both with the cone property, such that

$$\|u_{x}\|_{L^{p}_{s-1}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{p}_{s-2}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{2,p}_{s}(\Omega)} + c'(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega'_{\varepsilon})},$$

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}u_{x_{i}} + a'u\right\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{2,p}_{s}(\Omega)} + c''(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega''_{\varepsilon})},$$

$$(4.19)$$

where $c'(\varepsilon)$, Ω'_{ε} depend on ε , Ω , n, p, ρ , s, and $c''(\varepsilon)$, Ω''_{ε} depend on ε , Ω , n, p, t_1 , t_2 , ρ , s, $\sigma_{\circ}[a_i]$, and $\sigma_{\circ}[a']$.

From (4.18) and (4.19) it follows (4.6) and then we have the result.

5. A uniqueness result

In this section, we will prove our uniqueness theorem. We begin to prove a regularity result.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that the assumptions (h_1) , (h_2) , and (h_3) (with $t_1 > n$ and $t_2 > n/2$) hold, and let u be a solution of the problem

$$u \in W^{2,q}_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,q}_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^p_m(\Omega),$$

$$Lu \in L^p_s(\Omega),$$
(5.1)

where $q \in [1, p]$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, u belongs to $W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. By [13, Lemma 4.1] we have

$$u \in W_{\rm loc}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{\rm loc}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}).$$
(5.2)

We choose $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with r < r' < 1, and a function $\phi \in C^{\infty}_{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that

$$\begin{split} \phi_{|B_r} &= 1, \qquad \operatorname{supp} \phi \subset B_{r'}, \\ \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \phi \right| &\leq c_{\alpha} (r' - r)^{-|\alpha|} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n_0, \end{split}$$
(5.3)

where $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on α .

We fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and put

$$\psi = \psi_y : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{\rho_1(y)}\right).$$
(5.4)

Clearly we have

$$\begin{split} \psi_{|B(y,r\rho_{1}(y))} &= 1, \qquad \sup \psi \in B(y,r'\rho_{1}(y)), \\ \sup_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \psi \right| &\leq c_{\alpha} \rho_{1}^{-|\alpha|}(y) \left(r' - r \right)^{-|\alpha|} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}. \end{split}$$
(5.5)

Since $\psi u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{\circ}(\Omega)$, from [14, Theorem 3.3] it follows that there exist $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_1 \subset \subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$\|\psi u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \le c_1 \left(\|L(\psi u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\psi u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)} \right), \tag{5.6}$$

with c_1 and Ω_1 depending on $n, p, \Omega, v, \mu, g_0, a''_0, t, t_1, t_2, ||a_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||e_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||a''||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0}a_{ij}], \sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x], \sigma_{\circ}[a_i], \sigma_{\circ}[a'], \text{ where } r_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ depends on } n, p, \Omega, \mu, g_0, a''_0, t, ||e_{ij}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||g''||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x].$

Since

$$L(\psi u) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(\psi u)_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(\psi u)_{x_i} + a\psi u$$

= $\psi L u - 2\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(\psi_{x_i} u)_{x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\psi_{x_i x_j} u + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\psi_{x_i} u,$ (5.7)

from (5.6) and (5.7), we have

 $\|\psi u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}$

$$\leq c_{2} \left(\left\| \psi L u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| \left(\psi_{x_{i}} u \right)_{x_{j}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\| \psi_{x_{i}x_{j}} u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| a_{i} \psi_{x_{i}} u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \left\| \psi u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega_{1})} \right),$$

$$(5.8)$$

with c_2 dependent on the same parameters of c_1 .

From Lemma 3.1 with s = 0, we have that

$$\|a_{i}\psi_{x_{i}}u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c_{3}\|a_{i}\|_{M^{t_{1}}(\Omega)}(\|\psi_{x_{i}}u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \|(\psi_{x_{i}}u)_{x}\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}),$$
(5.9)

with c_3 dependent on Ω , n, p, and t_1 .

Using [22, Corollary 4.5], we can obtain the following interpolation estimate:

$$\left\| \psi_{x_{i}} u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + \left\| (\psi_{x_{i}} u)_{x_{j}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c_{4} \left(\left\| (\psi_{x_{i}} u)_{x_{x}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \left\| \psi_{x_{i}} u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{1/2} + \left\| \psi_{x_{i}} u \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \right),$$
(5.10)

where the constant c_4 depends on Ω , n, p.

Thus, by (5.8)–(5.10), with easy computations, we deduce the bound:

 $\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_r(y))} \le \|\varphi u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \le c_5(r'-r)^{-2}$

$$\times \left(\|Lu\|_{L^{p}(I_{r'}(y))} + \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{r'}(y))}^{1/2} \left(\rho_{1}^{-1}(y)\|u\|_{L^{p}(I_{r'}(y))}\right)^{1/2} + \rho_{1}^{-1}(y)\|u\|_{L^{p}(I_{r'}(y))}\right),$$
(5.11)

where $c_5 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on $n, p, \rho, \Omega, \nu, \mu, g_0, a_0'', t, t_1, t_2, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \|a''\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0}a_{ij}], \sigma_{\circ}[(e_{ij})_x], \|a_i\|_{M^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \sigma_{\circ}[a_i], \sigma_{\circ}[a'].$

By a well-known lemma of monotonicity of Miranda (see [23, Lemma 3.1]), it follows from (5.11) that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{1/2}(y))} \le c_6 \big(\|Lu\|_{L^p(I_1(y))} + \rho_1^{-1}(y)\|u\|_{L^p(I_1(y))} + \big(\rho_1^{-1}(y)\|u\|_{L^p(I_1(y))}\big)^{1/2}\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{1/2}(y))}^{1/2}\big),$$
(5.12)

and then, using Young's inequality, we deduce from (5.12) that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{1/2}(y))} \le c_7 \big(\|Lu\|_{L^p(I_1(y))} + \rho_1^{-1}(y)\|u\|_{L^p(I_1(y))}\big), \tag{5.13}$$

with $c_6, c_7 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ dependent on the same parameters of c_5 .

From (5.13) it follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{p_{s-n}}(y) \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(I_{1/2}(y))}^{p} dy$$

$$\leq c_{8} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{p_{s-n}}(y) \|Lu\|_{L^{p}(I_{1}(y))}^{p} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{1}^{p_{s-n-p}}(y) \|u\|_{L^{p}(I_{1}(y))}^{p} dy \right),$$
(5.14)

where $c_8 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on the same parameters of c_7 .

If $m \ge s - 1$, since

$$L^p_m(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p_{s-1}(\Omega),$$
 (5.15)

from (5.14) and from Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}_{s}(\Omega)} \le c_{9} \left(\|Lu\|_{L^{p}_{s}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{p}_{s-1}(\Omega)}\right), \tag{5.16}$$

with $c_9 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ dependent on the same parameters of c_8 and on *s*. Therefore, *u* belongs to $W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

If m < s - 1, we denote by *k* the positive integer, such that

$$s - m - 1 \le k < s - m. \tag{5.17}$$

Then, for i = 1, ..., k, we have that

$$L^p_s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p_{m+i}(\Omega).$$
 (5.18)

Therefore, using (5.14) and (5.16) with m + i, i = 1, ..., k, instead of s, we deduce that $u \in W^{2,p}_{m+1}(\Omega), ..., u \in W^{2,p}_{m+k}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, we have that

$$W^{2,p}_{m+k}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p_{s-1}(\Omega) \tag{5.19}$$

and then, since $u \in L^p_{s-1}(\Omega)$, (5.14) holds. Thus, *u* satisfies (5.16) and then $u \in W^{2,p}_s(\Omega)$.

Theorem 5.2. *If conditions* (h_1) , (h_2) , and (h_3) (with $t_1 > n$ and $t_2 > n/2$) hold, and $a \ge a_0 > 0$ a.e. *in* Ω , *then the problem*

$$u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad Lu = 0,$$
(5.20)

admits only the zero solution.

Proof. Fix $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$, such that Lu = 0. From Lemma 5.1 it follows that $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, since $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{loc}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$, from Lemma 2.2 we have that $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, from [13, Theorem 5.2] we deduce that u = 0.

References

- C. Miranda, "Sulle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale, a coefficienti discontinui," Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 353–386, 1963.
- [2] A. Alvino and G. Trombetti, "Second order elliptic equations whose coefficients have their first derivatives weakly-Lⁿ," Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 331–340, 1984.
- [3] M. Chicco, "Dirichlet problem for a class of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations with discontinuous coefficients," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 13–22, 1972.
 [4] M. Franciosi and N. Fusco, "W^{2,p} regularity for the solutions of elliptic nondivergence form equations
- [4] M. Franciosi and N. Fusco, "W^{2,p} regularity for the solutions of elliptic nondivergence form equations with rough coefficients," *Ricerche di Matematica*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 93–106, 1989.
- [5] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, and P. Longo, "Interior W^{2,p} estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients," *Ricerche di Matematica*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 149–168, 1991.
- [6] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, and P. Longo, "W^{2,p}-solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 336, no. 2, pp. 841–853, 1993.
- [7] C. Vitanza, "W^{2,p}-regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients," *Le Matematiche*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 177–186, 1992.
 [8] C. Vitanza, "A new contribution to the W^{2,p} regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations
- [8] C. Vitanza, "A new contribution to the W^{2,p} regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients," *Le Matematiche*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 287–296, 1993.
- [9] M. Transirico and M. Troisi, "Equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale in aperti non limitati," Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, vol. 152, pp. 209–226, 1988.
- [10] M. Transirico and M. Troisi, "Ulteriori contributi allo studio delle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine in aperti non limitati," *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana. Serie VII. B*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 679–691, 1990.

- [11] L. Caso, P. Cavaliere, and M. Transirico, "Existence results for elliptic equations," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 274, no. 2, pp. 554–563, 2002.
- [12] L. Caso, P. Cavaliere, and M. Transirico, "Solvability of the Dirichlet problem in W^{2,p} for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains," *Le Matematiche*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 287–302, 2002.
- [13] L. Caso, P. Cavaliere, and M. Transirico, "Uniqueness results for elliptic equations VMO-coefficients," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 499–512, 2004.
- [14] L. Caso, P. Cavaliere, and M. Transirico, "An existence result for elliptic equations with VMOcoefficients," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 2, pp. 1095–1102, 2007.
- [15] P. Di Gironimo and M. Transirico, "Second order elliptic equations in weighted Sobolev spaces on unbounded domains," *Rendiconti della Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL. Serie V. Memorie di Matematica*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 163–176, 1991.
- [16] L. Caso and M. Transirico, "Some remarks on a class of weight functions," Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 469–477, 1996.
- [17] M. Troisi, "Su una classe di funzioni peso," Rendiconti della Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL. Serie V. Memorie di Matematica, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 141–152, 1986.
- [18] M. Troisi, "Su una classe di spazi di Sobolev con peso," Rendiconti della Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL. Serie V. Memorie di Matematica, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 177–189, 1986.
- [19] M. Transirico, M. Troisi, and A. Vitolo, "Spaces of Morrey type and elliptic equations in divergence form on unbounded domains," *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana. Serie VII. B*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 153–174, 1995.
- [20] M. Transirico, M. Troisi, and A. Vitolo, "BMO spaces on domains of \mathbb{R}^n ," *Ricerche di Matematica*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 355–378, 1996.
- [21] A. V. Glushak, M. Transirico, and M. Troisi, "Teoremi di immersione ed equazioni ellittiche in aperti non limitati," *Rendiconti di Matematica e delle sue Applicazioni*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 113–130, 1989.
- [22] S. Boccia and L. Caso, "Interpolation inequalities in weighted Sobolev spaces," to appear in *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*.
- [23] C. Miranda, "Teoremi di unicità in domini non limitati e teoremi di Liouville per le soluzioni dei problemi al contorno relativi alle equazioni ellittiche," Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 189–212, 1962.