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## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a locally compact (Hausdorff) semigroup such that its multiplication is separately continuous. We denote by $m(S)$ the Banach algebra under the supremum norm of all bounded real-valued functions on $S$. For a topological semigroup $S$, let $\mathrm{BM}(S)$ and $\mathrm{CB}(S)$ be the closed subalgebras of $m(S)$ consisting of all Borel measurable functions and all continuous functions on $S$, respectively. Let $C_{0}(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathrm{CB}(S)$ consisting of the functions which vanish at infinity. Let $M(S)$ be the Banach space of all bounded regular Borel (signed) measures on $S$ with a total variation norm. Let $M_{0}(S)=\{\mu \in M(S): \mu \geq 0$ and $\|\mu\|=1\}$ be the set of all probability measures in $M(S)$.

It is known that $M(S) \simeq C_{0}(S)^{*}$ via the correspondence $\mu \rightarrow \bar{\mu}$, where $\bar{\mu}(f)=\int f d \mu$ for any $f$ in $C_{0}(S)$ [1, Section 14]. Consider the continuous dual $M(S)^{*}$ of $M(S)$. An element $M$ in $M(S)^{* *}$ is called a mean on $M(S)$, if $M(1)=1$ and $M(F) \geq 0$, whenever $F \geq 0$. An equivalent definition for a mean is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{F(\mu): \mu \in M_{0}(S)\right\} \leq M(F) \leq \sup \left\{F(\mu): \mu \in M_{0}(S)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$. We also note that $M \in M(S)^{* *}$ is a mean if and only if $\|M\|=M(1)=1$. Each probability measure $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ is a mean on $M(S)^{* *}$ if we put $\mu(F)=F(\mu)$, for any $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$. An application of Hahn-Banach separation theorem shows that $M_{0}(S)$ is weak* dense in the set of all means on $M(S)^{*}$.

Under point-wise operations and the supremum norm, $C_{0}(S)$ becomes a Banach algebra. Arens product can thus be defined in $C_{0}(S)^{* *}$. In particular, we have the following defining formulas for any $f, g$ in $C_{0}(S), m$ in $C_{0}(S)^{*}$, and $\theta, \phi$ in $C_{0}(S)^{* *}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (m \odot f)(g)=m(f g), \\
& (\phi \odot m)(f)=\phi(m \odot f),  \tag{1.2}\\
& (\theta \odot \phi)(m)=\theta(\phi \odot m) .
\end{align*}
$$

This product induces a multiplication in $M(S)^{*}$ via the identification $M(S) \cong C_{0}(S)^{*}$. Since $M(S)$ is a set of measures beside being the continuous dual of $C_{0}(S)$, this multiplication in $M(S)^{*}$ is richer in content than just a generic Arens product in the second dual of a Banach algebra, and it is different from the point-wise multiplication in $C_{0}(S)$.

For $F, G$ in $M(S)^{*}$, we denote the multiplication of $F$ and $G$ by $F \times G$. In [2], it is shown that $F \times G$ is defined via the following three steps.
(a) For any $\mu \in M(S)$ and $f \in C_{0}(S)$, the measure $\mu_{f} \in M(S)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int g d \mu_{f}=\int g f d \mu, \quad \forall g \in C_{0}(S) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For any $\mu \in M(S)$ and $F \in M(S)^{*}$, the measure $F \times \mu \in M(S)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f d(F \times \mu)=F\left(\mu_{f}\right), \quad \forall f \in C_{0}(S) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) For any $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$, the functional $F \times G \in M(S)^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F \times G)(\mu)=F(G \times \mu), \quad \forall \mu \in M(S) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can conclude that $M(S)^{*}$ becomes a commutative Banach algebra with an identity [2].
For the topological semigroup $S$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{s} f\right)(t)=f(t s), \quad\left(l_{s} f\right)(t)=f(s t), \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in S, t \in S$, and $f \in m(S)$. Hence, $r_{s}$ and $l_{s}$ are the operators defined on $m(S)$ onto $m(S)$. A subset $X$ of $m(S)$ is called a left (right) translation invariant, if $l_{s} X \subseteq X\left(r_{s} X \subseteq X\right)$ for all $s \in S$. It is well known that both $\mathrm{BM}(S)$ and $\mathrm{CB}(S)$ are left and right translation invariants [1]. Let $S$ be a topological semigroup, which for each compact subset $E$ of $S$ and $a \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{-1} E=\{s \in S: a s \in E\}, \quad\left(E a^{-1}=\{s \in S: s a \in E\}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact. Then, $C_{0}(S)$ is a left (right) translation invariant.

Let $X$ be a left (right) translation invariant subspace of $m(S)$ containing the constant function 1. A mean $m$ on $X$ is called a left (right) invariant, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(l_{s} f\right)=m(f) \quad\left(m\left(r_{s} f\right)=m(f)\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $s \in S$ and $f \in X$ [1]. If $m(S)$ has a left invariant mean, then $S$ is said to be left amenable [3]. If $m(S)$ has a multiplicative left-invariant mean, then $S$ is said to be extremely left amenable (see $[4,5]$ for more details).

Suppose that $S$ is a locally compact semigroup, then for $\mu, \nu \in M(S)$, the convolution $\mu * v$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f d \mu * v=\iint f(s t) d \mu(s) d v(t)=\iint f(s t) d v(t) d \mu(s) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C_{0}(S)$. Hence, $M(S)$ with a convolution $\mu * v$ as multiplication is a Banach algebra.
Now, for $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M(S)$, the linear functional $l_{\mu}: M(S)^{*} \rightarrow M(S)^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(l_{\mu} F\right)(v)=F(\mu * v), \quad \forall v \in M(S) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $l_{\mu} F$ by $\mu \odot F$. Similarly, the $r_{\mu} F=F \odot \mu$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{\mu} F\right)(v)=(F \odot \mu)(v)=F(v * \mu), \quad \forall v \in M(S) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ is called left invariant (LIM) if $M\left(l_{\varepsilon_{a}} F\right)=M\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot F\right)=M(F)$ for all $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and for all $a \in S$. Also, a mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ is called topological left invariant (TLIM) if $M\left(l_{\mu} F\right)=M(\mu \odot F)=M(F)$ for all $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and for all $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$. A topological left invariant mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ is called a multiplicative topological left-invariant mean (MTLIM) if $M(F \times G)=M(F) M(G)$ for all $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$. If there is an MTLIM on $M(S)^{*}$, we say that $S$ is extremely topological left amenable (ETLA). For results concerning ETLA and ELA semigroups, see $[1,6]$.

In this paper, we demonstrate that the Arens product and multiplication on $M(S)^{*}$ defined by (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) are associative (see Lemma 2.4). In [7], it is proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F \times G) \odot \mu=(F \odot \mu) \times(G \odot \mu) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, for all $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$ and $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$. This means that Arens product $\odot$ distributes over multiplication $\times$ on $M(S)^{*}$ from right, for all $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$ and $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$. Note that the multiplication in $M(S)^{*}$ is different from the point-wise multiplication in $C_{0}(S)$. We show that Arens product $\odot$ distributes over multiplication $\times$ on $M(S)^{*}$ from left, when $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$, and $\mu$ is a Dirac measure (see Lemma 2.2(ii)). Also, it is shown that if $S$ is a locally compact semigroup with a right identity and Arens product $\odot$ distributes from left over multiplication $\times$, then $\mu$ must be a Dirac measure (see Theorem 3.1). In the rest of this paper, we give some characterizations on ETLA of locally compact semigroups.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we offer some results which are useful in the sequel. For more details, refer to $[1,2,8]$. Let $|\mu|$ be the total variation of $\mu$, where $\mu \in M(S)$ and $L_{\infty}(S,|\mu|)=\operatorname{BM}(S) / N(\mu)$ are the quotient Banach algebra with a quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mu, \infty} ; N(\mu)$ is the closed ideal of $\operatorname{BM}(S)$ consisting of all locally $|\mu|$-null functions. Consider the product linear space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod\left\{L_{\infty}(S,|\mu|): \mu \in M(S)\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An element $f=\left\{f_{\mu}\right\}_{\mu \in M(S)}$ is called a generalized function on $S$, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $\|f\|=\sup \left\{\left\|f_{\mu}\right\|_{\mu, \infty}: \mu \in M(S)\right\}<\infty$,
(b) for $\mu, \nu$ in $M(S)$ with $\mu \ll \nu$, we have $f_{\mu}=f_{\nu}|\mu|$-a.e.

Let $G L(S)$ be the linear space of all generalized functions on $S$. It is known that GL(S) is a Banach space with the norm defined by the formula (a) and that $G L(S) \cong M(S)^{*}$ via the isometric Banach space isomorphism, $\varphi: \operatorname{GL}(S) \rightarrow M(S)^{*}$, where $(\varphi f)(\mu)=\int f_{\mu} d \mu$ for any $\mu$ in $M(S)$ and $f$ in $\operatorname{GL}(S)$ (see $[8,9]$ ). A function $f$ in $\operatorname{BM}(S)$ can be treated as an element in $\mathrm{GL}(S)$ with $f_{\mu}=f$ for all $\mu$ in $M(S)$. The space $\operatorname{BM}(S)$ is thus a subspace of $\mathrm{GL}(S)$.

For $f \in \operatorname{BM}(S), \mu \in M(S)$, and $v \in M(S)$, we define $f \odot \mu$ and $\mu \odot f$ in $L_{\infty}(S,|v|)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f \odot \mu(s)=\int f(s t) d \mu(t)=\int l_{s} f d \mu \quad|v| \text {-a.e. }  \tag{2.2}\\
& \mu \odot f(s)=\int f(t s) d \mu(t)=\int r_{s} f d \mu \quad|v| \text {-a.e. }
\end{align*}
$$

It is shown that $f \odot \mu, \mu \odot f \in \mathrm{GL}(S)$ [8]. If $f \in \mathrm{CB}(S)$, then the above equalities hold everywhere, and $f \odot \mu$ and $\mu \odot f$ are in $\mathrm{CB}(S)$. Also, if $f \in \mathrm{BM}(S)$ and $a \in S$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& f \odot \varepsilon_{a}(s)=\int f(s t) d \varepsilon_{a}(t)=f(s a)=\left(r_{a} f\right)(s)  \tag{2.3}\\
& \varepsilon_{a} \odot f(s)=\int f(t s) d \varepsilon_{a}(t)=f(a s)=\left(l_{a} f\right)(s) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $f \odot \varepsilon_{a}$ and $\varepsilon_{a} \odot f$ belong to $\operatorname{BM}(S)$.
Lemma 2.1. The map $\varphi: G L(S) \rightarrow M(S)^{*}$ defined by $(\varphi f)(\mu)=\int f_{\mu} d \mu$ for any $\mu$ in $M(S)$ and $f$ in $G L(S)$ satisfies the following statements.
(i) For any $f \in B M(S)$ and $\mu \in M(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mu \odot f)=\mu \odot \varphi f, \quad \varphi(f \odot \mu)=\varphi f \odot \mu \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For any $a \in S$ and $f \in B M(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(l_{a} f\right)=\varepsilon_{a} \odot \varphi f, \quad \varphi\left(r_{a} f\right)=\varphi f \odot \varepsilon_{a} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $(\mu \odot f)_{v}=\mu \odot f_{\mu * v}$ for any $v \in M(S)$ [8]. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(\mu \odot f)(v) & =\int(\mu \odot f)_{v} d v \\
& =\int\left(\mu \odot f_{\mu * v}\right)(s) d v(s) \\
& =\iint f_{\mu * v}(t s) d \mu(t) d v(s)  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\int f_{\mu * v} d \mu * v \\
& =\varphi f(\mu * v) \\
& =(\mu \odot \varphi f)(v) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\varphi(\mu \odot f)=\mu \odot \varphi f$. Similarly, $\varphi(f \odot \mu)=\varphi f \odot \mu$. This proves (i). From (i) and (2.4), part of (ii) is trivial.

Lemma 2.2. For each $a \in S$ and $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$, we have
(i) $(F \times G) \odot \varepsilon_{a}=\left(F \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)$,
(ii) $\varepsilon_{a} \odot(F \times G)=\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot F\right) \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right)$.

Proof. (i) For each $\mu \in M(S)$, from (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left((F \times G) \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)(\mu) & =r_{\varepsilon_{a}}(F \times G)(\mu) \\
& =(F \times G)\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& =F\left(G \times\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

But, for $g \in C_{0}(S)$, from (1.4) and (1.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int g d\left(G \times\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)\right) & =G\left(\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)_{g}\right) \quad(\text { by }(1.4)) \\
& =G\left(\mu_{r_{a} g} * \varepsilon_{a}\right) \\
& =\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)\left(\mu_{r_{a} g}\right) \\
& =\int\left(r_{a} g\right)(y) d\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right)(y) \quad(\text { by }(1.4))  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\int g(y a) d\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right)(y) \\
& =\iint g(y x) d\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right)(y) d \varepsilon_{a}(x) \quad(\text { by }(1.9)) \\
& =\int g d\left(\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right) * \varepsilon_{a}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by the Riesz representation theorem, $G \times\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)=\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right) * \varepsilon_{a}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left((F \times G) \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)(\mu) & =F\left(G \times\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)\right) \\
& =F\left(\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right) * \varepsilon_{a}\right) \\
& =\left(F \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)\left(\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times \mu\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\left(\left(F \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)\right)(\mu)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $(F \times G) \odot \varepsilon_{a}=\left(F \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right)$.
(ii) For each $\mu \in M(S)$, equality (2.4) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot(F \times G)\right) & =l_{\varepsilon_{a}}(F \times G)(\mu) \\
& =(F \times G)\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& =F\left(G \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for $g \in C_{0}(S)$, from (1.4) and (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int g d\left(G \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)\right) & =G\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)_{g}\right) \\
& =G\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu_{l_{a g}}\right) \\
& =\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right)\left(\mu_{l_{a g}}\right) \\
& =\int\left(l_{a} g\right)(y) d\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right)(y)  \tag{2.12}\\
& =\int g(a y) d\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right)(y) \\
& =\iint g(x y) d \varepsilon_{a}(x) d\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right)(y) \\
& =\int g d\left(\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right) * \varepsilon_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by the Riesz representation theorem, $G \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)=\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right) * \varepsilon_{a}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot(F \times G)\right)(\mu) & =F\left(G \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)\right) \\
& =F\left(\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right) * \varepsilon_{a}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot F\right)\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right) \times \mu\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot F\right) \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right)\right)(\mu)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\varepsilon_{a} \odot(F \times G)=\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot F\right) \times\left(\varepsilon_{a} \odot G\right)$.

Remarks 2.3. (a) In the proof of Lemma 2.2, we use the equalities $\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)_{g}=\mu_{r_{a g}} * \varepsilon_{a}$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)_{g}=\varepsilon_{a} * \mu_{l_{a} g}$. For $f \in C_{0}(S)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int f d\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)_{g} & =\int g f d\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right) \quad(\text { by }(1.3)) \\
& =\iint(g f)(x y) d \mu(x) d \varepsilon_{a}(y) \quad(\text { by }(1.9)) \\
& =\int(g f)(x a) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int g(x a) f(x a) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int\left(r_{a} g\right)\left(r_{a} f\right) d \mu \quad(\text { by }(1.6))  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\int\left(r_{a} f\right)(x) d \mu_{r_{a} g}(x) \quad(\text { by }(1.3)) \\
& =\int f(x a) d \mu_{r_{a} g}(x) \quad(\text { by } \quad(1.9)) \\
& =\iint f(x y) d \mu_{r_{a} g}(x) d \varepsilon_{a}(y) \quad(\text { by }(1.6)) \\
& =\int f d\left(\mu_{r_{a}} * \varepsilon_{a}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by the Riesz representation theorem, $\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{a}\right)_{g}=\mu_{r_{a} g} * \varepsilon_{a}$. Similarly, $\left(\varepsilon_{a} * \mu\right)_{g}=\varepsilon_{a} * \mu_{l_{a} g}$.
(b) The statement (i) of Lemma 2.2 has a general form as replacing a Dirac measure by $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$ [7]. It is natural to ask for which $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$, the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \odot(F \times G)=(\mu \odot F) \times(\mu \odot G), \quad \forall F, G \in M(S)^{*} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid?
Now, we demonstrate that the multiplication on $M(S)^{*}$ defined by (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) is associative.

Lemma 2.4. The multiplication $\times$ defined by (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) on $M(S)^{*}$ is associative.
Proof. We know that the Arens product $\odot$ is associative [3, Lemma 1, page 527]. Let $\pi$ : $C_{0}(S)^{*} \rightarrow M(S)$ be isometric order-preserving linear space isomorphism in [1, Theorem 14.10, page 170], namely, for any $m \in C_{0}(S)^{*}$ and $f \in C_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f d \pi(m)=m(f) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $f, g \in C_{0}(S)^{*}, m \in C_{0}(S)^{*}$, and $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$, then (1.3) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int g d \pi(m)_{f} & =\int f g d \pi(m) \\
& =m(f g)  \tag{2.17}\\
& =(m \odot f)(g) \\
& =\int g d \pi(m \odot f) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\pi(m)_{f}=\pi(m \odot f)$. Also, from (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int f d(F \times \pi(m)) & =F\left(\pi(m)_{f}\right) \\
& =F(\pi(m \odot f)) \\
& =\pi^{*}(F)(m \odot f)  \tag{2.18}\\
& =\left(\pi^{*}(F) \odot m\right)(f) \\
& =\int f d \pi\left(\pi^{*}(F) \odot m\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $F \times \pi(m)=\pi\left(\pi^{*}(F) \odot m\right)$. Also, from (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\pi^{*}(F \times G)\right)(m) & =(F \times G)(\pi(m)) \\
& =F(G \times \pi(m)) \\
& =F\left(\pi\left(\pi^{*}(G) \odot m\right)\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
& =\left(\pi^{*}(F)\right)\left(\pi^{*}(G) \odot m\right) \\
& =\left(\pi^{*}(F) \odot \pi^{*}(G)\right)(m) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\pi^{*}(F \times G)=\pi^{*}(F) \odot \pi^{*}(G)$. Now, for any $F, G, H \in M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{*}((F \times G) \times H) & =\pi^{*}\left((F \times G) \odot \pi^{*}(H)\right) \\
& =\left(\pi^{*}(F) \odot \pi^{*}(G)\right) \odot \pi^{*}(H) \\
& =\pi^{*}(F) \odot\left(\pi^{*}(G) \odot \pi^{*}(H)\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\pi^{*}(F) \odot\left(\pi^{*}(G \times H)\right) \\
& =\pi^{*}(F \times(G \times H)) .
\end{align*}
$$

So, $(F \times G) \times H=F \times(G \times H)$, and thus the multiplication of $\times$ is associative.
Remark 2.5. We note that one can go through a process analogous to Day's proof [3] and establish the associativity of $\times$ via the demonstration of the following identities one by one.
(i) For any $\mu \in M(S)$ and $f, g \in C_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{f}\right)_{g}=\mu_{f g} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For any $F \in M(S)^{*}, \mu \in M(S)$ and $f \in C_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \times\left(\mu_{f}\right)=(F \times \mu)_{f} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For any $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F \times G) \times \mu=F \times(G \times \mu) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) For any $F, G, H \in M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \times(G \times H)=(F \times G) \times H . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proofs of (i), (ii), and (iii) use the Riesz representation theorem and the relations (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5). The proof of (iv) follows from (iii) using definition.

## 3. Main results

Each probability measure $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ is a mean on $M(S)^{*}$, if we put $\mu(F)=F(\mu)$ for any $F$ in $X$. We give a partial answer to the question: For which $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$, is the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \odot(F \times G)=(\mu \odot F) \times(\mu \odot G), \quad \forall F, G \in M(S)^{*} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid?
Let $f \in \mathrm{GL}(S)$, from the isometric Banach space isomorphism $\varphi: \operatorname{GL}(S) \rightarrow M(S)^{*}$, we have $\varphi f=F$ which is in $M(S)^{*}$, where $F(\mu)=(\varphi f)(\mu)=\int f_{\mu} d \mu$, for any $\mu$ in $M(S)$. For $\mu \in M(S)$ and $g \in C_{0}(S)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int g d(F \times \mu) & =F\left(\mu_{g}\right) \\
& =(\varphi f)\left(\mu_{g}\right) \\
& =\int f_{\mu_{g}} d \mu_{g} \\
& =\int f_{\mu} d \mu_{g}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\int f_{\mu} g d \mu \\
& =\int g d \mu_{f_{\mu}}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $F \times \mu=\varphi f \times \mu=\mu_{f_{\mu}}$. In particular, $\varphi f \times \mu \ll \mu$ and so $1 \times \mu_{1}=\mu_{1}=\mu$. In view of (1.4), if $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M(S)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F \times \mu)(S)=\int d(F \times \mu)=F\left(\mu_{1}\right)=F(\mu) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $F \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F \times \mu\|=(F \times \mu)(S)=F(\mu) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $\|G\| 1-G \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\|G\| 1-G) \times \mu \geq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, $G \times \mu \leq\|G\| 1 \times \mu=\|G\| \mu$ whenever $\mu \geq 0$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $S$ be a locally compact semigroup with a right identity and that $0<\mu \in M(S)$. If $\mu \odot(F \times G)=(\mu \odot F) \times(\mu \odot G)$ for any $F, G \in \varphi\left(C_{0}(S)\right)$, then $\mu$ is a Dirac measure.

Proof. For $f, g \in C_{0}(S)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(\mu \odot(f g)) & =\mu \odot \varphi(f g) \quad(\text { by Lemma 2.1(i) }) \\
& =\mu \odot(\varphi f \times \varphi g) \\
& =(\mu \odot \varphi f) \times(\mu \odot \varphi g)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\varphi(\mu \odot f) \times \varphi(\mu \odot g) \quad \text { (by Lemma 2.1(i) }) \\
& =\varphi((\mu \odot f)(\mu \odot g))
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, for any $f, g \in C_{0}(S)$, from (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \odot(f g)=(\mu \odot f)(\mu \odot g) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $e_{r}$ be a right identity of $S$, that is, $s e_{r}=s$ for any $s \in S$, then for any $f \in C_{0}(S)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu \odot f)\left(e_{r}\right)=\int f\left(t e_{r}\right) d \mu(t)=\int f(t) d \mu(t) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for each $f, g \in C_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int f g d \mu & =(\mu \odot(f g))\left(e_{r}\right) \\
& =(\mu \odot f)\left(e_{r}\right)(\mu \odot g)\left(e_{r}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\left(\int f d \mu\right)\left(\int g d \mu\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In (3.9), we put $f=g$, then for any $f \in C_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f^{2} d \mu=\left(\int f d \mu\right)^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so for each $f, g \in C_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int f g d \mu\right)^{2} & =\left[\left(\int f d \mu\right)\left(\int g d \mu\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left(\int f d \mu\right)^{2}\left(\int g d \mu\right)^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\left(\int f^{2} d \mu\right)\left(\int g^{2} d \mu\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and by Holder's inequality, there exist real numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$, not being zero, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha f^{2}=\beta g^{2} \quad \text { a.e. }(\mu) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $A$ and $B$ are the disjoint compact subsets of $S$ with $\mu(A)>0$ and $\mu(B)>0$, by the Urysohn's lemma, there exist $f$ and $g$ in $C_{00}(S)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A)=0=g(B), \quad f(B)=1=g(A) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from (3.12) and $\mu(A)>0$, there is $x_{0} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha f\left(x_{0}\right)^{2}=\beta g\left(x_{0}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $0=\beta g\left(x_{0}\right)^{2}=\beta$. Also, $\mu(B)>0$ follows that there is $y_{0} \in B$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha f\left(y_{0}\right)^{2}=\beta g\left(y_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $0=\alpha f\left(y_{0}\right)^{2}=\alpha$. This contradicts the fact that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not both zero. Hence, if $A$ is a compact subset of $S$ with $\mu(A)>0$ and $B$ is another compact subset of $S$ disjointed from $A$, then we must have $\mu(B)=0$. Therefore, $\mu\left(A^{c}\right)=0$, that is, $\mu(A)=\mu(S)$. This proves that if $A$ is a compact subset $S$, then either $\mu=0$ or $\mu(A)=\mu(S)$.

Now, the regularity of $\mu$ follows that for each Borel subset $B$ of $S, \mu(B)=0$ or $\mu(B)=$ $\mu(S)$. Hence, either $\mu=0$ or the measure $\mu / \mu(S)$ is a Dirac measure, say $\mu / \mu(S)=\varepsilon_{a}$ [2].

Now, put $\mu=\mu(S) \varepsilon_{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(S)=\int d \mu=\int 1^{2} d \mu=\left(\int 1 d \mu\right)^{2}=\left(\int d \mu\right)^{2}=\mu(S)^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\mu(S)=1$. Thus, $\mu=\varepsilon_{a}$.

Remark 3.2. If $S$ is a discrete semigroup, then $C_{0}(S)^{*}=\ell_{1}(S)$, and so $M_{0}(S)^{*}=C_{0}(S)^{* *}=$ $\ell_{1}(S)^{*}=m(S)$ [1]. In this case, the multiplication on $M(S)^{*}$ is just the point-wise multiplication as in $m(S)$. Let $e$ be the right identity of $S$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mu \odot(F \times G))\left(\varepsilon_{e}\right) & =(F \times G)\left(\mu * \varepsilon_{e}\right) \\
& =(F \times G)(\mu) \\
& =\mu(F \times G),  \tag{3.17}\\
((\mu \odot F) \times(\mu \odot G))\left(\varepsilon_{e}\right) & =(\mu \odot F)\left(\varepsilon_{e}\right)(\mu \odot G)\left(\varepsilon_{e}\right) \\
& =\mu(F) \mu(G),
\end{align*}
$$

since both $\mu \odot F$ and $\mu \odot G$ are in $M(S)^{*}=m(S)$. Hence, $\mu \in M(S)$ is multiplicative, if the condition of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. Therefore, $\mu$ must be either 0 or a Dirac measure. But, when $S$ is a topological semigroup, the multiplication in $M(S)^{*}$ defined by (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) is just a generic Arens product in the second dual of a Banach algebra, which is different from the point-wise multiplication.

It is known that $M_{0}(S)$ is weak* dense in the set of all means on $M(S)^{*}$. We give some characterizations theorems for the extreme amenability of locally compact semigroup.

Lemma 3.3. Let $M(S)^{*}$ be TLA. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $M(S)^{*}$ is ETLA,
(ii) for every $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$, there exists a mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ such that $M(F \times$ $F)=M(\mu \odot F)^{2}$,
(iii) for every $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$, there exists a mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ such that $M(F \times$ $F)=M(F) M(\mu \odot F)$,
(iv) for every $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$, there exists a mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ such that $M(\mu \odot$ $(F \times F))=M(F)^{2}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) are obvious.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$. For $F+G$ by (iv), there exists a mean $M$ on $M(S)^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\mu \odot((F+G) \times(F+G))=M(F+G)^{2}\right)=M(F)^{2}+2 M(F) M(G)+M(G)^{2}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to expand the right-hand side, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\mu \odot(F \times G))=M(F) M(G) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M(S)^{*}$ is topological left invariant, hence, $M(F \times G)=M(F) M(G)$. Therefore, $M(S)^{*}$ is ETLA.

Theorem 3.4. Let $M$ be a topological left invariant mean on $M(S)^{*}$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $M$ is a multiplicative,
(ii) there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $G$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right)=0 . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $M$ be a multiplicative topological left invariant mean on $M(S)^{*}$. By Lemma 3.3, for any $F \in M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\mu \odot(F \times F))=M(F)^{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F, G \in M(S)^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\mu \odot((F+G) \times(F+G)))=M(F+G)^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\mu \odot(F \times F+2 F \times G+G \times G))=(M(F)+M(G))^{2} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\mu \odot(F \times F))+2 M(\mu \odot(F \times G))+M(\mu(G \times G))=M(F)^{2}+M(G)^{2}+2 M(F) M(G) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\mu \odot(F \times G))=M(F) M(G) . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we apply the commutativity of $\times$ in $M(S)^{*}$. Since $M$ is a mean on $M(S)^{*}$ and $M_{0}(S)$ is weak* dense in the set of all means on $M(S)^{*}$, hence, there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that $M=w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}$ in $M(S)^{* *}$. Now for $F \in M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} F\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right) & =w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(\mu_{\alpha}(\mu \odot(F \times G))-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}(F)\right) \\
& =M(\mu \odot(F \times G))-M(F) M(G)  \tag{3.26}\\
& =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right)\right)=0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $M$ is a topological left invariant mean on $M(S)^{*}$, there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that $M=w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}$ in $M(S)^{* *}$. If $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
M(F \times G)-M(F) M(G) & =M(\mu \odot(F \times G))-M(F) M(G) \\
& =w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(\mu \odot(F \times G))-M(G)\left(w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(F)\right) \\
& =w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left((F \times G)\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) F\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)\right) \\
& =w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(F\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)\right)-F\left(M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right)\right)  \tag{3.29}\\
& =w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} F\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right) \\
& =F\left(w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(G \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(G) \mu_{\alpha}\right)\right) \\
& =F(0) \\
& =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $M(F \times G)=M(F) M(G)$, that is, $M(S)^{*}$ is extremely topological left amenable (ETLA).

Lemma 3.5. If $M$ is a multiplicative topological left invariant mean on $M(S)^{*}$, then there is a net $\left\{\mu_{\beta}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider $M(S)$ with the norm topology. Let $D=M(S)^{M(S)^{*} \times M_{0}(S)}$ with the product of the norm topologies, where $M(S)^{*} \times M_{0}(S)$ is the set theoretic cartesian product. Then, $D$ is a locally convex topological vector space [10]. Now, by Theorem 3.4 corresponding to $M$, there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(F) \mu_{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a linear map P : $M(S) \rightarrow P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(v)(F, \mu)=F \times(\mu * v)-M(F) v \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(F, \mu) \in M(S)^{*} \times M_{0}(S)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \mathbf{P}\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)(F, \mu)=0 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\mathbf{P}\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in the product of weak topologies [10]. Therefore, 0 lies in the weak closure of the convex set $\mathbf{P}\left(M_{0}(S)\right)$, and so is in the closure of $\mathbf{P}\left(M_{0}(S)\right)$ in the original topology of $D$. So, there is a net $\left\{\mu_{\beta}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for all $(F, \mu) \in M(S)^{*} \times M_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|\mathbf{P}\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)(F, \mu)\right\|=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|=0, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Theorem 3.6. Let $S$ be a locally compact semigroup. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $M(S)^{*}$ is extremely topological left amenable,
(ii) there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\beta}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|\mu * \mu_{\beta}-\mu_{\beta}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{\beta}\left\|F \times \mu_{\beta}-F\left(\mu_{\beta}\right) \mu_{\beta}\right\|=0 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\gamma}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\gamma}\left(\mu * \mu_{\gamma}-\mu_{\gamma}\right)=0  \tag{3.37}\\
w^{*}-\lim _{r}\left(F \times \mu_{r}-F\left(\mu_{\gamma}\right) \mu_{r}\right)=0 . \tag{3.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $M$ be a multiplicative left invariant mean on $M(S)^{*}$. Theorem 3.4 implies that there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha}\left(F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\alpha}\right)-M(F) \mu_{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.5, there exists a net $\left\{\mu_{\beta}\right\}$ in $M_{0}(S)$ such that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|=0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without the loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu_{\beta} \rightarrow M_{1} \sigma\left(M(S)^{* *}, M(S)^{*}\right)$ for some mean $M_{1}$ in $M(S)^{* *}$. Therefore, for any $F, G$ in $M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}(\mu \odot(G \times F))-M(F) M_{1}(G) & =\lim _{\beta}\left\{(\mu \odot(G \times F))\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)-M(F) M_{1}(G)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{\beta}\left[G\left(F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)\right)-G\left(M(F) \mu_{\beta}\right)\right]  \tag{3.41}\\
& =\lim _{\beta} G\left(F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M(F) \mu_{\beta}\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

In (3.41), we put $F=1=G$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{1}(\mu \odot(1 \times 1))=M(1) M_{1}(1) \\
M_{1}(\mu \odot 1)=M(1) M_{1}(1)  \tag{3.42}\\
M(1)=1
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, for $F=1$ and $G$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(\mu \odot G)=M_{1}(G), \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $G=1$ and $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(\mu \odot F)=M_{1}(F) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for any $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(F)=M_{1}(\mu \odot F)=M_{1}(F) \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (3.30) for $F=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|\mu * \mu_{\beta}-\mu_{\beta}\right\|=0 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $F$ in $M(S)^{*}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(F)=M_{1}(F), \quad F\left(\mu_{\beta}\right) \longrightarrow M(F), \quad\left\|\mu_{\beta}\right\| \leq 1 \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (3.30) that for any $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta}\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M_{1}(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|=0 \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now fix an arbitrary $\mu \in M_{0}(S)$. This together with (3.46) implies that there exists a $\beta_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\mu * \mu_{\beta}-\mu_{\beta}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3(\|F\|+1)}, \quad \forall \beta \geq \beta_{0}  \tag{3.49}\\
\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M_{1}(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)-M_{1}(F)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\| F & \times \mu_{\beta}-F\left(\mu_{\beta}\right) \mu_{\beta} \| \\
& \leq\left\|F \times \mu_{\beta}-F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)\right\|+\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M_{1}(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|+\left\|M_{1}(F) \mu_{\beta}-F\left(\mu_{\beta}\right) \mu_{\beta}\right\| \\
& \leq\|F\|\left\|\mu_{*} \mu_{\beta}-\mu_{\beta}\right\|+\left\|F \times\left(\mu * \mu_{\beta}\right)-M_{1}(F) \mu_{\beta}\right\|\left\|\mu_{\beta}\right\|\left|M_{1}(F)-F\left(\mu_{\beta}\right)\right|  \tag{3.51}\\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\
& =\varepsilon, \quad \forall \beta \succeq \beta_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $M_{0}(S)$ is weak* dense in the set of all means on $M(S)^{*}$, by passing to a subnet if necessary, we may assume that $\mu_{r} \rightarrow M$ weakly* in $M(S)^{* *}$ for some mean $M$. Thus, the assertion of (3.37) implies that $M$ is a topological left invariant mean. Also, (3.38) implies that $M$ is multiplicative because for any $F, G$ in $M(S)^{*}$ and $\mu$ in $M_{0}(S)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
M(G \times F)-M(G) M(F) & =w^{*}-\lim _{r}\left\{(G \times F)\left(\mu_{\gamma}\right)-G\left(\mu_{\gamma}\right) F\left(\mu_{\gamma}\right)\right\} \\
& =w^{*}-\lim _{\gamma}\left\{G\left(F \times \mu_{\gamma}\right)-G\left(F\left(\mu_{\gamma}\right) \mu_{\gamma}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.52}\\
& =w^{*}-\lim _{\gamma} G\left(F \times \mu_{r}-F\left(\mu_{\gamma}\right) \mu_{\gamma}\right) \\
& =G(0)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $M(G \times F)=M(G) M(F)$, that is, $M(S)^{*}$ is extremely left amenable.
Remark 3.7. The conclusions of Theorem 3.6 are different from the classical characterizations of extremely left amenable discrete semigroups [4, Theorem 2]. This difference in the two situations is that any multiplicative mean on $m(S)$ is the weak* limit of evaluation functionals, while taking weak ${ }^{*}$ limits of all convergent nets of Dirac measures in $M(S)^{*}$ does not exhaust all multiplicative means on $M(S)^{*}$ [2, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 3.8. Let $S$ be a locally compact semigroup. Define a function $\mathrm{T}: M(S)^{*} \rightarrow m(S)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{T}(F))(a)=F \odot \varepsilon_{a}, \quad \forall a \in S \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,
(i) T is bounded and linear,
(ii) $\mathrm{T}(1)=1$,
(iii) $\mathrm{T}(F) \geq 0$ whenever $F \geq 0$,
(iv) $\mathbf{T}(F \times G)=\mathbf{T}(F) \mathbf{T}(G)$ for all $F$ and $G$ in $M(S)^{*}$,
(v) $l_{b}(\mathbf{T}(F))=\mathbf{T}\left(\varepsilon_{b} \odot F\right)$ for all $b \in S$ and $F \in M(S)^{*}$.

Proof. (i), (ii), and (iii) are obvious.
(iv) For any $a \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathbf{T}(F \times G))(a) & =(F \times G) \odot \varepsilon_{a} \\
& =\left(F \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \times\left(G \odot \varepsilon_{a}\right) \quad \text { (by Lemma 2.2(i)) } \\
& =\mathbf{T}(F)(a) \times \mathbf{T}(G)(a)  \tag{3.54}\\
& =(\mathbf{T}(F) \times \mathbf{T}(G))(a) \\
& =(\mathbf{T}(F) \mathbf{T}(G))(a)
\end{align*}
$$

In the final equality, we use the fact that multiplication in $m(S)$ is a point-wise multiplication, see Remark 3.2 of Theorem 3.1.
(v) Let $a \in S$ and $F \in M(S)^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{b}(\mathbf{T}(F))(a) & =(\mathbf{T}(F))(b a)=F \odot \varepsilon_{b a} \\
& =F\left(\varepsilon_{b} * \varepsilon_{a}\right)=\left(\varepsilon_{b} \odot F\right)\left(\varepsilon_{a}\right)  \tag{3.55}\\
& =\left(\mathbf{T}\left(\varepsilon_{b} \odot F\right)\right)(a) .
\end{align*}
$$

So, $l_{b}(\mathrm{~T}(F))=\mathrm{T}\left(\varepsilon_{b} \odot F\right)$.
Remark 3.9. From Theorem 3.8, it follows that the map $\mathbf{T}^{*}: m(S)^{*} \rightarrow M(S)^{* *}$ carries means to means, multiplicative means to multiplicative means, left invariant means to left invariant means, and multiplicative left invariant means to multiplicative left invariant means. But $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ does not carry a type of means in $m(S)^{*}$ onto the same type of means in $M(S)^{* *}$. Indeed, if $M$ is a multiplicative topological left invariant mean which is not weak* limit of all convergent nets of Dirac measures in $M(S)^{*}$, then $M$ does not belong to $\mathrm{T}^{*}(\mathcal{M})$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the set of all means on $m(S)$.
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