

Research Article

On Sectional Curvatures of (ϵ) -Sasakian Manifolds

Rakesh Kumar, Rachna Rani, and R. K. Nagaich

Received 24 May 2007; Accepted 2 November 2007

Recommended by Mircea-Eugen Craioveanu

We obtain some basic results for Riemannian curvature tensor of (ϵ) -Sasakian manifolds and then establish equivalent relations among ϕ -sectional curvature, totally real sectional curvature, and totally real bisectional curvature for (ϵ) -Sasakian manifolds.

Copyright © 2007 Rakesh Kumar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

The index of a metric plays significant roles in differential geometry as it generates variety of vector fields such as space-like, time-like, and light-like fields. With the help of these vector fields, we establish interesting properties on (ϵ) -Sasakian manifolds, which was introduced by Bejancu and Duggal [1] and further investigated by Xufeng and Xiaoli [2]. Since Sasakian manifolds with indefinite metrics play crucial roles in physics [3], hence the study of these manifolds becomes the central theme in present scenario. Here the next section is concerned with the basic results of Riemannian curvature tensor of (ϵ) -Sasakian manifolds. In Section 3, these results will be used to obtain the equivalent relations among ϕ -sectional curvature, totally real sectional curvature, and totally real bisectional curvature. In [1], authors defined the (ϵ) -Sasakian manifold as follows.

Let M be a real $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact structure (ϕ, η, ξ) , where ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, η is a 1-form, and ξ is a vector field on M satisfying

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1. \tag{1.1}$$

It follows that

$$\eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \text{rank } \phi = 2n; \tag{1.2}$$

then M is called an almost contact manifold. If there exists a semi-Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \epsilon \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(X), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$, then (ϕ, η, ξ, g) is called an (ϵ) almost contact metric structure and M is known as an (ϵ) almost contact manifold.

For an (ϵ) almost contact manifold we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(X) &= \epsilon g(X, \xi) \quad \forall X \in \chi(X), \\ \epsilon &= g(\xi, \xi), \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

hence ξ is never a light-like vector field on M , and according to the casual character of ξ , we have two classes of (ϵ) -Sasakian manifolds. When $\epsilon = -1$ and the index of g is an odd number ($\nu = 2s + 1$), then M is a time-like Sasakian manifold and M is a space-like Sasakian manifold when $\epsilon = -1$ and $\nu = 2s$. For $\epsilon = 1$ and $\nu = 0$, we obtain usual Sasakian manifold and for $\epsilon = 1$ and $\nu = 1$, M is a Lorentz-Sasakian manifold.

If $d\eta(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$, then M is said to have (ϵ) -contact metric structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) . If, moreover, this structure is normal, that is, if

$$[\phi X, \phi Y] + \phi^2[X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] = -2d\eta(X, Y)\xi, \tag{1.5}$$

then the (ϵ) -contact metric structure is called an (ϵ) -Sasakian structure, and manifold endowed with this structure is called an (ϵ) -Sasakian manifold.

Now, let σ be a plane section in tangent space $T_p(M)$ at a point p of M , and let it be spanned by vectors X and Y , then the sectional curvature of σ is given by

$$K(X, Y) = \frac{R(X, Y, X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}. \tag{1.6}$$

A plane $\{X, Y\}$, where X and Y are orthonormal to ξ and satisfy $\phi(\{X, Y\}) \perp \{X, Y\}$, is called totally real section, and sectional curvature associated with this section is called a totally real sectional curvature. The totally real bisectional curvature $B(X, Y)$ is defined as

$$B(X, Y) = R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y), \tag{1.7}$$

where $\eta(X) = \eta(Y) = g(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) = 0$.

A plane section $\{X, \phi X\}$, where X is orthonormal to ξ , is called ϕ -section, and the curvature associated with this is called ϕ -sectional curvature which is denoted by $H(X)$, where

$$H(X) = K(X, \phi X) = R(X, \phi X, X, \phi X). \tag{1.8}$$

If a Sasakian manifold M has constant ϕ -sectional curvature c , then it is called a Sasakian space form and denoted by $M^{2n+1}(c)$.

2. Riemannian curvature tensor

THEOREM 2.1 [1]. *An (ϵ) almost contact metric structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) is (ϵ) -Sasakian if and only if*

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \epsilon\eta(Y)X, \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M), \tag{2.1}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g . Also one has

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\epsilon\phi X, \quad \forall X \in \chi(M). \tag{2.2}$$

For an (ϵ) -Sasakian manifold, using (2.1) we have

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \tag{2.3}$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor on M , and also from above we have

$$R(X, \xi)Y = -\epsilon g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X. \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$R(X, Y)\phi Z = \phi R(X, Y)Z + \epsilon \{g(Z, \phi X)Y - g(Z, \phi Y)X + g(X, Z)\phi Y - g(Y, Z)\phi X\}. \tag{2.5}$$

And by using (2.5), we obtain the following set of equations:

$$R(X, Y)Z = -\phi R(X, Y)\phi Z + \epsilon \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X\}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)\phi Z, \phi W) &= g(R(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &+ \epsilon \{g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\ &- g(\phi Z, X)g(\phi W, Y) + g(\phi Z, Y)g(\phi W, X)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) &= g(R(X, Y)Z, W) + \eta(W)\eta(Y)g(X, Z) \\ &- \eta(W)\eta(X)g(Y, Z) + \eta(Z)\eta(X)g(Y, W) \\ &- \eta(Z)\eta(Y)g(X, W). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now, we can write (2.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)\phi Z, W) &= g(\phi R(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &+ \epsilon \{g(Z, \phi X)g(Y, W) - g(Z, \phi Y)g(X, W) \\ &+ g(X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(\phi, W)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

or

$$g(R(X, Y)\phi Z, W) = g(\phi R(X, Y)Z, W) - \epsilon P(X, Y; Z, W), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(X, Y; Z, W) &= g(Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) - g(\phi X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(\phi Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(\phi Y, W). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Clearly $P(X, Y; Z, W) = -P(Z, W; X, Y)$, and if $\{X, Y\}$ is an orthonormal pair orthogonal to ξ , and if we set $g(\phi X, Y) = \cos \theta, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, then

$$P(X, Y; X, \phi Y) = -\sin^2 \theta. \quad (2.12)$$

If we put $D(X) = Q(X, \phi X)$ for any vector X orthogonal to ξ and $Q(X, Y) = g(R(X, Y)Y, X)$ for any vectors X and Y , then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *For any vectors X and Y orthogonal to ξ , one obtains*

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{32} \{3D(X + \phi Y) + 3D(X - \phi Y) - D(X + Y) \\ &\quad - D(X - Y) - 4D(X) - 4D(Y) - 24\epsilon P(X, Y; X, \phi Y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. For X, Y orthogonal to ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(X + Y) + D(X - Y) &= 2\{D(X) + D(Y) + 2R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y) \\ &\quad + 2R(X, \phi Y, Y, \phi X) + R(X, \phi Y, X, \phi Y) + R(Y, \phi X, Y, \phi X)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and using (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi X, \phi Y) &= R(X, Y, X, Y), \\ R(X, \phi Y, X, \phi Y) &= R(Y, \phi X, Y, \phi X). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Substituting (2.15) in (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(X + Y) + D(X - Y) &= 2\{D(X) + D(Y) + 2R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y) \\ &\quad + 2R(X, \phi Y, Y, \phi X) + 2Q(X, \phi Y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Replacing Y by ϕY in (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(X + \phi Y) + D(X - \phi Y) &= 2\{D(X) + D(Y) - 2R(X, \phi X, \phi Y, Y) \\ &\quad - 2R(X, Y, \phi Y, \phi X) + 2Q(X, Y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 3D(X + \phi Y) + 3D(X - \phi Y) - D(X + Y) - D(X - Y) - 4D(X) - 4D(Y) \\ &= 12Q(X, Y) - 4Q(X, \phi Y) + 8R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y) + 12R(X, Y, \phi X, \phi Y) \\ & \quad + R(X, \phi Y, \phi X, Y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Replacing W by ϕX and Z by Y in (2.9), we have

$$R(X, Y, \phi X, \phi Y) = R(X, Y, X, Y) + \epsilon P(X, Y; X, \phi Y). \quad (2.19)$$

Again replacing Y by ϕY , W by Y , and Z by X in (2.9), we have

$$R(X, \phi Y, Y, \phi X) = R(X, \phi Y, X, \phi Y) + \epsilon P(X, Y; X, \phi Y). \quad (2.20)$$

By using Bianchi's first identity (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y) = Q(X, Y) + Q(X, \phi Y) + 24\epsilon P(X, Y; X, \phi Y). \quad (2.21)$$

Thus using the last four equations, we have the result. \square

Now, it should be noted that $D(X) = H(X)$ if and only if X is a unit vector, and $Q(X, Y) = K(X, Y)$ if and only if $\{X, Y\}$ is an orthonormal pair. Then, as an application of lemma, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\{X, Y\}$ be an orthonormal pair of the tangent space of an (ϵ) -Sasakian manifold M orthogonal to ξ . If one puts $g(X, \phi Y) = \cos\theta, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y) = & \frac{1}{8} \left\{ 3(1 + \cos\theta)^2 H\left(\frac{X + \phi Y}{|X + \phi Y|}\right) \right. \\ & + 3(1 - \cos\theta)^2 H\left(\frac{X - \phi Y}{|X - \phi Y|}\right) - H\left(\frac{X + Y}{|X + Y|}\right) \\ & \left. - H\left(\frac{X - Y}{|X - Y|}\right) - H(X) - H(Y) + 6\epsilon \sin^2\theta \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma (2.2).

Since the ϕ -sectional curvature determines the curvature of a Sasakian manifold, then it can be easily verified that if the ϕ -sectional curvature $H(X)$ is independent of the choice of a vector X at any point and has value c , then c is constant on M and the curvature tensor

R of (ϵ) -Sasakian manifold satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y, Z, W) = & \frac{(c + 3\epsilon)}{4} \{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\} \\
 & + \frac{(c - \epsilon)}{4} \{ \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W) \\
 & \quad + \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y, Z) \\
 & \quad + g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) - g(\phi X, Z)g(\phi Y, W) \\
 & \quad + 2g(X, \phi Y)g(\phi Z, W) \}. \tag{2.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, our next aim of this paper is as follows.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \eta, \xi)$ be an (ϵ) -Sasakian manifold of dimension ≥ 7 , then the following relations are equivalent.*

- (i) M has constant ϕ -sectional curvature c ; that is, $H(X)$ is constant.
- (ii) M has constant totally real sectional curvature; that is, for any totally real section $\{X, Y\}$, $K(X, Y)$ is constant.
- (iii) M has constant totally real bisectional curvature; that is, $B(X, Y)$ is constant.

3. Proof of the main Theorem 2.4

In the proof, we assume that $X, Y,$ and Z are unit vector fields.

If $H(X)$ is constant and equal to c , then for a totally real section $\{X, Y\}$, (2.23) gives $K(X, Y) = -(c + 3\epsilon)/4$ and $B(X, Y) = -(c + 7\epsilon)/2$; this gives (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (i) \Rightarrow (iii) respectively.

Now, let $\{X, Y\}$ be a totally real section, then $\{(X + Y)/\sqrt{2}, (-\phi X + \phi Y)/\sqrt{2}\}$ is also a totally real section, and assume that M has constant totally real sectional curvature (say k); then

$$K\left(\frac{X + Y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-\phi X + \phi Y}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = k; \tag{3.1}$$

this gives

$$4k = H(X) + H(Y) + K(X, \phi Y) + K(Y, \phi X) - 4R(X, \phi Y, Y, \phi X) - 2R(X, Y, \phi X, \phi Y), \tag{3.2}$$

or

$$H(X) + H(Y) = 8k + 6. \tag{3.3}$$

Since the dimension of M is $(2n + 1), n = 3$, therefore there exists a unit vector Z orthonormal to $\{X, Y\}$ such that

$$H(X) + H(Z) = 8k + 6. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, using (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that

$$H(X) = H(Y). \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, we have (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

Next, we prove that (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Since

$$B(X, Y) = R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\eta(X) = \eta(Y) = g(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) = 0$, then using (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$B(X, Y) = K(X, Y) + K(X, \phi Y) - 2\epsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, let M have constant totally real bisectional curvature (say t), then

$$K(X, Y) + K(X, \phi Y) = t + 2\epsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

Also $\{(X + Y)/\sqrt{2}, (-\phi X + \phi Y)/\sqrt{2}\}$ is a totally real section for a totally real section $\{X, Y\}$ then

$$B\left(\frac{X + Y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-\phi X + \phi Y}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = t; \quad (3.9)$$

this gives

$$H(X) + H(Y) + 2R(X, \phi X, Y, \phi Y) - 4R(X, \phi Y, X, \phi Y) = 4t - 2\epsilon, \quad (3.10)$$

or

$$H(X) + H(Y) - 4K(X, \phi Y) = 2t - 2\epsilon. \quad (3.11)$$

Replacing Y by ϕY , we get

$$H(X) + H(Y) - 4K(X, Y) = 2t - 2\epsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

Using (3.8) in addition to (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$H(X) + H(Y) = 4t + 2\epsilon. \quad (3.13)$$

Since there can exist a unit vector Z orthogonal to $\{X, Y\}$, then

$$H(X) + H(Z) = 4t + 2\epsilon. \quad (3.14)$$

Using (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$H(X) = H(Y). \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, the result is given.

References

- [1] A. Bejancu and K. L. Duggal, "Real hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 545–556, 1993.
- [2] X. Xufeng and C. Xiaoli, "Two theorems on ϵ -Sasakian manifolds," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 249–254, 1998.
- [3] K. L. Duggal, "Space time manifolds and contact structures," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 545–553, 1990.

Rakesh Kumar: Department of Mathematics, University College of Engineering,
Punjabi University Patiala 147002, India
Email address: dr_rk37c@yahoo.co.in

Rachna Rani: Department of Mathematics, Punjabi University, Patiala 147002, India
Email address: rachna.ucoe@yahoo.co.in

R. K. Nagaich: Department of Mathematics, Punjabi University, Patiala 147002, India
Email address: dr_nagaich@yahoo.co.in