

A NONCOMMUTATIVE GENERALIZATION OF AUSLANDER'S LAST THEOREM

EDGAR E. ENOCHS, OVERTOUN M. G. JENDA, AND J. A. LÓPEZ-RAMOS

Received 26 July 2004

We show that every finitely generated left R -module in the Auslander class over an n -perfect ring R having a dualizing module and admitting a Matlis dualizing module has a Gorenstein projective cover.

In 1966 [1], Auslander introduced a class of finitely generated modules having a certain complete resolution by projective modules. Then using these modules, he defined the G-dimension (G ostensibly for Gorenstein) of finitely generated modules. It seems appropriate then to call the modules of G-dimension 0 the Gorenstein projective modules. In [4], Gorenstein projective modules (whether finitely generated or not) were defined. In the same paper, the dual notion of a Gorenstein projective module was defined and so a relative theory of Gorenstein modules was initiated (cf. [2, 5] and references therein). In [12], Grothendieck introduced the notion of a dualizing complex. A dualizing module for R is one whose deleted injective resolution is a dualizing complex. Then a local Noetherian ring R is Gorenstein if and only if R is itself a dualizing module for R . In this case, Auslander announced the result that over such a ring, every finitely generated module has a finitely generated Gorenstein projective cover (or equivalently, a minimal maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximation). In [9], this result was generalized to the situation where R is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring having a dualizing module. More recently, in [13], Jørgensen has shown the existence of Gorenstein projective precovers for every module over a commutative Noetherian ring with a dualizing complex. Using Christensen [3], we here introduce the notion of a dualizing bimodule associated with a pair of Noetherian rings (but not necessarily commutative ones). In [6], it was shown that in this situation, every module in the Auslander class defined by the pair of rings admits a Gorenstein projective precover. Now we give examples where the dualizing bimodule has a double structure over the same noncommutative Noetherian ring and that in this case, if the ring also admits a Matlis dualizing module, (cf. [8] or [10]), we particularize the result to the existence of a stronger approximation, that is, every finitely generated module in the Auslander class has a finitely generated Gorenstein projective cover.

Given a class of R -modules \mathcal{F} , an \mathcal{F} -precover of a left R -module M is a morphism $F \xrightarrow{f} M$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and such that if $F' \xrightarrow{f'} M$ is a morphism with $F' \in \mathcal{F}$, then there is

a morphism $F' \xrightarrow{g} F$ such that $\varphi g = f$. If whenever $F = F'$ and $f = \varphi$, then g is always an automorphism, and we say that $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ is an \mathcal{F} -cover. \mathcal{F} -preenvelopes and \mathcal{F} -envelopes are defined dually.

A left R -module M is said to be Gorenstein projective if there is an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow \dots \tag{1}$$

of projective left R -modules which remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(-, P)$ is applied to it for every projective module P and such that $M = \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$. Gorenstein injectives are defined dually (cf. [5]).

Definition 1 [6, Definition 2.1]. Let R be a right and left Noetherian ring and let ${}_R V_R$ be an $R - R$ -bimodule such that $\text{End}({}_R V) = R$ and $\text{End}(V_R) = R$. Then V is said to be a dualizing module if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) $\text{id}({}_R V) \leq r$ and $\text{id}(V_R) \leq r$ for some integer r ,
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i({}_R V, {}_R V) = \text{Ext}_R^i(V_R, V_R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$,
- (iii) ${}_R V$ and V_R are finitely generated.

The preceding definition is given in [6] for a bimodule ${}_S V_R$, where S and R are left and right Noetherian rings, respectively, but through this paper, we will consider the case $S = R$.

Examples. If R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of Krull dimension d admitting a dualizing module Ω (see [7]), then Ω is a dualizing module in this sense.

If R is an n -Gorenstein ring (cf. [5, Definition 9.1.9]), then ${}_R R$ is a dualizing module.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ be a strongly graded ring over a finite group G , right and left Noetherian and let ${}_{R_e} V_{R_e}$ be a dualizing module (for $R_e, e \in G$ is the neutral element in G). Then $W = R \otimes_{R_e} V \otimes_{R_e} R$ is a dualizing module (for R).

Let R be right and left Noetherian and let ${}_R V_R$ be a dualizing module. Then ${}_{R[[x]]} V[[x]]_{R[[x]]}$ is a dualizing module.

In [11], the authors defined Auslander and Bass classes of modules over a Cohen-Macaulay ring admitting a dualizing module. We now use the bimodule V to introduce the corresponding classes in a noncommutative setting.

Definition 2. Let R be right and left Noetherian and let ${}_R V_R$ be a dualizing module. Define the left Auslander class $\mathcal{A}^l(R)$ (relative to V) as those left R -modules M such that $\text{Tor}_i^R(V, M) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(V, V \otimes_R M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and such that the natural morphism $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, V \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism. The right Auslander class $\mathcal{A}^r(R)$ is the class of right R -modules M such that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, V) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(V, M \otimes_R V) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and such that the natural morphism $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, M \otimes_R V)$ is an isomorphism.

The left Bass class $\mathcal{B}^l(R)$ (relative to V) is defined as those left R -modules N such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(V, N) = 0$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(V, \text{Hom}_R(V, N)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and such that the natural morphism $V \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(V, N) \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism. The right Bass class $\mathcal{B}^r(R)$ is defined as those right R -modules N such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(V, N) = 0$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(V, N), V) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and such that the natural morphism $\text{Hom}_R(V, N) \otimes_R V \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism.

We recall the following definition from [8].

Definition 3. A ring R has a Matlis dualizing module if there is an (R, R) -bimodule E such that ${}_R E$ and E_R are both injective cogenerators and such that the canonical maps $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R({}_R E_R, {}_R E_R)$ and $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E_R, E_R)$ are both bijections. E will be called a Matlis dualizing module for R .

Several examples of Matlis dualizing modules are given in [8]. We now give some additional examples.

Examples. If R is left and right Noetherian having a Matlis dualizing module E , then $E[x^{-1}]$ is a Matlis dualizing module for $R[[x]]$.

If R is a strongly graded ring over a finite group, right and left Noetherian, and ${}_{R_e} E_{R_e}$ is a dualizing module (for R_e), then $W = R \otimes_{R_e} E \otimes_{R_e} R$ is a dualizing module (for R).

In what follows, R will always be a right and left Noetherian ring and if E is a Matlis dualizing module for R , we will denote $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ for $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ or $M \in \text{Mod-}R$.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let R be a ring and let V and E be a dualizing module and a Matlis dualizing module for R , respectively. If $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ is finitely generated, then $M \in \mathcal{A}^l(R)$ if and only if $M^\vee \in \mathcal{B}^r(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $M \in \mathcal{A}^l(R)$. Since $\text{Tor}_i^R(V, M) = 0$, then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(V, M^\vee) \cong (\text{Tor}_i^R(V, M))^\vee = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, $(\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee), V))^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(V, (\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee))^\vee)$. But $(\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee))^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(V, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)), E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(V \otimes_R M, E), E) \cong (V \otimes_R M)^{\vee\vee}$ and since $V \otimes_R M$ is finitely generated, $(V \otimes_R M)^{\vee\vee} \cong V \otimes_R M$ (cf. [8]), and so we get that

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(V, (\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee))^\vee) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(V, V \otimes_R M) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

Therefore, $\text{Tor}_i(\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee), V) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Finally, by hypothesis $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(V, V \otimes_R M)$ and so $\text{Hom}_R(V, V \otimes_R M)^\vee \cong M^\vee$ is an isomorphism. We also know that $\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee) \otimes_R V \cong (V \otimes_R M)^\vee \otimes_R V$. Therefore, we only have to show that $(V \otimes_R M)^\vee \otimes_R V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, V \otimes_R M)^\vee$ is an isomorphism to get that $\text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee) \otimes_R V \cong M^\vee$.

The functors $(V \otimes_R M)^\vee \otimes_R -$ and $\text{Hom}_R(-, V \otimes_R M)^\vee$ are both right exact and the natural morphism

$$(V \otimes_R M)^\vee \otimes_R R^n \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^n, V \otimes_R M)^\vee \tag{4}$$

is an isomorphism, and so the morphism is also an isomorphism for finitely generated modules, in particular for V .

Conversely, let now $N = M^\vee$ and suppose that $N \in \mathcal{B}^r(R)$. Since M is finitely generated, we get that $N^\vee \cong M$. Now $\text{Tor}_i^R(V, M)^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(V, M^\vee) = \text{Ext}_R^i(V, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and so $\text{Tor}_i^R(V, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Moreover, $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(V, N), V) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and so

$$0 = \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(V, N), V)^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(V, \text{Hom}_R(V, N)^\vee). \tag{5}$$

But $\text{Hom}_R(V, N)^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(V, M^\vee)^\vee \cong (V \otimes_R M)^{\vee\vee} \cong V \otimes_R M$, and therefore $\text{Ext}_R^i(V, V \otimes_R M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. It only remains to show that $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, V \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism.

Since $N^\vee \in \mathcal{B}^r(R)$, then $\text{Hom}_R(V, N) \otimes_R V \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism, and therefore

$$N^\vee \cong (\text{Hom}_R(V, N) \otimes_R V)^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_R(V, \text{Hom}_R(V, N)^\vee). \tag{6}$$

Then consider the natural transformation

$$- \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(N, E) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-, N), E). \tag{7}$$

This gives an isomorphism for R^n and since both functors are right exact, it follows that $V \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(N, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(V, N), E)$ and so

$$M = N^\vee \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(V, \text{Hom}_R(V, N)^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_R(V, V \otimes_R M) \tag{8}$$

is an isomorphism. □

We now recall from [6] that a ring R is said to be *left (right) n -perfect* if every left (right) flat R -module has projective dimension less than or equal to n .

Left perfect rings, commutative Noetherian rings of finite Krull dimension, the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(g)$ of a Lie algebra of dimension n , and n -Gorenstein rings are all examples of left n -perfect rings. Also, if R is left n -perfect, then $R[x]$, $R[[x]]$, the crossed product $R * \mathcal{U}(g)$, and the Weyl algebra $A_k(R)$ are left k -perfect for some k (cf. [6]).

PROPOSITION 5. *Let R be a right and left n -perfect ring, let V and E be a dualizing module of finite left and right injective dimension r and a Matlis dualizing module for R , respectively, and let $G \in R\text{-Mod}$ be finitely generated. Then G is Gorenstein projective if and only if G^\vee is Gorenstein injective.*

Proof. If G is Gorenstein projective, by [5, Proposition 10.2.6], there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow P_{r+n} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0, \tag{9}$$

where every P_i is a finitely generated projective. Now since G and P_i , $i = 0, \dots, r + n$, are in $\mathcal{A}^l(R)$ by [6, Proposition 2.3], then $M \in \mathcal{A}^l(R)$ by [6, Proposition 2.7]. Now, P_i^\vee is injective for every $i = 0, \dots, r + n$ and by the preceding proposition $M^\vee \in \mathcal{B}^r(R)$, so by [6, Theorem 2.11], G^\vee is Gorenstein injective.

Conversely, let G^\vee be Gorenstein injective. Since G is finitely generated, there exists a flat preenvelope $G \rightarrow F$ which factors via a finitely generated free module R^k , so we can assume that F is finitely generated free. But then, since $R^\vee = E$, we get that $E^n \rightarrow G^\vee$ is an injective precover, and so the injective cover of G^\vee is Artinian. Then there is an exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}R$,

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow E_{r-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow G^\vee \longrightarrow 0, \tag{10}$$

where every E_i is Artinian and injective. Then $N \in \mathcal{B}^r(R)$ since G^\vee and so are $E_i, i = 0, \dots, r - 1$. In this way, we see that

$$0 \longrightarrow G^{\vee\vee} = G \longrightarrow E_0^\vee \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E_{r-1}^\vee \longrightarrow N^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \tag{11}$$

is exact with $N^\vee \in \mathcal{A}^1(R)$ and E_i^\vee is projective for every $i = 0, \dots, r - 1$ and therefore by [6, Theorem 2.14], G is Gorenstein projective. \square

The following result appears in [6] but we include a proof here for completeness.

THEOREM 6. *Let R be a left n -perfect ring and ${}_R V_R$ a dualizing module for R such that $\text{id}({}_R V), \text{id}(V_R) \leq r$. If $M \in \mathcal{A}^1(R)$, then it has a Gorenstein projective precover $G \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0$ such that $\text{pd}(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \leq r - 1$.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a (partial) projective resolution of M . Then, by [6, Lemma 2.12], C is Gorenstein projective. Now let

$$\cdots P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{12}$$

be an exact sequence of projective modules such that $C = \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ and it remains exact whenever $\text{Hom}_R(-, P)$ is applied for every projective P . We consider

$$0 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^{r-1} \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 0 \tag{13}$$

exact. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & P^0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P^{r-1} & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & F_{r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{14}$$

The associated complex to this diagram (i.e., the mapping complex)

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow C \oplus P^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \oplus D \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{15}$$

is exact and has as a subcomplex the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$. Then quotient complex

$$0 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \oplus D \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{16}$$

is exact and all of its terms are projective except perhaps $F_0 \oplus D$. Now if $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F_0 \oplus D \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact with $\text{pd}(L) < \infty$, then $\text{pd}(L) \leq r - 1$. Since $F_0 \oplus D$ is Gorenstein projective and $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, L) = 0$ for every Gorenstein projective X , it follows that $F_0 \oplus D \rightarrow M$ is the desired precover. \square

Given a class \mathcal{C} of R -modules, we let ${}^\perp C$ be the class of R -modules F such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. We let C^\perp be the class of R -modules F such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, F) = 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. A pair of classes of R -modules $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called a *cotorsion theory* if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$. A cotorsion theory is said to be *complete* if for every R -module M ,

there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ such that $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$, or equivalently if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$, which is equivalent to say that every R -module has a special \mathcal{F} -precover and a special \mathcal{C} -preenvelope (cf. [5]). A cotorsion theory is said to be *perfect* if every R -module has an \mathcal{F} -cover and a \mathcal{C} -envelope.

Now since R is left Noetherian, then $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ is left balanced by $\text{Inj} \times \text{Inj}$ on $R\text{-Mod} \times R\text{-Mod}$, and therefore we can compute left derived functors of $\text{Hom}_R(-, -)$ using left injective resolutions in the second variable constructed with injective covers or right injective resolutions in the first one (cf. [5, Example 8.3.5]). We will denote them by $\text{Ext}_i(-, -)$ $i \geq 0$ and $\overline{\text{Ext}}^0(M, N)$, and $\overline{\text{Ext}}_0(M, N)$ will denote the cokernel and the kernel of the natural morphism

$$\text{Ext}_0^R(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N). \tag{17}$$

THEOREM 7. *Let R be a right n -perfect ring and let ${}_R V_R$ be a dualizing module for R such that $\text{id}({}_R V), \text{id}(V_R) \leq r$. If \mathcal{L} and GorInj denote the classes of right R -modules of finite injective dimension and Gorenstein injective, then $(\mathcal{L}, \text{GorInj})$ is a perfect cotorsion theory of $\mathcal{B}^r(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $L \in \mathcal{B}^r(R) \cap {}^\perp \text{GorInj}$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(L, G) = 0$ for every G Gorenstein injective. Now if G is Gorenstein injective, then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ with E_0 injective and G' Gorenstein injective. By [5, Theorem 8.2.7], $\overline{\text{Ext}}_R^0(L, G) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(L, G') = 0$ for every Gorenstein injective G . Analogously, $\text{Ext}_R^1(L, G) \cong \overline{\text{Ext}}_0^R(L, G) = 0$ and by induction, $\text{Ext}_i^R(L, G) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and for every Gorenstein injective G .

Now let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{r+n} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a (partial) injective resolution of L . By [6, Lemma 2.9], C is Gorenstein injective and so $\text{Ext}_{n+r}^R(L, G) = 0$ by the above. Therefore

$$\text{Hom}_R(E^{r+n+1}, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E^{r+n}, C) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E^{r+n-1}, C) \tag{18}$$

is exact and so C is a direct summand of E^{r+n} which shows that $\text{id}(L) < \infty$. If $L \in \mathcal{L}$, then it is immediate that $\text{Ext}_R^1(L, G) = 0$ for every Gorenstein injective G .

Suppose now that $G \in \mathcal{B}^r(R) \cap L^\perp$. Then by [6, Theorem 2.11], G is Gorenstein injective. If G is Gorenstein injective, then it is immediate that $G \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$.

Therefore $(\mathcal{L}, \text{GorInj})$ is a cotorsion theory. By [6, Theorem 2.16], it is complete. Finally, since R is right Noetherian, \mathcal{L} is closed under direct limits and so by [5, Theorem 7.2.6], $(\mathcal{L}, \text{GorInj})$ is perfect. □

THEOREM 8. *Let R be a left and right n -perfect ring admitting a Matlis dualizing module and let ${}_R V_R$ be a dualizing module for R such that $\text{id}({}_R V), \text{id}(V_R) \leq r$. If $M \in \mathcal{A}^1(R)$ is finitely generated, then M has a Gorenstein projective cover $G \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ such that G is finitely generated and $\text{pd}(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \leq r - 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with G Gorenstein projective and $\text{pd}(L) \leq r - 1$, which can be supposed finitely generated. Then if $0 \rightarrow M^\vee \rightarrow G^\vee \rightarrow L^\vee \rightarrow 0$ is exact with G^\vee Gorenstein injective by Proposition 5 and $\text{id}(L^\vee) < \infty$, therefore

$$\text{Hom}_R(G^\vee, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M^\vee, N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(L^\vee, N) = 0 \tag{19}$$

is exact for every Gorenstein injective N , which gives that $M^\vee \rightarrow G^\vee$ is a Gorenstein injective preenvelope. By the preceding theorem, M^\vee has a Gorenstein injective envelope $\varphi : M^\vee \rightarrow C$, which is a summand of G^\vee and so C is artinian. But $\text{Coker}(\varphi)$ is also a direct summand of L^\vee and so $\text{id}(\text{Coker}(\varphi)) \leq r - 1$. Then $\text{pd}((\text{Coker}(\varphi))^\vee) < \infty$ and therefore we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{Coker}(\varphi))^\vee \rightarrow C^\vee \xrightarrow{\varphi^\vee} M \rightarrow 0, \tag{20}$$

where C^\vee is Gorenstein projective by Proposition 5 and $\text{pd}((\text{Coker}(\varphi))^\vee) \leq r - 1$. Since $\text{pd}((\text{Coker}(\varphi))^\vee) < \infty$, it follows that $C^\vee \xrightarrow{\varphi^\vee} M$ is a Gorenstein projective precover. Finally, $C^\vee \xrightarrow{\varphi^\vee} M$ is the desired cover since C^\vee and M are reflexive. \square

COROLLARY 9. *Let R and M be as in the previous theorem and let $G \rightarrow M$ be a Gorenstein projective cover. Then $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$ if and only if $G \rightarrow M$ is a projective cover.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$. Then $\text{pd}(C) < \infty$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow P_k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0 \tag{21}$$

be a projective resolution of G . Since $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, P) = 0$ for every projective P , it follows that the sequence splits and so G is projective. The converse is immediate. \square

COROLLARY 10. *Let R and V be as in the previous theorem and let $M \in \mathcal{A}^l(R)$ be finitely generated. Then the minimal Gorenstein projective resolution of M is of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow P_k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0, \tag{22}$$

where P_i is projective for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $k \leq r$.

Proof. By [6, Corollary 2.13], $M \in \mathcal{A}^r(R)$ if and only if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0, \tag{23}$$

where every G_i , $i = 0, \dots, k$, is Gorenstein projective and $k \leq r$. Now the result follows from the preceding corollary. \square

Acknowledgment

The third author was supported by BFM2002-02717 Grant and Junta de Andalucía FQM 0211.

References

[1] M. Auslander, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*, Séminaire d'Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/1967. Texte rédigé, d'après des exposés de Maurice Auslander, Marquerite Mangeney, Christian Peskine et Lucien Szpiro. École Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967 (French).

[2] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein Dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1747, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

- [3] ———, *Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 5, 1839–1883.
- [4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), no. 4, 611–633.
- [5] ———, *Relative Homological Algebra*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [6] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, and J. A. López-Ramos, *Dualizing modules and n -perfect rings*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **48** (2005), no. 1, 75–90.
- [7] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, and J. Z. Xu, *Foxby duality and Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), no. 8, 3223–3234.
- [8] ———, *Lifting group representations to maximal Cohen-Macaulay representations*, J. Algebra **188** (1997), no. 1, 58–68.
- [9] ———, *A generalization of Auslander's last theorem*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **2** (1999), no. 3, 259–268.
- [10] E. E. Enochs, J. A. López-Ramos, and B. Torrecillas, *On Matlis dualizing modules*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **30** (2002), no. 11, 659–665.
- [11] H.-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein dimensions over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Proc. International Conference on Commutative Algebras (W. Bruns, ed.), Universitat Osnabrück, Osnabrück, 1994, pp. 59–63.
- [12] R. Hartshorne, *Local Cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 41, Springer, Berlin, 1967, a seminar given by A. Grothendieck, Harvard University, 1961.
- [13] P. Jørgensen, *The Gorenstein projective modules are precovering*, preprint.

Edgar E. Enochs: Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0027, USA
E-mail address: enochs@ms.uky.edu

Overtoun M. G. Jenda: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Sciences and Mathematics, Auburn University, AL 36849-5310, USA
E-mail address: jendaov@auburn.edu

J. A. López-Ramos: Departamento de Álgebra y Análisis, Facultad de Ciencias Experimentales, Matemático, Universidad de Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain
E-mail address: jlopez@ual.es