PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN *p*-VALENTLY CONVEX FUNCTIONS

DINGGONG YANG and SHIGEYOSHI OWA

Received 10 September 2002

A subclass $\mathscr{C}_p(\lambda,\mu)$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $-\lambda \leq \mu < 1$) of *p*-valently convex functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} is introduced. The object of the present paper is to discuss some interesting properties of functions belonging to the class $\mathscr{C}_p(\lambda,\mu)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\})$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. A function f(z) in \mathcal{A}_p is said to be *p*-valently convex of order α if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > p\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$
(1.2)

for some α ($0 \le \alpha < 1$). We denote by $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha)$ the subclass of \mathcal{A}_p consisting of functions which are *p*-valently convex of order α in \mathbb{U} . In particular, we denote $\mathcal{H}_1(0) = \mathcal{H}$.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ is said to be uniformly convex in \mathbb{U} if f(z) is in the class \mathcal{X} and has the property that the image arc f(y) is convex for every circular arc y contained in \mathbb{U} with center at $t \in \mathbb{U}$. We also denote by $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}$ the subclass of \mathcal{A}_1 consisting of all uniformly convex functions in \mathbb{U} . Goodman [2] has introduced the class $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}$ and given that $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{K}$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+(z-t)\frac{f^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \ge 0 \quad ((z,t) \in \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{U}).$$
(1.3)

Ma and Minda [3] and Rønning [5] have showed a more applicable characterization for \mathcal{WK} . We state this as the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f(z) \in A_1$. Then $f(z) \in \mathfrak{UK}$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

$$(1.4)$$

In view of Theorem 1.1, Owa [4] considered a subclass $\mathfrak{UH}(\mu)$ $(-1 < \mu < 1)$ of \mathcal{A}_1 . A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ is said to be a member of the class $\mathfrak{UH}(\mu)$ $(-1 < \mu < 1)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}-\mu>\left|\frac{zf^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|\quad(z\in\mathbb{U}).$$
(1.5)

In this paper, we investigate the following subclass of \mathcal{A}_p .

DEFINITION 1.2. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be a member of the class $\mathscr{C}_p(\lambda, \mu)$ if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\}-p\mu>\lambda\left|1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}-p\right|\quad(z\in\mathbb{U})$$
(1.6)

for some λ (0 < λ < 1) and μ ($-\lambda \leq \mu < 1$).

Let f(z) and g(z) be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Then we say that f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in \mathbb{U} , written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function w(z) in \mathbb{U} such that $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ and f(z) = g(w(z)). If g(z) is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the subordination $f(z) \prec g(z)$ is equivalent to f(0) = g(0) and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.

2. Subordination properties. Our first result for properties of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. A function $f(z) \in \mathscr{C}_p(\lambda, \mu)$ if and only if

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec h(z)$$
 (2.1)

with

$$h(z) = p + \frac{p(1-\mu)}{2\sin^2\beta} \left\{ \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2\beta/\pi} + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2\beta/\pi} - 2 \right\} \quad (\beta = \arccos \lambda).$$
(2.2)

PROOF. Let 1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) = w and w = u + iv. Then inequality (1.6) can be written as

$$u - p\mu > \lambda \sqrt{(u - p)^2 + v^2}.$$
(2.3)

By computing, we find that inequality (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\left(u + \frac{p(\lambda^2 - \mu)}{1 - \lambda^2}\right)^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{1 - \lambda^2}v^2 > \left(\frac{p\lambda(1 - \mu)}{1 - \lambda^2}\right)^2,\tag{2.4}$$

$$u > \frac{p(\lambda + \mu)}{1 + \lambda}.$$
(2.5)

Thus the domain of the values of 1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) for $z \in U$ is contained in

$$\mathbb{D} = \{ w = u + iv : u \text{ and } v \text{ satisfy (2.4) and (2.5)} \}.$$
 (2.6)

2604

In order to prove our theorem, it suffices to show that the function h(z) given by (2.2) maps U conformally onto the domain \mathbb{D} .

Consider the transformations

$$w_{1} = \frac{1 - \lambda^{2}}{p(1 - \mu)} w + \frac{\lambda^{2} - \mu}{1 - \mu},$$

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \left(w_{2}^{\pi/\beta} + w_{2}^{-\pi/\beta} \right),$$
(2.7)

where $\beta = \arccos \lambda$ and $w_2 = w_1 + \sqrt{w_1^2 - 1}$ is the inverse function of

$$w_1 = \frac{w_2 + 1/w_2}{2}.$$
 (2.8)

It is easy to verify that composite function t = t(w) maps \mathbb{D}^+ defined by

$$\mathbb{D}^{+} = \{ w = u + iv : u \text{ and } v \text{ satisfy (2.4), (2.5), and } v > 0 \}$$
(2.9)

conformally onto the upper-half plane Im(t) > 0 so that w = p corresponds to t = 1 and $w = p(\lambda + \mu)/(1 + \lambda)$ to t = -1. With the help of the symmetry principle, this function t = t(w) maps \mathbb{D} conformally onto the domain

$$\mathbb{G} = \{ t : | \arg(t+1) | < \pi \}.$$
(2.10)

Since

$$t = 2\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 - 1$$
 (2.11)

maps \mathbb{U} onto \mathbb{G} , we see that

$$w = p + \frac{p(1-\mu)}{2(1-\lambda^2)} \left\{ \left(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}\right)^{\beta/\pi} + \left(t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}\right)^{-\beta/\pi} - 2 \right\}$$

= $p + \frac{p(1-\mu)}{2\sin^2\beta} \left\{ \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2(\beta/\pi)} + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2(\beta/\pi)} - 2 \right\}$
= $h(z)$ (2.12)

maps \mathbb{U} onto \mathbb{D} with h(0) = p. Hence the proof of the theorem is completed.

Theorem 2.1 gives the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.2. If $f(z) \in \mathscr{C}_p(\lambda, \mu)$, then $f(z) \in \mathscr{K}_p((\lambda + \mu)/(1 + \lambda))$ and the order $(\lambda + \mu)/(1 + \lambda)$ is sharp with the extremal function

$$f_0(z) = p \int_0^z \left(t_2^{p-1} \exp \int_0^{t_2} \frac{h(t_1) - p}{t_1} dt_1 \right) dt_2,$$
(2.13)

where h(z) is given by (2.2).

PROOF. Using (2.5) in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and noting that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf_0''(z)}{f_0'(z)}\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(h(z)\right) \longrightarrow p\frac{\lambda + \mu}{1 + \lambda}$$
(2.14)

as $z = \operatorname{Re}(z) \rightarrow -1$, we have the corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3. If $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}_p(\lambda, \mu)$ and $-\lambda < \mu < \lambda < 1$, then

$$\left| \arg\left(1 + \frac{zf^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \right| < \arctan\left(\frac{1-\mu}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \mu^2}}\right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(2.15)

The bound in (2.15) is sharp with the extremal function $f_0(z)$ given by (2.13).

PROOF. Let the function h(z) be defined by (2.4). Then $h(\mathbb{U}) = \mathbb{D}$ and an easy calculation yields that

$$\min\left\{\theta: |\arg\left(h(z)\right)| < \theta(z \in \mathbb{U})\right\} = \arctan\left(\frac{1-\mu}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \mu^2}}\right)$$
(2.16)

for $-\lambda < \mu < \lambda < 1$. Therefore, the corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

Next we derive the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $f(z) \in \mathscr{C}_p(\lambda, \mu)$ and let h(z) be defined by (2.2). Then

$$\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} < \exp \int_0^z \frac{h(t) - p}{t} dt,$$
(2.17)

$$\left|\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}}\right| \le \exp \int_0^1 \frac{h(\rho) - p}{\rho} d\rho \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(2.18)

The bound in (2.18) is sharp with the extremal function $f_0(z)$ given by (2.13).

PROOF. Since the function h(z) - p is univalent and starlike (with respect to the origin), by Theorem 2.1 and the result due to Suffridge [6, Theorem 3], we have

$$\log\left(\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}}\right) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f''(t)}{f'(t)} - \frac{p-1}{t}\right) dt < \int_0^z \frac{h(t) - p}{t} dt,$$
(2.19)

which implies the subordination (2.17).

Furthermore, noting that the univalent function h(z) maps the disk $|z| < \rho$ $(0 < \rho \le 1)$ onto the domain which is convex and symmetric with respect to the real axis, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{h(t) - p}{t} dt = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \left\{ h(\rho z) - p \right\}}{\rho} d\rho \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{h(\rho) - p}{\rho} d\rho$$
(2.20)

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Thus inequality (2.18) follows from (2.19) and (2.20).

2606

REMARK 2.5. If we let $\beta = \pi/4$ and $x = ((1 + \sqrt{\rho})/(1 - \sqrt{\rho}))^{1/2}$ $(0 \le \rho < 1)$, then

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\rho}}{1 - \sqrt{\rho}} \right)^{2(\beta/\pi)} + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{\rho}}{1 + \sqrt{\rho}} \right)^{2(\beta/\pi)} - 2 \right\} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}$$

$$= 8 \int_{1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{x}{x^{2} + 1} - \frac{1}{x + 1} \right) dx = 4 \log 2.$$
(2.21)

Thus, as the special case of Theorem 2.4, we have that if $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(1/\sqrt{2},\mu)$ $(-1/\sqrt{2} \leq \mu < 1)$, then

$$\left|\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}}\right| \le 16^{p(1-\mu)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

$$(2.22)$$

and the result is sharp.

3. Coefficient inequalities

Theorem 3.1. If

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$$
(3.1)

belongs to $\mathscr{C}_p(\lambda, \mu)$ *, then*

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{8p^2(1-\mu)}{p+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi \sin\beta}\right)^2 \quad (\beta = \arccos\lambda).$$
(3.2)

PROOF. It can be easily verified that

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = p + \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)a_{p+1}z + \cdots,$$

$$h(z) = p + \frac{p(1-\mu)}{2\sin^2\beta}\left(\frac{8\beta}{\pi} + \frac{8\beta}{\pi}\left(\frac{2\beta}{\pi} - 1\right)\right)z + \cdots$$

$$= p + 8p(1-\mu)\left(\frac{\beta}{\pi\sin\beta}\right)^2 z + \cdots,$$
(3.3)

where h(z) is given by (2.2). Since

$$f(z) = z^p + a_{p+1} z^{p+1} + \dots \in \mathscr{C}_p(\lambda, \mu),$$
(3.4)

it follows from (3.3) and Theorem 2.1 that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{8p(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{zf^{\prime\prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} - p\right) \\
= \frac{p+1}{8p^2(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{\pi\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2 a_{p+1}z + \cdots \qquad (3.5) \\
\prec \frac{\pi^2}{8p(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2 (h(z) - p).$$

It is well known that if

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \prec g(z)$$
(3.6)

for $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}$, then (cf. Duren [1])

$$|a_n| \le 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, ...).$$
 (3.7)

Noting that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{8p(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{\sin\beta}{\beta}\right)^2 (h(z) - p) \in \mathcal{K},\tag{3.8}$$

we get (3.2). Also the bound in (3.2) is sharp for the function $f_0(z)$ given by (2.13).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [2] A. W. Goodman, *On uniformly convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **56** (1991), no. 1, 87–92.
- [3] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 57 (1992), no. 2, 165–175.
- [4] S. Owa, On uniformly convex functions, Math. Japon. 48 (1998), no. 3, 377-384.
- [5] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), no. 1, 189–196.
- [6] T. J. Suffridge, Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 775–777.

Dinggong Yang: Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215006, China

Shigeyoshi Owa: Department of Mathematics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan

E-mail address: owa@math.kindai.ac.jp

2608