## ISOMORPHISM OF GENERALIZED TRIANGULAR MATRIX-RINGS AND RECOVERY OF TILES

## R. KHAZAL, S. DĂSCĂLESCU, and L. VAN WYK

Received 5 May 2002

We prove an isomorphism theorem for generalized triangular matrix-rings, over rings having only the idempotents 0 and 1, in particular, over indecomposable commutative rings or over local rings (not necessarily commutative). As a consequence, we obtain a recovery result for the tile in a tiled matrix-ring.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S50, 15A33, 16D20.

Matrix-rings play a fundamental role in mathematics and its applications. A difficult question is to decide whether a given ring is isomorphic to a matrixring or one of its variants. Several "hidden matrix-rings" have been shown in the literature (see [5]). These rings did not appear as being matrix-rings at the first sight, nevertheless they proved out to be isomorphic to matrix-rings. Another type of problem concerned to matrices is to decide whether two rings of matrices are isomorphic or not. For instance, it is known that for commutative rings R and S, the matrix-rings  $M_2(R)$  and  $M_2(S)$  are isomorphic if and only if the rings *R* and *S* are isomorphic, for the simple reason that *R* is isomorphic to the center of  $M_2(R)$ . However, if R and S are not commutative, this is not true anymore. Examples have been given in [7], also in [6] for simple Noetherian integral domains R, S, or in [2] for prime Noetherian R, S. A different but related problem is the recovery of the tile in a triangular matrix-ring. More precisely, if *R* is a ring and *I*, *J* are two-sided ideals of *R* such that the rings  $\begin{pmatrix} R & I \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} R & J \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  are isomorphic, what can we say about *I* and *J*? Are they isomorphic as *R*-bimodules? If we do not impose any condition to the ring, then there is no hope to recover the tile. For instance, in [3] a ring R was constructed such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

It was proved in [1] that if R satisfies a certain finiteness condition (in particular in the case where R is a left Noetherian), the above isomorphism cannot hold. For the situation where the tile is not necessarily 0 or the whole ring R, the situation behaves worse. Even when the ring is finite, the tile cannot be

recovered. It was proved in [4] that if  $R = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & A \\ 0 & A & A \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ , *A* is a ring, and

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

then the rings  $\begin{pmatrix} R & I \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} R & J \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  are isomorphic, while *I* and *J* are not isomorphic as *R*-bimodules.

The aim of this paper is to obtain a recovery result for the tile in the case where the underlying ring *R* has only trivial idempotents, that is, *R* has only two idempotents, 0 and 1. Relevant examples of such rings are for instance: indecomposable commutative rings and local rings (not necessarily commutative). In fact we can investigate the isomorphism among more general matrix-type rings. Recall that if *R* and *S* are two rings, and *M* is an *R*, *S*-bimodule (this means left *R* and right *S*), we can define the generalized triangular matrix-ring  $\begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ , with multiplication induced by the bimodule actions and the usual rule for matrix multiplication. With this notation we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** Let *R* and *S* be rings having only trivial idempotents, and let *M*, *N* be two *R*, *S*-bimodules. Then a map  $\phi : \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} R & N \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  is a ring isomorphism if and only if there exist  $a \in N$ ,  $f \in Aut(R)$ ,  $g \in Aut(S)$ , and an isomorphism  $v : M \rightarrow N$  of additive groups satisfying v(rx) = f(r)v(x) and v(xs) = v(x)g(s) for any  $x \in M$ ,  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ , such that

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} r & x \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(r) & f(r)a - ag(s) + v(x) \\ 0 & g(s) \end{pmatrix},$$
(3)

for any  $r \in R$ ,  $x \in M$ , and  $s \in S$ .

In particular, we obtain a recovery result for the tile. This is not exactly an isomorphism, but an isomorphism relative to some automorphisms of the ring. We recall that if  $f,g \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ , and X,Y are two R,R-bimodules, then an additive map  $v: X \to Y$  is called an f,g-morphism if v(rxr') = f(r)v(x)g(r'), for any  $r,r' \in R, x \in X$ .

**COROLLARY 2** (recovery of the tile). Let *R* be a ring having only trivial idempotents, and *I*, *J* be ideals of *R*. Then the matrix-rings  $\begin{pmatrix} R & I \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} R & J \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  are isomorphic if and only if *I* and *J* are *f*, *g*-isomorphic as the *R*, *R*-bimodules for some  $f, g \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ .

A complete recovery of the tile (up to isomorphism) is obtained in some special cases when the ring has only the trivial automorphism. **COROLLARY 3.** Let *R* be a ring having only trivial idempotents such that, the only automorphism of *R* is the identity. If *I*, *J* are ideals of *R*, then the matrix-rings  $\begin{pmatrix} R & J \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} R & J \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$  are isomorphic if and only if *I* and *J* are isomorphic as the *R*,*R*-bimodules.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** An element  $\binom{r \ x}{0 \ s} \in \binom{R \ M}{0 \ S}$  is idempotent if and only if  $r^2 = r$ ,  $s^2 = s$ , and rx + xs = x. Since the only idempotents of R and S are 0 and 1, we have that any of r and s is either 0 or 1. If r = 0 and s = 0, we find x = 0. If r = 1 and s = 1, we find again x = 0. If r = 1 and s = 0, then x can be anything in M, and the same in the case where r = 0 and s = 1. Thus, apart from 0 and the identity element, the idempotents of  $\binom{R \ M}{0 \ S}$  are the elements of the form

$$e_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in M,$$

$$f_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in M.$$
(4)

It is easy to see that the following relations hold:

$$e_{x}e_{y} = e_{y}, \qquad f_{x}f_{y} = f_{x}, \qquad e_{x}f_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x + y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_{x}e_{y} = 0,$$
(5)

for any  $x, y \in M$ . We denote by  $e'_z, f'_z, z \in N$ , the similar idempotents of  $\binom{R}{0} \binom{N}{S}$ . Let  $\phi : \binom{R}{0} \binom{N}{S} \to \binom{R}{0} \binom{N}{S}$  be a ring isomorphism. Then  $\phi(e_0)$  must be a nontrivial idempotent of  $\binom{R}{0} \binom{N}{S}$ . We distinguish two cases.

**CASE 1.** We have  $\phi(e_0) = e'_a$  for some  $a \in N$ . Then if for some  $x \in M$  we have  $\phi(e_x) = f'_b$  for some  $b \in N$ , we see that

$$e'_{a} = \phi(e_{0}) = \phi(e_{x}e_{0}) = \phi(e_{x})\phi(e_{0}) = f'_{b}e'_{a} = 0,$$
(6)

a contradiction. Therefore,  $\phi(e_x) = e'_{u(x)}$  for some  $u(x) \in N$  for any  $x \in M$ . Then we have that

$$\phi(f_x) = \phi(I_2 - e_{-x}) = I_2 - e'_{u(-x)} = f'_{-u(-x)}.$$
(7)

Thus, for any  $x \in M$  we have

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \phi(e_0 f_x) = \phi(e_0)\phi(f_x) = e'_a f'_{-u(-x)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a - u(-x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

Denote  $v : M \to N$ , v(x) = a - u(-x). Then clearly v is a morphism of additive groups. Moreover, v is an isomorphism. Indeed, if  $\phi^{-1}(e'_z) = f_h$  for some  $z \in N$ ,  $h \in M$ , then  $\phi(f_h) = e'_z$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\phi(\{e_x \mid x \in M\}) = \{e'_z \mid z \in N\}$ ,

showing that u is surjective, so then v is also surjective. Obviously, v is injective.

Now

$$\phi\begin{pmatrix} r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \phi\left(e_0\begin{pmatrix} r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = e'_a \phi\begin{pmatrix} r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} R & N\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

thus  $\phi \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(r) & h(r) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for some additive maps  $f : R \to R$ ,  $h : R \to N$ . Since  $\phi$  is a ring morphism, we obtain that

$$f(r_1r_2) = f(r_1)f(r_2), \quad f(1) = 1,$$
  

$$h(r_1r_2) = f(r_1)h(r_2), \quad h(1) = a,$$
(10)

for any  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Similarly, one gets  $\phi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p(s) \\ 0 & g(s) \end{pmatrix}$  for some additive maps  $g: S \to S, p: S \to N$  satisfying

$$g(s_1s_2) = g(s_1)g(s_2), \quad g(1) = 1,$$
  

$$p(s_1s_2) = p(s_1)g(s_2), \quad p(1) = -a.$$
(11)

Then h(r) = h(r1) = f(r)h(1) = f(r)a for any  $r \in R$ , and similarly p(s) = -ag(s) for any  $s \in S$ . We obtain that

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} r & x \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} = \phi \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} f(r) & f(r)a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v(x) \\ 0 & g(s) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ag(s) \\ 0 & g(s) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} f(r) & f(r)a - ag(s) + v(x) \\ 0 & g(s) \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

for any  $r \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $x \in M$ . By using the relation

$$\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} r & x\\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r' & x'\\ 0 & s' \end{pmatrix}\right) = \phi\begin{pmatrix} r & x\\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \phi\begin{pmatrix} r' & x'\\ 0 & s' \end{pmatrix},$$
(13)

we obtain, by computing the (1,2)-slots in the two sides, that f(r)v(x') + v(x)g(s') = v(rx') + v(xs') for any  $r \in R$ ,  $x, x' \in M$ ,  $s' \in S$ . For s' = 0, we find v(rx') = f(r)v(x'), and for r = 0, we obtain v(xs') = v(x)g(s').

It remains to show that *f* and *g* are bijective. Clearly, ker(*f*) = 0 since *f*(*r*) = 0 implies  $\phi\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and then *r* must be 0. Also *f* is surjective since for any

536

 $b \in R$ , there exists  $\binom{r x}{0 s} \in \binom{R M}{0 S}$  with  $\phi\binom{r x}{0 s} = \binom{b 0}{0 0}$ , in particular, f(r) = b. Thus f is a ring isomorphism, and so is g.

**CASE 2.** We have  $\phi(e_0) = f'_a$  for some  $a \in N$ . Then for any  $x \in M$ , we have that

$$f'_{a} = \phi(e_{0}) = \phi(e_{x}e_{0}) = \phi(e_{x})\phi(e_{0}) = \phi(e_{x})f'_{a}.$$
 (14)

If  $\phi(e_x) = e'_z$  for some  $x \in M$ ,  $z \in N$ , we obtain that

$$f'_{a} = e'_{z} f'_{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z+a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(15)

a contradiction. Thus,  $\phi(e_x) = f'_{u(x)}$  for any  $x \in M$ , where  $u: M \to N$  is a map. Hence  $\phi(f_x) = \phi(I_2 - e_{-x}) = I_2 - f'_{u(-x)} = e'_{-u(-x)}$ , and then

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \phi(e_0 f_x) = \phi(e_0)\phi(f_x) = f'_{u(0)}e'_{-u(-x)} = 0,$$
(16)

a contradiction, for  $x \neq 0$ . Therefore this case cannot occur.

For the other way around, it is straightforward to check that any map  $\phi$  of the given form is an isomorphism of rings.

**EXAMPLES.** (1) Let *m* and *n* be two nonnegative integers, and let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers which has only 0 and 1 as idempotents. Then by Corollary 3 the rings  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & m\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & n\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}$  are isomorphic if and only if m = n.

(2) Let  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  be the ring of Gauss integers which is a principal ideal domain (PID), in particular, it also has only trivial idempotents. If  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then the rings  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}[i] & x\mathbb{Z}[i] \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}[i] \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}[i] & y\mathbb{Z}[i] \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}[i] \end{pmatrix}$  are isomorphic if and only if either x = uy or  $x = u\overline{y}$  for some  $u \in \{1, -1, i, -i\}$ , where  $\overline{y}$  denotes the complex conjugate of y. Indeed, this follows from Corollary 2 and the fact that the only automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are the identity and the complex conjugation.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** The second author was supported by grant SM 10/01 of the Research Administration of Kuwait University.

## REFERENCES

- G. Abrams, J. Haefner, and A. del Río, *The isomorphism problem for incidence rings*, Pacific J. Math. 187 (1999), no. 2, 201–214.
- [2] A. W. Chatters, Nonisomorphic rings with isomorphic matrix rings, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 36 (1993), no. 2, 339–348.
- [3] S. Dăscălescu and L. van Wyk, Do isomorphic structural matrix rings have isomorphic graphs?, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 5, 1385-1391.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, The recovery of the non-diagonal tile in a tiled triangular matrix ring, Indian J. Math. 42 (2000), no. 2, 167–173.
- [5] L. S. Levy, J. C. Robson, and J. T. Stafford, *Hidden matrices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 69 (1994), no. 2, 277–308.

- [6] S. P. Smith, An example of a ring Morita equivalent to the Weyl algebra A<sub>1</sub>, J. Algebra 73 (1981), no. 2, 552–555.
- [7] R. G. Swan, *Projective modules over group rings and maximal orders*, Ann. of Math.
   (2) 76 (1962), 55-61.

R. Khazal: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait *E-mail address*: khazal@mcs.sci.kuniv.edu.kw

S. Dăscălescu: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait *E-mail address*: sdascal@mcs.sci.kuniv.edu.kw

L. Van Wyk: Department of Mathematics, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Stellenbosch 7602, South Africa

*E-mail address*: lvw@land.sun.ac.za

538