

ON UNIFORM KADEC-KLEE PROPERTIES AND ROTUNDITY IN GENERALIZED CESÀRO SEQUENCE SPACES

NARIN PETROT and SUTHEP SUANTAI

Received 31 July 2003

We consider the generalized Cesàro sequence spaces defined by Suantai (2003) and consider it equipped with the Amemiya norm. The main purpose of this paper is to show that $\text{ces}_{(p)}$ equipped with the Amemiya norm is rotund and has uniform Kadec-Klee property.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20, 46B45.

1. Introduction. In the whole paper, \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} stand for the sets of natural numbers and real numbers, respectively. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space and $B(X)$ ($S(X)$) the closed unit ball (the unit sphere) of X .

A point $x \in S(X)$ is called an *extreme point* if for any $y, z \in B(X)$ the equality $2x = y + z$ implies $y = z$.

A Banach space X is said to be *rotund* (abbreviated as (R)) if every point of $S(X)$ is an extreme point.

A Banach space X is said to have the *Kadec-Klee property* (or H-property) if every weakly convergent sequence on the unit sphere is convergent in norm.

Recall that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be ε -*separated sequence* for some $\varepsilon > 0$ if

$$\text{sep}(x_n) = \inf \{\|x_n - x_m\| : n \neq m\} > \varepsilon. \quad (1.1)$$

A Banach space is said to have the *uniform Kadec-Klee property* (abbreviated as (UKK)) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every sequence (x_n) in $S(X)$ with $\text{sep}(x_n) > \varepsilon$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$, we have $\|x\| < 1 - \delta$. Every (UKK) Banach space has H-property (see [3]).

A Banach space is said to be *nearly uniformly convex* (abbreviated as (NUC)) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for every sequence $(x_n) \subseteq B(X)$ with $\text{sep}(x_n) > \varepsilon$, we have

$$\text{conv}(x_n) \cap ((1 - \delta)B(X)) \neq \emptyset. \quad (1.2)$$

Huff [3] proved that every (NUC) Banach space is reflexive and has H-property and he also proved that X is NUC if and only if X is reflexive and UKK.

A Banach space X is said to be *locally uniform rotund* (abbreviated as (LUR)) if for each $x \in S(X)$ and each sequence $(x_n) \subset S(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + x\| = 2$ there holds $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$.

A continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *convex* if

$$\Phi\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\Phi(u) + \Phi(v)}{2} \quad (1.3)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. If, in addition, the two sides of inequality (1.3) are not equal for all $u \neq v$, then we call Φ *strictly convex*.

For a real vector space X , a function $\varrho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *modular* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\varrho(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- (ii) $\varrho(\alpha x) = \varrho(x)$ for all scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$;
- (iii) $\varrho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \varrho(x) + \varrho(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

The modular ϱ is called *convex* if

- (iv) $\varrho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha \varrho(x) + \beta \varrho(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

For any modular ϱ on X , the space

$$X_\varrho = \{x \in X : \varrho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\} \quad (1.4)$$

is called the *modular space*. If ϱ is a convex modular, the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}, \\ \|x\|_0 &= \inf_{k > 0} \frac{1}{k} (1 + \varrho(kx)) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

are two norms on X_ϱ , which are called the *Luxemburg norm* and the *Amemiya norm*, respectively. In addition, $\|x\| \leq \|x\|_0 \leq 2\|x\|$ for all $x \in X_\varrho$ (see [6]).

A modular ϱ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition ($\varrho \in \Delta_2$) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist constants $K \geq 2$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$\varrho(2x) \leq K\varrho(x) + \varepsilon \quad (1.6)$$

for all $x \in X_\varrho$ with $\varrho(x) \leq a$.

If ϱ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition for all $a > 0$ with $K \geq 2$ dependent on a , we say that ϱ satisfies the *strong* Δ_2 -condition ($\varrho \in \Delta_2^s$).

Let ℓ^0 be the space of all real sequences. The Musielak-Orlicz sequence space ℓ_Φ , where $\Phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of Orlicz functions, is defined as

$$\ell_\Phi = \{x = (x(i))_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell^0 : \varrho_\Phi(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\varrho_\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \phi_i(x(i))$ is a convex modular on ℓ_Φ . Then ℓ_Φ is a Banach space with both Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_\Phi}$ and Amemiya norm $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_\Phi^0}$ (see [6]). In [2], Hudzik and Zbąszyniak proved that in the space ℓ_Φ endowed with the Amemiya norm, there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|x\|_{\ell_\Phi^0} = \frac{1}{k} (1 + \varrho_\Phi(kx)) \quad (x \in \ell_\Phi) \quad (1.8)$$

if $\phi_i(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $1 < p < \infty$, the Cesàro sequence space (ces_p) is defined by

$$ces_p = \left\{ x \in \ell^0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^p < \infty \right\} \tag{1.9}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{1.10}$$

This space was first introduced by Shue [8]. It is useful in the theory of matrix operator and others (see [4, 5]). Some geometric properties of the Cesàro sequence space ces_p were studied by many authors. Now, we introduce a generalized Cesàro sequence space.

Let $p = (p_n)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $p_n \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The generalized Cesàro sequence space $ces_{(p)}$ is defined by

$$ces_{(p)} = \{x \in \ell^0 : \rho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^{p_n} \tag{1.12}$$

is a convex modular on $ces_{(p)}$. To simplify the notations, we put $ces_{(p)} = (ces_{(p)}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $ces_{(p)}^0 = (ces_{(p)}, \|\cdot\|_0)$.

For $ces_{(p)}$, Suantai [9] proved that $ces_{(p)}$ is LUR, hence it is R and has H-property where $p = (p_k)$ is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers with $p_k > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In $ces_{(p)}^0$, the set of all k 's, at which the infimum in the definition of $\|x\|_0$ for a fixed $x \in ces_{(p)}^0$ is attained, will be denoted by $K(x)$.

Throughout this paper, we let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers.

2. Main results. We first give an important fact for $\|x\|_0$ on $ces_{(p)}^0$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For each $x \in ces_{(p)}^0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\|x\|_0 = \frac{1}{k}(1 + \rho(kx)). \tag{2.1}$$

PROOF. First, we note that $\phi(t) = |t|^r$ ($r > 1$) is an Orlicz function which satisfies $\phi_i(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, observe that for each $x = (x(i))_{i=1}^{\infty} \in ces_{(p)}^0$ we have

$$x' = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{\phi}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\Phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\phi_i(t) = |t|^{p_i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\|x\|_0 = \|x'\|_{\ell_\Phi^0}$ and by (1.8) there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_0 &= \|x'\|_{\ell_\Phi^0} = \frac{1}{k} (1 + \varrho_\Phi(kx')) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^{p_n} \right) = \frac{1}{k} (1 + \rho(kx)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

□

PROPOSITION 2.2. *For a modular space X_ϱ , convergence in norm and convergence in modular are equivalent if and only if $\varrho \in \Delta_2$.*

PROOF. See [1].

□

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $\text{ces}_{(p)}^0$ with $p_k > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ for some $x \in \text{ces}_{(p)}^0$. If $k_n \in K(x_n)$ and $k_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x = 0$.*

PROOF. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta > 0$, put $G_{(n,\eta)} = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : (1/i) \sum_{j=1}^i |x_n(j)| \geq \eta\}$. First, we claim that for each $\eta > 0$, $G_{(n,\eta)} = \emptyset$ for all large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If not, without loss of generality, we may assume that $G_{(n,\eta)} \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $\eta > 0$. Then,

$$\|x_n\|_0 = \frac{1}{k_n} (1 + \rho(k_n x_n)) \geq \frac{(k_n \eta)^{p_i}}{k_n} \quad (i \in G_{(n,\eta)}). \quad (2.4)$$

Applying the fact $|t|^r/t \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $r > 1$, we obtain $\|x_n\|_0 \rightarrow \infty$ which contradicts the fact that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, hence we have the claim. By the claim, we have $(1/i) \sum_{j=1}^i |x_n(j)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we obtain by induction that $x_n(i) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$, we have $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so it must be $x(i) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. □

THEOREM 2.4. *The space $\text{ces}_{(p)}^0$ is R if each $p_k > 1$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in S(\text{ces}_{(p)}^0)$ and suppose $y, z \in S(\text{ces}_{(p)}^0)$ with $y + z = 2x$. Take $k' \in K(y)$, $k'' \in K(z)$ and define $k = k'k''/(k' + k'')$. Then by convexity of $u \mapsto |u|^{p_n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \|y\|_0 + \|z\|_0 = \frac{k' + k''}{k'k''} \left[1 + \frac{k''}{k' + k''} \rho(k'y) + \frac{k'}{k' + k''} \rho(k''z) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} [1 + \rho(ky + kz)] = \frac{2}{2k} [1 + \rho(2kx)] \geq 2\|x\|_0 = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

This implies

$$\frac{k''}{k' + k''} \left(\frac{k'}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y(i)| \right)^{p_n} + \frac{k'}{k' + k''} \left(\frac{k''}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |z(i)| \right)^{p_n} = \left(\frac{2k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right)^{p_n} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since the function $u \mapsto |u|^{p_n}$ is strictly convex function for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it implies that

$$k' \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma(i)| \right] = k'' \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |z(i)| \right] = 2k \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x(i)| \right] \tag{2.7}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This gives $k'|\gamma(i)| = k''|z(i)|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and it follows that $k' = \|k'\gamma\|^\circ = \|k''z\|^\circ = k''$, hence $|\gamma(i)| = |z(i)|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $\gamma(i) = z(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If not, let $i_o \in \mathbb{N}$ be the first coordinate such that $\gamma(i_o) \neq z(i_o)$, so $\gamma(i_o) = -z(i_o)$ and hence $2x(i_o) = \gamma(i_o) + z(i_o) = 0$. Since $k' = k'' = 2k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{i_o-1} \sum_{i=1}^{i_o-1} |z(i)| \right] &= \left[\frac{1}{i_o-1} \sum_{i=1}^{i_o-1} |x(i)| \right], \\ \left[\frac{1}{i_o} \sum_{i=1}^{i_o} |z(i)| \right] &= \left[\frac{1}{i_o} \sum_{i=1}^{i_o} |x(i)| \right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

which implies $z(i_o) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\gamma = z$. □

THEOREM 2.5. *The space $\text{ces}_{(p)}^0$ is UKK if each $p_k > 1$.*

PROOF. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, by [Proposition 2.2](#) there exists $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|\gamma\|_0 \geq \varepsilon/4$ implies $\rho(\gamma) \geq 2\delta$. Given $x_n \in B(\text{ces}_{(p)}^0)$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly, and $\|x_n - x_m\|_0 \geq \varepsilon$ ($n \neq m$), we will complete the proof by showing that $\|x\|_0 \leq 1 - \delta$. Indeed, if $x = 0$, then we have nothing to show. So, we assume that $x \neq 0$. In this case, by [Proposition 2.3](#) we have that $\{k_n\}$ is bounded, where $k_n \in K(x_n)$. Passing to a subsequence, if necessary we may assume that $k_n \rightarrow k$ for some $k > 0$. Next, we select a finite subset I of \mathbb{N} such that $\|x_{|I}\|_0 \geq \|x\|_0 - \delta$, say $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, j\}$; since the weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$ implies that $x_n \rightarrow x$ coordinatewise, we deduce that $x_n \rightarrow x$ uniformly on I . Consequently, there exists $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|(x_n - x_m)_{|I}\|_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall n, m \geq n_o, \tag{2.9}$$

which implies

$$\|(x_n - x_m)_{|\mathbb{N} \setminus I}\|_0 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall n, m \geq n_o, m \neq n. \tag{2.10}$$

This gives $\|x_{n|\mathbb{N} \setminus I}\|_0 \geq \varepsilon/4$ or $\|x_{m|\mathbb{N} \setminus I}\|_0 \geq \varepsilon/4$, for all $m, n \geq n_o$, $m \neq n$, which yields that $\|x_{n|\mathbb{N} \setminus I}\|_0 \geq \varepsilon/4$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\rho(x_{n|\mathbb{N} \setminus I}) \geq 2\delta$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|x_{n|\mathbb{N} \setminus I}\|_0 \geq \varepsilon/4$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using the inequality $(a + b)^t \geq a^t + b^t$ ($a, b \geq 0, t \geq 1$) combined with the fact that $k_n \geq 1$ and the convexity

of function $t \mapsto |t|^{p_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - 2\delta &\geq \|x_n\|_0 - \rho(x_n|_{N_I}) \\
&\geq \|x_n\|_0 - \frac{1}{k_n} \rho(k_n x_n|_{N_I}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k_n} + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^i |x_n(r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] - \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^{i-j} |x_n(j+r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{k_n} + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^i |x_n(r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^i |x_n(r)| \right)^{p_i} - \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^{i-j} |x_n(j+r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{k_n} + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^i |x_n(r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^j |x_n(r)| + \frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^{i-j} |x_n(j+r)| \right)^{p_i} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^{i-j} |x_n(j+r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{k_n} + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^j \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^i |x_n(r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] + \frac{1}{k_n} \left[\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_n}{i} \sum_{r=1}^j |x_n(r)| \right)^{p_i} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{k_n} + \frac{1}{k_n} \rho(k_n x_n|_I) \rightarrow \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \rho(k x|_I) \geq \|x|_I\|_0 \geq \|x\|_0 - \delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

hence $\|x\|_0 \leq 1 - \delta$. □

Since every (UKK) Banach space has H-property, the following result is obtained.

COROLLARY 2.6. *The space $\text{ces}_{(p)}^0$ possesses H-property if each $p_k > 1$.*

COROLLARY 2.7. *The space $\text{ces}_{(p)}^0$ possesses the property NUC if each $p_k > 1$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf p_k$.*

PROOF. By [7], $\text{ces}_{(p)}$ is NUC, so it is reflexive. Since a Banach space X is NUC if and only if X is reflexive and UKK, the corollary follows from [Theorem 2.5](#). □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author would like to thank the Thailand Research Fund (RGJ Project) for the financial support during the preparation of this paper. The first author was supported by The Royal Golden Jubilee Project Grant PHD/0018/2546.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Cui and H. Hudzik, *On the uniform Opial property in some modular sequence spaces*, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **26** (1998), 93–102.
- [2] H. Hudzik and Z. Zbąszyniak, *Smooth points of Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm*, *Colloq. Math.* **65** (1993), no. 2, 157–164.
- [3] R. Huff, *Banach spaces which are nearly uniformly convex*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **10** (1980), no. 4, 743–749.
- [4] Y. Q. Lui, B. E. Wu, and P. Y. Lee, *Method of Sequence Spaces*, Guangdong of Science and Technology Press, 1996 (Chinese).
- [5] P. Y. Lee, *Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Math. Chronicle* **13** (1984), 29–45.
- [6] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [7] W. Sanhan and S. Suantai, *On k -nearly uniform convex property in generalized Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2003** (2003), no. 57, 3599–3607.
- [8] J. S. Shue, *Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Tamkang J. Math.* **1** (1970), 143–150.
- [9] S. Suantai, *On some convexity properties of generalized Cesàro sequence spaces*, *Georgian Math. J.* **10** (2003), no. 1, 193–200.

Narin Petrot: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

E-mail address: npetrot@yahoo.com

Suthep Suantai: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

E-mail address: scmti005@chiangmai.ac.th